

# ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA

Wednesday, September 25, 2013 at 6:30 p.m.
Civic Center Administration Building
City Council Conference Room
701 Laurel Street

#### **CALL TO ORDER**

ROLL CALL: Allan Bedwell, Chris DeCardy (Chair), Kristin Kuntz-Duriseti, Scott Marshall, Mitchel Slomiak (Vice Chair), Christina Smolke

### A. PUBLIC COMMENT (Limited to 30 minutes)

Under "Public Comment", the public may address the Commission on any subject not listed on the agenda. Each speaker may address the Commission once under Public Comment for a limit of three minutes. Please clearly state your name and address or political jurisdiction in which you live. The Commission cannot act on items not listed on the agenda and, therefore, the Commission cannot respond to non-agenda issues brought up under Public Comment other than to provide general information.

### **B. REGULAR BUSINESS**

- **B1.** Approve August 28, 2013 Minutes (Attachment)
- **B2.** Issue a Determination on Four Heritage Tree Appeals at 2140 Santa Cruz Ave. (Attachment)
- **B3.** Receive Informational (Biannual) Arborist Report
- **B4.** Discuss Informational Quarterly Report to City Council

#### C. COMMISSION REPORTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

- C1. Staff Update on Environmental Policies to be Considered by City Council
- C2. Commission Subcommittee Reports and Announcements
- C3. Discuss Future Agenda Items

#### D. ADJOURNMENT

Agendas are posted in accordance with Government Code Section 54954.2(a) or Section 54956. Members of the public can view electronic agendas and staff reports by accessing the City website at <a href="http://www.menlopark.org">http://www.menlopark.org</a> and can receive e-mail notification of agenda and staff report postings by subscribing to the "Home Delivery" service on the City's homepage. Agendas and staff reports may also be obtained by contacting Rebecca Fotu at (650) 330-6720 (Posted: 09/19/2013)

At every Regular Meeting of the Commission, in addition to the Public Comment period where the public shall have the right to address the Commission on any matters of public interest not listed on the agenda, members of the public have the right to directly address the Commission on any item listed on the agenda at a time designated by the Chair, either before or during the Commission's consideration of the item.

At every Special Meeting of the Commission, members of the public have the right to directly address the Commission on any item listed on the agenda at a time designated by the Chair, either before or during consideration of the item.

Any writing that is distributed to a majority of the Commission by any person in connection with an agenda item is a public record (subject to any exemption under the Public Records Act) and is available for inspection at Engineering/Environmental Division 701 Laurel Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025 during regular business hours.

Persons with disabilities, who require auxiliary aids or services in attending or participating in Commission meetings, may call the City Clerk's Office at (650) 330-6620



# ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, August 28, 2013 at 6:30 p.m.
Civic Center Administration Building
City Council Conference Room
701 Laurel Street

Chair DeCardy called the meeting to order at 6:36 p.m.

ROLL CALL: Chris DeCardy (Chair), Kristin Kuntz-Duriseti, Scott Marshall, Deb

Martin, Mitch Slomiak (Vice Chair), Christina Smolke (arrives at 6:42

p.m.)

**ABSENT:** Allan Bedwell

A. PUBLIC COMMENT: None

**B. REGULAR BUSINESS** 

**B1.** Approve July 24, 2013 Minutes (Attachment)

**ACTION:** Motion and second (Slomiak/Martin) to approve the July 24, 2013 minutes passes (5-0-2), (Absent: Allan Bedwell, Abstain: Scott Marshall).

**B2.** Discuss and Consider Rescheduling November and December Environmental Quality Commission Meetings

**ACTION:** Motion and second (Slomiak/Martin) to follow staff's recommendation to reschedule both meetings one week in advance, with the venue and meeting time remaining the same. The November 27<sup>th</sup> meeting will be moved to November 20<sup>th</sup>, and the December 25<sup>th</sup> meeting will be moved to December 18<sup>th</sup>, passes (6-0-1), (Absent: Allan Bedwell).

**B3.** Discuss and Potentially Remove and Create New Environmental Quality Commission Subcommittees and Appoint Members to Subcommittees

The Commission indicated that they would like to discuss this item further at the next commission meeting.

**ACTION:** No formal action was taken on this item

**B4.** Discuss Community-Wide Greenhouse Gas Emission Targets, Funding, and Next Steps and Provide Direction to the Climate Action Plan Subcommittee (Attachment)

#### Public Comment:

 Jessica Epstein submitted a letter to the Commission on behalf of the Silicon Valley Association of Realtors (SILVAR) indicating her organization's opposition in regards to the energy efficiency greenhouse gas reduction measure "Consider development of an ordinance for energy and water efficiency standards for transfer of title transaction" as outlined in the City's Climate Action Plan.

The Commission continued to discuss the development of the greenhouse gas reduction target workplan. The Climate Action Plan Subcommittee also provided the Commission with an update on potential funding outlets, how to facilitate stakeholder engagement, and on ways to implement the greenhouse gas reduction strategies identified in the City's Climate Action Plan.

**ACTION:** No formal action was taken on this item

#### C. COMMISSION REPORTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

The following updates were received by the commission:

- C1. Staff Update on Environmental Policies to be Considered by City Council
- C2. Commission Subcommittee Reports and Announcements
- C3. Discuss Future Agenda Items

#### D. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 8:46 p.m.

Meeting minutes prepared by Vanessa Marcadejas, Environmental Programs Specialist



### **ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMISSION**

**September 25, 2013** 

Staff Report Agenda Item B2

REGULAR BUSINESS: Issue Determination on Appeal of Staff's Denial of a Heritage Tree Removal Permit for 2140 Santa Cruz Avenue

#### RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Environmental Quality Commission (EQC) deny the appeal and uphold staff's decision to deny the heritage tree removal permit application at 2140 Santa Cruz Avenue.

#### **BACKGROUND**

On July 10, 2013, Arborwell, the appellant and representative for the property owner of 2140 Santa Cruz Ave. applied for a heritage tree removal permit to remove four Canary Island Pine trees (Attachment A). The permit application was accompanied by an Arborist report that stated the following reasons for removal:

- Large amount of debris and branch failures affecting the building's new roof
- Increased maintenance costs from property damage

The City Arborist reviewed the application, inspected the four Canary Island Pine trees, and completed the City Arborist's Evaluation Form (Attachment B). The City Arborist denied the application based on the following:

- All four trees are healthy and structurally sound.
- Concerns related to debris on the roof can be addressed through proper pruning and regular tree maintenance.

A letter was mailed to the applicant outlining the denial of the heritage tree removal application (Attachment C).

After the denial letter was mailed, the City Arborist received a letter from Charles Kinney, a resident at 2140 Santa Cruz Ave, stating that the trees should not be removed because their presence help offset the visual and acoustic impacts of the road traffic along Santa Cruz Ave and contribute a significant visual appearance for Menlo Commons (Attachment D).

On August 2, 2013, Arborwell filed a heritage tree appeal to the EQC (Attachment E) to remove the four Canary Island Pines, and stated the following reasons for removal:

- The original removal application was submitted prematurely and there is new information relating to property damage caused by the trees.
- Tree roots are lifting the foundation of the adjacent building.
- Tree roots are damaging a wall of the nearby parking garage.

On September 5, 2013, City staff received another letter from Charles Kinney, stating that he was unable to locate the property damage described in Arborwell's appeal (Attachment F).

#### **ANALYSIS**

**Section 13.24.040, of Menlo Park's Heritage Tree Ordinance** (Municipal Code), requires staff and the EQC to consider the following eight factors when determining whether there is good cause for permitting removal of a heritage tree:

- (1) The condition of the tree or trees with respect to disease, danger of falling, proximity to existing or proposed structures and interference with utility services;
- (2) The necessity to remove the tree or trees in order to construct proposed improvements to the property;
- (3) The topography of the land and the effect of the removal of the tree on erosion, soil retention and diversion or increased flow of surface waters;
- (4) The long-term value of the species under consideration, particularly lifespan and growth rate;
- (5) The ecological value of the tree or group of trees, such as food, nesting, habitat, protection and shade for wildlife or other plant species;
- (6) The number, size, species, age distribution and location of existing trees in the area and the effect the removal would have upon shade, privacy impact and scenic beauty;
- (7) The number of trees the particular parcel can adequately support according to good arboricultural practices;
- (8) The availability of reasonable and feasible alternatives that would allow for the preservation of the tree(s).

Staff's decision to deny the removal permit was based on criteria one, six, and eight of the Heritage Tree Ordinance.

With respect to criteria one, all four trees are in good health and appear to be structurally sound. Canary Island Pine (*Pinus canariensis*) is a drought-tolerant species resistant to many of the pests and diseases found along the peninsula, including Pitch Canker and Oak Root Fungus.

With respect to criteria six, all four trees provide shade, privacy and scenic beauty for the residents of the property. The trees create a buffer between Santa Cruz Ave and the building, reducing the noise and visual impacts of the busy roadway.

With respect to criteria eight, alternatives to removal exist. Routine maintenance and proper pruning will reduce the risk of limb failure and debris accumulation on the roof. Excavation by hand or with an Air-Spade along the foundation and retaining wall would reveal which roots might be causing damage and could be properly pruned.

Staff recommends the Environmental Quality Commission (EQC) deny the appeal and uphold staff's decision to deny the heritage tree removal permit application based on these findings.

<u>Signature on File</u>
Brian Henry
City Arborist

Signature on File
Vanessa Marcadejas
Environmental Programs Specialist

**PUBLIC NOTICE:** Public Notification was achieved by posting the agenda, with this agenda item being listed, at least 72 hours prior to the meeting.

#### ATTACHMENTS:

- A: Heritage Tree Removal Application
- B: City Arborist Evaluation Form
- C: Heritage Tree Removal Application Denial Letter
- D: Letter from Charles Kinney Addressed to City Arborist
- E: Applicant's Appeal of the Removal Denial
- F: Letter from Charles Kinney Addressed to City Environmental Staff.

### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



City of Menlo Park Engineering Division 701 Laurel Street Menlo Park, CA 94025

Re: Removal of four (4) Canary Island Pines at 2140 Santa Cruz Avenue, Menlo Park

To whom it may concern,

We would like to submit a request to remove four *Pinus canariensis* trees located between the front building of Menlo Commons Apartments and the street, at 2140 Santa Cruz Avenue. Our client is concerned about debris and more branch failures affecting the new roof of the building the trees are adjacent to, and they want to mitigate any potential further damage. The trees are in poor to moderate health, and are located too close to the adjacent building. Our client intends to replace the trees, but a species has not yet been decided upon. We will alert you to their decision once the attached application has been processed.

Please accept this as a formal request to remove and replace the subject pines, and do not hesitate to contact me at 925.596.4214 with any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Evin Lambert

Certified Arborist # WE-9776A





Figure 1: Trees 54, 56, 58, 60, left to right (4 tallest in picture).

2337 AMERICAN AVE, HAYWARD, CA 94545
2949 EDISON WAY, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063
1592 LITTLE ORCHARD STREET, SAN JOSE, CA 95110
3207 FITZGERALD ROAD, RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95742
5601 EASTGATE DRIVE, SAN DIEGO, CA 92121
PACE AN OND WAY, SUITE 151, LAKE FOREST, CA 92630

OFFICE: (888) 969-8733 MAIN FAX: (510) 881-5208 WWW.ARBORWELL.COM



PAGE 11

**3**70



## **Heritage Tree Removal Permit Application**

This application must be submitted with the Arborist Form

Submit application forms to 701 Laurel Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025

Application No. HTP-2013 - 00150

Purpose of application: Removal X Pruning of more than 25%  Permit Fee: \$135.00 (each tree, up to 3 trees); \$90 each additional tree (separate forms required for each tree)		
PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY		
Site Address: 2140 Santa Cruz Avenue, Menlo Park		
Name of Applicant: Arborwell, Inc Evin Lambert	_Phone( <u>925) 596-4214</u> FAX <u>(510) 881-520</u> 8	
Mailing Address: 2337 American Ave., Hayward, 9	4545 Email: elambert@arborwell.com	
I (we) hereby agree to hold the City harmless from all costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by the City, including but not limited to, all cost in the City's defense of its actions in any proceeding brought in any State or Federal Court challenging the City's actions with respect to the proposed tree removals.		
Signature of property owner authorizing access and inspection of managing agent for Date:  Type of Tree: Pinus Canariensis Location on property:		
Reasons for Request:	OF WENE	
Please see attached arborist report.	CITY ON .	
IF TREE IS DAMAGING STRUCTURE PLEASE ATTACH PHOTOS DEMONSTRATING DAMAGE.		
Are you considering our constant of	1 (40 41 0 V 5 11 W	
Are you considering any construction on your property in the If yes, please submit additional information describing what type	the next 12 months? Yes □ No 区 e of construction is planned and a site plan.	
If yes, please submit additional information describing what type	e of construction is planned and a site plan.	
Tree may not be removed (or pruned over 25%) unle permission from the City as indicated below.	ess and until the applicant has received final available for inspection while the tree work is being	
<ul> <li>Tree may not be removed (or pruned over 25%) unle permission from the City as indicated below.</li> <li>The signed permit approval form must be on site ar performed.</li> <li>A suitable replacement tree, 15 gallon size or larger</li> </ul>	ess and until the applicant has received final available for inspection while the tree work is being	
<ul> <li>Tree may not be removed (or pruned over 25%) unle permission from the City as indicated below.</li> <li>The signed permit approval form must be on site ar performed.</li> <li>A suitable replacement tree, 15 gallon size or larger installed in the time frame indicated below.</li> </ul>	ess and until the applicant has received final available for inspection while the tree work is being	
<ul> <li>Tree may not be removed (or pruned over 25%) unle permission from the City as indicated below.</li> <li>The signed permit approval form must be on site ar performed.</li> <li>A suitable replacement tree, 15 gallon size or larger installed in the time frame indicated below.</li> </ul>	ess and until the applicant has received final and available for inspection while the tree work is being with a mature height of 30 feet or more, is to be	
Tree may not be removed (or pruned over 25%) unle permission from the City as indicated below. The signed permit approval form must be on site ar performed. A suitable replacement tree, 15 gallon size or larger installed in the time frame indicated below.	ess and until the applicant has received final and available for inspection while the tree work is being with a mature height of 30 feet or more, is to be	
Tree may not be removed (or pruned over 25%) unle permission from the City as indicated below. The signed permit approval form must be on site ar performed. A suitable replacement tree, 15 gallon size or larger installed in the time frame indicated below.  PLEASE DO NOT WRIT	ess and until the applicant has received final and available for inspection while the tree work is being with a mature height of 30 feet or more, is to be  TE BELOW THIS LINE	
Tree may not be removed (or pruned over 25%) unle permission from the City as indicated below. The signed permit approval form must be on site ar performed. A suitable replacement tree, 15 gallon size or larger installed in the time frame indicated below.  PERMIT APPROVED  TIMING OF REMOVAL  Upon receipt of this approved permit After applying for a Building Permit for associated	ess and until the applicant has received final and available for inspection while the tree work is being with a mature height of 30 feet or more, is to be  TE BELOW THIS LINE	



Site Address: 2140 SANTA CRUZ AVE., MP
ARBORIST INFORMATION: Name of Certified Arborist Evin Lambert
ISA or ASCA number: WE-9776A Menlo Park Business License number: 58352
Company: Arborwell, Inc.
Address: 2337 American Ave. Hayward, CA 94545
Phone: (925) 596-4214 FAX: (510) 81-5208 Email: elambert@arborwell.com
TREE INFORMATION:
Date of Inspection: 7 2 13
Common Name: CANARY ISLAND PINEBOTANICAL Name: PINUS CANARIENSIS
Location of Tree: ALONG FRONT OF BUILDING Height of Tree:
Diameter of tree at 54 inches above natural grade: 21.5 INCHES
Circumference of tree at 54 inches above natural grade 67.5"
Condition of Tree:  TREE #54 - MODERATE HEALTH, SOME DIEBACK, POOR STRUCTURE.
If recommending removal or pruning, please list all reasons: (INCLUDING BRANCH FAILURES) TREES PRODUCE LARGE AMOUNTS OF DEBRIS, WHICH HAS AN ADVERSE
EFFECT ON NEW ROOFS, AS WELL AS INCREASED MAINTENANCE COSTS.
WE RECOMMEND REMOVAL BEFORE FURTHER DAMAGE IS SUSTAINED.
Suggested Replacement Tree:
Signature of Arborist:



Site Address: 2140 SANTA CRUZ AVE., MP
ARBORIST INFORMATION: Name of Certified Arborist Evin Lambert
ISA or ASCA number: WE-9776A Menlo Park Business License number: 58352
Company: Arborwell, Inc.
Address: 2337 American Ave. Hayward, CA 94545
Phone: (925) 596-4214 FAX: (510) 81-5208 Email: elambert@arborwell.com
TREE INFORMATION:
Date of Inspection: 7 2 13
Common Name: CANARY ISLAND PINE Botanical Name: PINUS CANARIENSIS
Location of Tree: ALONG FRONT OF BUILDING Height of Tree: 2 75 FEET
Diameter of tree at 54 inches above natural grade: 23.5 INGHES
Circumference of tree at 54 inches above natural grade 14"
Condition of Tree:
TREE #56 - MODERATE HEALTH, SOME DIEBACK, THIN CANOPY.
, , ,
If recommending removal or pruning, please list <u>all</u> reasons: PLEASE SEE PREVIOUS APPLICATION.
Suggested Replacement Tree:
TBD
Signature of Arborist: Date: 7/3/13





2140 SANTA CRUZ AVE., MP
ARBORIST INFORMATION: Name of Certified Arborist
ISA or ASCA number: WE-9776A Menlo Park Business License number: 58352
Company:Arborwell, Inc.
Address: 2337 American Ave. Hayward, CA 94545
Phone: (925) 596-4214 FAX: (510) 81-5208 Email: elambert@arborwell.com
TREE INFORMATION:
Date of Inspection: 7213
Common Name: CANARY ISLAND PINE Botanical Name: PINUS CANARIENSIS
Location of Tree: ALONG FRONT OF BUILDING Height of Tree: 270 FEET
Diameter of tree at 54 inches above natural grade: 27.5 INCHES
Circumference of tree at 54 inches above natural grade 70.5 "
Condition of Tree: TREE # 58 - POOR TO FAIR HEALTH, MODERATE DIEBACK, THIN CANOP
If recommending removal or pruning, please list <u>all</u> reasons: PLEASE SEE PREVIOUS APPLICATION.
Suggested Replacement Tree:
Signature of Arborist:



Site Address: 2/40 SANTA CRUZ AVE., MP
ARBORIST INFORMATION: Name of Certified Arborist Evin Lambert
ISA or ASCA number: WE-9776A Menlo Park Business License number: 58352
Company:Arborwell, Inc.
Address: 2337 American Ave. Hayward, CA 94545
Phone: (925) 596-4214 FAX: (510) 81-5208 Email: elambert@arborwell.com
TREE INFORMATION:  Date of Inspection: 7 2 13
Common Name: CANARY ISLAND PINE Botanical Name: PINUS CANARIENSIS
Location of Tree: ALONG FRONT OF BUILDING Height of Tree: 270 FEET
Diameter of tree at 54 inches above natural grade: 22.5 ואכאב
Circumference of tree at 54 inches above natural grade 70.5"
Condition of Tree: TREE # 60 - MODERATE HEALTH, SOME DIEBACK
If recommending removal or pruning, please list <u>all</u> reasons: PLEASE SEE PREVIOUS APPLICATION.
Suggested Replacement Tree:
Signature of Arborist:

Address: 2140 SANTA CRV2 AVE Permit # HT22013-00159
Type of tree: CANARY ISLAND PINE #54
Private property Yes 🗵 No 🗌 Residential 🗎 Commercial 🖾 MEALD
Structure 600 Approximate Height 70 Commons
Health Diameter (at 4 feet)
Overall 600
Observations:  Mainstem (s) STRONG CENTRAL LEADER, APPEARS STRUCTURAUT
Other branches WELL-BALANCED CANDAY.
Roots NO VISIBLE CONCERN WITH ROOTS.
Cavities No VISIBLE CAULTISS.
Decay NO VISIBLE DECAY.
Growth NORMAL GROWTH HABIT FOR SPECIES.
Conditions around tree IRILLATOS SLOPE, ABOUT B'FRAM FOOD,
Other heritage trees nearby CANARY ISLAND PINTS, DAK
Other comments SCROON/BUFFOR BTWN FOAD & BUILDING.
Category (check one):
☐ Structural problem       ☐ Property Damage         ☐ Possibly hazardous       ☐ Construction related         ☐ Diseased       ☐ Emergency         ☐ Dead (or nearly dead)       ☐ Other
Conclusions:
Permit Approved  No Permit decision at this time. Further evaluation by the City is recommended.
Signed City Arborist. Date 7.19.13

Address: 2140 SANTACKUL AVE Permit # HTR2013-00159
Type of tree: CANARY ISLAND PINE #56
Private property Yes No Residential Commercial MENLO
Structure 600 Approximate Height 70 Common(S
Health Diameter (at 4 feet)
Overall
Observations:  Mainstem (s) _STRONG CENTRAL LEADER, APROARS STRUCTURAWY SOU
Other branches WELL BALANCED CARBOT
Roots No USIBLE CONCERN WITH ROOTS.
Cavities NO VISIBLE CONCERN WITH CAVITIES.
Decay NO VISIBLE DECAY.
Growth Norman GROWTH HABIT FR SPECIES.
Conditions around tree IFH 64 FD SUPE, ABOUT B'FBM fort,
Other heritage trees nearby CANARY ISLAND PINTS LAK
Other comments TRE ONGATES SCREEN/BUFFER BTWN ROAD
Category (check one):
Structural problem Property Damage Possibly hazardous Construction related Diseased Emergency Dead (or nearly dead) Other
Conclusions:
<ul> <li>Permit Approved</li> <li>No Permit decision at this time. Further evaluation by the City is recommended.</li> </ul>
Signed City Arborist. Date 7:19:13

PAGE 18

Address: 2140 SANTACKUZ AVE Permit # HTR2013-00159
Type of tree: CANARY ISLAND PINE #58
Private property Yes No  Residential Commercial MENLO
Structure 6000 Approximate Height 701 Commons
Health 6000 Diameter (at 4 feet) 22.51
Overall 6000
Observations:  Mainstem (s) STRONG CENTRAL LEADER, APPEARS STRUCTURAUM
Other branches WELL-BALANCED CANORY. Sour
Roots NO VISIBLE CONCERN WITH ROSTS.
Cavities No VISIBLE CAVITIES.
Decay No VISIBLE DECAT.
Growth NORMAL GROWTH HABIT FOR SPECIOS.
Conditions around tree IRABATAD SUPE, ABOUT B' From Roaf.
Other heritage trees nearby CANARY ISLAND PLUTS, DAK
Other comments TROCKDATOS SCROON/BUFFER BTWN BAD9
Category (check one):
Structural problem Possibly hazardous Diseased Dead (or nearly dead) Property Damage Construction related Emergency Other
Conclusions:
Permit Approved  No Permit decision at this time. Further evaluation by the City is recommended.
Signed City Arborist. Date 7.19.13

ı	Address: 2140 SANTACKUZ AVE Permit # HTR2013-00159
	Type of tree: CANARY ISLAND PINE #60
Ī	Private property Yes 💢 No 🗌 Residential 💢 Commercial 💢 MENLO
5	Structure 6000 Approximate Height 70 CommonS
ŀ	Health 6000 Diameter (at 4 feet) 11.5
(	Overall 600
	Observations:
	Dither branches LRU-BALANCED CANDPY, EVEN FOURLE DISTRIBUTION & COLD
	Roots NO VISIBLE CONCERN WITH ROOTS.
	Cavities No VISIBLE CAVITISS.
	Decay NO VISIBLE DECAY.
(	Growth NOFMAL GROWTH HABIT FL SPECIES.
	Conditions around tree IRRIBATED SLOPE - TRUNK IS ABOUT B FROM ROOT
C	Other heritage trees nearby CANAFY (SLAND PINES, DAK
C	Other comments TREECREATS SCREEN/BUFFER BTWN BOAD & BUILDING
C	Category (check one):
	Structural problem Possibly hazardous Diseased Dead (or nearly dead) Property Damage Construction related Emergency Other
C	Conclusions:
	<ul><li>Permit Approved</li><li>No Permit decision at this time. Further evaluation by the City is recommended.</li></ul>
	GE 20 City Arborist. Date 7.19.13
$\Gamma$	GL 20



### Public Works Department

July 19, 2013

Evin Lambert Arborwell, Inc. 2337 American Ave Hayward, CA 94545

Subject: Application to remove four Heritage Trees at 2140 Santa Cruz Ave

Dear Evin Lambert,

This letter is to inform you that the City has received and reviewed the application you submitted for the removal of four Canary Island pines at 2140 Santa Cruz Ave. There is no contact information listed on your application for the resident, please relay the following message.

All four trees are denied for removal. All the trees are structurally sounds and in good health. Concerns related to debris on the roof can be addressed through proper pruning and tree maintenance.

You may appeal this decision to the Environmental Quality Commission by submitting a request in writing, within 15 days of the date of this letter. A fee of \$200 for the first tree and \$100 for each of the other three trees shall be due at the time of appeal. For further information regarding the City's action on this Heritage Tree removal request or the appeal process, please feel free to contact the Environmental Programs Specialist, Vanessa Marcadejas at (650) 330-6768.

Sincerely,

Brian Henry City Arborist

Public Works Department

Cc: Vanessa Marcadejas, Environmental Programs Specialist

### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Charles M. Kinney 2140 Santa Cruz Avenue E-306 Menlo Park, CA 94025 650-854-5554 m-ckinney@sbcglobal.net

June 20, 2013

Mr. Brian Henry City of Menlo Park Arborist 701 Laurel Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025

Re: Removal of 4 Heritage Canary Island Pines
Menlo Commons 2140 Santa Cruz Avenue, Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dear Mr. Henry,

On June 17, 2013, the Menlo Commons HOA Board voted 3-2 in favor of removing 4 large heritage trees, Canary Island Pines, located between Building B and Santa Cruz Avenue. These trees were first planted in the late 1970's, (40 + years old), when the Menlo Commons development was built. The apparent reasons given for the trees removal were the needle droppings that create maintenance issues and the potential fire hazard for anyone cooking outside on their decks with needles cascading downward or needles having accumulated on their exterior decks. Also, an eleven year old decision, by a previous Board to have these same trees removed and replaced with other pine trees was noted but could not be verified. The replacement pine trees have been planted a number of years ago in front and down slope of the Pine trees in question immediately to the rear of a retaining wall along the Santa Cruz Avenue sidewalk. They do not appear to be doing well. Further, Arborwell, the arborist company that gave a bid for the 4 trees to be removed, misspoke when reviewing the trees noting that these trees were approximately 70 years old and at the end of their lifetime.

From my vantage point, having a master's degree in landscape architecture and an undergraduate degree in architecture and having been a State of California licensed landscape architect with professional design experience in 100's of projects both in the USA and Europe, I believe these pine trees should not be removed. Their presents help to offset the impacts of Santa Cruz Avenue and give a significant visual appearance for Menlo Commons, in particular Building B, in a context of being located adjacent to a very busy roadway. If these trees were to be removed Menlo Commons, in particular Building B, would be highly more impacted both visually and acoustically by the road traffic along Santa Cruz Avenue. When reviewing the application for the removal of these 4 heritage trees I would appreciate if you would take the above comments into consideration. Please contact me if you have any questions I may be able to answer in your decision making process. .

Sincerely,
Charles M. Kinney

Charles M. Kinney

### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



City of Menlo Park Environmental Quality Commission 701 Laurel Street Menlo Park, CA 94025

Re: Removal of four (4) Canary Island Pines at 2140 Santa Cruz Avenue, Menlo Park

To whom it may concern,

We recently submitted a request to remove four *Pinus canariensis* trees located between the front building of Menlo Commons Apartments and the street, at 2140 Santa Cruz Avenue. Our application for a permit was denied, as we omitted some information regarding the fact that the roots of the subject pines are lifting the foundation of the adjacent building and damaging the wall of a parking garage nearby. This information was not evident to me at the time of inspection, but was brought to my attention after I submitted the application prematurely. Our client is very concerned about the costly repairs to the foundation and parking garage wall that will inevitably be incurred should the trees remain in place.

Please accept this as a formal request to appeal the decision to deny the removal of the subject pines, and do not hesitate to contact me at 925.596.4214 with any questions or concerns. Thank you.

Sincerely.

Evin Lambert

Certified Arborist # WE-9776A

CITYOFMENLOPARK

REC'D AUG 0.2 2013

### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### CHARLES M. KINNEY 2140 SANTA CRUZ AVENUE E-306 MENLO PARK, CA 94025

650-854-5554 m-ckinney@sbcglobal.net

September 3, 2013

Ms. Vanessa Marcadejas Environmental Specialist Environmental Quality Commission 701 Laurel Street Menlo Park, CA 94025



Re: Removal of four (4) Canary island Pines at 2140 Santa Cruz Ave., Menlo Park

Dear Commission Members,

I reviewed the July 22, 2013 letter which the EQC received from Ms. Evin Lambert of Arborwell, professional tree management company, concerning the above topic. Arborwell claims: that the subject pines "are lifting the foundation of the adjacent building and damaging the wall of a parking garage nearby." And "Our client is very concerned about the costly repairs to the foundation and parking garage wall that will inevitably be incurred should the trees remain in place." These claims are totally without merit and are untrue. I recommend if you have the time do visit the site and see for yourselves.

The trees were planted as part of this residential development project some 38 years ago in their present location. Visually checking the walls in the garage I found two very short, 24 inch long hair line very thin cracks along a 100 foot length of garage wall, but no major cracks or indications of any structural cracks leading to the belief of the upheaval of the wall is emanate. The trees are approximately centered 20 feet in front of this garage wall identified in the Arborwell letter of July 22<sup>nd</sup> and 20 feet behind a stone retaining wall located along the sidewalk of Santa Cruz Ave. in front of the trees in question. This stone wall does not exhibit any cracks and is not uneven or showing any signs of upheaval the same as the garage wall. Thirty eight years is a long time in which the impact of tree root infiltration could take place and yet there is none. When and if, you do visit the site, please review the condition of both walls.

While I am a resident of Menlo Commons and am on the HOA Board of Directors and voted against the removal of these trees please note that I am communicating with you as a resident of Menlo Park who has a master's degree in landscape architecture with a continuing interest in our community, especially trees. I agree with the findings of the July 19<sup>th</sup> letter from Mr. Brian Henry, Menlo Park City Arborist, to Arborwell, that found, "All the trees are structurally sound and in good health. Concerns related to debris on the roof can be addressed through proper pruning and tree maintenance".

Sincerely,

Charles M. Kinney

Charles M. K may

### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK