Page 1 CITY OF MENLO PARK PLANNING COMMISSION REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS MONDAY, MAY 7, 2012 MENLO PARK CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS Reported by: MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR RPR License No. 5527

800-331-9029

emerickfinch@emerickfinch.com

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24 25	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	$\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 7 \\ 18 \\ 9 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 25 \\ \end{matrix}$	NETING SUMMARY (re Facebook Discussion) Page Staff Presentation by Ms. Grossman 13 Commission Questions of Staff 00 Public Comments (See speakers below) 60 Dublic SPEAKERS 01 Staff Andreak Kashiwagi 63 Milliam Webster 63 Milliam Webster 71
1	<page-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></page-header>	1	So over to on the update, Kyle or Justin.
2		2	MR. MURPHY: Yes. We just have an update
3		3	on two items. One is the El Camino Real Downtown
4		4	Specific Plan.
5		5	So that is scheduled to go in front of the
6		6	City Council on June 5th, and we are at the process of
7		7	preparing the minutes of the Planning Commission meeting
8		8	last week, and so that's on track.
9		9	And then the second item is a update on the
10		10	Housing Element. There's going to be a City Council
11		11	Study Session tomorrow night here in the Council
12		12	Chambers I believe that starts at 5:30 where there
13		13	will be a kind of a panel format with three people in
14		14	attendance to provide updates from a kind of a state and
15		15	regional perspective in terms of housing elements.
16		16	So that's tomorrow evening starting at 5:30
17		17	and we'll finish by 7:00.
18		18	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Justin.
19		19	All right. Public comments. Under the
20		20	first set of public comments, the public may address the
21		21	Commission on any subject not listed on the agenda within
22		22	the jurisdiction of the Commission.
23		23	When you do so, please state your name and
24		24	city or political jurisdiction in which you live for the
25		25	record.

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

1 The Commission cannot respond to any non-	1 CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Okay.
2 agendized items other than to receive testimony and/or	2 MR. MURPHY: Do you recall that there were
3 provide general information.	3 indeed modifications that evening or not sure? We can
4 Is anyone here that would like to give	4 follow it up.
5 public comment on a non-agendized item?	5 COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I don't remember
6 Seeing none, moving right along. Consent	6 whether there were.
7 calendar. Items on the consent calendar are routine in	7 MR. MURPHY: But we can reconcile it one
8 nature, and we just have one. We have the approval of	8 way or the other.
9 minutes from April 2nd, 2012 Planning Commission meeting,	9 CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Yeah. I see what
10 and anybody want to yes.	10 you're talking about, Jack, and you're right. There's a
11 COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I have a couple of	11 colon and then no information.
12 comments to make.	12 So yes, if you could just reconcile the
13 CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Commissioner	13 minutes, that would be great.
14 O'Malley.	14 So could I have a motion to approve the
15 COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: On page 2, under	15 April 2nd, 2012 Planning Commission minutes with the
16 action 2, top of the page, which reads: "Approval of	16 knowledge that that will be corrected, the reconciliation
17 minutes from the March 5th, 2012 Planning Commission	17 of the missing
18 meeting."	18 COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: So moved.
19 Under the Commission Action, it has	19 CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you.
20 "unanimous consent to approve the following	20 COMMISSIONER RIGGS: And I'll second.
21 modifications" and the modifications are not there.	21 CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you,
22 CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: They're not listed	22 Commissioner Riggs, second.
23 in the minutes?	23 And all those in favor, please raise your
24 COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: They're not	24 hand. That's and all those against. All those
25 listed.	25 abstaining. All right. Commissioner Bressler abstains.
Page 6	Page 7
1 Commissioner Riggs, O'Malley, Kadvany and Ferrick	1 CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Oh, microphone.
2 approve.	2 COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I turned it off.
3 All right. Moving right along, we'll go to	3 One question. On that street, are there
4 our first oh, you know what? I did actually write a	4 any any units that have to pay in-lieu sales tax?
5 note to myself. I wanted to note that the minutes have	5 MR. PERATA: Not to my knowledge. I don't
6 been really, really good of late. I just overall, 7 devices here fortestic is the last even on an	6 believe there are any. 7 COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Okay, Thank you
7 they've been fantastic in the last year or so.	
8 So thank you for whoever is diligently	8 CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Thank
9 listening and doing these minutes.	9 you.
10 Public hearing. Item number 1, a Use	10 Any other questions before we hear from the
11 Permit for 1155 Crane Street. This is a request for a	11 applicant?
12 use permit to locate a tutoring facility consisting of an	12 All right. Seeing none, if the applicant
13 individual and small group tutoring sessions within an	13 would like to come up and let us know about this, that
14 existing single story building located within the C-3	14 would be great.
15 Central Commercial Zoning District.	15 MR. ANDRIKOPUOLOS: Hi. I'm Aaron
16 The property the proposed use to occupy	16 Andrikopoulos. I'm one of the managing members of A.J.
17 two adjacent suites within the building, and Kyle, do you	17 Tutoring and we currently operate offices in Palo Alto
18 want to any additional information on that we should	18 off of California Avenue with Saratoga right in the
19 know about?	19 downtown area there and in San Jose.
20 MR. PERATA: Thank you. Staff has no	20 We do work with a lot of schools throughout
21 additional comments at this time, but we'd be happy to	21 the Peninsula. Probably worked with about 500 to 700
22 answer any questions.	22 families last year and a lot of students in the Menlo
23 CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Any questions to	23 Park community, and we're really, you know, looking
24 staff? Commissioner O'Malley.	24 forward to the opportunity to possibly join your
25 COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Just one question.	25 community and be a long time, you know, contributor to
Page 8	Page 9

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

800-331-9029

1	the City.	1	have a similar situation in Palo Alto with Paly High
2	And I'm happy to answer any questions or	2	School, and they will often walk over in those periods
3	anything.	3	and do their tutoring during the school day, which is
4	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Okay. Thank you so	4	very convenient.
5	much.	5	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Thank
6	Anybody have any questions for the	6	you.
7	applicant?	7	All right. Thank you. Just stay close by
8	All right. Seeing none, I just wanted to	8	for potential further questions, but at this point, I'd
9	note that you have that you noted most of your	9	like to take it out for public comment.
10	students that come in for tutoring are either they all	10	If there's anyone here that wants to
11	drive or bike or they get dropped off by their parents.	11	comment on this item.
12	That's and you're under your	12	I am not seeing any, so I guess we'll just
13	understanding that most of those people would be happy	13	move along to the Commission for discussion.
14	in if you were located in a downtown location?	14	Anybody have any motions to make?
15	MR. ANDRIKOPOULOS: Yeah. Our other	15	Questions, comments.
16	offices, two of them are in downtown locations, and	16	Commissioner Riggs.
17	parents find it really convenient.	17	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you.
18	The lessons are an hour and a half. It's	18	Kyle, I just want to check that this
19	kind of an awkward time, you know, to drop off when	19	application was considered in light of the adjacency to
20	they're coming right back. So they can stay and hang	20	lot two, and therefore the presumed downtown activity
21	out.	21	in in relationship to retail.
22	Also the location here, we've worked with a	22	MR. PERATA: Can you I'm kind of lost
23	lot of students with Sacred Hart and Menlo Schools, which	23	on your question. Adjacency to lot two.
24	are, you know, two blocks away.	24	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Did staff took a
25	So it's within walking distance, and we	25	particular look at this application and the way in which
2.5	-	2.5	
	Page 10		Page 11
1	it would relate to and possibly reinforce retail.	1	there's no further discussion, I'd be happy to take a
2	MR. PERATA: Correct.	2	vote.
3	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: All right. Thank	3	Jack, did you have something more you
4	you.	4	wanted to add?
5	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Anybody	5	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: No. Great
6	else? Jack.	6	avocation.
7	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Would you like a	7	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Great.
8	motion?	8	Let's go ahead and vote. All that is in favor, please
9	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: I would.	9	raise your right.
10	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I make the motion		That's five commissioners in favor.
11	that we approve the recommendations by staff in the staff	11	Commissioners Eiref and Yu are absent, and
12	report.	12	congratulation. Welcome to Menlo Park.
13	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So that	13	All right. Moving along, Conditional
14	would be to make the finding that this project is	14	this one is what most of us, I believe, are are here
14	categorically exempt under class one of the current	14	for.
16	California CEQA guidelines, and that we'll make the	16	Conditional Development Permit Amendment,
17	findings that the zoning ordinance pertaining to granting	17	Development Agreement for Facebook, Inc., 1601 Willow
18	of Use Permits is fine, and that we'll approve the Use	18	Road East Campus and Heritage Tree Removal Permit and
10 19	Permit following subject to the following standard	19	Environmental Review of Facebook, Inc., East Campus and
20	conditions, and there's four listed, A through D in the	20	312 and 313 Constitution Drive, West Campus.
20	staff report page 3, and I'll second that.		Rachel.
21 22		21 22	
22	Vince.		MS. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Good evening,
23 24	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I was just going	23	Commission members and the public. It's good to be here
	to second it.	24	this evening.
25	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Okay, great. If	25	Just to give an to assist with all $D_{2} = 2 = 1.2$
	Page 12		Page 13

^{4 (}Pages 10 to 13)

-			
1	questions, after my presentation and after the applicant	1	improvements, which I'll touch on further along.
2	and public speaks, on my left is our Development Services	2	Also specific to both the East and West
3	Manager, Justin Murphy. We also have our Assistant City	3	Campuses is certification of the Final Environmental
4	Attorney, Leigh Prince here, our Public Works Director,	4	Impact Report, and then finally moving back to an East
5	Chip Taylor, and then from the environmental consultant,	5	Campus only item is the adoption of Statement of
6	we have Erin Efner and Kirsten Chapman with Atkins and	6	Overriding Considerations and the mitigation monitoring
7	Paul Stanis with DKS, who are behind me at this moment if	7	and reporting program, and again I'll detail attachment
8	we have questions for them.	8	and I'll walk through some of these items.
9	Technology's working.	9	And before I do that, just to kind of
10	So why are we here this evening? The	10	remind us of where we come, we haven't been before the
11	purpose of this evening is to review and make	11	Planning Commission since January 9th of this year, and
12	recommendations to the City Council on a number of items	12	there's been quite a bit that's occurred since that time,
13	for all details in attachment C of the staff report, and	13	and for a full list of the milestones that have occurred
14	you'll notice as I go through this evening, I'm going to	14	since April of last year, which will serve as with the
15	be making a lot of references to attachments and	15	release of the Notice of Preparation, you can refer to
16	locations in the staff report just given the the large	16	attachment C in your staff report.
17	volume of paper that you all received this evening and to	17	But since the meeting on the 9th of this
18	help the public actually walk through these, as well.	18	year, the City Council as you know met on the 10th the
19	So the first item you'll be taking actions	19	following day to consider a request for extensions of the
20	on is specific to the East Campus and includes amended	20	public comment period, which they did approve to January
21	and restated Conditional Development Permit.	21	30th, and during that public comment period, we did
22	The next is specific to the East Campus, as	22	receive fifty letters of comments on the Draft EIR which
23	well, a Development a Development Agreement, and then	23	we're responded to in the Final EIR.
24	specific to both the East and West Campuses is heritage	24	The Council held a Study Session on January
25	tree removal associated with the undercrossing	25	31st to discuss the project at the Draft Environmental
	Page 14		Page 15
		<u> </u>	
1	Impact Report and the Draft Environmental Impact Analysis	1	Development, most germane to what we're talking about
2	and we answered any questions they had.	2	with the Restated Amended Amended Conditional
3	And then on Valentine's Day, February 14th,	3	Development Permit is the employee cap of 3,600 employees
4	the City Council provided the negotiating team on the	4	and the associated requirement for a 25 percent reduction
5	parameters for the Development Agreement, and then over a	5	in trips via Transportation Demand Management Program or
6	course of approximately ten weeks, the City Negotiating	6	TDM as you all have seen a lot of this evening.
7	Team had negotiating meetings with the applicant and came	7	So what the amended and restated CDP
8	out with a term sheet which was endorsed by the City	8	would would include would be a vehicular trip cap to
9	Council at a 5-0 vote at their meeting on April 17th.	9	accommodate an increase of employees, and we've talked
10	And I did share with the Commission this	10	about this before, but just to remind the members of the
11	evening late that staff report as well as the	11	Commission and the public, this vehicular trip cap
12	presentation for that, and we have it here this evening	12	includes an AM and PM peak period trip cap of 2,600
13	if you have follow-up questions.	13	trips, as well as a daily trip cap of 15,000 trips.
14	And then finally as you may have seen in	14	And the the trip cap enforcement
15	the media, the City of East Palo Alto also has an	15	monitoring enforcement policy is included as an
16	agreement with the applicant with Facebook, and that term	16	attachment to your staff report, as well, which has been
17	sheet was approved by the East Palo Alto City Council	17	somewhat refined since our last meeting. Specifically
18	last week on May 1st.	18	the penalty information has been included to that for any
19	And so for this evening, I'm going to just	19	violations of the trip cap.
20	walk through the five items before you for recommendation	20	And in the CDP, if you've had the
21	this evening, the first of which is consideration of an	21	opportunity to look through it, just remember the public
22	amended and restating Conditional Development Permit.	22	to have better understanding, this includes specific
23	So first of all, the basis for where we're	23	development standards, such a maximum height, floor areas
24	coming in is Sun Conditional Development Permit which was	24	ratios, lot coverage, and again most of the East Campus
25	granted in 1992, and specific to that Conditional	25	is is built out entirely, and the only construction
	Page 16		Page 17
		1	= = = 9 0 = + 7

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

1	that will be occurring as part of this project will be	1	the work that will be done.
2	the undercrossing improvement, but those are included in	2	As discussed in the staff report, the
3	the Conditional Development Permit, and as well as	3	undercrossing improvements have three phases. The first
4	permitted uses and conditions of approval.	4	phase is with the development of the East Campus. The
5	And there's three types of conditional	5	second phase would be during the construction of the West
6	approval, those relative to the project that are very	6	Campus and then the final phase would be for occupancy of
7	specific. Those are more related to the undercrossing	7	the West Campus, and what we'll be focusing on tonight is
8	improvements, although there will actually than be	8	the requirements for the East Campus that would come
9	construction, and then finally, all of the mitigation	9	forward if the project is approved by the City Council.
10	measures are included in the Conditional Development	10	And so what we're seeing here is so the
11	Program.	11	undercrossing is existing, and in the undercrossing, they
12	And this included in attachment F of the	12	would maintain the existing undercrossing and actually
13	staff report for anybody that's following along here.	13	leave the red line spot as the location that would
14	And then moving on, one of the things I	14	potentially in the future, if and when the West Campus
15	wanted to bring up this evening. We have spoken before	15	development develops, that is where the people will be
16	about the undercrossing improvements, and for those who	16	located.
17	are not familiar with the undercrossing, that is the	17	But for this first phase, it would just
18	undercrossing of Bayfront Expressway or Highway 84.	18	have improvement for the bike/pedestrian access and the
19	This was something that was evaluated and	19	undercrossing.
20	any potential impacts resulting in those construction	20	And there would be associated improvements
21	were evaluated in the Environment Impact Report prepared	21	on the East Campus creating a linkage to the Bay Trail as
22	for the project.	22	well as an improved linkage to the East Campus.
23	But we did not yet have these conceptual	23	And then on the West Campus, there will be
24	plans. So these are included in attachment B to the	24	connectivity to Willow, Willow Road, both at the signalized
25	staff report and I just kind of want to highlight some of	25	intersection and out on to Willow Road heading back
	Page 18		Page 19
1	towards the freeway.	1	would be \$800,000 per year. Year six through ten, that
2	As part of these improvements, there would	2	escalates to nine hundred thousand. Years eleven through
3	also be lighting improvements for pedestrian and cyclist	3	fifteen, that escalates to a million dollars, and after
4	safety as well as landscape enhancements that would be	4	that, it esca it's adjusted annually above million
5	consistent with plan list provided to staff and the	5	dollars based upon changes in the Consumer Price index.
6	applicant from Save the Bay.		
	applicant from Save the Day.	6	And the important thing to note about that
7	•	6 7	· •
7 8	And the Development Agreement, again we've		And the important thing to note about that is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees.
	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement	7	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees.
8	And the Development Agreement, again we've	7 8	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy
8 9	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating	7 8 9	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I
8 9 10	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project.	7 8 9 10	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did
8 9 10 11	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the	7 8 9 10 11	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may
8 9 10 11 12	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was	7 8 9 10 11 12	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's
8 9 10 11 12 13	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the framework for the creation of the Development Agreement,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's Development Agreement.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the framework for the creation of the Development Agreement, which is a long forty plus page legal document that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's Development Agreement. So they're still being addressed, so there
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the framework for the creation of the Development Agreement, which is a long forty plus page legal document that implements these terms of the term sheet, which is a	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's Development Agreement. So they're still being addressed, so there might be some minor changes in the Development Agreement
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the framework for the creation of the Development Agreement, which is a long forty plus page legal document that implements these terms of the term sheet, which is a eight-page document, which is a little bit easier to kind	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's Development Agreement. So they're still being addressed, so there might be some minor changes in the Development Agreement that is presented to the City Council as a result of
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the framework for the creation of the Development Agreement, which is a long forty plus page legal document that implements these terms of the term sheet, which is a eight-page document, which is a little bit easier to kind of wade through. The Draft Development Agreement is included	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's Development Agreement. So they're still being addressed, so there might be some minor changes in the Development Agreement that is presented to the City Council as a result of that.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the framework for the creation of the Development Agreement, which is a long forty plus page legal document that implements these terms of the term sheet, which is a eight-page document, which is a little bit easier to kind of wade through. The Draft Development Agreement is included as attachment I of the staff report, and the public	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's Development Agreement. So they're still being addressed, so there might be some minor changes in the Development Agreement that is presented to the City Council as a result of that. And the next thing I'd like the touch on is
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the framework for the creation of the Development Agreement, which is a long forty plus page legal document that implements these terms of the term sheet, which is a eight-page document, which is a little bit easier to kind of wade through. The Draft Development Agreement is included as attachment I of the staff report, and the public benefits are summarized on page 7 of the staff report.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's Development Agreement. So they're still being addressed, so there might be some minor changes in the Development Agreement that is presented to the City Council as a result of that. And the next thing I'd like the touch on is the heritage tree removals that would be necessary in order to construct the undercrossing improvements, and
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the framework for the creation of the Development Agreement, which is a long forty plus page legal document that implements these terms of the term sheet, which is a eight-page document, which is a little bit easier to kind of wade through. The Draft Development Agreement is included as attachment I of the staff report, and the public benefits are summarized on page 7 of the staff report. A couple of the highlights based on	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's Development Agreement. So they're still being addressed, so there might be some minor changes in the Development Agreement that is presented to the City Council as a result of that. And the next thing I'd like the touch on is the heritage tree removals that would be necessary in order to construct the undercrossing improvements, and there's a total of you will see it's hard to see on
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the framework for the creation of the Development Agreement, which is a long forty plus page legal document that implements these terms of the term sheet, which is a eight-page document, which is a little bit easier to kind of wade through. The Draft Development Agreement is included as attachment I of the staff report, and the public benefits are summarized on page 7 of the staff report. A couple of the highlights based on Commissioner questions today. One of the requirements in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's Development Agreement. So they're still being addressed, so there might be some minor changes in the Development Agreement that is presented to the City Council as a result of that. And the next thing I'd like the touch on is the heritage tree removals that would be necessary in order to construct the undercrossing improvements, and there's a total of you will see it's hard to see on the screen. I'm going to try to highlight it.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the framework for the creation of the Development Agreement, which is a long forty plus page legal document that implements these terms of the term sheet, which is a eight-page document, which is a little bit easier to kind of wade through. The Draft Development Agreement is included as attachment I of the staff report, and the public benefits are summarized on page 7 of the staff report. A couple of the highlights based on Commissioner questions today. One of the requirements in the Development Agreement is for an in-lieu tax payment	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's Development Agreement. So they're still being addressed, so there might be some minor changes in the Development Agreement that is presented to the City Council as a result of that. And the next thing I'd like the touch on is the heritage tree removals that would be necessary in order to construct the undercrossing improvements, and there's a total of you will see it's hard to see on the screen. I'm going to try to highlight it. We have two heritage trees over here on the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the framework for the creation of the Development Agreement, which is a long forty plus page legal document that implements these terms of the term sheet, which is a eight-page document, which is a little bit easier to kind of wade through. The Draft Development Agreement is included as attachment I of the staff report, and the public benefits are summarized on page 7 of the staff report. A couple of the highlights based on Commissioner questions today. One of the requirements in the Development Agreement is for an in-lieu tax payment and it increases incrementally.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's Development Agreement. So they're still being addressed, so there might be some minor changes in the Development Agreement that is presented to the City Council as a result of that. And the next thing I'd like the touch on is the heritage tree removals that would be necessary in order to construct the undercrossing improvements, and there's a total of you will see it's hard to see on the screen. I'm going to try to highlight it. We have two heritage trees over here on the East Campus. Only one would be removed as part of the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And the Development Agreement, again we've talked about the purpose of the Development Agreement before, but it's a legally binding contract delineating the terms and conditions of a project. And the approved term sheet, which was approved by the Council again on April 17th, is the framework for the creation of the Development Agreement, which is a long forty plus page legal document that implements these terms of the term sheet, which is a eight-page document, which is a little bit easier to kind of wade through. The Draft Development Agreement is included as attachment I of the staff report, and the public benefits are summarized on page 7 of the staff report. A couple of the highlights based on Commissioner questions today. One of the requirements in the Development Agreement is for an in-lieu tax payment	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is the tax in-lieu payment is applicable when the occupy exceeding 3,600 employees. And then there's a companion to that that I referred to previously. The City of East Palo Alto did approve a term sheet with Facebook, and so this may result in some changes or incorporation into the City's Development Agreement. So they're still being addressed, so there might be some minor changes in the Development Agreement that is presented to the City Council as a result of that. And the next thing I'd like the touch on is the heritage tree removals that would be necessary in order to construct the undercrossing improvements, and there's a total of you will see it's hard to see on the screen. I'm going to try to highlight it. We have two heritage trees over here on the

^{6 (}Pages 18 to 21)

1	trees would be removed on the West Campus as part of	1	resolutions included in attachment M to the staff report.
2	those improvements.	2	What I'd like the touch on briefly at this
3	Our city arborist did visit the site and	3	point is the key differences this is also highlighted
4	analyzed the trees and found that they were though	4	in the staff report between the Draft EIR and the
5	they were in fair and good condition, they were of	5	Final EIR that was released on April 23rd.
6	inferior species for this location and not been well	6	In the Final EIR, for anyone that's not
7	maintained over time.	7	familiar with it, includes responses to all of those
8	If you've had the opportunity to go to the	8	public comments that were received on the document as
9	project site, you'll notice all the suckers coming out of	9	well as any textual changes that were made to the Draft
10	the bottoms and they're just not in in good condition,	10	EIR, and those together are considered the Final EIR.
11	and as such, it is recommended approval for these	11	The first item I'd like to touch on is
12	removals.	12	changes to biological resources, and based upon public
13	Again, it's a total of eight trees on both	13	comments, there was further analysis conducted associated
14	the East and West Campus and 28 trees would be replaced	14	with tree removals on both East and West Campuses for the
15	as a requirement if these heritage tree removals are	15	undercrossing improvements, and though there had
16	approved.	16	previously been requirements for nesting bird surveys on
17	And those trees again, as with previous	17	the West Campus which were not included originally as a
18	landscape improvements, would be compliant with the Save	18	mitigation measure on the East Campus, so a new
19	the Bay planting recommendations.	19	mitigation measure has been added requiring nesting bird
20	So the next phase of the project that	20	surveys prior to any removal on the East Campus.
21	you'll be looking at this evening is certification of the	21	And in addition, additional analysis was
22	Final Environmental Impact Report for the Facebook campus	22	done to determine whether or not there was a potential
23	project, and as I indicated previously, this	23	for growing out on the West Campus project site.
24	certification applies to both phases of the project, both	24	It was found that the occurrence would be
25	the East and West Campus, and is included in the	25	highly unlikely, but in order to err on safety, a
	Page 22		Page 23
1	mitigation measure has been added requiring surveys prior	1	the impact to a less than significant impact, so it is
2	to any construction work on the West Campus.	2	still a significant and unavoidable impact.
3	In regards to the transportation, we	3	And finally, I just would like to conclude
4	actually had a public let a public comment letter from	4	that when we went through this process, we determined
5	the City of Palo Alto indicating that they felt perhaps	5	that there was no significant new environmental impacts
6	that the impact at Middlefield and Lytton was not	6	and there was no additional significant information and
7	significant and unavoidable and that perhaps we made an	7	no substantial increase in the severity of the
8	error in our analysis.	8	increased severity of any identified impacts
9	And when we did rerun those numbers, it was	9	previously, and so as such, there's no need to
10	determined that there was not a significant impact at	10	recirculate the document.
11	Middlefield and Lytton, so that's been removed as one of	11	Now, again, back to East Campus specific,
12	the significant impacts for intersections.	12	we're looking at the adoption of a Statement of
13	In addition, there has been some minor	13	Overriding Considerations and Mitigation Monitoring
14	changes to the mitigation measure at Middlefield and	14	Reporting Program, and the reason these are distinct from
15	March Marsh based upon comments and discussions with	15	the certification of the Final EIR is the Statement of
16	the Town of Atherton.	16	Overriding Considerations and the Mitigation Monitoring
17	And then finally with regards to air	17	Reporting Program are associated with the granting of
18	quality, based upon some comments, we evaluated	18	land use entitlement, so that's why we're looking
19	additional mitigation measures to try to reduce the	19	specifically at the East Campus this evening, because
20	reactive organic gases, nitrous oxide and particulate	20	that is the phase of the project that the applicant is
21	matter emissions, and we determined that one additional	21	seeking land use entitlements for.
22	mitigation measure was feasible, which includes the	22	So specifically the Statement of Overriding
23	installation of a cogenra combined heat and energy saving	23	Considerations is required to illustrate that the
24	device on building 11 on the East Campus, and that is	24	approval body has looked at the project and determined
25	included in the mitigation measure, but does not mitigate	25	that the project can outweigh any substantial adverse Page 25
	Page 24		

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

1	environmental impacts, and then the purpose of the	1	So as part of the revised Mitigation
2	Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program is to establish a	2	Monitoring Reporting Program, Statement of Overriding
3	responsibility and timing for all of those mitigation	3	Considerations and the revisions will be similarly be
4	measures associated with impacts on the East Campus.	4	made in the Conditional Development Permit.
5	And those two documents are included as	5	We will be adding the mitigation measures
6	attachment N, which is a resolution for adoption of the	6	for these three intersections, which includes Marsh Road/
7	Statement of Overriding Considerations and Mitigation	7	Bayfront Expressway, Marsh Road and US 101 northbound
8	Monitoring and Reporting Program, and then attachment O,	8	ramped, and Willow Road and Newbridge Street into these
9	which is a Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program.	9	documents.
10	So with those summary of the five actions	10	The next item I want to highlight, which was
11	that the Commission is looking at this evening, I just	11	provided to the the Planning Commission late this
12	wanted to highlight a couple of items that have changed	12	evening on the dias I apologize for the late nature;
13	since publication of the staff report last Monday.	13	we received this about 5:15 this evening was a comment
14	The first is an update to mitigation	14	letter from Caltrans on the Final EIR.
15	measures that will be included in the Statement of	15	Staff has not had the opportunity to review
16	Overriding Considerations and the Mitigation Monitoring	16	the letter in-depth. The applicant was provided with the
17	and Reporting Program, and this is not the result of the	17	letter this evening, but we will determine how to address
18	identification of any new impacts.	18	that letter and work with Caltrans to address any of
19	This is strictly the result of an	19	their concerns as we move forward.
20	oversight. These were supposed to be included in the	20	The next item is a piece of correspondence
21	MMRP or the Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program and	21	from Mr. William Webster that was sent to the East Palo
22	the Statement of Overriding Considerations, and we made a	22	Alto Council in regards to the term sheet negotiations
23	mistake and they're not in there, because we had actually	23	and is included. Again, you all were copied and there's
24	separated the mitigations from the East Campus and the	24	copies is back on the pile in the back of the room.
25	West Campus.	25	And then the final response to the Town of
	Page 26		Page 27
1	Adapted in the second s	1	
1	Atherton's comment letter. You all received the Town of	1	any technical questions that you may have about that.
2	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff	2	So moving on to next steps this evening,
2 3	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P,	2 3	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning
2 3 4	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this	2 3 4	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you
2 3 4 5	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening.	2 3 4 5	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to
2 3 4 5 6	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team	2 3 4 5 6	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special
2 3 4 5 6 7	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their	2 3 4 5 6 7	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting.
2 4 5 7 8	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting,
2 3 6 7 8 9	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight is that when we did the analysis under the California	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a resolution approval the amended and restated Conditional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight is that when we did the analysis under the California Environmental Quality Act, it was determined that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a resolution approval the amended and restated Conditional Development Permit, introduce an ordinance approving the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight is that when we did the analysis under the California Environmental Quality Act, it was determined that the mitigation measure that the City chose working with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a resolution approval the amended and restated Conditional Development Agreement, adopt a resolution approving the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight is that when we did the analysis under the California Environmental Quality Act, it was determined that the mitigation measure that the City chose working with the environmental consultant does fully mitigate the impact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a resolution approval the amended and restated Conditional Development Permit, introduce an ordinance approving the Development Agreement, adopt a resolution approving heritage tree removal and permits, adopt a resolution
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight is that when we did the analysis under the California Environmental Quality Act, it was determined that the mitigation measure that the City chose working with the environmental consultant does fully mitigate the impact of the project at that intersection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a resolution approval the amended and restated Conditional Development Agreement, adopt a resolution approving heritage tree removal and permits, adopt a resolution certifying the Final EIR and adopt a resolution adopting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight is that when we did the analysis under the California Environmental Quality Act, it was determined that the mitigation measure that the City chose working with the environmental consultant does fully mitigate the impact of the project at that intersection. The issues that Atherton are raising are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a resolution approval the amended and restated Conditional Development Agreement, adopt a resolution approving heritage tree removal and permits, adopt a resolution certifying the Final EIR and adopt a resolution adopting the Statement of Overriding Considerations and Mitigation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight is that when we did the analysis under the California Environmental Quality Act, it was determined that the mitigation measure that the City chose working with the environmental consultant does fully mitigate the impact of the project at that intersection. The issues that Atherton are raising are related to existing deficiencies at the intersection that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a resolution approval the amended and restated Conditional Development Permit, introduce an ordinance approving the Development Agreement, adopt a resolution approving heritage tree removal and permits, adopt a resolution certifying the Final EIR and adopt a resolution adopting the Statement of Overriding Considerations and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight is that when we did the analysis under the California Environmental Quality Act, it was determined that the mitigation measure that the City chose working with the environmental consultant does fully mitigate the impact of the project at that intersection. The issues that Atherton are raising are related to existing deficiencies at the intersection that are not the result of the project, and as such, there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a resolution approval the amended and restated Conditional Development Permit, introduce an ordinance approving the Development Agreement, adopt a resolution approving heritage tree removal and permits, adopt a resolution certifying the Final EIR and adopt a resolution adopting the Statement of Overriding Considerations and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program. And with that, I'll close my presentation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight is that when we did the analysis under the California Environmental Quality Act, it was determined that the mitigation measure that the City chose working with the environmental consultant does fully mitigate the impact of the project at that intersection. The issues that Atherton are raising are related to existing deficiencies at the intersection that are not the result of the project, and as such, there is no nexus to require the project to make that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a resolution approval the amended and restated Conditional Development Permit, introduce an ordinance approving the Development Agreement, adopt a resolution approving heritage tree removal and permits, adopt a resolution certifying the Final EIR and adopt a resolution adopting the Statement of Overriding Considerations and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program. And with that, I'll close my presentation, and staff's available for any questions the Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight is that when we did the analysis under the California Environmental Quality Act, it was determined that the mitigation measure that the City chose working with the environmental consultant does fully mitigate the impact of the project at that intersection. The issues that Atherton are raising are related to existing deficiencies at the intersection that are not the result of the project, and as such, there is no nexus to require the project to make that that mitigation measure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a resolution approval the amended and restated Conditional Development Permit, introduce an ordinance approving the Development Agreement, adopt a resolution approving heritage tree removal and permits, dopt a resolution certifying the Final EIR and adopt a resolution adopting the Statement of Overriding Considerations and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program. And with that, I'll close my presentation, and staff's available for any questions the Commission may have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight is that when we did the analysis under the California Environmental Quality Act, it was determined that the mitigation measure that the City chose working with the environmental consultant does fully mitigate the impact of the project at that intersection. The issues that Atherton are raising are related to existing deficiencies at the intersection that are not the result of the project, and as such, there is no nexus to require the project to make that that mitigation measure. So and there again, we have Chip Taylor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a resolution approval the amended and restated Conditional Development Permit, introduce an ordinance approving the Development Agreement, adopt a resolution approving heritage tree removal and permits, adopt a resolution donitoring and Reporting Program. And with that, I'll close my presentation, and staff's available for any questions the Commission may have. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Rachel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Atherton's comment letter as an attachment to your staff report. That's attachment O excuse me. Attachment P, and we just were able to get our response out this evening. We had to work with our consultant team to in order to adequately address all of their concerns. So that response letter was sent to the Planning Commission and City Council this evening, and those are available on the back table for anyone who would like to review it. The things that I really want to highlight is that when we did the analysis under the California Environmental Quality Act, it was determined that the mitigation measure that the City chose working with the environmental consultant does fully mitigate the impact of the project at that intersection. The issues that Atherton are raising are related to existing deficiencies at the intersection that are not the result of the project, and as such, there is no nexus to require the project to make that that mitigation measure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So moving on to next steps this evening, we're looking for a recommendation from the Planning Commission to the City Council on the actions before you this evening, and then the City Council is scheduled to review these actions, as well, on May 29th at a special meeting. And then finally on the June 5th meeting, there will be a second reading of the Development Agreement ordinance. So with that, I'm just going to leave you with staff's recommendation, which is to that the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt a resolution approval the amended and restated Conditional Development Permit, introduce an ordinance approving the Development Agreement, adopt a resolution approving heritage tree removal and permits, dopt a resolution certifying the Final EIR and adopt a resolution adopting the Statement of Overriding Considerations and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program. And with that, I'll close my presentation, and staff's available for any questions the Commission may have.

^{8 (}Pages 26 to 29)

1	after that, I'd like to go to public comment and then	1	in there. I'll get to it. Okay.
2	we'll discuss further.	2	MR. MURPHY: Yeah.
3	Jack.	3	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Okay.
4	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I had some some	4	MR. MURPHY: We just want to make sure
5	comments and some questions, all of them involving the	5	that we have the proper context, because it may vary
6	Development Agreement.	6	slightly depending on which which one it is.
7	In the Development Agreement, there seems	7	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Okay. Well, first
8	to be lots of responsibility assigned to our Public Works	8	of all, the declaration of Public Works Director is given
9	Director, and I don't have any problem with that, but	9	on I-5 as the City's Public Works director or his or her
10	that's responsibility for the most part for for	10	designee.
11	benefits public and ongoing at one time that are at the	11	MS. PRINCE: So generally we allow in
12	sole discretion discretion of Facebook, and he or she	12	documents like this the opportunity for the Public Works
13	has the responsibility of ruling on on what's	13	Director to designate somebody or the Community
14	happening here in terms of Facebook meeting their	14	Development Director to designate an individual to be
15	responsibilities.	15	responsible for this.
16	But he also, I believe the way this	16	My guess is that they're not going to
17	reads, he can designate someone else, and I have a	17	designate it to someone who's incapable of making those
18	problem with designating someone else without knowing who	18	decisions and determining whether this has been followed
19	has that responsibility to make that designation and at	19	through with in accordance with the terms of the
20	what level that person who's designated to do that is	20	agreement.
21	within our our management structure.	21	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Well, the terms of
22	MR. MURPHY: So we can address the	22	the agreement basically in many cases gives sole
23	question, but do you have a specific example that you	23	discretion to Facebook, and there's seven or eight of the
24	could point to?	24	benefits listed to do that, and I I I personally
25	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: There are several	25	feel that that's not spelled out exactly what's expected
	Page 30		Page 31
1	to be done. In most soons, it's mother even in what it	1	asing through the decompany
1	to be done. In most cases, it's pretty open in what it	1 2	going through the document.
2	said there.	3	MR. MURPHY: Okay. If you wanted to bring
3	So I I just want to have some assurance that whoever is selected has a designee, if it does	4	that up later this evening, we can look at those specific examples.
4	happen, as someone who is quite familiar with the	5	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: All right. Let
5	••••••	6	6
6	expectations of Council.	7	me let me move on and I will get back to that with
7	MR. MURPHY: That's where I was just trying to align some of the specific examples elsewhere	8	some examples. Okay?
8 9	in the Development Agreement besides that definition,	9	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: I'll get back to you, Jack, then.
-		10	Do you want to go to Henry next and come
10	because there are aspects that are solely at Facebook's	11	
11	discretion where there's no no discretion at the staff		back?
12	level.	12 13	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Do you want to do
13	So that's where I was trying to line up		one thing at a time?
14	an an example elsewhere in the document.	14	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Oh, did you have no, go ahead if you have other questions.
15	So there are instances that the decision is	15 16	
16	solely as Facebook's discretion and there's no discretion	17	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I have other
17	on the City's part, whether it's the Council, City		questions, but let me just ask one right now.
18	Manager, a director or a designee.	18 19	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Yeah. Go ahead.
19	But there may be another example where		COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I thought I thought I heard Miss Bringe make the statement that
20	there is indeed the discretion of a either the City	20	thought I heard Miss Prince make the statement that
21	Manager or the Director of Public Works or Community	21	the that the annual payments will become the
22	Development where it's either going to be the director	22 23	responsibility of Facebook when they elect to take the
		i / K	density increase. That is when they exceed 3,600
23	his or herself or someone that they trust to act on their		
24	behalf.	24	employees.

^{9 (}Pages 30 to 33)

800-331-9029

1	me.	1	that's all done and and all satisfied, then the
2	MS. PRINCE: Yeah. That was Rachel.	2	then the Development Agreement would go into effect.
3	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Excuse me. Rachel	3	MR. MURPHY: It gets executed and then
4	was, yes.	4	recorded.
5	MR. MURPHY: Yes. There's maybe just one	5	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yes, and when that
6	slight clarification to that. It's tied to the	6	happens, would that would they not be obligated to,
7	entitlement or the right to have more than 3,600	7	to make the annual payments until they exceed 3,600
8	employees on the site, not necessarily that they've	8	employees?
9	reached more than 3,600 employees. That's a slight	9	MR. MURPHY: No. They'd be obligated to
10	clarification.	10	make the payments if that land use entitlement is in
11	So it's structured that it's tied to this	11	effect.
12	land use entitlement that they're requesting going into	12	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: If it's in effect?
13	effect, which includes clearing all hurdles related to	13	MR. MURPHY: Yeah, effect. It is
14	any possible litigation.	14	according
15	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Does that not	15	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Would it not be in
16	happen the moment is agreement is signed?	16	effect when they sign the agreement is what I'm trying to
17	MR. MURPHY: No. There's a there's	17	find out. Am I confused about something here?
18	a there's a there's the need to Council needs to	18	MS. PRINCE: The entitlement goes into
19	act. It's a two-step process with an ordinance, and then	19	effect when the agreement is executed and recorded. The
20	the ordinance would typically go into effect in thirty	20	distinction that we're trying to clarify is that until
21	days.	21	they elect to exceed the 3,600 cap, they don't have to
22	During that thirty-day window, there's a	22	begin payments.
23	possibilities of a referendum and there's also	23	They may elect not to do that if there's a
24	possibilities of a CEQA challenge.	24	legal challenge to the Development Agreement, the project
25	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yes, but after	25	or the Environmental Impact Report.
	Page 34		Page 35
1	So it's in effect, but it may be stayed for	1	have reviewed. Is that correct?
2	a period of time.	2	MS. GROSSMAN: Correct. The only
3	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I would understand	3	clarification is that we made a mistake and realized
4	that. I was my I guess my question is: If all	4	they trigger with the West Campus and East Campus
5	those legal obstacles are are satisfied, at that	5	coming both coming online based when the West Campus
6	point, then the agreement becomes into effect and at that	6	coming online in 2018.
7	point they are they are obligated to make the annual	7	However, in the event that the West Campus
8	payments.	8	development were in never occur, they're also triggered
9	That's what I'm trying to find out, and I	9	in the cumulative East Campus 2025.
10	think the answer is yes. I see you nodding. Okay, fine.	10	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Huh.
11	Okay.	11	MS. GROSSMAN: So we already evaluated and
12	So right now the the annual payment's	12	they're already included in mitigations measures.
13	supposed to start the first January 1st, 2013. Okay.	13	Basically what this is doing is requiring the applicant
14	I'll stop now and give somebody else a	14	to do those improvements sooner rather than later,
15	chance to ask questions.	15	meaning they're tied to the East Campus entitlement.
16	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Jack.	16	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: All the better.
17	Henry.	17	Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you.	18	MS. GROSSMAN: You're welcome.
19	So just a couple of questions to confirm	19	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: And then regarding
20	what we heard earlier. In your update, Rachel, at the	20	the Atherton letter, it looked to me that on page 5-28 of
21	top of the list were three intersections. I believe	21	the Final EIR, this addresses the predominance of their
22	those intersections were in were covered and were part	22	issues, in particular the Marsh and Middlefield
23	of the comparison between the Final EIR and the Draft	23	intersections.
24	EIR.	24	I bring this up because it it might
25	So they are already in the documents we	25	appear to some that we just got a letter tonight from
1	Page 36		Page 37

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

r			
1	Atherton, whereas this has actually been an ongoing	1	So my understanding is that if we have
2	conversation.	2	if we have a mitigation in which there's other another
3	MS. PRINCE: That's correct. In their	3	agency is re is required is basically in control of
4	additional comment letter that was submitted on January	4	that intersection, then we have to turn we basically
5	19th, 2012 is also responded on page 4-220 and 4-221.	5	turn over the decision-making authority for that
6	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: And when I use the	6	intersection, new lane or restriping to to them.
7	word "respond," I meant not just in terms of	7	It's basically out of the City of Menlo
8	documentation, but in terms of the fact that we have	8	Park's hands, and or and indeed if it is in our
9	included the description of a mitigation that is the	9	hands, then we're also obligated to carry out carry
10	revision of of the Marsh and Middlefield intersection	10	out the mitigation as described.
11	that describes how to do it as well as the conditions of	11	MR. TAYLOR: Yeah. I mean, ultimately
12	the applicant posting percentage payment for that	12	yes. For the intersections that are within Caltrans,
13	project.	13	let's say, they have full control over that intersection.
14	MS. PRINCE: Correct.	14	So the mitigation measures would have to go
15	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you.	15	through Caltrans to get approved. So they have the
16	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Henry.	16	decision-making authority on that.
17	John.	17	Now, in this case, Facebook still has to
18	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Thank you. I just	18	work through diligently trying to pursue getting the
19	have a few few questions. I wanted to confirm my	19	mitigation measure in place, and in the past, we've had
20	understanding I talked to staff about this earlier	20	conversations with Caltrans that these types of
21	this afternoon. It's a complicated question involving	21	mitigation measures aren't likely to get approved by
22	how mitigations get executed through the through CEQA	22	Caltrans, but ultimately they do have that decision.
23	and the the EIR. We've had a lot of discussion about	23	As far as the City of Menlo Park,
24	the value of of putting in new lanes new lanes in	24	mitigation measures, then, the ones included in the
25	intersections, and there are several involving that.	25	document, we do need to implement in order to say that
	Page 38		Page 39
1	they are fully mitigated.	1	also also wanted to clarify the there is a
2	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay. The City of	2	there's a trip cap now for the West Campus as a
3	Menlo Park is really they have no choice, and if	3	mitigation measure. That's has it's that its status.
4	their if their people have concerns about a Caltrans	4	MR. TAYLOR: That's correct.
5	mitigation, they can go to Caltrans and tell them if they	5	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay. And that
6	like it or they don't like it.	6	are the parameters of that also similarly flexible at the
7	MR. TAYLOR: Yeah. Yeah.	7	time of proceeding with West Campus development?
8	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: And potentially	8	So, you know, peak peak measures,
9	it's Caltrans' decision.	9	whether we have an all day measure, what those measures
10	MR. TAYLOR: Correct.	10	are and so so forth.
11	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay. Thanks. I	11	MR. TAYLOR: They're they're included
12	wanted to clarify that.	12	in the document. They parallel what you see for the East
13	I also wanted to clarify something in	13	Campus.
14	public benefit so that the public, the amount I don't	14	So if it is a mitigation measure, it would
15	know what we're call it's not in-lieu fee, whatever.	15	need to be accomplished as part of the West Campus, and
16	It's called the 800, 900,000, one million dollar	16	it does have a peak measure for AM and PM and then a
		17	daily trip cap, as well. Oh, that's right. There is not
17	payments, those apply only to the East Campus and they	1 1	
17 18	payments, those apply only to the East Campus and they have absolutely no implications for any similar payments	18	a daily on the on the West Campus.
	have absolutely no implications for any similar payments for the West Campus.		• • • •
18	have absolutely no implications for any similar payments	18	a daily on the on the West Campus.
18 19	have absolutely no implications for any similar payments for the West Campus. Is that still left open for future negotiations assuming that West Campus proceeds?	18 19	a daily on the on the West Campus. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay.
18 19 20	have absolutely no implications for any similar payments for the West Campus. Is that still left open for future	18 19 20	a daily on the on the West Campus. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay. MR. TAYLOR: Yeah, there's not.
18 19 20 21	have absolutely no implications for any similar payments for the West Campus. Is that still left open for future negotiations assuming that West Campus proceeds?	18 19 20 21	a daily on the on the West Campus. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay. MR. TAYLOR: Yeah, there's not. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Could could
18 19 20 21 22	have absolutely no implications for any similar payments for the West Campus. Is that still left open for future negotiations assuming that West Campus proceeds? MR. TAYLOR: That's correct. The this	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a daily on the on the West Campus. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay. MR. TAYLOR: Yeah, there's not. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Could could could the form and content of that be discussed when the West Campus comes up or is it pretty much fixed now? MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the intent is that it
18 19 20 21 22 23	have absolutely no implications for any similar payments for the West Campus. Is that still left open for future negotiations assuming that West Campus proceeds? MR. TAYLOR: That's correct. The this particular Development Agreement is only for the East	18 19 20 21 22 23	a daily on the on the West Campus. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay. MR. TAYLOR: Yeah, there's not. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Could could could the form and content of that be discussed when the West Campus comes up or is it pretty much fixed now?

1	mitigation measure.	1	The policy that's in included in the
2	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay. Oh, I see.	2	staff in the Draft EIR has been updated and is
3	As opposed to being having some other status like the	3	attached to your staff report. We would want that to be
4	public benefit.	4	the same.
5	So it pretty is pretty much is fixed	5	We would also want to make sure that we're
6	now?	6	understanding that as we've been looking at the
7	MR. MURPHY: Well, there's a few different	7	implications of having a trip cap on the two parcels and
8	levels to this. In terms of the peak period, there's a	8	how how those need to go hand in hand.
9	mitigation measure that would estab establish a cap,	9	In terms of the exact the exact amount
10	so in no case could it go higher than that under this	10	of the limitation of trips, that is something that could
11	existing EIR that would be certified.	11	be discussed, but only in the downward direction, but
12	Through some sort of agreement, it could be	12	the question the feasibility.
13	potentially lowered, but that would be not	13	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay. If if the
14	potentially then not feasible for the project.	14	West Campus project was built out to a significantly
15	The daily trip cap is something that's not	15	smaller scale, like two buildings two buildings, say,
16	currently in mitigation. It could be a mitigation, the	16	with a full trip cap scale down in that case so that you
17	number of trips that were studied in the Draft EIR.	17	don't have this kind of oversized cap relative to the
18	It couldn't go higher than that. It could	18	the project.
19	potentially go lower, but we'd want to kind of look	19	MR. MURPHY: Right. I believe that the
20	pretty seriously about that because that gets to the	20	a lot of the numbers that were looked out for the West
21	feasibility issue.	21	Campus were in relative proportion to the size of the
22	One thing that we would want to maintain to	22	East Campus.
23	be the same in terms of the form and structure between	23	And so yes, if there was the scale of
24	the East Campus and West Campus is how trips are	24	the development of the West Campus was smaller, then
25	measured.	25	those numbers would scale down.
	Page 42		Page 43
1	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay, great. Thank	1	incentive to want to try to get it open as quickly as
2	you.	2	possible, so I'm not sure that we've what the best
3	And then one last question. Is there a	3	case scenario would be.
4	time frame for the under the the first phase of the	4	It's probably close to 240 to 2 270
5	undercrossing improvements to where it's basically	5	days, maybe.
6	functional?	6	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay. So it may be
7	Has that been anybody laid that out? Is	7	a year.
8	it kind of as soon as possible, kind of In-process? I'm	8	MR. MURPHY: We hope that would be open
9	just wondering.	9	for a year by now.
10	MR. MURPHY: So that part of that has	10	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Got it. Thanks
11	to do with the number of jurisdictions that are	11	very much.
12	associated with it. Ms. Grossman's getting to those	12	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thanks, John.
13	specific condition that she can point you to, but there's	13	Vince, go ahead.
14	a a need to submit plans to the City and they need to	14	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: As I recall from
15	go out and get permits from Caltrans, review by BCDC, Bay	15	our previous meeting, the Development Agreement for the
16	Conservation Development Commission, samTrans Joint	16	East Campus only exist because there was a previous trip
17	Powers Board for the railroad crossing.	17	cap based on the Development Agreement, and therefore we
18	There's a number of things, and triggers	18	don't expect that there will be a Development Agreement
19	and milestones for making sure the applicant is pursuing	19	for the West Campus.
20	diligent efforts to improve those.	20	Is that an accurate statement?
21	And we may be able to she's showing me	21	MR. MURPHY: Let's see. So the there's
22	now. It's page circle F-8, page 8 of attachment F of the	22	a previous Development Agreement for the Sun campus and
23	Conditional Development Permit, item 9.	23	East Campus, but there's separate from that, there's
24	Item 9 outlines 9.1, the sequencing.	24	an existing Conditional Development Permit that limits
25	Facebook has a their own kind of	25	the number of employees on the East Campus to 3,600.
	Page 44		Page 45

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

1	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Right.	1	things in the Development Agreement that we had spoken
2	MR. MURPHY: So that's that's what is	2	about here before, so it was nice to see. One was a bike
3	kind of the trigger for the City having more	3	path that goes all the way to the railroad station, and I
4	discretion as to what can go on on the East Campus.	4	think there was a number associated with that. It was
5	The applicant has expressed an interest, as	5	maybe with a million dollars or something like that,
6	is outlined in the Draft EIR, for the West Campus that	6	I'm recalling.
7	there would be they would request a Development	7	MR. MURPHY: What was that?
8	Agreement for the West Campus.	8	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: A bike trail.
9	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Okay.	9	MR. MURPHY: There's some bike trail from
10	MR. MURPHY: With that, the City does have	10	like University from Bayfront Expressway to the
11	the ability to negotiate mutually beneficial	11	railroad tracks?
12	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: What - what would	12	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: My impression was
13	be the basis for them needing a Development Agreement?	13	that that went to Caltrain station.
14	MR. MURPHY: They don't necessarily so	14	Is that not the case?
15	much need one, but that may be the best framework for	15	MR. TAYLOR: Um. I mean, the there's a
16	dealing with some of the significant unavoidable impacts	16	mitigation measure partial mitigation measure that's
17	as outlined in the Draft EIR.	17	included that takes the Bay Trail from University and
18	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: We have a formal	18	Bayfront Expressway to the railroad tracks, the Dumbarton
19	process to to sort this out.	19	railroad tracks, and then there was some discussion by
20	MR. MURPHY: Yes.	20	some members of the public about the Bay Trail gap from
21	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Okay.	21	University
22	MR. MURPHY: And then that gives them	22	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Right.
23	long-term approvals to build out the West Campus. So	23	MR. TAYLOR: to there.
24	that's That's clearly the benefit they would get.	24	That's included in here as far as them
25	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I noticed a few	25	working with other entities on that, but I don't think
	Page 46		Page 47
1	that there was anything other than those two connection	1	MR. MURPHY: Yeah. I can't point to
2	pieces for the class one facility.	2	specific numbers, but you need to be careful of any
3	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Okay. Well, I'm	3	numbers that you may have heard in terms, you know, any
4	glad we clarified that.	4	one year, there could be high numbers, low numbers.
5	The 800,000 a year in-lieu sales tax, how	5	So it's a matter of looking at a longer
6	was that computed? What was the basis for coming up with	6	term perspective than any any one year.
7	that number?	7	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I guess I'd feel
8	MR. MURPHY: Yeah. That that was a	8	more comfortable recommending this number if I knew what
9	it was a negotiated number, so I'm not sure that anybody	9	it was based on other than well, that was a negotiated
10	could point to a a specific formula for arriving at	10	position.
11	that.	11	MR. MURPHY: Yeah. Unfortunately, we
12	The there was some reflection upon some	12	can't provide a specific formula for you.
13	of the sales tax generated from the site previously, but	13	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER:
14	some of those numbers are sales tax is confidential,	14	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: And I just wanted to
15	so that's kind of difficult to point to specifically, but	15	note for the record that Commissioner Eiref is now
16	in general terms, it seemed like it was in the ballpark.	16	present.
17	But there's no specific formula for the	17	Jack, did you want to ask some questions?
18	arrival of those	18	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I yes. When I
19	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Let me just follow	19	talked about the Development Director before I should
1		20	have said the Community Development Director, okay, and
20	up with that.	20	
	When Sun was there and was generating	21	that's that's the confusion.
20			that's that's the confusion. In 9.1, 9.2 on 11, Facebook is going to
20 21	When Sun was there and was generating	21	
20 21 22	When Sun was there and was generating actual sales tax, the numbers that I've heard were over a	21 22	In 9.1, 9.2 on 11, Facebook is going to
20 21 22 23	When Sun was there and was generating actual sales tax, the numbers that I've heard were over a million dollars a year.	21 22 23	In 9.1, 9.2 on 11, Facebook is going to explore various things relative to housing and to the Bay

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

6			
1	designee, and that's what I was referring to.	1	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: It will come to
2	And It seems to me if you're going to write	2	the Planning Commission, then, those those reports
3	a report, it goes to the highest level person within	3	that are issued?
4	government that you have to review it, and that's where I	4	MR. MURPHY: Yeah. In whatever years
5	thought it should stay. Unless Council has has the	5	those would come, those would be items that would be
6	responsibility to designate another person.	6	that would warrant reporting out to the Planning
7	That was just a comment I'm making, okay.	7	Commission
8	MR. MURPHY: I could on each of those,	8	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: That's good news.
9	I believe, those are instances where the decision is	9	MR. MURPHY: for the annual review.
10	fully Facebook's sole discretion.	10	So it just depends on when those reports
11	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yes.	11	come in and the timing of the annual review.
12	MR. MURPHY: So one reason to identify the	12	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. Okay.
13	Community Development Director is the Community	13	Well, you know, the concern I had was that we expect
14	Development Department would be the entity that would be	14	Facebook and I'm sure they will do this. Positive
15	leading the annual reviews that need to be conducted for	15	they will do this. As a matter of fact, use their best
16	the Development Agreements, and then with those annual	16	efforts in doing a lot of these things that they have
17	reviews, those are those come to the Planning	17	their sole discretion to do.
18	Commission, and they have the potential to go up to the	18	And we expect them to do something, all
19	City Council.	19	right, or it wouldn't be in this this Development
20	So I think that was probably the intent for	20	Agreement.
21	having it be the Community Development Director.	21	And and if they don't do something that
22	But the reports could go to someone else,	22	which we expect even though it's in their sole
23	but the probably the best forum in the context for it	23	discretion, there is that there's some analysis that
24	would be the annual reviews conducted by the Planning	24	takes place that goes to Council and the Council
25	Commission.	25	eventually has to decide whether or not they do, you
	Page 50		Page 51
1	know, something in those arenas.	1	is signed after all the litigation, if there is any, is
2	If they've done nothing in their arenas,	2	satisfied, then it isn't until my understanding the
3	the way it reads, it's their sole discretion, and then it	3	the 30th of June in 2022 where they do have the option
4	doesn't matter.	4	of of then saying they don't want to keep continue
5	So they don't have to do anything, and yet	5	with that special density increase, and there's a
6			
6	the the reason it's in there is that their expectation	6	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well.
7	for them to do something, and someone has to review that	7	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering
7 8	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level.	7 8	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out
7 8 9	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just	7 8 9	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less
7 8 9 10	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's	7 8 9 10	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings,
7 8 9 10 11	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith	7 8 9 10 11	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant.
7 8 9 10 11 12	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at	7 8 9 10 11 12	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and that's what the City would be reviewing.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3. I I just wanted to have some
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and that's what the City would be reviewing. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I understand that,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3. I I just wanted to have some understanding of really what that those aspects of the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and that's what the City would be reviewing. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I understand that, but it's listed as benefits. It's not a benefit unless	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3. I I just wanted to have some understanding of really what that those aspects of the triggering event meant.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and that's what the City would be reviewing. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I understand that, but it's listed as benefits. It's not a benefit unless they decide to go forward on something, in my judgment	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3. I I just wanted to have some understanding of really what that those aspects of the triggering event meant. MR. MURPHY: Yeah. So as it relates to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and that's what the City would be reviewing. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I understand that, but it's listed as benefits. It's not a benefit unless they decide to go forward on something, in my judgment anyway, and there are a number of points that that are	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3. I I just wanted to have some understanding of really what that those aspects of the triggering event meant. MR. MURPHY: Yeah. So as it relates to the changes to those buildings, those buildings are the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and that's what the City would be reviewing. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I understand that, but it's listed as benefits. It's not a benefit unless they decide to go forward on something, in my judgment anyway, and there are a number of points that that are in their sole discretion.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3. I I just wanted to have some understanding of really what that those aspects of the triggering event meant. MR. MURPHY: Yeah. So as it relates to the changes to those buildings, those buildings are the office buildings. There's two other buildings on the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and that's what the City would be reviewing. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I understand that, but it's listed as benefits. It's not a benefit unless they decide to go forward on something, in my judgment anyway, and there are a number of points that that are in their sole discretion. You know, that's surprising on its own, but	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3. I I just wanted to have some understanding of really what that those aspects of the triggering event meant. MR. MURPHY: Yeah. So as it relates to the changes to those buildings, those buildings are the office buildings. There's two other buildings on the campus.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and that's what the City would be reviewing. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I understand that, but it's listed as benefits. It's not a benefit unless they decide to go forward on something, in my judgment anyway, and there are a number of points that that are in their sole discretion. You know, that's surprising on its own, but that was just a comment that I made, and then you	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3. I I just wanted to have some understanding of really what that those aspects of the triggering event meant. MR. MURPHY: Yeah. So as it relates to the changes to those buildings, those buildings are the office buildings. There's two other buildings on the campus. Building 11, which is mainly a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and that's what the City would be reviewing. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I understand that, but it's listed as benefits. It's not a benefit unless they decide to go forward on something, in my judgment anyway, and there are a number of points that that are in their sole discretion. You know, that's surprising on its own, but that was just a comment that I made, and then you answered one of the other questions that I had, because	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3. I I just wanted to have some understanding of really what that those aspects of the triggering event meant. MR. MURPHY: Yeah. So as it relates to the changes to those buildings, those buildings are the office buildings. There's two other buildings on the campus. Building 11, which is mainly a cafeteria, an auditorium and then building 19, which is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and that's what the City would be reviewing. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I understand that, but it's listed as benefits. It's not a benefit unless they decide to go forward on something, in my judgment anyway, and there are a number of points that that are in their sole discretion. You know, that's surprising on its own, but that was just a comment that I made, and then you answered one of the other questions that I had, because Vince asked it.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3. I I just wanted to have some understanding of really what that those aspects of the triggering event meant. MR. MURPHY: Yeah. So as it relates to the changes to those buildings, those buildings are the office buildings. There's two other buildings on the campus. Building 11, which is mainly a cafeteria, an auditorium and then building 19, which is the recreation facility.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and that's what the City would be reviewing. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I understand that, but it's listed as benefits. It's not a benefit unless they decide to go forward on something, in my judgment anyway, and there are a number of points that that are in their sole discretion. You know, that's surprising on its own, but that was just a comment that I made, and then you answered one of the other questions that I had, because Vince asked it. And I have one other question, as well.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3. I I just wanted to have some understanding of really what that those aspects of the triggering event meant. MR. MURPHY: Yeah. So as it relates to the changes to those buildings, those buildings are the office buildings. There's two other buildings on the campus. Building 11, which is mainly a cafeteria, an auditorium and then building 19, which is the recreation facility. So the the office buildings, if you look
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for them to do something, and someone has to review that at a high level. MR. MURPHY: Right, yes. So just Facebook is obligated to explore these things. So that's where we're measuring whether they've made the good faith effort to do explore the actual decision to do them at their decision. It's kind of a two-step process, and that's what the City would be reviewing. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I understand that, but it's listed as benefits. It's not a benefit unless they decide to go forward on something, in my judgment anyway, and there are a number of points that that are in their sole discretion. You know, that's surprising on its own, but that was just a comment that I made, and then you answered one of the other questions that I had, because Vince asked it.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	triggering event that allows them to do that, as well. And then two conditions in the triggering event. One was the vacation of four more buildings out of a listing of seven, and the other one was for less dense employ employee density of the above buildings, and I didn't know what that meant. Is it one person less than what they expected? There are no numbers there. That's 8.2.3. I I just wanted to have some understanding of really what that those aspects of the triggering event meant. MR. MURPHY: Yeah. So as it relates to the changes to those buildings, those buildings are the office buildings. There's two other buildings on the campus. Building 11, which is mainly a cafeteria, an auditorium and then building 19, which is the recreation facility.

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

1	core plans that Sun Microsystems had with hard walled	1	comparable to what those 3,600 employee cap.
2	offices and cubicles versus the and the number of	2	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Okay. Can I ask
3	not from a Building Code perspective, but from a	3	one last question? And then I
4	usability perspective the number of seats in there	4	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Of course.
5	compared to the number of seats that Facebook has with	5	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: By the way, if I
6	its open floor plan with the tables.	6	sound that I'm not interested in Facebook, I can tell you
7	And so that's that's what is intended	7	that I'm one hundred percent interested in Facebook,
8	there is that the there be a a real physical change	8	okay, but I just want to understand certain things.
9	to the layouts of the buildings away from the way	9	The the annual payments that take place
		10	
10	Facebook has them laid out to something that was more		are reduced by amount of sales tax that comes to the
11	comparable to what Sun Microsystems had.	11	City.
12	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: The way I	12	Am I correct? Does that start on day one?
13	interpret it was was that basically where those	13	MR. MURPHY: Yes.
14	buildings are vacated and employee density in the above	14	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Okay. And I was
15	all seven buildings became less than a certain number,	15	curious, you know. When I asked Facebook this question
16	whatever that number was, but it all related to getting	16	when they were in front of us, I I wanted to know what
17	back getting back to a need of not having not	17	they sold, all right, that would provide sales tax, and I
18	needing the extra density and they would be right back to	18	don't I didn't get an answer, and so I just assumed
19	3,600 employees or less at that stage, and I I thought	19	I know what assume means, but I just assumed that what
20	that would be how that was how those buildings were	20	they sell in terms of food and T-shirts and souvenirs and
21	identified.	21	what have you would be those things that would contribute
22	So someone knows how many people are going	22	to sales tax.
23	to be in each of those buildings, I would presume is what	23	And then I started to do some calculations
24	you're saying.	24	I based on having 6,000 employees over there or so and
25	MR. MURPHY: Correct, and it would be	25	trying to figure out just how many dollars they would
	Page 54		Page 55
1	spend on the average over a year's period and then how	1	sales tax that we may receive from them, and I to me,
2	much sales tax would come from it and what percentage of	2	I just felt that that was strange.
3	the sales tax come to Menlo Park, and it didn't seem to	3	You know, I it protects Facebook, but
4	be a very big, big number.	4	it it diminishes the public benefit to the City, as
5	I was just wondering why this was put in there,	5	far as I'm concerned. That's a comment that I wanted to
6	unless Facebook has products to sell which I'm unaware	6	make.
7	of, and perhaps you could give me some information.	7	And that's all I had to say.
8	MR. MURPHY: To my knowledge, they do not,	8	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Okay, thanks, and
9	but it's this is a long-term document. They they	9	Commissioner Eiref has some questions, and I want to get
10	may acquire a company or or things may change.	10	to the public comments right after that.
11	One thing that could change is state law in	11	COMMISSIONER EIREF: Two quick questions.
12	terms of how sales and use tax is calculated.	12	For the trip cap who the trip cap is
13	So as of right now, there's that would	13	being counted throughout the day, but there are specific
14	not be a large source of revenue to kind of offset what	14	Intervals there between 7:00 and 9:00 AM and 4:00 and
15	their obligations are, but that was something that they	15	6:00 PM, right?
16	did request as part of this overall deal to have that	16	Who chose the 4:00 to 6:00? I'm just
17	flexibility down the road, that if things did change,	17	curious.
18	that that would be counted against their annual payment	18	MR. TAYLOR: We that was chosen with
19	by the credit towards their annual payment obligation.	19	staff discussion with the consultant as well as Facebook
20	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Well, okay. I	20	to talk about the real intent is when in roadway
21	understand that, but I I thought that we were these	21	adjacent to this facility have the peak times for that
22	public benefits were coming to us solely because we're	22	roadway that's adjacent.
23	we're giving them the less density alternative to use,	23	And so in the cases of Bayfront Expressway
24	and somehow that's the case, but then we're subtracting	24	and the roadways in this area, that's 7:00 to 9:00 time
25	from those benefits that are coming to us based on any	25	frame and that 4:00 to 6:00 time frame is really when
25	from those benefits that are coming to us based on any Page 56	25	frame and that 4:00 to 6:00 time frame is really when Page 57

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

1	those roadways are closer to capacity.	1	COMMISSIONER EIREF: Okay. And then
2	And so ultimately those that's why those	2	the I think there were some questions in some of the
3	time frames were chosen, and that's really what it comes	3	letters and things.
4	down to.	4	Will we will we make the trip counts
5	COMMISSIONER EIREF: The reason I ask that	5	public?
6	is in the Bay Area in general, like the rideshare	6	MR. TAYLOR: I mean, we don't necessarily
7	lanes for 101, 7:00 PM is normally the cutoff time.	7	have the intent of publishing them on a regular basis,
8	Just based on personal experience I'm	8	but ultimately they would be available for anybody who
9	guessing you would probably agree with this there's a	9	wanted to see them.
10	ton of traffic around here all the way up to seven	10	I don't think they would be restricted in
11	o'clock, so I'm just curious.	11	any way, so I think they would be completely available if
12	Would there be a flexibility in bumping	12	somebody did want to see them, but we didn't have the
13	that up to 7:00 PM?	13	intent of publishing on some regular basis out to the web
14	MR. TAYLOR: Going 5:00 to 7:00 rather	14	or something to that effect.
15	than	15	COMMISSIONER EIREF: So if a neighboring
16	COMMISSIONER EIREF: Yeah.	16	community or somebody wanted to see them, there would be
17	MR. TAYLOR: of 4:00 to 6:00?	17	no reason why they couldn't?
18	I think it I think it would be	18	MR. TAYLOR: Oh, Absolutely, and I think
19	challenging to do, but ultimately, I mean, that's what	19	that there's also there might be as part of the annual
20	we looked at the peak time frame, and really that's where	20	monitoring, there might be some additional data as
21	you see that peak.	21	part of the Mitigation Monitoring Report, there would be
22	There might be still an intended peak where	22	some information in there during that time, as well.
23	you still see some amount of peak after six o'clock at	23	COMMISSIONER EIREF: Okay. Thanks.
24	night, but it's not as high as you would see during that	24	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ben.
25	4:00 to 6:00 time frame ultimately.	25	All right. Let's go right to public
	Page 58		Page 59
1	comment, and then we'll still have more chances up here	1	and that's good, because this isn't this is a contract
2	to ask additional questions or or comments.	2	that you're working on. So it needs to be firm.
3	So first we have Clem Molony followed by	3	The EIR mitigations appear appropriate. I
4	Michael Kashiwagi. My apologies for any mis-	4	didn't see any surprises there. I spoke to the EIR back
5	pronunciation.	5	in January, and I'm comfortable.
6	Can I just take the cards directly on of	6	On the Menlo Park letter, which I scanned
7	do you guys need to see them first?	7	tonight for the first time real quickly, I read it. Just
8	MR. MURPHY: You can take them first.	8	a thought that even though there is no nexus legally,
9	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Oh, thank you. As	9	and the attorney has pointed that out, it reminds me
10	you come up, I should have announced that one moment,	10	somewhat of the bridge over San Francisquito Creek up by
11	Clem.	11	the golfcourse at Stanford.
12	If anyone else has cards that they want to	12	Until we got to years to get everybody
13	submit to speak, please bring 'em on up through here and	13	to see that that was a part of the city that needed to be
14	I'll collect them in the order in which I got 'em in.	14	improved, a part of the mid-Peninsula and to be done
15	Thank you.	15	right, and I hope that some good relationships between
16	Go ahead, Mr. Molony. Thank you.	16	our two cities can build towards a good solution as fast
17	MR. MOLONY: Good evening, Commissioners.	17	as can be in that funny little intersection, because it's
18	Clem Molony, forty-year Willows homeowner homeowner,	18	a mess.
19	and I live about five blocks from the Facebook campus.	19	I go through there all the time. I work in
20	Just a couple of comments on the exact	20	Redwood City and I come home that way to avoid the
21	steps in the process of evaluating land use, the	21	freeway.
22	permitting, the Development Agreement.	22	So just just a hope that, you know, even
23	The east side, I was surprised the vehicle	23	the Facebook project occupants are going to benefit by
24	trip cap and the permit agreement amendment is pretty	24	fixing that one.
25	aggressive. Potential penalties look pretty challenging,	25	Whatever we can do as a city to cooperate
20	Page 60	2.5	Page 61

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

1	with Atherton, I don't like to see lawyer letters so	1	right.
2	so much.	2	Thanks.
3	On the west side, the 75 foot height, I	3	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Mr.
4	think it should be acceptable if it's designed well,	4	Molony. Next up we have Michael Kashiwagi.
5	which I believe we can expect from the Facebook	5	MR. MURPHY: Chair Ferrick
6	Facilities Group.	6	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Yes.
7	I was pleased to see a a below market	7	MR. MURPHY: we may want to start
8	rate housing agreement in there, and I recommend that	8	make sure to see if the applicant would like to say
9	this needs to be an aggressive goal.	9	anything. We don't really start comment until the
10	Below market rate housing is very	10	applicant
11	important, very important for our Peninsula, for our blue	11	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Sorry about that.
12	collar workers, nurses, all of the the workers who are	12	Would the applicant like to make any
13	my peers.	13	comments before we proceed with further public comment?
14	The the eventual agreement language	14	You're not required to if you
15	needs to have teeth and specificity.	15	MR. TENANAS: I can go at the end.
16	In conclusion, I've watched this public	16	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Okay. Sorry about
17	process so far very thoroughly. I'm impressed by the	17	that. Come on up, and I very much apologize if I'm
18	methodical analysis in the reports so far.	18	mispronouncing your name.
19	Both staff and the excellent questions	19	MR. KASHIWAGI: That's fine. I often
20	tonight, substantial public benefits and the Development	20	mispronounce it myself.
21	Agreement. Let's nail those down as much as possible.	21	But Commissioner Ferrick, members of the
22	It's good that we're having fiscal analysis.	22	Planning Commission, my name is Mike Kashiwagi and I'm
23	So bottom line, I encourage that the City	23	with the Town of Atherton.
24	Staff and this Commission continue your thorough work.	24	As you know, throughout the comment period
25	You're writing a long-term contract. It needs to be	25	of the EIR, the Town has expressed concerns and
	Page 62		Page 63
1	identified issues with regards to the adequacy of the	1	Institute of Transportation Engineers trip generation
2	environmental document, and a lot of the meetings and our	2	rates which are widely used as the industry standard.
3	comments were summarized in a letter dated April 26th	3	"This resulted in understating traffic
4	from our City Manager to your Mayor and City Manager,	4	impacts resulting in inadequate mitigation measures.
5	which I believe is attachment P of your staff report this	5	"Traffic generated by the Facebook project
6	evening.	6	was assigned to the road network by hand rather than
7	I'm here tonight because the Town wishes to	7	utilizing the City and County Associate of Governments or
8	have a portion of the letter read into the record, so	8	other appropriate traffic demand forecasting models.
9	that's what I'll be doing.	9	"This methodology does not accurately
10	So "in summary, the Town of Atherton	10	quantify the displacement of traffic from major
11	believes the Draft EIR did not adequately address traffic	11	transportation facilities such as US 101 caused by
12	impacts at the Marsh/Middlefield intersection due to	12	Facebook project.
13	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic	13	"By not utilizing appropriate travel demand
13 14	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic volumes generated by the Facebook project in mitigations	13 14	forecasting models, the Facebook traffic impact analysis
	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic volumes generated by the Facebook project in mitigations that don't fit on the existing site or don't truly result		
14	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic volumes generated by the Facebook project in mitigations	14	forecasting models, the Facebook traffic impact analysis
14 15	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic volumes generated by the Facebook project in mitigations that don't fit on the existing site or don't truly result	14 15	forecasting models, the Facebook traffic impact analysis did not accurately identify and quantify increased
14 15 16	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic volumes generated by the Facebook project in mitigations that don't fit on the existing site or don't truly result in successful mitigation of probability impacts from the	14 15 16	forecasting models, the Facebook traffic impact analysis did not accurately identify and quantify increased traffic demand on affected routes such as Middlefield and
14 15 16 17	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic volumes generated by the Facebook project in mitigations that don't fit on the existing site or don't truly result in successful mitigation of probability impacts from the increased traffic generated from this project.	14 15 16 17	forecasting models, the Facebook traffic impact analysis did not accurately identify and quantify increased traffic demand on affected routes such as Middlefield and marsh Roads.
14 15 16 17 18	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic volumes generated by the Facebook project in mitigations that don't fit on the existing site or don't truly result in successful mitigation of probability impacts from the increased traffic generated from this project. "This resulted in understated impacts which	14 15 16 17 18	forecasting models, the Facebook traffic impact analysis did not accurately identify and quantify increased traffic demand on affected routes such as Middlefield and marsh Roads. "This faulty analysis resulted in
14 15 16 17 18 19	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic volumes generated by the Facebook project in mitigations that don't fit on the existing site or don't truly result in successful mitigation of probability impacts from the increased traffic generated from this project. "This resulted in understated impacts which resulted in inadequate mitigation measures recommended at	14 15 16 17 18 19	forecasting models, the Facebook traffic impact analysis did not accurately identify and quantify increased traffic demand on affected routes such as Middlefield and marsh Roads. "This faulty analysis resulted in understated traffic impacts and inadequate mitigation
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic volumes generated by the Facebook project in mitigations that don't fit on the existing site or don't truly result in successful mitigation of probability impacts from the increased traffic generated from this project. "This resulted in understated impacts which resulted in inadequate mitigation measures recommended at this intersection in the Town of Atherton.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	forecasting models, the Facebook traffic impact analysis did not accurately identify and quantify increased traffic demand on affected routes such as Middlefield and marsh Roads. "This faulty analysis resulted in understated traffic impacts and inadequate mitigation measures.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic volumes generated by the Facebook project in mitigations that don't fit on the existing site or don't truly result in successful mitigation of probability impacts from the increased traffic generated from this project. "This resulted in understated impacts which resulted in inadequate mitigation measures recommended at this intersection in the Town of Atherton. "More specifically, the traffic impact	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	forecasting models, the Facebook traffic impact analysis did not accurately identify and quantify increased traffic demand on affected routes such as Middlefield and marsh Roads. "This faulty analysis resulted in understated traffic impacts and inadequate mitigation measures. "For the cumulative traffic scenario, the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic volumes generated by the Facebook project in mitigations that don't fit on the existing site or don't truly result in successful mitigation of probability impacts from the increased traffic generated from this project. "This resulted in understated impacts which resulted in inadequate mitigation measures recommended at this intersection in the Town of Atherton. "More specifically, the traffic impact analysis was inadequate for the following reasons: The	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	forecasting models, the Facebook traffic impact analysis did not accurately identify and quantify increased traffic demand on affected routes such as Middlefield and marsh Roads. "This faulty analysis resulted in understated traffic impacts and inadequate mitigation measures. "For the cumulative traffic scenario, the Facebook traffic EIR did not include significant future
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	assumptions and methodologies that understated traffic volumes generated by the Facebook project in mitigations that don't fit on the existing site or don't truly result in successful mitigation of probability impacts from the increased traffic generated from this project. "This resulted in understated impacts which resulted in inadequate mitigation measures recommended at this intersection in the Town of Atherton. "More specifically, the traffic impact analysis was inadequate for the following reasons: The analysis was based upon an artificial trip count provided	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	forecasting models, the Facebook traffic impact analysis did not accurately identify and quantify increased traffic demand on affected routes such as Middlefield and marsh Roads. "This faulty analysis resulted in understated traffic impacts and inadequate mitigation measures. "For the cumulative traffic scenario, the Facebook traffic EIR did not include significant future projects, such as the North Air Oaks Community Plan

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

1	cumulative analysis would show in increased degradation	1	to have a significant impact at the Marsh/Middlefield
2	of traffic conditions at the Marsh/Middlefield	2	intersection in the cumulative scenario.
3	intersection if the North Air Oaks Project was properly	3	"However, the EIR failed to describe the
4	included in the Facebook Draft EIR.	4	heavy demand for westbound to northbound right turns from
5	"This omission represents another flaw	5	Marsh Road to Middlefield Road. The existing
6	which results in understated traffic impacts and	6	intersection design results in vehicle queues which
7	inadequate mitigation measures.	7	extend easterly along Marsh Road beyond Fair Oaks Avenue.
8	"The Facebook EIR failed to analyze	8	"This results in traffic using residential
9	additional potentially significant traffic impacts within	9	streets in Atherton such as Fair Oaks, Holbrook Lane,
10	the Town of Atherton since the analysis did not extend	10	Palmer Lane and San Benito Avenue to bypass Marsh Road,
11	beyond the Marsh/Middlefield intersection.	11	which creates neighborhood traffic and safety impacts.
12	"For instance, even by using artificially	12	"This represents another problem with
13	low generation rates and not including significant future	13	Facebook traffic analysis."
14		14	And then in addition to the information
	development in cumulative scenarios, the EIR identifies		
15	an additional 200 peak hour trips converging at the	15	that was provided in the letter, it was also noted that
16	Marsh/Middlefield intersection.	16	the Final EIR mitigation measure for improving Marsh/
17	"All these additional trips are traveling	17	Middlefield and identifies it as being unavoidable
18	on Atherton streets beyond Marsh/Middlefield	18	because it is located outside your jurisdiction and would
19	intersection. Accordingly, the Town of Atherton believes	19	depend on an outside agency for implementation.
20	the traffic impact analysis must be expanded to properly	20	This is not a correct statement under CEQA,
21	analyze potentially significant impacts to streets such	21	and the recent case of City of San Diego versus Board of
22	as Fair Oaks Lane, Watkins, Atherton Avenue, Stockbridge,	22	Trustees held correctly that where a mitigation depends
23	Encinal, Glenwood and other residential streets used to	23	on an outside agency for implementation, the adopting
24	bypass impacted intersections.	24	agency is required to assess the feasibility of paying
25	"And finally, the Facebook project is shown	25	the outside agency to implement the mitigation measure.
	Page 66		Page 67
1			
1	It is important to note that Atherton staff	1	Facebook.
2	has indicated that mitigation measures at this	2	It represented a radical improvement over
3	intersection could be funded by the applicant and	3	the situation that obtained in December when there was
4	contractually obligated for implementation by the town		
5		4	that total denial as articulated in the Keyser Marston
	with rebate to Facebook over time as other others are	5	Associates report of December 21st of any significant
6	required to contribute their fair share to this	5 6	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the
7	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation.	5 6 7	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion.
7 8	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the	5 6 7 8	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto
7 8 9	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation.	5 6 7	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion.
7 8	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the	5 6 7 8	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto
7 8 9	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time.	5 6 7 8 9	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely
7 8 9 10	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact.
7 8 9 10 11	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for
7 8 9 10 11 12	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack. MR. WEBSTER: Chairman Ferrick, members of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate neighbor; not only to the City of Menlo Park, but also to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack. MR. WEBSTER: Chairman Ferrick, members of the Menlo Park Planning Commission, my name is William	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate neighbor; not only to the City of Menlo Park, but also to the City of East Palo Alto.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack. MR. WEBSTER: Chairman Ferrick, members of the Menlo Park Planning Commission, my name is William Webster. I reside at 480 East O'Keefe in the City of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate neighbor; not only to the City of Menlo Park, but also to the City of East Palo Alto. I do have one remaining caveat with with
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack. MR. WEBSTER: Chairman Ferrick, members of the Menlo Park Planning Commission, my name is William Webster. I reside at 480 East O'Keefe in the City of East Palo Alto.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate neighbor; not only to the City of Menlo Park, but also to the City of East Palo Alto. I do have one remaining caveat with with regard to the Final EIR. In response to my comments
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack. MR. WEBSTER: Chairman Ferrick, members of the Menlo Park Planning Commission, my name is William Webster. I reside at 480 East O'Keefe in the City of East Palo Alto. I'm now in my 20th year as the senior	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate neighbor; not only to the City of Menlo Park, but also to the City of East Palo Alto. I do have one remaining caveat with with regard to the Final EIR. In response to my comments letter, and I believe also to the East Palo Alto comments letter, there's a statement reiterating statement that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack. MR. WEBSTER: Chairman Ferrick, members of the Menlo Park Planning Commission, my name is William Webster. I reside at 480 East O'Keefe in the City of East Palo Alto. I'm now in my 20th year as the senior member of the East Palo Alto Rent Stabilization Board and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate neighbor; not only to the City of Menlo Park, but also to the City of East Palo Alto. I do have one remaining caveat with with regard to the Final EIR. In response to my comments letter, and I believe also to the East Palo Alto comments letter, there's a statement reiterating statement that the impacts upon housing and the threat of displacement
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack. MR. WEBSTER: Chairman Ferrick, members of the Menlo Park Planning Commission, my name is William Webster. I reside at 480 East O'Keefe in the City of East Palo Alto. I'm now in my 20th year as the senior member of the East Palo Alto Rent Stabilization Board and I serve on several other boards and committees in the City of East Palo Alto.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate neighbor; not only to the City of Menlo Park, but also to the City of East Palo Alto. I do have one remaining caveat with with regard to the Final EIR. In response to my comments letter, and I believe also to the East Palo Alto comments letter, there's a statement reiterating statement that the impacts upon housing and the threat of displacement of citizens is mitigated by 843 house housing units
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack. MR. WEBSTER: Chairman Ferrick, members of the Menlo Park Planning Commission, my name is William Webster. I reside at 480 East O'Keefe in the City of East Palo Alto. I'm now in my 20th year as the senior member of the East Palo Alto Rent Stabilization Board and I serve on several other boards and committees in the City of East Palo Alto. I forwarded to your attention my letter of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate neighbor; not only to the City of Menlo Park, but also to the City of East Palo Alto. I do have one remaining caveat with with regard to the Final EIR. In response to my comments letter, and I believe also to the East Palo Alto comments letter, there's a statement reiterating statement that the impacts upon housing and the threat of displacement of citizens is mitigated by 843 house housing units that would be built in East Palo Alto.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack. MR. WEBSTER: Chairman Ferrick, members of the Menlo Park Planning Commission, my name is William Webster. I reside at 480 East O'Keefe in the City of East Palo Alto. I'm now in my 20th year as the senior member of the East Palo Alto Rent Stabilization Board and I serve on several other boards and committees in the City of East Palo Alto. I forwarded to your attention my letter of commendation to the East Palo Alto negotiating team or	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate neighbor; not only to the City of Menlo Park, but also to the City of East Palo Alto. I do have one remaining caveat with with regard to the Final EIR. In response to my comments letter, and I believe also to the East Palo Alto comments letter, there's a statement reiterating statement that the impacts upon housing and the threat of displacement of citizens is mitigated by 843 house housing units that would be built in East Palo Alto. It's clear even from the Kayser Marston
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack. MR. WEBSTER: Chairman Ferrick, members of the Menlo Park Planning Commission, my name is William Webster. I reside at 480 East O'Keefe in the City of East Palo Alto. I'm now in my 20th year as the senior member of the East Palo Alto Rent Stabilization Board and I serve on several other boards and committees in the City of East Palo Alto. I forwarded to your attention my letter of commendation to the East Palo Alto negotiating team or rather to the East Palo Alto City Council expressing	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate neighbor; not only to the City of Menlo Park, but also to the City of East Palo Alto. I do have one remaining caveat with with regard to the Final EIR. In response to my comments letter, and I believe also to the East Palo Alto comments letter, there's a statement reiterating statement that the impacts upon housing and the threat of displacement of citizens is mitigated by 843 house housing units that would be built in East Palo Alto. It's clear even from the Kayser Marston Associates report of December 31st, that it was unlikely
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack. MR. WEBSTER: Chairman Ferrick, members of the Menlo Park Planning Commission, my name is William Webster. I reside at 480 East O'Keefe in the City of East Palo Alto. I'm now in my 20th year as the senior member of the East Palo Alto Rent Stabilization Board and I serve on several other boards and committees in the City of East Palo Alto. I forwarded to your attention my letter of commendation to the East Palo Alto negotiating team or rather to the East Palo Alto City Council expressing my my modified congratulations or approval of the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate neighbor; not only to the City of Menlo Park, but also to the City of East Palo Alto. I do have one remaining caveat with with regard to the Final EIR. In response to my comments letter, and I believe also to the East Palo Alto comments letter, there's a statement reiterating statement that the impacts upon housing and the threat of displacement of citizens is mitigated by 843 house housing units that would be built in East Palo Alto. It's clear even from the Kayser Marston Associates report of December 31st, that it was unlikely that these 843 units would be built because of the fact
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	required to contribute their fair share to this litigation. Either situation would render the mitigation totally feasible at this present time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and next up, we have William Webster followed by William Nack. MR. WEBSTER: Chairman Ferrick, members of the Menlo Park Planning Commission, my name is William Webster. I reside at 480 East O'Keefe in the City of East Palo Alto. I'm now in my 20th year as the senior member of the East Palo Alto Rent Stabilization Board and I serve on several other boards and committees in the City of East Palo Alto. I forwarded to your attention my letter of commendation to the East Palo Alto negotiating team or rather to the East Palo Alto City Council expressing	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Associates report of December 21st of any significant negative impacts upon the City of East Palo Alto for the Facebook campus expansion. The resulting agreement is a de facto acknowledgement that these negative impacts definitely exist potentially or in fact. I want to thank very much Facebook for for its willingness to take steps to be a good corporate neighbor; not only to the City of Menlo Park, but also to the City of East Palo Alto. I do have one remaining caveat with with regard to the Final EIR. In response to my comments letter, and I believe also to the East Palo Alto comments letter, there's a statement reiterating statement that the impacts upon housing and the threat of displacement of citizens is mitigated by 843 house housing units that would be built in East Palo Alto. It's clear even from the Kayser Marston Associates report of December 31st, that it was unlikely

emerickfinch@emerickfinch.com

1 Eight days later, the C	California State	1 Mr. Nack, welcome.
2 Supreme Court confirmed that		2 MR. NACK: Thank you.
3 these 843 units would be built.		3 Good evening, Chair Ferrick and other
4 Yet in the final report	, despite the fact	4 honorable members of the Planning Commission. My name
5 that the the actuality or the p		5 William Nack. I'm a resident of Menlo Park. I am also
6 construction of any number the		6 speaking on behalf of the San Mateo County Building
7 there continues to be affirmed		7 Trades Council.
8 a negative impact.		8 In 2010, Facebook, the world's largest
9 I want to make it clea	r it's unlikely that	9 social network and one of Silicon Valley's fastest
those units will be built and co		10 growing companies, approached Menlo Park about making
movement of Facebook emplo		11 this community as their home.
measurable impact upon the re	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	12 Facebook's move to Menlo Park would
and their ability to continue in		 renovate an under-utilized corporate campus for its new
I thank I thank Face		14 global headquarters.
		15 Putting an existing unproductive property
0	tie a place at the table.	 back to work with such a flagship company provides Menlo
In all of my statements before	<i>j</i> •, • <i>j</i> • •	Park with an enormous public benefit.
in my comments letter, all I wa		 Park with an enormous public benefit. Facebook's move from Palo Alto to Menlo
authorization for a place at the	uote unough une	
constitution of the Board of A		19 Park provides construction jobs and massive economic
20 community fund.		20 opportunity at a time when the city and the county is
At least we are getting	B,	21 facing tough academic challenges.
everybody who was involved i	in maning that mappen	22 Facebook has already established itself as
23 Thank you.		a good neighbor to the surrounding community by funding
	······································	24 charities, working in local schools, sponsoring community
25 Webster.		25 events and supporting their new neighbors in Belle Haven.
	Page 70	Page
1 We agree with staff's re	commendation that	1 behalf of Facebook and some of the outreach they've dom
2 Facebook's project include subst		2 for the non-profit sector.
3 respectfully request that the Plan		1
		³ I feel that as a community, we re really at
4 recommend that the City Council	il adopt a resolution	3 I feel that as a community, we're really at 4 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit
2		4 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit
5 approving the requested amende	d and restated Conditional	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the
approving the requested amendeDevelopment Agreement, approv	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very
 approving the requested amende Development Agreement, approv Development Agreement, adopt 	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit
 approving the requested amende Development Agreement, approv Development Agreement, adopt heritage tree removal permits, adopt 	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector.
 approving the requested amende Development Agreement, approv Development Agreement, adopt heritage tree removal permits, ac certifying the Environmental Improvement 	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the lopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know,
 approving the requested amende Development Agreement, approv Development Agreement, adopt heritage tree removal permits, ad certifying the Environmental Impresolution adopting the Statement 	d and restated Conditional we an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding 1	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable
 approving the requested amende Development Agreement, approv Development Agreement, adopt heritage tree removal permits, ad certifying the Environmental Imp resolution adopting the Statemer Considerations and Mitigation M 	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding 1 Monitoring Reporting 1	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring
 approving the requested amende Development Agreement, approv Development Agreement, adopt heritage tree removal permits, ad certifying the Environmental Im resolution adopting the Statement Considerations and Mitigation M Program. 	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding 1 Monitoring Reporting 1	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's
 approving the requested amende Development Agreement, approv Development Agreement, adopt heritage tree removal permits, ad certifying the Environmental Im resolution adopting the Statemer Considerations and Mitigation M Program. You now have the opport 	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding 1 Monitoring Reporting 1 prtunity to make Menlo 1	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable
 approving the requested amende Development Agreement, approv Development Agreement, adopt heritage tree removal permits, ad certifying the Environmental Improving the Statement Considerations and Mitigation M Program. You now have the opport Park home to one of the world's 	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding 1 Monitoring Reporting 1 ortunity to make Menlo 1 most popular, intriguing 1	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable housing.
 approving the requested amende Development Agreement, approving Development Agreement, adopt heritage tree removal permits, ad certifying the Environmental Improving resolution adopting the Statemer Considerations and Mitigation M Program. You now have the opport Park home to one of the world's and successful companies. 	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding 1 Monitoring Reporting 1 prtunity to make Menlo 1 most popular, intriguing 1	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable housing. So as we go forward, I would hope that
 approving the requested amende Development Agreement, approv Development Agreement, adopt heritage tree removal permits, ad certifying the Environmental Imp resolution adopting the Statement Considerations and Mitigation M Program. You now have the oppo Park home to one of the world's and successful companies. Thank you. 	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding 1 Monitoring Reporting 1 portunity to make Menlo 1 most popular, intriguing 1	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable housing. So as we go forward, I would hope that that as decisions are being made, that it would be kept
 approving the requested amende Development Agreement, approv Development Agreement, adopt heritage tree removal permits, ad certifying the Environmental Im resolution adopting the Statemer Considerations and Mitigation M Program. You now have the oppo Park home to one of the world's and successful companies. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERE 	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding Monitoring Reporting 1 ortunity to make Menlo most popular, intriguing 1 RICK: Thank you, Mr. Nack.	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable housing. So as we go forward, I would hope that that as decisions are being made, that it would be kept in mind that we do have a great community partner that's
5approving the requested amende6Development Agreement, approv7Development Agreement, adopt8heritage tree removal permits, ad9certifying the Environmental Im10resolution adopting the Statement11Considerations and Mitigation M12Program.13You now have the opport14Park home to one of the world's15and successful companies.16Thank you.17CHAIRPERSON FERF18Up next, we have Kate G	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding 1 Monitoring Reporting 1 prtunity to make Menlo 1 most popular, intriguing 1 RICK: Thank you, Mr. Nack. 1 Comfort Harr and then 1	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable housing. So as we go forward, I would hope that that as decisions are being made, that it would be kept in mind that we do have a great community partner that's made a very conscientious effort to to reach out to
5 approving the requested amende 6 Development Agreement, approv 7 Development Agreement, adopt 8 heritage tree removal permits, ad 9 certifying the Environmental Im 10 resolution adopting the Statemer 11 Considerations and Mitigation M 12 Program. 13 You now have the oppo 14 Park home to one of the world's 15 and successful companies. 16 Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON FERF 18 Up next, we have Kate G 19 followed by Ray Mueller.	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding 1 Monitoring Reporting 1 prtunity to make Menlo 1 most popular, intriguing 1 RICK: Thank you, Mr. Nack. 1 Comfort Harr and then 1	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable housing. So as we go forward, I would hope that that as decisions are being made, that it would be kept in mind that we do have a great community partner that's made a very conscientious effort to to reach out to the community and that would be taken into light as you
5 approving the requested amende 6 Development Agreement, approv 7 Development Agreement, adopt 8 heritage tree removal permits, ad 9 certifying the Environmental Improving the Statemer 10 resolution adopting the Statemer 11 Considerations and Mitigation M 12 Program. 13 You now have the oppor 14 Park home to one of the world's 15 and successful companies. 16 Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON FERF 18 Up next, we have Kate G 19 followed by Ray Mueller. 20 MS. HARR: Hello and	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding Monitoring Reporting 1 fortunity to make Menlo most popular, intriguing 1 RICK: Thank you, Mr. Nack. Comfort Harr and then 1 thank you for the 2	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable housing. So as we go forward, I would hope that that as decisions are being made, that it would be kept in mind that we do have a great community partner that's made a very conscientious effort to to reach out to the community and that would be taken into light as you go forward making your decision.
5 approving the requested amende 6 Development Agreement, approv 7 Development Agreement, adopt 8 heritage tree removal permits, ad 9 certifying the Environmental Import 10 resolution adopting the Statemer 11 Considerations and Mitigation M 12 Program. 13 You now have the opport 14 Park home to one of the world's 15 and successful companies. 16 Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON FERF 18 Up next, we have Kate G 19 followed by Ray Mueller. 20 MS. HARR: Hello and 21 opportunity to speak tonight. I at	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding Monitoring Reporting 1 ortunity to make Menlo most popular, intriguing 1 RICK: Thank you, Mr. Nack. Comfort Harr and then 1 d thank you for the am Kate Comfort Harr. I 2	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable housing. So as we go forward, I would hope that that as decisions are being made, that it would be kept in mind that we do have a great community partner that's made a very conscientious effort to to reach out to the community and that would be taken into light as you go forward making your decision.
5 approving the requested amende 6 Development Agreement, approving 7 Development Agreement, adopt 8 heritage tree removal permits, adopt 9 certifying the Environmental Improving 10 resolution adopting the Statemer 11 Considerations and Mitigation Mitiga	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding Monitoring Reporting 1 ortunity to make Menlo most popular, intriguing 1 RICK: Thank you, Mr. Nack. Comfort Harr and then 1 d thank you for the am Kate Comfort Harr. I 2	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable housing. So as we go forward, I would hope that that as decisions are being made, that it would be kept in mind that we do have a great community partner that's made a very conscientious effort to to reach out to the community and that would be taken into light as you go forward making your decision.
5 approving the requested amende 6 Development Agreement, approving 7 Development Agreement, adopt 8 heritage tree removal permits, ad 9 certifying the Environmental Improving 10 resolution adopting the Statemer 11 Considerations and Mitigation M 12 Program. 13 You now have the oppor 14 Park home to one of the world's 15 and successful companies. 16 Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON FERF 18 Up next, we have Kate G 19 followed by Ray Mueller. 20 MS. HARR: Hello and 21 opportunity to speak tonight. I a 22 am Executive Director of HIP H	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding Monitoring Reporting 1 fortunity to make Menlo most popular, intriguing 1 RICK: Thank you, Mr. Nack. Comfort Harr and then 1 d thank you for the um Kate Comfort Harr. I 2 jousing. 2	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable housing. So as we go forward, I would hope that that as decisions are being made, that it would be kept in mind that we do have a great community partner that's made a very conscientious effort to to reach out to the community and that would be taken into light as you go forward making your decision.
5 approving the requested amende 6 Development Agreement, approving 7 Development Agreement, adopt 8 heritage tree removal permits, ad 9 certifying the Environmental Improving 10 resolution adopting the Statemer 11 Considerations and Mitigation M 12 Program. 13 You now have the oppor 14 Park home to one of the world's 15 and successful companies. 16 Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON FERF 18 Up next, we have Kate G 19 followed by Ray Mueller. 20 MS. HARR: Hello and 21 opportunity to speak tonight. I a 22 am Executive Director of HIP H	d and restated Conditional ve an ordinance for the a resolution approving the dopt a resolution pact Report and adopt a nt of Overriding fonitoring Reporting not popular, intriguing RICK: Thank you, Mr. Nack. Comfort Harr and then 1 thank you for the am Kate Comfort Harr. I 2 ousing. 2 anization that 2 2	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable housing. So as we go forward, I would hope that that as decisions are being made, that it would be kept in mind that we do have a great community partner that's made a very conscientious effort to to reach out to the community and that would be taken into light as you go forward making your decision. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you. That
5 approving the requested amende 6 Development Agreement, approv 7 Development Agreement, adopt 8 heritage tree removal permits, ad 9 certifying the Environmental Im 10 resolution adopting the Statemer 11 Considerations and Mitigation M 12 Program. 13 You now have the oppo 14 Park home to one of the world's 15 and successful companies. 16 Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON FERF 18 Up next, we have Kate G 19 followed by Ray Mueller. 20 MS. HARR: Hello and 21 opportunity to speak tonight. I a 22 am Executive Director of HIP H 23 We are a non-profit org	d and restated Conditionalve an ordinance for thea resolution approving thelopt a resolutionpact Report and adopt ant of OverridingMonitoring Reportingnt of Overridingnonitoring Reportingnost popular, intriguingnomost popu	 our best when the public, the private and the non-profit come together to work well, and the magic is in the middle, and I feel that Facebook has done a very conscientious effort to reach out to the non-profit sector. As Mr. Nack had commented, you know, reaching out to school districts, to local affordable housing agencies, including my own, and also sponsoring major events like the progress seminar and today's luncheon with Harr, which is all about affordable housing. So as we go forward, I would hope that that as decisions are being made, that it would be kept in mind that we do have a great community partner that's made a very conscientious effort to to reach out to the community and that would be taken into light as you go forward making your decision. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you. That

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

1	speaking to you tonight as a resident, although I am a	1	Stanford at ten percent go past twenty
2	member of the Menlo Park Transportation Commission.	2	percent and the twenty percent alternative transportation
3	I thought I was going to come here tonight	3	TDM.
4	and it was going to be a relatively simple night, and	4	Why is this going to work? Well, it works
5	then I found this letter from Caltrans, and what I wanted	5	because of and it really gets to the crux of this
6	to do real quick is go over it with you because I've had	6	letter, I believe.
7	the chance to go through it real quickly.	7	It works because of the TDM penalties that
8	And so for your edification, if you look at	8	you see in the Development Agreement which are
9	the majority of this letter, it deals with the 25 percent	9	significant, which it can be up as I think the example
10	trip reduction figure. That's paragraphs 4, 5 and 6.	10	goes up to \$400,000 per day.
11	The problem with that is that Caltrans had	11	And when you look at that figure and you
12	that figure prior, and in their prior response to the	12	start to think about that money, then you have to go back
13	City, it just simply asked for more information.	13	and think why did Caltrans send this letter, and I
14	A good portion of this letter focuses on	14	believe the reason why Caltrans sent this letter is
15	the fact that 25 percent number doesn't comport with	15	reflected in paragraph 4, sub F.
16	realistic expectations.	16	If you look at paragraph 4, sub F, it ends
17	They could have raised that issue earlier	17	with "who will benefit from the financial penalties
18	in their first letter to the City. They did not.	18	received?"
19	Now, why that's important is they base	19	And when I saw that, I reflected for a
20	base it on their 2004 2004 engineering handbook, but	20	moment, because I thought it was odd that Caltrans at the
21	things have changed since 2004, and when you go and you	21	last moment sent this letter to us on this project
22	look at their last paragraph, they ask for an example.	22	because transportation demand measures really affect two
23	A great example of a 25 percent	23	things, quality of life and who's going to pay for it.
24	actually, a greater than 25 percent trip reduction is	24	And there's a lot in here about that
25	Stanford.	25	sort of beats around the bush, that they didn't raise
	Page 74		Page 75
1		1	
1	initially, and then they sort of get to it in one	1	Thank you.
2	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially?	2	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And
2 3	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a	2 3	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina.
2 3 4	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone
2 3 4 5	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier.	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please
2 3 4 5 6	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff
2 3 4 5 6 7	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have.	2 3 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your	2 3 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the City and Caltrans.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the City and Caltrans. I think the letter's frankly a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the questions. First of all, in the staff report, there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the City and Caltrans. I think the letter's frankly a little inflammatory. I think it's meant to be that way from a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the questions. First of all, in the staff report, there was a mention of some changes in how the trip cap works,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the City and Caltrans. I think the letter's frankly a little inflammatory. I think it's meant to be that way from a negotiating standpoint.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the questions. First of all, in the staff report, there was a mention of some changes in how the trip cap works, and I was wondering what those were.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the City and Caltrans. I think the letter's frankly a little inflammatory. I think it's meant to be that way from a negotiating standpoint. Having said all of that, I really encourage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the questions. First of all, in the staff report, there was a mention of some changes in how the trip cap works, and I was wondering what those were. The second is a clarification of when does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the City and Caltrans. I think the letter's frankly a little inflammatory. I think it's meant to be that way from a negotiating standpoint. Having said all of that, I really encourage you tonight to move this plan forward and to certify it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the questions. First of all, in the staff report, there was a mention of some changes in how the trip cap works, and I was wondering what those were. The second is a clarification of when does the reporting start. Is that date triggered by the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the City and Caltrans. I think the letter's frankly a little inflammatory. I think it's meant to be that way from a negotiating standpoint. Having said all of that, I really encourage you tonight to move this plan forward and to certify it and to to recommend to Council that they go ahead and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the questions. First of all, in the staff report, there was a mention of some changes in how the trip cap works, and I was wondering what those were. The second is a clarification of when does the reporting start. Is that date triggered by the same thing that some of the other changes were triggered?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the City and Caltrans. I think the letter's frankly a little inflammatory. I think it's meant to be that way from a negotiating standpoint. Having said all of that, I really encourage you tonight to move this plan forward and to certify it and to to recommend to Council that they go ahead and go forward with all of the the appropriate ordinances.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the questions. First of all, in the staff report, there was a mention of some changes in how the trip cap works, and I was wondering what those were. The second is a clarification of when does the reporting start. Is that date triggered by the same thing that some of the other changes were triggered? Which is thirty days after the Development Agreement is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the City and Caltrans. I think the letter's frankly a little inflammatory. I think it's meant to be that way from a negotiating standpoint. Having said all of that, I really encourage you tonight to move this plan forward and to certify it and to to recommend to Council that they go ahead and go forward with all of the the appropriate ordinances. This campus means so much; not only to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the questions. First of all, in the staff report, there was a mention of some changes in how the trip cap works, and I was wondering what those were. The second is a clarification of when does the reporting start. Is that date triggered by the same thing that some of the other changes were triggered? Which is thirty days after the Development Agreement is signed, which is the CEQA statute of limitations, or is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the City and Caltrans. I think the letter's frankly a little inflammatory. I think it's meant to be that way from a negotiating standpoint. Having said all of that, I really encourage you tonight to move this plan forward and to certify it and to to recommend to Council that they go ahead and go forward with all of the the appropriate ordinances. This campus means so much; not only to Menlo Park, but frankly to the valley, and we're at a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the questions. First of all, in the staff report, there was a mention of some changes in how the trip cap works, and I was wondering what those were. The second is a clarification of when does the reporting start. Is that date triggered by the same thing that some of the other changes were triggered? Which is thirty days after the Development Agreement is signed, which is the CEQA statute of limitations, or is that correct for when the reporting kicks in? Does that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the City and Caltrans. I think the letter's frankly a little inflammatory. I think it's meant to be that way from a negotiating standpoint. Having said all of that, I really encourage you tonight to move this plan forward and to certify it and to to recommend to Council that they go ahead and go forward with all of the the appropriate ordinances. This campus means so much; not only to Menlo Park, but frankly to the valley, and we're at a very critical time right now in the valley to get this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the questions. First of all, in the staff report, there was a mention of some changes in how the trip cap works, and I was wondering what those were. The second is a clarification of when does the reporting start. Is that date triggered by the same thing that some of the other changes were triggered? Which is thirty days after the Development Agreement is signed, which is the CEQA statute of limitations, or is that correct for when the reporting kicks in? Does that make sense?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sentence. Are we going to benefit from this financially? So as you go through this, I really think a great portion of this can be discounted because it was wasn't raised earlier. Paragraph 3, if you look at it, we have completely within our right as as proposed in our master response to set the limitation at fifteen years as to what they're saying we should have. So I wanted to bring that to your attention, because when I first saw this letter, it really did give me pause, but I I think that this is something that honestly can be worked out between the City and Caltrans. I think the letter's frankly a little inflammatory. I think it's meant to be that way from a negotiating standpoint. Having said all of that, I really encourage you tonight to move this plan forward and to certify it and to to recommend to Council that they go ahead and go forward with all of the the appropriate ordinances. This campus means so much; not only to Menlo Park, but frankly to the valley, and we're at a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Ray. And Adina. That's the last card I have. If anyone else is interested in commenting on this item, please fill out a speaker card and bring it up to the staff table. Thanks. Adina. MS. LEVIN: Good evening, Chair and Commissioners. Adina Levin, resident of Menlo Park, and I have a couple of questions relating to some of the late breaking changes that the Chair and Commission may find relevant to be answered by staff, and if so, here's the questions. First of all, in the staff report, there was a mention of some changes in how the trip cap works, and I was wondering what those were. The second is a clarification of when does the reporting start. Is that date triggered by the same thing that some of the other changes were triggered? Which is thirty days after the Development Agreement is signed, which is the CEQA statute of limitations, or is that correct for when the reporting kicks in? Does that

^{20 (}Pages 74 to 77)

1	thirty days after the Development Agreement is signed?	1	if there's any kind of litigation.
2	Third question has to do with the	2	And my concern there is if there are others
3	pedestrian undercrossing, and another detail one of	3	unrelated to East Palo Alto for example, if Atherton
4	the mitigation measures, which is as I understand it	4	would choose to sue, would that obviate all the other
5	correctly closing off the pedestrian exit from the	5	community benefits with East Palo Alto? Or, you know,
6	Facebook campus crossing the street going over	6	is is it only an East Palo Alto related term?
7	crossing Bayfront Expressway.	7	So those are the questions that came to
8	And if I understand that right, is that	8	mind with the late late breaking changes.
9	actually correlated with the existence of the	9	And in summary, I would continue to express
10	undercrossing so that once there's an undercrossing,	10	happiness that Facebook is in town. It's a tremendous
11	people will be expected to do that and not cross on the	11	asset to the community. Really happy to see the
12	street?	12	improvements to bike and pedestrian access which will
13	Or might that happen prior, which would	13	help Facebook achieve its trip cap and help residents of
14	obviously hamper people crossing the street without a	14	the area getting around without needing to drive quite so
15	compensation you know, without the ability to use the	15	much and add traffic to the roads, and I hope that this
16	undercrossing.	16	Commission and that the City Council move this to a
17	Last question has to do with something that	17	conclusion so that Facebook can settle in and grow.
18	I observed in the agreement with East Palo Alto, which	18	Thank you.
19	was received by East Palo Alto a week ago.	19	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, Adina.
20	There was a clause in there that said if	20	All right. That's the last card. Any
21	there's any litigation on the matter, that the	21	other cards anywhere? No? All right. Great.
22	agreement with East Palo Alto, which includes	22	That concludes public comments, and before
23	significant improvements to the bicycle and pedestrian	23	we go to questions, I wanted to ask the applicant if he
24	facilities which help Facebook commuters and as well	24	would like to say anything. John Tenanas.
25	as it being a community benefit, those would be obviated	25	MR. TENANAS: Good evening. Let me begin
	Page 78		Page 79
1	by thanking you and also a big thanks to the staff.	1	invite your questions and welcome any constructive
2	You've done an amazing job. Thanks so much.	2	conversations about how we can cement Facebook's future
3	You've been a powerful and valuable voice	3	in this community.
4	during our move here to Facebook's move to Menlo Park.	4	Thanks so much.
5	You've been you've been in contact or we've been in	5	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you very much.
6	contact directly.	6	All right, and with that, did you have
7	In fact, I've made formal presentations to	7	anything to add before we bring it back up here for
8	you about our plans and public.	8	questions and comments?
9	I believe we enjoy a positive collaborative	9	MR. MURPHY: No, but at at some point,
10	process. Because we've listened, we've taken your	10	you will want to officially close the public hearing with
11	feedback, we stretched to meet your concerns that you've	11	a motion and second. You can do that now and ask
12	raised in our last few meetings.	12	questions or you could hold it open for a little bit, but
13	As a result, the agreement that you that	13	we want to make sure we do close the public hearing.
14	we're considering represents a concrete commitment to	14	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. I've
15	Menlo Park and our neighboring communities.	15	given everybody plenty of notice to get their comments
16	You deserve a great deal of credit for your	16	cards in.
17	contributions to you what has been done. It's been a	17	So I just assume make the motion to close
18	very positive experience for us.	18	the public hearing. If there's a second out there.
19	During the process of our move, we've grown	19	COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Second.
20	from a company looking for more efficient workspace into	20	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Jack O'Malley
21	a good neighbor looking out for our local community, and	21	seconds that.
22	along the way, we've built strong friendships and new	22	And all those in favor? All those oh,
23	partnerships focused on a better Menlo Park and the	23	that was unanimous. Six to zero with Commissioner Yu
24 25	neighboring communities.	24 25	absent.
20	Thank you again for your help. I'd like to	25	And all right. So bringing it back up here Page 81
	Page 80		

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

800-331-9029

1	for discussion, I wanted to actually start, if that's all	1	MR. MURPHY: For all the requirements,
2	right with you all, myself with just asking some of the	2	okay. So in terms of the annual reporting, that would be
3	questions that one of the public comment speakers, Adina	3	one year after the effective date of the agreement.
4	Levin raised just to get some clarification from probably	4	So if it's effective in July, then every
5	Justin or Rachel on a little bit more explanation of the	5	July, we would do the annual reporting.
6	changes and how the trip cap works.	6	If it is specific to the annual in terms
7	Chip Taylor.	7	of monitoring the the trips, then that's within the
8	MR. TAYLOR: Yeah. I'll answer that one,	8	180 days of the effective date, the equipment needs to be
9	because I think some of the others, I'll probably wind up	9	installed, and that's in the trip cap policy on page 4 of
10	answering.	10	that attachment G.
11	But ultimately the trip cap, the only thing	11	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Okay. And for those
12	that changed was that the penalty was actually put	12	who don't know the equipment actually is the automatic
13	forward.	13	counting of cars equipment.
14	So that was just not identified early. So	14	All right. Thank you.
15	now the penalty is identified. That's the only change to	15	And then the pedestrian under
16	it.	16	undercrossing. Is the closure of the at grade pedestrian
17	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Great. Thank you.	17	crossing correlated with the opening of the under-
18	Maybe it's Rachel or maybe it's Chip. I don't know.	18	crossing?
19	When does the reporting start for the	19	MR. TAYLOR: There was a time when we were
20	after signing?	20	looking to potentially close the above grade or at grade
21	MR. TAYLOR: For the trip cap or or	21	crossing as the undercrossing was developed, but we've
22	just reporting in general?	22	decided to leave both of those open for the time being.
23	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: It sounds like it	23	At some point in the future, we may discuss
24	had more to do with all the requirements rather than just	24	that further and decide maybe it's appropriate to close
25	the trip cap.	25	the at grade closing, but for right now, both would
	Page 82		Page 83
1	remain open.	1	project, why do we have partial mitigations? Why can't
2	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Any	2	we mitigate everything here? Is it just not possible?
3	other discussion points up here? Oh, and just for a	3	How are these decisions made?
4	process, too, we have the option I checked this out	4	MS. GROSSMAN: I'm going to start and then
5	earlier of making the recommendation in one big	5	I can turn it over to Mr. Taylor for further insert.
6	motion.	6	Just to clarify what you were pointing to
7	We could break out the Environmental Impact	7	is my staff report. There was an attachment to the East
8	Report or we could take each of those five items one at a	8	Palo Alto letter, and so actually this table's been
9	time to make the recommendations or change the	9	updated and it's included in the Final EIR on page 5-15.
10	recommendations or what have you.	10	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Yes.
11	So just so you know, we have some options	11	MS. GROSSMAN: So includes some additional
12	and flexibility there, and I'll start with Commissioner	12	information. It's a little bit different format. It was
13	Bressler.	13	structured differently to fit better into the staff
14	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Okay. There's a	14	report.
15	list on the EIR document, page 4-163, and this the far	15	In regards to Atherton, I apologize if I
16	right column on this page, this is about intersections	16	was not clear, but the mit the mitigation measure
17	and whether the mitigation measures have really mitigated	17	included in the EIR and is required as part of the
18	the problem.	18	will be required when the West Campus comes forward,
19	And my question is: The gentleman from	19	because it's triggered with the East Campus and West
20	Atherton in particular got me thinking about this. He's	20	Campus cumulative, would mitigate the impacts.
21	saying that he thought it was feasible to fully mitigate	21	However, since it is in a jurisdiction
	surving that he thought it was reasone to fully illugate		-
22		2.2	
22 23	the problems at Marsh and some other problems throughout	22	other than the City of Menlo Park, we still identified
23	the problems at Marsh and some other problems throughout Atherton.	23	those as a significant and unavoidable.
23 24	the problems at Marsh and some other problems throughout Atherton. And it just seems like we've got a company	23 24	those as a significant and unavoidable. It's thought that perhaps Atherton and
23	the problems at Marsh and some other problems throughout Atherton.	23	those as a significant and unavoidable.

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

800-331-9029

1	can let Chip speak.	1	improvement.
2	And he was just highlighting the fact that	2	And so that was calculated to pay for their
3	that particular mitigation is a fair share contribution.	3	portion of the impact at the intersection.
4	So it doesn't fully mitigate that that intersection	4	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Since we're on
5	impact unless other projects are to contribute to the	5	this topic, I want to follow up on something a little
6	construction.	6	different.
7	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: All right. So I	7	I'm not aware of it if it's in here, but is
8	just want to be really clear. You said a few things	8	there any analysis that looks at whether improving bike
9	there.	9	trails east-west across the City would have any
10	If everybody goes along with the program,	10	beneficial effect?
11	will these impacts be mitigated according to the EIR?	11	MR. TAYLOR: There's not that analysis.
12	MR. TAYLOR: In the case of Marsh and	12	Right now, there's not a lot of good models that actually
13	Middlefield, it's in that particular case, there is a	13	will allow that analysis to take place and really be more
14	mitigation measure that's in the document. The one	14	legally defensible.
15	that's in the document is the feasible mitigation	15	And so as over time, I think that that's
16	measure.	16	going to be more of an emphasis and there's going to be
17	And so similar to other projects that have	17	more tools available to do those sorts of analyses, but
18	been done for this particular intersection, the project	18	right now, there really isn't that sort of analysis to do
19	is going to pay a fair share contribution toward that	19	anything to analyze what kind of reduction you might see
20	improvement, and they're actually paying a fair share of	20	in traffic for building a bike trail.
21	the new traffic that's being added to the intersection.	21	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Okay. So that
22	So even though they currently add about	22	that was my impression, the fact that we didn't have the
23	eight percent in the long range time frame, their	23	analysis, we couldn't support the mitigation measures is
23		24	really the explanation why there may not be any
24 25	actually their fair share contribution is going to be	24	
25	about thirty percent of the total cost of that	20	mitigation for anything like that or improvements in the
	Page 86	<u> </u>	Page 87
1	bike trails as described.	1	confirming that the traffic impact is based on a
2	Thank you.	2	different work type, this being the M-2 zone, but also
3	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right.	3	being a traffic corridor from the East Bay into the
4	Commissioner Riggs.	4	Peninsula.
5	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you.	5	Is is that perhaps why the traffic
6	Just because I have it written down here, I	6	appears to peak at the hours that are suggested, the 7:00
7	want to observe that the trip monitoring is by the	7	to 9: 00 and the 4:00 to 6:00? This would be for Chip.
8	Development Agreement determined by the City and paid for	8	MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the analysis is based
9	by Facebook, and it of course is reported to the City,	9	on the traffic counts in the area, and we've looked at
10	and I think has someone else says a public speaker has	10	historical count, and typically historically, the peak
11	noted, the penalties for failing to keep within the trip	11	hour in this particular area is anywhere from 7:15 to
12	count are quite significant.	12	8:15, 7:30 to 8:30, 7:45 to 8:45.
13	I as a Planning Commissioner, I find	13	It kind of varies depending on the year,
14	this quite important because a lot of well, a		
14 15	significant part of our approval of the increased density	14	but it's clearly in that 7:00 to 9:00 time frame is when
		15	the roadway peaks.
16	is based on the faith that the traffic will indeed be	16	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: All right. Well, you
17	held in check.	17	can't argue with observation.
18	My question regarding traffic has to do	18	And then can you give us just an idea of
19	with the peak hour, which is something that Ben also	19	the traffic flow during those hours? I mean, we're
20	touched on, and I think a lot of us have observed that	20	looking at a potential campus at full buildout of over
21	there are a lot of professionals in the South Bay who	21	9,000.
22	operate at a somewhat shifted time zone and that the	22	The traffic on say through the
23	likely traffic peak for Facebook might be one to two	23	intersection of the expressway and Willow Road during the
24	hours later than what was chosen for traffic impact.	24	peak hour. Just a rough order of magnitude?
25	I have two questions. First just	25	MR. TAYLOR: Well, I mean, there's
	Page 88		Page 89

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

r			
1	there's several points of entrance and exit for the site,	1	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: All right. Is it
2	but ultimately the trip cap is built on 2,600 cars or	2	fair to say that if the current peak hour traffic is
3	trips not cars, trips during the peak period, in the	3	between 7:00 and 9:00 and people coming to Facebook at
4	7:00 to 9:00 peak period and the 4:00 to 6:00 peak	4	ten o'clock are probably not going to exceed that current
5	period.	5	level of of traffic?
6	That's for the East Campus, and the West	6	MR. TAYLOR: I mean, as as you get past
7	Campus, I believe, the 1,100. I'd have to look at the	7	nine o'clock, the traffic volumes start to taper pretty
8	exact number, but it's a a lower number because	8	significantly.
9	there's a lower amount of square footage there as part of	9	So the background traffic or the existing
10	its trip cap.	10	traffic on the roadways tapers, which frees up a lot of
11	So that gives you some idea of the number	11	capacity on those roadways.
12	of vehicles that would be coming to the site.	12	And so by ten o'clock, you've got a lot of
13	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: All right. And just	13	additional capacity on the roadway where your signals are
14	to put that in perspective with what is currently there	14	operating at a much better level of service at that
15	now, I see on page 5-65, Willow Road daily traffic is in	15	point.
16	the 45,000 range.	16	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Okay. Thank you.
17	Do you have roughly what what northbound	17	I think that's it for questions. I'll have
18	Willow is, for example, during the peak hour?	18	a couple of comments later.
19	MR. TAYLOR: I I could try to look in	19	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Thanks.
20	the document and find that information. It might be in	20	And before I move on to Commissioners
21	there, but I don't have that number right off the top of	21	Kadvany and Eiref, I wanted to ask just a little bit
22	my head.	22	related to what you were just talking about. Actually, I
23	I think the numberS that you quoted, 45,000	23	wanted to touch on what Transportationer
24	shows some of the daily traffic that you see on Willow in	24	Transportation Commissioner Mueller commented on, that
25	the more cumulative time frame.	25	Caltrain the Caltrans letter that I know you haven't
25	Page 90	25	Page 91
	Tage 90		
1	had a chance to digest yet, but just in general how would	1	Kashiwagi's
2	you approach working with a an entity such as Caltrans	2	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Uh-huh.
3	after receiving such a letter?	3	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: comments from
4	MR. TAYLOR: Well, I mean, from from	4	Atherton.
5	the standpoint of obviously there's the legal issues that	5	What I understood him to say in addition to
6	we have to con deal with this, but as far as	6	the comments from staff was that the trip the trip
7	ultimately, we have to deal with them through the process	7	trip cap is nominal or artificial in some way, there
8	of the mitigation measures and and putting mitigation	8	therefore modifying the traffic models so that they'll
9	measures in.	9	obey this trip cap is misrepresenting the actual traffic
10	So we'll us just have to work with them,	10	volume.
11	and if there's some slightly different numbers that they	11	The models should have not had such a cap
12	want to see as we're doing the encroachment permit, we'll	12	or the cap should have been much higher, and if you have
13	just have to work with them and address those as we go.	13	a higher you know, that var what that variable
14	I don't have a good answer without looking through the	14	would have been of the amount of traffic coming into
15	letter.	15	Facebook would be higher, and that would lead to further
15 16	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: But it wouldn't	16	impacts in Atherton. So that's what I think I understood
10	change a Development Agreement if it's been signed and	17	him to be saying.
		18	
18	put into effect?		My own this is a question for the EIR
19	MR. TAYLOR: I I wouldn't anticipate,	19	lawyers and so on, but those we we do have these
20	no. I don't think it could.	20	stringent penalties and when do have a fixed parking lot
21	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Thank	21	at Facebook, which would seem to make the trip cap more
22	you.	22	than nominal or whatever artificial is the word he
23	Commissioner Kadvany.	23	used, but that's what that's what I heard in in
24	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I'll hold off on	24	addition to the to what was brought out by staff.
25	comments, but I do want to complete the thought on Mr.	25	So is that consistent with what you you
	Page 92		Page 93

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

1	all heard down there when he was he did that was	1	itself the process and what what is looked at
2	one of his major points of what he was reading.	2	certified by a state agency to say that it's an
3	MR. TAYLOR: Yeah. I believe that is	3	adequate you know, like you're looking at the right
4	one of the points that was in the letter, and we have	4	thing?
5	addressed that, and the key is that it's tied to the	5	MS. GROSSMAN: The document would actually
6	Conditional Development Permit, so it's tied to that.	6	be certified by our City Council.
7	There's a legal remedy, and then ultimately	7	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Okay.
8	you have a \$50 per trip penalty, which is very stiff and	8	Commissioner Eiref.
9	starts to increase very significantly each day that they	9	COMMISSIONER EIREF: I just wanted to
10	go over that penalty. So they have a a large	10	continue to explore the trip cap time limit just a little
11	incentive to stay under it.	11	bit more, because the big number, the 15,000 to me is not
12	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I understand. What	12	much of a real challenge. I'm guessing.
13	he in effect was saying that there we should have	13	You have if you have 6,600 employees on
14	modeled this differently and there were we should have	14	here, every one of them could drive their own car and
15	looked at and consequently other streets in Atherton,	15	still fit within 15,000 trips per day.
16	et cetera.	16	The real ones that matter are the 7:00 to
17	So that's a follow up on Vince's question	17	9:00 and the 5:00 4:00 to 6:00, and I'm guessing that
18	about what were they tracking in the EIR. Well, he's	18	the 4:00 to 6:00 one is going to be the most operative
19	contesting the whole assumption of of the trip cap.	19	one, because, you know, a lot of software developers,
20	But it is. If we didn't have the didn't	20	people I'm guessing just making guesses here that
21	have the penalties, didn't have a parking lot, it would	21	will be at Facebook are probably going to be staying a
22	be much harder to justify, but again it's a question for	22	bit later and probably not heading home at four o'clock
23	the lawyers to pursue.	23	in the afternoon. Just just a guess.
24	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, just a	24	So I feel personally just based on having
25	clarification question on that, though. Isn't the EIR	25	been around for ten or fifteen years in the community
	Page 94		Page 95
1	that it's that 6:00 to 7:00 period where you could	1	period.
2	potentially be dumping thousands of people out on to the	2	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I'm looking at the
3	roadways.	3	table of 5-15 again, and item number 8, 12 and 18
4	Now perhaps it's not going to affect Willow	4	according to this table are not fully mitigated.
5	so much out near the campus because it sounds like the	5	I'm reading that correctly; am I not?
6	that the the flow there is not so high, but if you're	6	MR. TAYLOR: That's correct. So 8 is
7	dumping them on to 101 or Willow closer to Menlo Park or	7	Willow and Bayfront Expressway, which is correct. It's
8	Marsh or any of these other roads, in my observation it	8	not being fully mitigated.
9	would be that those roads are extremely busy. In fact,	9	Willow and Newbridge is 12, which is not
10	sometimes they're packed between 6:00 and seven o'clock	10	fully mitigated, and the other one?
11	in the evening.	11	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: University Avenue
12	So I'll let see if this resonates with	12	and Bayfront Expressway.
13	any of the other commissioners, but that's kind of	13	MR. TAYLOR: University Avenue and
14	opening a big a big hole in the structure of the	14	Bayfront Expressway.
15	framework here to not be kind of covering that 6:00 to	15	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: These are all out
16	7:00 time zone.	16	there. These intersections are I mean, it's not just
17	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, my comment	17	the a Menlo Park issue. There seems to be some kind of
18	back to that is that it's a data driven time frame, that	18	flaw in this process where Menlo Park gets to decide the
19	that's what studies have shown is, in fact, the peak	19	EIR and yet this is more of a regional issue, and now we
20	traffic period.	20	get this letter from from Caltrain saying is there
21	So even though we can estimate people will	21	does the larger community have any redress other than
22	be working long hours, we don't have any I mean, data	22	suing? Is that what they can do?
23	shows that the 4:00 to 6:00 period is the heavier volume.	23	MR. TAYLOR: I won't get into the legal
24	So that's so I'm comfortable with it	24	pieces, but as far as there's there's reasons why
			the set want and a state of a set of a set of a set of the set of
25	being 4:00 to 6:00 in the EIR and as the trip cap peak Page 96	25	these particular intersections don't are not fully Page 97

^{25 (}Pages 94 to 97)

1	mitigated.	1	City Council. So it's been a year plus long process.
2	In the case of Willow and Newbridge, let's	2	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I understand.
3	say, there's a need for an additional turn lane from	3	MR. MURPHY: By it's the decision of the
4	Willow on to Newbridge, and there it's very difficult	4	City Council.
5	to get that additional turn lane on Willow as well as any	5	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Bottom line, it's
6	need the receiving lane on Newbridge and there's	6	the City of Menlo Park, period.
7	buildings on the other side.	7	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, can I
8	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Yeah. I	8	interrupt? I'm sorry.
9	understand it's difficult and it's expensive, and then	9	What I have been trying to get from you,
10	the City of Menlo Park comes along and says, "Well,	10	Rachel, but I did locate it in here. Page 4.2 of the
11	that's okay because we want Facebook," but that may not	11	Final EIR responses is a letter from the State of
12	be okay to somebody else.	12	California Governor's Office of Planning and Research
13	And, I mean, I guess that's just the nature	13	paragraph at the end says: "This letter acknowledges
14	of this process.	14	that you complied with the State Clearing House Review
15	I mean, am I missing something here? We	15	requirements for Draft Environmental documents pursuant
16	do we do I guess what I'm looking for is a couple	16	to CEQA. Please contact" blah-blah-blah-blah.
17	of things.	17	So when I was reading that, I thought, oh,
18	One is who decides that it's worth saying,	18	it's sort of been codified as far as like being a process
19	"Okay. That's fine." How is that decision made?	19	or a you kind of checked all the boxes that you needed
20	MR. MURPHY: At at the end of the day,	20	to check in order to have a valid EIR?
21	it's the City Council certifying the EIR. It's a pretty	21	MS. GROSSMAN: We've complied with the
22	extensive process in terms of Notice of Preparation, the	22	requirements of the State Clearing House which is
23	preparation of the Draft EIR, consultation with various	23	operates and mandates the requirements for environmental
24	agencies, response to comments comments, response to	24	review.
25	comments, Final EIR and ultimately certification by the	25	So as part of that, we notified them of the
	Page 98		Page 99
1	Draft EIR. Once we get through the certification	1	know, that are in that status that are difficult to
2	process, assuming we have an EIR to certify, we'll be	2	mitigate for whatever reason. That's a good summary of
3	notifying them at the conclusion of that.	3	the you know, cutting through the mass volume of pages
4	There are posting requirements, both at the	4	to really what is what are the tougher intersections,
5	state level and at the county level. So we do go through	5	and it sounds like seven could you explain what you
6	all those, those required steps, and as Mr. Murphy	6	told me earlier seven triggered?
7	alluded to, there's been extensive outreach process,	7	MS. GROSSMAN: So when I first presented
8	including many meetings with Atherton, many calls and	8	this to you all back in January, we had with Mr.
9	discussions with Caltrans.	9	Taylor, there was that graphic that you had up, which is
10	Waha dana and bast to people and conferred	10	
	We've done our best to reach out early and	10	fantastic, indicates the ten different intersections, and
11	often to engage these participants and address all these	11	only now to the point we update that table is that
12	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns.		only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the
	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought.	11 12 13	only now to the point we update that table is that
12 13 14	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought. This is not meant as a criticism of staff or the City of	11 12 13 14	only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the re-analysis. So we're at nine intersections. And then the one intersection that is
12 13 14 15	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought. This is not meant as a criticism of staff or the City of men member. It's just that we have this huge document,	11 12 13 14 15	only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the re-analysis. So we're at nine intersections. And then the one intersection that is within our jurisdiction, which is Middlefield and Willow,
12 13 14 15 16	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought. This is not meant as a criticism of staff or the City of men member. It's just that we have this huge document, and I mean, I'd like to cut through everything and just	11 12 13 14 15 16	only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the re-analysis. So we're at nine intersections. And then the one intersection that is within our jurisdiction, which is Middlefield and Willow, can be fully mitigated, and that's indicated on this
12 13 14 15 16 17	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought. This is not meant as a criticism of staff or the City of men member. It's just that we have this huge document, and I mean, I'd like to cut through everything and just point out what's not mitigatable and who makes the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the re-analysis. So we're at nine intersections. And then the one intersection that is within our jurisdiction, which is Middlefield and Willow, can be fully mitigated, and that's indicated on this table.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought. This is not meant as a criticism of staff or the City of men member. It's just that we have this huge document, and I mean, I'd like to cut through everything and just point out what's not mitigatable and who makes the decision.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the re-analysis. So we're at nine intersections. And then the one intersection that is within our jurisdiction, which is Middlefield and Willow, can be fully mitigated, and that's indicated on this table. So we're down to looking at eight
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought. This is not meant as a criticism of staff or the City of men member. It's just that we have this huge document, and I mean, I'd like to cut through everything and just point out what's not mitigatable and who makes the decision. I think it's important for people to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the re-analysis. So we're at nine intersections. And then the one intersection that is within our jurisdiction, which is Middlefield and Willow, can be fully mitigated, and that's indicated on this table. So we're down to looking at eight intersections, and of those eight intersections,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought. This is not meant as a criticism of staff or the City of men member. It's just that we have this huge document, and I mean, I'd like to cut through everything and just point out what's not mitigatable and who makes the decision. I think it's important for people to understand that.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the re-analysis. So we're at nine intersections. And then the one intersection that is within our jurisdiction, which is Middlefield and Willow, can be fully mitigated, and that's indicated on this table. So we're down to looking at eight intersections, and of those eight intersections, including Marsh and Middlefield, which Mr. Taylor
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought. This is not meant as a criticism of staff or the City of men member. It's just that we have this huge document, and I mean, I'd like to cut through everything and just point out what's not mitigatable and who makes the decision. I think it's important for people to understand that. Okay. Thanks.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the re-analysis. So we're at nine intersections. And then the one intersection that is within our jurisdiction, which is Middlefield and Willow, can be fully mitigated, and that's indicated on this table. So we're down to looking at eight intersections, and of those eight intersections, including Marsh and Middlefield, which Mr. Taylor corrected. I was not quite clear on this. That is a
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought. This is not meant as a criticism of staff or the City of men member. It's just that we have this huge document, and I mean, I'd like to cut through everything and just point out what's not mitigatable and who makes the decision. I think it's important for people to understand that. Okay. Thanks. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: In case just for	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the re-analysis. So we're at nine intersections. And then the one intersection that is within our jurisdiction, which is Middlefield and Willow, can be fully mitigated, and that's indicated on this table. So we're down to looking at eight intersections, and of those eight intersections, including Marsh and Middlefield, which Mr. Taylor corrected. I was not quite clear on this. That is a partial mitigation.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought. This is not meant as a criticism of staff or the City of men member. It's just that we have this huge document, and I mean, I'd like to cut through everything and just point out what's not mitigatable and who makes the decision. I think it's important for people to understand that. Okay. Thanks. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: In case just for clarification perhaps on that, there's a really helpful	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the re-analysis. So we're at nine intersections. And then the one intersection that is within our jurisdiction, which is Middlefield and Willow, can be fully mitigated, and that's indicated on this table. So we're down to looking at eight intersections, and of those eight intersections, including Marsh and Middlefield, which Mr. Taylor corrected. I was not quite clear on this. That is a partial mitigation. We have four that are partially mitigated,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought. This is not meant as a criticism of staff or the City of men member. It's just that we have this huge document, and I mean, I'd like to cut through everything and just point out what's not mitigatable and who makes the decision. I think it's important for people to understand that. Okay. Thanks. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: In case just for clarification perhaps on that, there's a really helpful table towards the back of that of that book 5-15.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the re-analysis. So we're at nine intersections. And then the one intersection that is within our jurisdiction, which is Middlefield and Willow, can be fully mitigated, and that's indicated on this table. So we're down to looking at eight intersections, and of those eight intersections, including Marsh and Middlefield, which Mr. Taylor corrected. I was not quite clear on this. That is a partial mitigation. We have four that are partially mitigated, and they're infeasible to fully mitigate due to
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	often to engage these participants and address all these concerns. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Just a thought. This is not meant as a criticism of staff or the City of men member. It's just that we have this huge document, and I mean, I'd like to cut through everything and just point out what's not mitigatable and who makes the decision. I think it's important for people to understand that. Okay. Thanks. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: In case just for clarification perhaps on that, there's a really helpful	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	only now to the point we update that table is that we've now removed Middlefield and Lytton based upon the re-analysis. So we're at nine intersections. And then the one intersection that is within our jurisdiction, which is Middlefield and Willow, can be fully mitigated, and that's indicated on this table. So we're down to looking at eight intersections, and of those eight intersections, including Marsh and Middlefield, which Mr. Taylor corrected. I was not quite clear on this. That is a partial mitigation. We have four that are partially mitigated,

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

1			
	constraints as Mr. Taylor alluded to.	1	But I know we did look at that when we were
2	The other four have mitigation measures	2	putting these documents together to determine what would
3	that are feasible with Caltrans' approval, but we still	3	be the appropriate peak period, and the peak period is
4	consider that significant and unavoidable since we are	4	really the the peak of those adjacent roadways.
5	unsure if Caltrans will approve those mitigation	5	It's not to say that there's some some
6	measures.	6	level of traffic in either side of that, but that is the
7	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thanks a lot.	7	peak time frame.
8	MS. GROSSMAN: Thank you.	8	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Right. We're
9	Commissioner Kadvany.	9	talking about that location and not on 101, which may hit
10	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Thank you. I guess	10	its peak somewhat later because it's drawing traffic
11	we're still kind of in question kind of a question	11	from that would be good if we if we do that.
12	morphing to discussion, but I want to follow up on	12	I have some sympathy with what Commissioner
13	Commissioner Eiref's point.	13	Eiref is pointing out, because it is intuitive, it's not
14	I thought about this 4:00 to 6:00 PM or	14	database. Database.
15	5:00 to 6:00 PM peak for the afternoon. I thought I	15	Is I mean, is there a question about
16	understood when Commissioner Riggs was talking about the	16	feasibility if the if the peak is moved later from
17	same issue, he was talking about the morning peak.	17	Facebook's perspective from your discussions with them?
18	And so Mr. Taylor's discussion of when the	18	Are you are they running are they
19	peak hours for the morning, but we get the peak for the	19	concerned that too many people will be then leaving in
20	afternoon, also there, the data peak?	20	the 6:00 to seven o'clock range to make that, you know,
21	MR. TAYLOR: I don't I don't have it	21	feas if that provided a greater advantage to the City
22	off the top of my head, but I mean, typically in these	22	from the perspective of traffic density, volume
23	cases, it's about 4:30 to 5:30 tends to be the peak	23	mitigation, does it nonetheless provide issues for, you
24	hour, but I don't have the data in front of me right now	24	know, crowd management at Facebook?
25	for this particular case.	25	MS. GROSSMAN: Well
	Page 102		Page 103
1	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Workforce	1	has you know, they're basically concerns basically
2	management. I'm sorry.	2	with what they expect to see from, you know, their own
3	MS. GROSSMAN: That was not something that	3	their own workforce.
4		-	
	was analyzed in the Environmental Impact Report. So	4	My question I mean, my earlier question
	was analyzed in the Environmental Impact Report. So additional analysis would be required, which would push	4	My question I mean, my earlier question was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was
5	additional analysis would be required, which would push	5	was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was
5 6	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back.	5 6	was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't
5 6 7	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that	5 6 7	was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that."
5 6 7 8	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if	5 6 7 8	was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the
5 6 7 8 9	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change	5 6 7 8 9	was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set.
5 6 7 8 9 10	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period.	5 6 7 8 9 10	was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could potentially shift one way or the other. They could shift	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you, Madam
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could potentially shift one way or the other. They could shift earlier and try to leave earlier. They can shift later	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you, Madam Chair.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could potentially shift one way or the other. They could shift earlier and try to leave earlier. They can shift later and try to go after the peak period at that time.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you, Madam Chair. By the way, I should if you want to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could potentially shift one way or the other. They could shift earlier and try to leave earlier. They can shift later and try to go after the peak period at that time. So either way you do it, I think you always	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you, Madam Chair. By the way, I should if you want to refer to the peak numbers following page 5-50 in the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could potentially shift one way or the other. They could shift earlier and try to leave earlier. They can shift later and try to go after the peak period at that time. So either way you do it, I think you always have that risk that there could be something at another	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you, Madam Chair. By the way, I should if you want to refer to the peak numbers following page 5-50 in the Final EIR, two pages following is the existing peak hour
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could potentially shift one way or the other. They could shift earlier and try to leave earlier. They can shift later and try to go after the peak period at that time. So either way you do it, I think you always have that risk that there could be something at another hour of the day.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you, Madam Chair. By the way, I should if you want to refer to the peak numbers following page 5-50 in the Final EIR, two pages following is the existing peak hour volumes chart from Atkins.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could potentially shift one way or the other. They could shift earlier and try to leave earlier. They can shift later and try to go after the peak period at that time. So either way you do it, I think you always have that risk that there could be something at another hour of the day. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Well, I I mean,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you, Madam Chair. By the way, I should if you want to refer to the peak numbers following page 5-50 in the Final EIR, two pages following is the existing peak hour volumes chart from Atkins. So I did want to just confirm something a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could potentially shift one way or the other. They could shift earlier and try to leave earlier. They can shift later and try to go after the peak period at that time. So either way you do it, I think you always have that risk that there could be something at another hour of the day. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Well, I I mean, I think what we're trying to do is incentivize exactly	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you, Madam Chair. By the way, I should if you want to refer to the peak numbers following page 5-50 in the Final EIR, two pages following is the existing peak hour volumes chart from Atkins. So I did want to just confirm something a bit following up Commissioner Bressler's question,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could potentially shift one way or the other. They could shift earlier and try to leave earlier. They can shift later and try to go after the peak period at that time. So either way you do it, I think you always have that risk that there could be something at another hour of the day. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Well, I I mean, I think what we're trying to do is incentivize exactly that behavior so that we direct the behavior in that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you, Madam Chair. By the way, I should if you want to refer to the peak numbers following page 5-50 in the Final EIR, two pages following is the existing peak hour volumes chart from Atkins. So I did want to just confirm something a bit following up Commissioner Bressler's question, because inevitably when we see an EIR, we start to think
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could potentially shift one way or the other. They could shift earlier and try to leave earlier. They can shift later and try to go after the peak period at that time. So either way you do it, I think you always have that risk that there could be something at another hour of the day. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Well, I I mean, I think what we're trying to do is incentivize exactly that behavior so that we direct the behavior in that direction.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you, Madam Chair. By the way, I should if you want to refer to the peak numbers following page 5-50 in the Final EIR, two pages following is the existing peak hour volumes chart from Atkins. So I did want to just confirm something a bit following up Commissioner Bressler's question, because inevitably when we see an EIR, we start to think of it as a prescription for the applicant and the City,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could potentially shift one way or the other. They could shift earlier and try to leave earlier. They can shift later and try to go after the peak period at that time. So either way you do it, I think you always have that risk that there could be something at another hour of the day. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Well, I I mean, I think what we're trying to do is incentivize exactly that behavior so that we direct the behavior in that direction. So we want to basically optimize our, you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you, Madam Chair. By the way, I should if you want to refer to the peak numbers following page 5-50 in the Final EIR, two pages following is the existing peak hour volumes chart from Atkins. So I did want to just confirm something a bit following up Commissioner Bressler's question, because inevitably when we see an EIR, we start to think of it as a prescription for the applicant and the City, whereas correct me if I'm wrong, but an EIR is a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	additional analysis would be required, which would push the project back. I'm not sure what would result out of that processes, but we would need to further analyze that if the analysis were to be changed as a result of the change to the peak period. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, the other case, too, is, you know, depending on where you put it, if you put it 4:00 to 6:00 or you put it 5:00 to 7:00, they could potentially shift one way or the other. They could shift earlier and try to leave earlier. They can shift later and try to go after the peak period at that time. So either way you do it, I think you always have that risk that there could be something at another hour of the day. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Well, I I mean, I think what we're trying to do is incentivize exactly that behavior so that we direct the behavior in that direction.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 was not about the EIR. I know this I know there was not the EIR did not say Facebook said, "No, I don't think we could handle that." I was asking sort of in terms of the informal discussions about when that was was set. But I'll leave it at that. Maybe Commissioner Eiref has some new ideas on the topic. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, there's Commissioner Riggs is next because his light's on. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you, Madam Chair. By the way, I should if you want to refer to the peak numbers following page 5-50 in the Final EIR, two pages following is the existing peak hour volumes chart from Atkins. So I did want to just confirm something a bit following up Commissioner Bressler's question, because inevitably when we see an EIR, we start to think of it as a prescription for the applicant and the City,

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

1	order to prove that we have evaluated all al of the	1	So in that case, in the disclosure
2	environmental aspects of a project.	2	document. However, there are also teeth within that
3	MS. GROSSMAN: I'll start and if Leigh	3	disclosure document called the mitigation measures which
4	wants to chime in with anything else.	4	the applicant is required to implement in order to
5	So the California Environmental Quality Act	5	mitigate impacts of the project, and those are contained
6	identifies preparation of an environmental document as a	6	again within the Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program.
7	public disclosure document so that the approving body can	7	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Right, and that's
8	be informed as well as the public can be informed of any	8	under a given set of rules. For example, the assumption
9	potentially significant environmental impacts resulting	9	that falls within the jurisdiction
10	from the project.	10	MS. GROSSMAN: Just to clarify, those
11	So the tools have been informed that the	11	mitigation measures will be required to be pursued.
12	decision-makers and the public and to make a	12	Like, for example, with Caltrans, the applicant
13	determination such as in this case, if the public	13	diligently pursue those upgrades for a period of of
14	benefits and the benefits of the project outweigh those	14	five years.
15	significant environmental impacts.	15	If they're unable to construct those
16	8	16	upgrades, if they cannot achieve approval from Caltrans,
17		17	then they are the bonds they are required to deposit
18		18	would be utilized for transportation improvement within
19		19	the City with an emphasis given so they're east of 101.
20		20	Even though they're not in our
21		21	jurisdiction, the mitigation would move forward in that
22		22	way.
23		23	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: As an encumbrance on
24		24	the applicant.
25		25	MS. GROSSMAN: Correct. That would be
20	Page 106		Page 107
		<u> </u>	1 age 107
1	funded by the bond and is required in that mitigation	1	That's the part that is the because that
2	measure.	2	requires Caltrans' approval, and I think even maybe
3	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: You foresaw my second	3	that requires Caltrans' approval. That is the part that
4	question. So I wanted to offer offer that to Chair	4	they need to pursue diligently for five years.
5	Bressler that I I mean, to Commissioner Bressler that	5	That's separate from the left turn portion
6	even though the EIR indicates that it's not feasible,	6	of the mitigation of the potential improvement that
7	because we cannot assume or direct it as the City of	7	would have fully mitigated the impact.
8	Menlo Park, nonetheless, these are there is an effort	8	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: All right. Thank
9	if we don't call it mitigation which could be pursued.	9	you. I picked a poor example, but I think that is
10	So how do we know as representatives of	10	reassuring.
11	Menlo Park that this is something that will be pursued by	11	And following up on a question posed by
12	the applicant?	12	
12 13	the applicant? For example, the left turn on to Newbridge.	12 13	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh.
13	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge.	13	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in
13 14	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge. MR. MURPHY: So there's a a slightly	13 14	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in any way affect the Development Agreement?
13 14 15	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge. MR. MURPHY: So there's a a slightly slight differences between feasibility and then something	13 14 15	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in any way affect the Development Agreement? MS. PRINCE: So in the Development
13 14 15 16	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge. MR. MURPHY: So there's a a slightly slight differences between feasibility and then something that's considered significant and unavoidable.	13 14 15 16	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in any way affect the Development Agreement? MS. PRINCE: So in the Development Agreement, there is a section entitled: "Conditions
13 14 15 16 17	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge. MR. MURPHY: So there's a a slightly slight differences between feasibility and then something that's considered significant and unavoidable. In terms of the left turn from Willow on to	13 14 15 16 17	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in any way affect the Development Agreement? MS. PRINCE: So in the Development Agreement, there is a section entitled: "Conditions Precedent," and it lists that the obligations that are in
13 14 15 16 17 18	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge. MR. MURPHY: So there's a a slightly slight differences between feasibility and then something that's considered significant and unavoidable. In terms of the left turn from Willow on to Newbridge, that was deemed infeasible. That's not part	13 14 15 16 17 18	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in any way affect the Development Agreement? MS. PRINCE: So in the Development Agreement, there is a section entitled: "Conditions Precedent," and it lists that the obligations that are in there, the onetime manifest in Section 7 and then 9
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge. MR. MURPHY: So there's a a slightly slight differences between feasibility and then something that's considered significant and unavoidable. In terms of the left turn from Willow on to Newbridge, that was deemed infeasible. That's not part of the mitigation measure. That's not part of the thing	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in any way affect the Development Agreement? MS. PRINCE: So in the Development Agreement, there is a section entitled: "Conditions Precedent," and it lists that the obligations that are in there, the onetime manifest in Section 7 and then 9 through 19, those go on hold until all the legal
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge. MR. MURPHY: So there's a a slightly slight differences between feasibility and then something that's considered significant and unavoidable. In terms of the left turn from Willow on to Newbridge, that was deemed infeasible. That's not part of the mitigation measure. That's not part of the thing that Facebook and the applicant needs to make a good	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in any way affect the Development Agreement? MS. PRINCE: So in the Development Agreement, there is a section entitled: "Conditions Precedent," and it lists that the obligations that are in there, the onetime manifest in Section 7 and then 9 through 19, those go on hold until all the legal challenges are resolved.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge. MR. MURPHY: So there's a a slightly slight differences between feasibility and then something that's considered significant and unavoidable. In terms of the left turn from Willow on to Newbridge, that was deemed infeasible. That's not part of the mitigation measure. That's not part of the thing that Facebook and the applicant needs to make a good faith effort to pursue.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in any way affect the Development Agreement? MS. PRINCE: So in the Development Agreement, there is a section entitled: "Conditions Precedent," and it lists that the obligations that are in there, the onetime manifest in Section 7 and then 9 through 19, those go on hold until all the legal challenges are resolved. So I think Adina's question was what does
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge. MR. MURPHY: So there's a a slightly slight differences between feasibility and then something that's considered significant and unavoidable. In terms of the left turn from Willow on to Newbridge, that was deemed infeasible. That's not part of the mitigation measure. That's not part of the thing that Facebook and the applicant needs to make a good faith effort to pursue. What they do need to pursue is the other	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in any way affect the Development Agreement? MS. PRINCE: So in the Development Agreement, there is a section entitled: "Conditions Precedent," and it lists that the obligations that are in there, the onetime manifest in Section 7 and then 9 through 19, those go on hold until all the legal challenges are resolved. So I think Adina's question was what does that do to those DA issues that are related to East Palo
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge. MR. MURPHY: So there's a a slightly slight differences between feasibility and then something that's considered significant and unavoidable. In terms of the left turn from Willow on to Newbridge, that was deemed infeasible. That's not part of the mitigation measure. That's not part of the thing that Facebook and the applicant needs to make a good faith effort to pursue. What they do need to pursue is the other aspects of that mitigation measure, which I believe	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in any way affect the Development Agreement? MS. PRINCE: So in the Development Agreement, there is a section entitled: "Conditions Precedent," and it lists that the obligations that are in there, the onetime manifest in Section 7 and then 9 through 19, those go on hold until all the legal challenges are resolved. So I think Adina's question was what does that do to those DA issues that are related to East Palo Alto.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge. MR. MURPHY: So there's a a slightly slight differences between feasibility and then something that's considered significant and unavoidable. In terms of the left turn from Willow on to Newbridge, that was deemed infeasible. That's not part of the mitigation measure. That's not part of the thing that Facebook and the applicant needs to make a good faith effort to pursue. What they do need to pursue is the other aspects of that mitigation measure, which I believe included an additional third right through lane westbound	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in any way affect the Development Agreement? MS. PRINCE: So in the Development Agreement, there is a section entitled: "Conditions Precedent," and it lists that the obligations that are in there, the onetime manifest in Section 7 and then 9 through 19, those go on hold until all the legal challenges are resolved. So I think Adina's question was what does that do to those DA issues that are related to East Palo Alto. There are some in here that relate to East
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	For example, the left turn on to Newbridge. MR. MURPHY: So there's a a slightly slight differences between feasibility and then something that's considered significant and unavoidable. In terms of the left turn from Willow on to Newbridge, that was deemed infeasible. That's not part of the mitigation measure. That's not part of the thing that Facebook and the applicant needs to make a good faith effort to pursue. What they do need to pursue is the other aspects of that mitigation measure, which I believe	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	speaker Adina Levin, and this would be for Leigh. If there is a CEQA based suit, does this in any way affect the Development Agreement? MS. PRINCE: So in the Development Agreement, there is a section entitled: "Conditions Precedent," and it lists that the obligations that are in there, the onetime manifest in Section 7 and then 9 through 19, those go on hold until all the legal challenges are resolved. So I think Adina's question was what does that do to those DA issues that are related to East Palo Alto.

^{28 (}Pages 106 to 109)

1	related to some restriping improvements. That is in	1	I think we will be developing a better way.
2	paragraph 7. So that would go on hold were there to be a	2	Thank you.
3	litigation that comes forward.	3	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: And I'll go to you
4	But when that's resolved to the	4	next, but I wanted to in the meantime suggest that it
5	satisfaction of Facebook and the owner, then that comes	5	sounds like there's a couple things that there
6	back into play. So it would disappear.	6	especially Chair Commissioner Bressler and Kadvany
7	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: All right. In	7	Kadvany were concerned about.
8	effect, if you don't get to build anything, you don't	8	We do have the ability to make
9	have to pay the payments for building something.	9	recommendations along with whatever of these
10	MS. PRINCE: Right.	10	recommendations we choose to make to the City Council.
11	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Okay. Thank you.	11	So, for example, if you thought it was
12	I wanted to just make the note that I	12	important to emphasize to work productively to resolve
13	support the list of public benefits and the efforts that	13	Caltrans' questions of feasibility on traffic impacts at
14	the City Council subcommittee and the and the	14	the intersections they named in their letter, whatever,
15	negotiating team have come up with, and personally I	15	have you, we can do that.
16	think that Menlo Park did a very good job with	16	It's just that you know, they're
17	negotiating.	17	recommendations. So that's all we are doing, anyway. So
18	So my comment here is not with the result,	18	I just wanted to make that as a potential way to move
19	but with definitions.	19	forward, particularly with with what you were
20	I would just like to say that in the	20	concerned about.
21	future, we should use the term "public benefit" in a	21	But before you jump in, I wanted to offer
22	somewhat more restrictive way, and I just wanted to	22	John the floor.
23	separate my approval tonight potential approval	23	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Okay. Just the
24	tonight from support of the way in which that was	24	last footnote to this afternoon peak hour discussion.
25	written.	25	Commissioner Riggs had identified graphics on page 5-50,
-	Page 110		Page 111
	1490 110		
1	and indeed there are peak hour volumes there, but peak	1	if they have an incredible number of cars from Facebook
2	hour is defined as 4:00 to 6:00 PM.	2	go out at 6:30 because it's past their their time, it
3	We don't have different histograms,	3	doesn't cause a huge problem. Okay.
4	distributions from 4:30 to 6:30, from 5:00 to 7:00, from	4	So based on what I know here, we don't
5	5:30 to 7:30 and so on, which would be the kind of	5	we don't know the answer to that question, but we have to
6	evidence we need to see when the true peak exists.	6	decide whether we want to pursue that or not.
7	So this is the peak as defined as 4:00	7	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Do you have any
8	to 6:00. Whether it's the truth, you know, a real peak	8	suggestions on how do you want to pursue that or
9	or whether it differs and so on, that data is not here.	9	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: You know, I it
10	So I just wanted to make that clear for the	10	was something I brought up. I just wanted to clarify it
11	record.	11	a little bit, and I'm going to let the people that
12	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thank you, and	12	brought it up say something if they want to about that.
13	Commissioner Bressler.	13	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Ben.
14	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I don't think I	14	COMMISSIONER EIREF: I'm still I'm
15	would have any recommendations based on things about the	15	still kind of ruminating on this one. I think there's
16	mitigated intersections, but just a follow with what John	16	different things you can optimize for. I mean, one of
17	is saying.	17	them would be so I guess the spirit of this cap
18	There's a difference between when peak	18	concept, at least when I was originally thinking about it
19	traffic is and the time at which you could actually	19	was you're trying to we're effectively doubling the
20	assign this window, which would have the most beneficial	20	number of employees on this campus and we're doing it
21	effect.	21	with the notion that Facebook has a phenomenal track
21	Those are different things, and we don't	21	record of employees who rideshare together, take the bus,
23	-	23	that kind of stuff, and effectively the number of
23	have the analysis for that.	23	
∠4	In other words, it may not be the case that	L 24	vehicles that would be going in and out during that total
25	-	25	course of the day would be perhaps not even a whole let
25	the peak traffic is at 6:30, but that doesn't mean that Page 112	25	course of the day would be perhaps not even a whole lot Page 113

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

1	than it used to be in the past.	1	can have again, you can have 7,000 employees all drive
2	But the way that so so one potential	2	their own car and you can still do it in 7-15,000. So
3	way of interpreting this is that you're trying to	3	it's really that question that I'm personally wrestling
4	encourage ridesharing, bus sharing, all that kind of	4	with, and I don't know what the right answer at this
5	stuff.	5	point, but
6	And therefore if you don't make the cap	6	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: I wanted to add on
7	period, the real tight period, if you don't use that to	7	to that the because that's one thing that it it
8	encapsulate the most popular travel times, then you've	8	does this trip peak time trip cap to me, it
9	kind of missed the boat.	9	wouldn't so we know that in general, traffic is
10	Because, you know let's pretend that a	10	heavier from 4:00 to 6:00 PM according to the data.
11	lot of employees will behave like software developers and	11	So even if they do have different hours
12	they'll arrive late and leave late.	12	that they keep, that's okay as long as it's not impacting
13	So there's nothing in this you know, if	13	the traffic surrounding the area, the impacted
14	we don't interpret it that way, then we're not effect	14	intersections and the freeway on-ramps.
15	effectively getting to where we're trying to get to.	15	That's what we're worried about. It's not
16	If we're really trying to optimize around,	16	that we need to stick it to the you know, the you
17	you know, minimizing the impacts specifically the	17	know, if they're willing to work from noon to 9:00 PM and
18	intersection around the front entrance of that campus,	18	it doesn't affect our traffic and doesn't cause an impact
19	then that's a totally different thing to optimize for.	19	to the community, then that's a good thing, I think.
20	Because it may be true that the peak time	20	COMMISSIONER EIREF: Well, just I'm
21	is 4:30 in the afternoon, and therefore we've kind of hit	21	sorry. One quick addendum, which is that and there
22	that, but it's a different thing to optimize for.	22	are there's an incredible amount of data in here, so
23	I personally philosophically was trying to	23	it actually hard to get to the nub of exactly where to
24	shoot for more driving towards fewer total number of	24	look.
25	trips, and the 15,000 number doesn't do that, because you	25	But I think if you ignore and perhaps the
	Page 114		Page 115
1			
1	traffic peaks at specifically the entrance to that com	1	That is every single in and out to that
2	complex around 4:00 to 6:00, but if you look around town,	2	campus. So any time a vehicle comes and leaves, that's
3	if you look along Willow closer to downtown, look on	3	two trips. It doesn't matter what kind of vehicle it is.
4	Marsh, look on 101, it's incredibly busy all the way	4	And then as far as the period that we've
5	through seven o'clock, and it does move around quite a	5	chosen, we identified those times, because that's when
6	bit depending on the day.	6	traffic is the worst, and we're trying to optimize
7	But to me, there's very broad shoulders	7	benefit for the entire community.
8 9	kind of thing. It's not like it peaks out at 5:30 and	8	That's why those times were chose chosen,
-	all of a sudden it just tails off.	9	because we found, for example, in the morning, after
10	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well then maybe the	10	it was after eight o'clock when trips are really rapidly
11 12	question is how come there's a two-hour peak period or	11	falling off.
13	how was that arrived at. COMMISSIONER EIREF: You can be in	12	So if we were to shift the peak period up
13	complete dead standstill on 101 at 6:30 in the evening.	13	to 8:00 to 10:00, we would actually be lessening improvements for those intersections for all the
14 15	MS. GROSSMAN: I want to speak to a couple	14 15	•
15	points. I'll turn it over to Mr. Taylor to speak about	15	community who's utilizing them. MR. TAYLOR: I mean, ultimately, as far as
17			-
18	why a two-hour period was chosen. First, I just want to clarify for the	17 18	the document analyzes a peak hour, so that's what the document actually analyzed. That's what we do in the
18	15,000 daily trips, that's not just the the single	18	CEQA documents and the EIR is to analyze the peak hour.
20	occupancy vehicle trips coming in. That's all their	20	So initially the discussion was well, how
21			-
21	deliveries, that's their shuttle trips. It's all their contractors coming in.	21 22	can we limit that peak hour? Because it's going to be an impact and then it can be a mitigation and we started
22	contractors coming in.	22	impact and then it can be a mitigation, and we started
23		23	thinking well, one hour. We know you can just shift over here or shift over there.
24 25		24	
20	Daga 116	20	So we said let's encompass a two-hour
	Page 116		Page 117

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

1 2 3	period and make it a peak period. So then we're encompassing a larger amount of time so that they can't	1	is the Development Agreement parameter for penalties.
	encompassing a larger amount of time so that they can't		
3		2	I mean, we've got too you know, we've
	just shift one or the other this way or that way, and	3	got too many variables or constants going on here.
4	that's where the peak period concept came in.	4	I think our main concern really is keeping
5	And so then we looked at the data and	5	the traffic down, and if we had to adjust the penalty to
6	looked at the time frames to figure out what is the best	6	have a softer penalty structure to accommodate a
7	time frame to get that two-hour period in, and that's	7	different time window, I'd certainly be interested in
8	where the 7:00 to 9:00 time frame came in and the 4:00 to	8	that.
9	6:00 time frame.	9	I you know, it's you know, the the
10	It it could have been three hours, it	10	outcome is much more important than the particular form
11	could have been four hours, because there are times when	11	we've chosen here.
12	there's a flatter peak in some cases and certain roadways	12	So and again, Commissioner Ferrick is
13	where you do get that elongated time frame of some peak.	13	has maybe said once or twice about what the data show,
14	But it is lower at on the fringes of	14	but I don't think we have the data that shows the
15	that and higher in the middle, and so we were trying to	15	selection you know, that justifies the selection of
16	encompass that with the peak period and then how to	16	this you know, of this peak or the selection of other
17	essentially limit any impacts from the project.	17	peaks. You know, it doesn't give you significant
18	So that's where the - the two-hour window	18	benefit.
19	came in.	19	So it is, you know it is it's not
20	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thanks, Chip.	20	clear that it's part of the EIR because it's more you
21	John, you had had your light on. Go ahead.	21	know, it's part of our agreement of how we want this
22	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Yeah. Just a quick	22	project defined.
23	comment. Again, I think it's mainly the afternoon, not	23	The EIR is fine. It's a question of what
24	the the mornings that we're focusing on.	24	the project is and just we know about it.
25	And also, you know, the other variable here	25	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, I may have
	Page 118		Page 119
1	misunderstood it, but I thought Chip said that the peak	1	that people don't want to drive into the teeth of really
2	hour is 4:30 to 5:30 and they tagged on an extra half an	2	horrible traffic, as well.
3	hour to make it span two hours.	3	So I don't really want to mess with it. I
4	And you know the 4:30 to 5:30 because of	4	just this is just pointing out problems with the whole
5	how?	5	process, and it really bothers me when we say things are
6	MR. TAYLOR: I mean, I that number, I	6	unmitigatable, but that's okay, too.
7	don't have it in my head whether it was 4:15 to 5:15,	7	But I just I think that's where we're
8	4:30 to 5:30, but I know when we looked at the date	8	at.
9	initially, we had some data for the roadway segments out	9	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Just to clarify,
10	there to determine what is the peak period time, what is	10	we're not saying that's okay, per se. We're saying that
11	the appropriate time by putting on some additional time	11	the benefits outweigh the and I don't mean the
12	on either side of that.	12	Development Agreement benefits
13	So that's how we determined both 7:00 to	13	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Yeah.
14	9:00 and the 4:00 to 6:00 is by using that data.	14	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: but the project
15	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thanks.	15	benefits outweigh
16	Vince.	16	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: There's no formal
17	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I'm just going to	17	process to make that determination, so
18	be quick. I got we could go on a long time on this	18	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: And and even
19	issue, but the bottom line is are we willing to create a	19	though we may make that determination, the it doesn't
20	problem with this?	20	alleviate the responsibility on the applicant to to
21	To me, I'm not, because it's not well-	21	try to correct and mitigate the intersections in
22		22	particular.
23		23	•
24	But you have to also realize that	24	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Oh. I just turned my
	-	25	light on, so Ben may have had a comment.
	Page 120		Page 121
22 23	defined enough. I don't agree that it's sticking it to them. I really think this is trying to optimize that.	22 23 24	particular. Was there Henry, go ahead. COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Oh. I just turned my

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

1	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: I didn't even see	1	ahead, John.
2	your light, Ben. Go ahead.	2	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I guess this is
3	COMMISSIONER EIREF: Yeah. I was just	3	kind of a question for staff. I I understand the
4	going to say actually now that I've heard the	4	issue about keeping the 4:00 to 6:00 time frame, because
5	explanation, I think I kind of get the concept of how you	5	that's what's been analyzed in the EIR and so on, but
6	came up with it, so I'm a little more comfortable with	6	if if that was something that was subject to review
7	it.	7	based on data, is that something that can be considered
8	I do think, though, for the record that	8	in the you know, every year or every two years or
9		9	something like that, we look you know, we look at that
	incentive to come six o'clock, the incentive is going		
10	to drop pretty close to zero, because I think the	10	one?
11	15,000's not going to be a problem.	11	
12	If there's ever going to be a problem in	12	
13	the next ten, fifteen years, it's going to be in those	13	
14	zones that we've picked, and that incentive's going to	14	
15	drop off dramatically when you get to six o'clock.	15	
16	So depending on what happens, we don't	16	
17	know, but at least I understand kind of the concept,	17	
18	which helps a lot.	18	
19	Thanks.	19	
20	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: And and just to	20	
21	add to that, if if it's the case that the traffic	21	
22	outside in the greater area is dropping off at 6:00, then	22	
23	that is okay, if they pile out at 6:01.	23	
24	COMMISSIONER EIREF: Comes 6:30.	24	
25	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Go	25	
	Page 122		Page 123
1	We don't know what's going to happen to	1	those lines. That's an open-ended question for staff.
2	traffic. Traffic patterns could change. For example,	2	MR. MURPHY: Yeah. I mean, we're just
3	we're locking this in now.	3	coordinating here. We all believe it would be pretty
4	And so another alternative is just we look	4	challenging. That's something that you'd probably want
5	at those parameters as variable instead of fixed and	5	to ask explicitly of the applicant, as well.
6	they're subject to review.	6	There's been a ton of time and effort and
7	I mean, we're we're trying to make this	7	if this was, you know, brought up a number of months ago,
8	thing work. We we're not trying to run Facebook into	8	we could have examined this, but this is at this point
9	the penalty box. Far from it.	9	in time, I would be concerned to want to bring up
10	We are just trying to we're trying to	10	anything like that.
11	keep the traffic down. That's all that's really all	11	So if you wanted to pose that to the
12	we care about.	12	applicant, but there's so many layers to this, that that
13	I'd much rather have a traffic design that	13	causes some concern.
14	worked great and we had no penalties. You know, carrot	14	If there was something that was done that
15	rather than the stick and pack rather than nut stick.	15	was mutually beneficial for everybody and would fit
16	So I don't know if that's any is that	16	within the parameters I mean, we're open to new ideas.
17	all within the realm of possibility to thread the needle	17	It's just a matter of where this is coming in at this
18	here?	18	point in time.
19	In other words, we in other words, we	19	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Commissioner Riggs,
20	kick the can we don't ignore the problem, but we do	20	sorry about that.
21	kind of allow it to be raised in the in the future.	21	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Thank you. Quite all
22	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: So could it be a	22	right.
23	recommendation to have flexibility in analyzing peak	23	Just because I we've discussed it, I
24	hours at a future date?	24	can't resist throwing in two cents.
25	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Something along	25	One is that if I thought I actually could
23	Page 124		Page 125
	Faue 124	1	raye 123

^{32 (}Pages 122 to 125)

1	predict what the driving habits were of employees that	1	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: And I'll second
2	have not even been hired yet and that the likelihood is	2	that.
3	that they would work till 7:00 PM and that unfortunately	3	Thank you, Henry, and with that, I wanted
4	they all might decide to out for beer at that time twice	4	to I'll get to you in just a second, Vince.
5	a week, certainly not every night, that that impact could	5	I wanted to just add that all the questions
6	be really significant.	6	I had that were answered, and as I had earlier stated at
7	But I think trying to read that crystal	7	our January hearing, that the EIR in general on balance
8	ball is really fruitless, plus I think they might get	8	was was pretty reasonable and not alarming in nature
9	sick for going out for beer and discovering their own	9	and that it's been good to note that there hasn't been
10	traffic jams.	10	any new significant and unavoidable impacts since then
11	So I'm willing to just let this go.	11	based on the comments.
12	I also would like to note that not	12	And that I was also kind of doing a little
13	withstanding the peak hour trip cap and the daily cap,	13	tally of the benefit to the City that's not only
14	there still is a limited amount of parking within one	14	monetarily that I noted, too, but the amount of jobs that
15	mile of the campus, and probably a whole a very	15	are going to be brought to the City is really tremendous,
16	significant reduction in productivity if you walk that	16	and of all different types, which I think is fantastic.
17	mile.	17	And then the partnerships that we've seen
18	So I'm just I'm willing to go with this,	18	over the last eighteen months in the community are
19	not knowing any better. In fact, to the point where I	19	unprecedented and just a really a model for any future
20	would like to make a motion.	20	companies that come in. I only hope they can be half as
21	Perhaps someone would like to separate the	21	good.
22	five items, but I don't have any issues with any of the	22	And so, you know, there was that and then
23	items after a fair amount of reading.	23	the the ongoing you know, every way they've
24	So I would like to move that we recommend	24	demonstrated, I have all the confidence that they're
25	to Council all five points.	25	going to continue to to put forth more than just the
	Page 126		Page 127
1	required effort into the Development Agreement terms,	1	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: I don't want to try
2	even the ones that they have to self-monitor, and then	2	to, you know, get into a debate, but my calculations were
3	those that have outside monitoring such as these trip	3	a little different.
4	caps, I know that they've make every effort.	4	One is that they're not in a retail area,
5	I really think that the culture there is	5	like that's not you know, that's not a place where
6	one that they do want to reduce their trips; not because	6	people would shop.
7	of a potential fine, but because they want to lessen the	7	So you know how we have a lower in-lieu fee
8	impacts of greenhouse emissions on the earth.	8	for those that are off Santa Cruz Ave? These guys are
9	With that, I'm happy to have been the	9	way off Santa Cruz Ave.
10	seconder of the motion, and we can further discuss.	10	And then the other part is that to note
11	Go ahead, Vince.	11	that the net financial gain to the City goes beyond that
12	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well, I have a	12	800,000 if you look at all the sales tax revenue,
13	totally unrelated plan. I didn't know we were going to	13	property tax revenue, TOT, UUT annually reoccurring not
14	get to this so quickly.	14	including sales tax, and then subtract out all our annual
15	But if you look at the 800,000, that's	15	expenditures, which is really labor costs for the City,
16	about eighty cents a square foot, and typically, I mean,	16	so those are jobs, too.
17	some travel agent moves in downtown and we're charging	17	We still net almost 168,000, between 75 and
18	them \$2.00 a square foot. I've never seen eighty cents.	18	168,000 up and above the 800.
19	So that's why I asked hey, how was how	19	And so to me, that's really approaching a
20	did you come up with this number? Well, it was a	20	million, and so you could say it's a dollar a square
21	negotiated position. Well, how is it fair that we charge	21	foot.
22	\$2.00 a square foot for some little mom and pop shop	22	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: It's still a low
23	downtown and then these guys get eighty cents? That's	23	number. I mean in my opinion. Why? Because we have
24	and we're saying they did a great job negotiating. Well,	24	numbers for a retail district and we know that the M-2
25	explain to me how they did.	25	produces a lot more retail revenue than the downtown, but
	Page 128		Page 129
	1490 120	I	I dge IZJ

^{33 (}Pages 126 to 129)

1			
1	then when you ask the question, I get an answer like,	1	still open.
2	well, that's we can't tell you. It's kind of closely	2	And there are other comparative numbers out
3	held information. Can't tell you what what Sun did.	3	there. I mean, it but it, you know, depends what you
4	Come on. And yet we're supposed to approve	4	want to my understanding is the City of Palo Alto gets
5	this.	5	something like almost five million dollars a year in tax
6	The one solid data point that I have says	6	revenue or mixed revenues from the Stanford Shopping
7	this is a low number.	7	Center, which is probably one of the most profitable
8	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Sun didn't pay any	8	shopping centers around.
9	sales tax that I know of after they moved their	9	The city is twice as maybe almost twice
10	headquarters.	10	as big as Menlo Park, and so, you know, there are a bunch
11	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well, this is	11	of numbers out there.
12	true. This is true. I mean, it's not it's not as	12	You know, the two numbers I do I
13	simple as I'm making it sound, but based on what I can	13	think I don't know quite how to use them are I
14	compare it to, that's my reaction.	14	don't know. In 2003 or whatever it was we passed as a
15	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Thanks, Vince.	15	City the Parks and Recreation bond measure that was about
16	John?	16	thirty million dollars, maybe it was 31 million dollars,
17	COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Just I didn't	17	and then several years later, we passed a schools bond,
18	intend to comment on that, but just some data points.	18	which is ninety million dollars, I think.
19	This the negotiated agreement has to be	19	So the City, we as residents are ready to
20	agreed to by the applicant. So that's you know,	20	pony up to make our City what we want it to be, and my
21	basically we can't demand anything. We have to so we	21	feeling, whatever the I feel it's totally appropriate
22	have to end up with some settlement point and something	22	to see you know, see the significant businesses in our
23	is always better than something less something less.	23	community as doing the same.
24	Second, the West Campus is still yet to	24	There's no other way for the City City
25	get yet to appear, and that part of it's still is	25	to have the quality that it can have and should have, and
	Page 130		Page 131
1	so it's it isn't entirely appropriate to demand	1	to hear this, but I mean, this is the frustration that
2	something.	2	I have with the way these kinds of things are negotiated.
3	What that number is, I don't know. So I	3	I don't have any evidence that that any
4	have some other I don't know if you want to continue		
	have some outer I don't know if you want to continue	4	real thought went into that and L that's just a
5		4	real thought went into that, and I that's just a
5	this.	5	problem I have with the whole process.
6	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to	5 6	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack,
6 7	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that.	5 6 7	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something?
6 7 8	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of	5 6 7 8	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think
6 7 8 9	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm	5 6 7 8 9	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote.
6 7 8 9 10	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number.	5 6 7 8 9 10	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So
6 7 8 9 10 11	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather	5 6 7 8 9	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing things out, so	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote. John, before we do that COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I I have some
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing things out, so COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: My reaction is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote. John, before we do that COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I I have some comments. They're not divisive issues by any means. So
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing things out, so COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: My reaction is is partly to me thinking that the number might be low,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote. John, before we do that COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I I have some
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing things out, so COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: My reaction is is partly to me thinking that the number might be low, but it's mostly to the fact that we have people here who	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote. John, before we do that COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I I have some comments. They're not divisive issues by any means. So before we vote, I'd like to make
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing things out, so COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: My reaction is is partly to me thinking that the number might be low, but it's mostly to the fact that we have people here who negotiated this agreement and now we're drawing out up	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote. John, before we do that COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I I have some comments. They're not divisive issues by any means. So before we vote, I'd like to make CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Go ahead.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing things out, so COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: My reaction is is partly to me thinking that the number might be low, but it's mostly to the fact that we have people here who	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote. John, before we do that COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I I have some comments. They're not divisive issues by any means. So before we vote, I'd like to make CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Go ahead. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: A couple of these
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing things out, so COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: My reaction is is partly to me thinking that the number might be low, but it's mostly to the fact that we have people here who negotiated this agreement and now we're drawing out up here the arguments in favor of that number, not that's just wrong. I'm sorry.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote. John, before we do that COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I I have some comments. They're not divisive issues by any means. So before we vote, I'd like to make CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Go ahead. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: A couple of these are kind of quasi-actionable, but, you know, they're sort
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing things out, so COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: My reaction is is partly to me thinking that the number might be low, but it's mostly to the fact that we have people here who negotiated this agreement and now we're drawing out up here the arguments in favor of that number, not that's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote. John, before we do that COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I I have some comments. They're not divisive issues by any means. So before we vote, I'd like to make CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Go ahead. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: A couple of these are kind of quasi-actionable, but, you know, they're sort of voluntary in some way.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing things out, so COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: My reaction is is partly to me thinking that the number might be low, but it's mostly to the fact that we have people here who negotiated this agreement and now we're drawing out up here the arguments in favor of that number, not that's just wrong. I'm sorry. If you're negotiating if I was there	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote. John, before we do that COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I I have some comments. They're not divisive issues by any means. So before we vote, I'd like to make CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Go ahead. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: A couple of these are kind of quasi-actionable, but, you know, they're sort of voluntary in some way. Something I have a concern about is
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing things out, so COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: My reaction is is partly to me thinking that the number might be low, but it's mostly to the fact that we have people here who negotiated this agreement and now we're drawing out up here the arguments in favor of that number, not that's just wrong. I'm sorry. If you're negotiating if I was there negotiating that agreement, I'd be prepared to say why	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote. John, before we do that COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I I have some comments. They're not divisive issues by any means. So before we vote, I'd like to make CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Go ahead. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: A couple of these are kind of quasi-actionable, but, you know, they're sort of voluntary in some way. Something I have a concern about is potential bike safety on the overpasses when with the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing things out, so COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: My reaction is is partly to me thinking that the number might be low, but it's mostly to the fact that we have people here who negotiated this agreement and now we're drawing out up here the arguments in favor of that number, not that's just wrong. I'm sorry. If you're negotiating if I was there negotiating that agreement, I'd be prepared to say why that number makes sense.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote. John, before we do that COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I I have some comments. They're not divisive issues by any means. So before we vote, I'd like to make CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Go ahead. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: A couple of these are kind of quasi-actionable, but, you know, they're sort of voluntary in some way. Something I have a concern about is potential bike safety on the overpasses when with the restriping that's going to go through.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I just want to react to that. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: That's kind of everything I've thought about on this topic, and I'm I'm kind I'm okay with the one million dollar number. The fact that it's happening sooner rather than later is time valued money, too, rather than drawing things out, so COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: My reaction is is partly to me thinking that the number might be low, but it's mostly to the fact that we have people here who negotiated this agreement and now we're drawing out up here the arguments in favor of that number, not that's just wrong. I'm sorry. If you're negotiating if I was there negotiating that agreement, I'd be prepared to say why that number makes sense. Because if you're not if you can't do	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	problem I have with the whole process. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Jack, did you want to say something? COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Yeah. I think we've moved far enough. I'd move for a vote. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. So Jack's calling for the vote. John, before we do that COMMISSIONER KADVANY: I I have some comments. They're not divisive issues by any means. So before we vote, I'd like to make CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Go ahead. COMMISSIONER KADVANY: A couple of these are kind of quasi-actionable, but, you know, they're sort of voluntary in some way. Something I have a concern about is potential bike safety on the overpasses when with the restriping that's going to go through. I'm sure the plans for how this will be

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

1	Nonetheless, I mean, I don't like riding	1	City and for people who live in Menlo Park, if we want to
2	in those you know, cutting action cross an on-ramp and	2	try to get more benefit in terms of controlling
3	then going up on to a bridge with pretty heavy traffic.	3	greenhouse gases at this developmental level, that may
4	So whatever this for the City, perhaps,	4	be that may be what we have to look at and something
5	whatever we can do to make that safe, that's not the kind	5	the way something about the way the development
6	of thing, you know, that I don't think that is covered	6	proceeds, where we bring people in to fill fill these
7	in our EIR that we actually may be creating some kind of	7	buildings once they're built.
8	risk that didn't exist there before.	8	There are incredible complaints in the
9	So this is not a Facebook thing. It's	9	FEIR about so these are comments on the Draft EIR
10	like it's basically how we're going ahead with that,	10	about housing in Menlo Park and how we're you know,
11	and if there's more that we can do to make it safe.	11	we're not doing anything. We're bringing in all these
12	There was a comment there was a comment	12	employees, we're not doing anything.
13	about greenhouse gases in the FEI in the Final EIR,	13	And it's true. Our Housing Element is out
14	and it was comparing Menlo Gateway project to Facebook	14	of is out of date, but that's on tap to be reviewed.
15	and how you know, what a better Transportation Demand	15	But at the same time, we do have this
16	Management program Facebook had compared to what we saw	16	Specific Plan, and this is more another reason, the
17	in Menlo Gateway and, you know, can we learn anything	17	importance of the Specific Plan that while it's not a
18	from that?	18	Housing Element, it does not address all housing needs by
19	And my reflection on that is the fact	19	a long shot, it is addressing the substance of those
20	the there's a big difference there, which in this	20	complaints that Menlo Park is doing something about
21	case, we have Facebook, a single business that can make	21	housing through that instrument.
22	certain it's hiring the people and can make certain	22	So, you know, people are serious about
23	demand of its workforce that in the case a developer	23	that. That's a reason to pay attention to the Specific
24	couldn't happen.	24	Plan.
25	So it's kind of this is kind of for the	25	The last thing is this is kind of semi-
	Page 134		Page 135
1	actionable maybe by Facebook, maybe by other people.	1	a the \$800,000 payment, and not even including the
2	When I I was really surprised when I	2	other incremental benefit in fees and taxes and what not,
3	read in the final document about the final gap in the	3	Facebook will make a one-time payment to the City, the
4	in the Bay Trail that apparently because the numerous	4	1.1 million for capital improvements.
5	jurisdictions which converge on this nearly one mile	5	Facebook will create a community fund with
6	segment, you could the estimated time to actually	6	an initial \$500,000 contribution. There's the Willow
7	complete this this segment could be like something	7	Road Business Improvement District, \$50,000 in feed
8	like I think it is it said eleven to fifteen years.	8	funding. There's the one million for pedestrian crossing
9	Let's call it ten years. That's incredible.	9	
			improvements at Willow Road and 101.
10	So any if Facebook has any great ideas	10	Those are only the ones with figures
10 11	So any if Facebook has any great ideas about how to improve the urban planning and tran you	10 11	*
			Those are only the ones with figures
11	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you	11	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get
11 12	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you know, transportation planning process using social	11 12	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get you closer to that
11 12 13	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you know, transportation planning process using social networking or other processes to reduce that ten years to	11 12 13	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get you closer to that COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well
11 12 13 14	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you know, transportation planning process using social networking or other processes to reduce that ten years to a few fewer years, I think that would be fabulous.	11 12 13 14	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get you closer to that COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: equivalent to
11 12 13 14 15	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you know, transportation planning process using social networking or other processes to reduce that ten years to a few fewer years, I think that would be fabulous. And that's, you know, my comments, at which	11 12 13 14 15	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get you closer to that COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: equivalent to Santa Cruz Ave for square foot figure.
11 12 13 14 15 16	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you know, transportation planning process using social networking or other processes to reduce that ten years to a few fewer years, I think that would be fabulous. And that's, you know, my comments, at which point I'm also happy to entertain	11 12 13 14 15 16	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get you closer to that COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: equivalent to Santa Cruz Ave for square foot figure. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Those are one
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you know, transportation planning process using social networking or other processes to reduce that ten years to a few fewer years, I think that would be fabulous. And that's, you know, my comments, at which point I'm also happy to entertain CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Actually	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get you closer to that COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: equivalent to Santa Cruz Ave for square foot figure. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Those are one time.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you know, transportation planning process using social networking or other processes to reduce that ten years to a few fewer years, I think that would be fabulous. And that's, you know, my comments, at which point I'm also happy to entertain CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Actually COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Maybe we have some	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get you closer to that COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: equivalent to Santa Cruz Ave for square foot figure. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Those are one time. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: True, but there's
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you know, transportation planning process using social networking or other processes to reduce that ten years to a few fewer years, I think that would be fabulous. And that's, you know, my comments, at which point I'm also happy to entertain CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Actually COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Maybe we have some further comments.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get you closer to that COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: equivalent to Santa Cruz Ave for square foot figure. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Those are one time. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: True, but there's also some other major capital improvement projects that
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you know, transportation planning process using social networking or other processes to reduce that ten years to a few fewer years, I think that would be fabulous. And that's, you know, my comments, at which point I'm also happy to entertain CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Actually COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Maybe we have some further comments. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, I do. When	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get you closer to that COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: equivalent to Santa Cruz Ave for square foot figure. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Those are one time. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: True, but there's also some other major capital improvement projects that don't have a dollar figure on here, but that undoubtedly
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you know, transportation planning process using social networking or other processes to reduce that ten years to a few fewer years, I think that would be fabulous. And that's, you know, my comments, at which point I'm also happy to entertain CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Actually COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Maybe we have some further comments. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, I do. When you were I just did some calculations some people	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get you closer to that COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: equivalent to Santa Cruz Ave for square foot figure. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Those are one time. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: True, but there's also some other major capital improvement projects that don't have a dollar figure on here, but that undoubtedly will be future major expenses, including that Facebook
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you know, transportation planning process using social networking or other processes to reduce that ten years to a few fewer years, I think that would be fabulous. And that's, you know, my comments, at which point I'm also happy to entertain CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Actually COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Maybe we have some further comments. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, I do. When you were I just did some calculations some people call it cowboy math, but I'm hoping to let Vince know so	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get you closer to that COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: equivalent to Santa Cruz Ave for square foot figure. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Those are one time. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: True, but there's also some other major capital improvement projects that don't have a dollar figure on here, but that undoubtedly will be future major expenses, including that Facebook will pay for bicycle and pedestrian improvements in Menlo
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about how to improve the urban planning and tran you know, transportation planning process using social networking or other processes to reduce that ten years to a few fewer years, I think that would be fabulous. And that's, you know, my comments, at which point I'm also happy to entertain CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Actually COMMISSIONER KADVANY: Maybe we have some further comments. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Well, I do. When you were I just did some calculations some people call it cowboy math, but I'm hoping to let Vince know so you feel a little better about the I actually added up	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Those are only the ones with figures listed, and that comes to 2.65 million, which would get you closer to that COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Well CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: equivalent to Santa Cruz Ave for square foot figure. COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: Those are one time. CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: True, but there's also some other major capital improvement projects that don't have a dollar figure on here, but that undoubtedly will be future major expenses, including that Facebook will pay for bicycle and pedestrian improvements in Menlo Park and East Palo Alto, and then they also have public

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

1	Fire Protection District.	1	So adopt a resolution approving the amended
2	So there's a much greater I mean, I	2	and restated CDP, introduce on ordinance approving the
3	think they actually are going to be paying more than what	3	Development Agreement, adopt a resolution approving
4	an an equivalent Santa Cruz Avenue shop would be in	4	heritage tree removal and permits, adopt a resolution
5	total. It's not just in an un unrestricted funds.	5	certifying the Final EIR and adopt a resolution adopting
6	COMMISSIONER BRESSLER: I wouldn't	6	the Statement of Overriding Conditions and MMRP.
7	necessarily disagree with you, and there are some things	7	So is everyone clear on what the motion is?
8	than that, even, and I'm actually thrilled that we got	8	Did you need to say something, Justin?
9	the in-lieu because we didn't get it on Gateway.	9	MR. MURPHY: Just a thought that that
10	And so I think we're kind of moving in the	10	incorporates the additional three mitigations into the
11	right direction.	11	MMRP that was identified this evening.
12	I'm just trying to help the process along a	12	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Did you
13	little bit here, because I think that when you negotiate	13	want to
14	something like this, you should be thinking it through	14	MR. MURPHY: And the recognition that
15	and be able to express why you came up with that number.	15	there will be changes to the Development Agreement and
16	I don't like being put in a position where	16	potentially Conditional Development Permit as it relates
17	I get that kind of answer that when I ask that	17	to any updates to incorporate items from the East Palo
18	question.	18	Alto term sheet.
19	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Thanks.	19	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right.
20	All right. Has everybody said what they	20	MR. MURPHY: And the mitigation measures,
21	need to say and we can go ahead and take the vote on	21	those three intersections are in the MMRP and the
22	we're going to vote so the motion was to the	22	mitigation measures of the Conditional Development
23	Planning Commission recommends to the City Council and	23	Permit.
24	then all five of those items that are listed on the	24	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Okay. Great.
25	slide.	25	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: Yeah. Understood and
	Page 138		Page 139
1	accepted.	1	if you still need to comment more, and I imagine if
2	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: Wonderful. Same.	2	you're interested in the Caltrans letter developments,
3	And the three mitigation measures that he spoke of are	3	that will happen then.
4	listed on the slide Marsh Road and Bayfront Expressway,	4	So beyond this, we have Commission
5	Marsh Road and US 101, northbound ramps at Willow Road	5	business, none, and I wanted to just note that the future
6	and Newbridge Street.	6	Planning Commission schedule.
7	That was for Mark's benefit.	7	The next time we'll be meeting is May 21st,
8	All right. Are we ready to take a vote on	8	2012, and I will make the motion to adjourn.
9	the motion? All those in favor, please raise your hand.	9	Anybody want to give me a second on that?
10	That's six votes. Six affirmative, and Peipei is not	10	COMMISSIONER RIGGS: I'll second.
	•		
	available tonight		CHAIRPERSON FERRICK All right
11 12	available tonight. Thank you	11	CHAIRPERSON FERRICK: All right. Meeting's adjourned
12	Thank you.	12	Meeting's adjourned.
12 13	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will	12 13	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you.
12 13 14	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will proceed to Council. Thank you all for your work on that	12 13 14	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you. (The meeting concluded at 9:46 PM).
12 13 14 15	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will proceed to Council. Thank you all for your work on that and thank you all, members of the public for weighing in.	12 13 14 15	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you.
12 13 14 15 16	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will proceed to Council. Thank you all for your work on that and thank you all, members of the public for weighing in. I anticipate if you still would like to	12 13 14 15 16	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you. (The meeting concluded at 9:46 PM).
12 13 14 15 16 17	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will proceed to Council. Thank you all for your work on that and thank you all, members of the public for weighing in. I anticipate if you still would like to comment further, I believe that there's a meeting in City	12 13 14 15 16 17	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you. (The meeting concluded at 9:46 PM).
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will proceed to Council. Thank you all for your work on that and thank you all, members of the public for weighing in. I anticipate if you still would like to comment further, I believe that there's a meeting in City Council June 5th.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you. (The meeting concluded at 9:46 PM).
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will proceed to Council. Thank you all for your work on that and thank you all, members of the public for weighing in. I anticipate if you still would like to comment further, I believe that there's a meeting in City Council June 5th. Is that correct, Rachel? Isn't it June 5th	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you. (The meeting concluded at 9:46 PM).
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will proceed to Council. Thank you all for your work on that and thank you all, members of the public for weighing in. I anticipate if you still would like to comment further, I believe that there's a meeting in City Council June 5th. Is that correct, Rachel? Isn't it June 5th is the next, or is it the end of May?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you. (The meeting concluded at 9:46 PM).
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will proceed to Council. Thank you all for your work on that and thank you all, members of the public for weighing in. I anticipate if you still would like to comment further, I believe that there's a meeting in City Council June 5th. Is that correct, Rachel? Isn't it June 5th is the next, or is it the end of May? MS. GROSSMAN: May 29th will be the City	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you. (The meeting concluded at 9:46 PM).
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will proceed to Council. Thank you all for your work on that and thank you all, members of the public for weighing in. I anticipate if you still would like to comment further, I believe that there's a meeting in City Council June 5th. Is that correct, Rachel? Isn't it June 5th is the next, or is it the end of May? MS. GROSSMAN: May 29th will be the City Council's formal review of the project that you reviewed	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you. (The meeting concluded at 9:46 PM).
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will proceed to Council. Thank you all for your work on that and thank you all, members of the public for weighing in. I anticipate if you still would like to comment further, I believe that there's a meeting in City Council June 5th. Is that correct, Rachel? Isn't it June 5th is the next, or is it the end of May? MS. GROSSMAN: May 29th will be the City Council's formal review of the project that you reviewed tonight, and June 5th is the second reading of the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you. (The meeting concluded at 9:46 PM).
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will proceed to Council. Thank you all for your work on that and thank you all, members of the public for weighing in. I anticipate if you still would like to comment further, I believe that there's a meeting in City Council June 5th. Is that correct, Rachel? Isn't it June 5th is the next, or is it the end of May? MS. GROSSMAN: May 29th will be the City Council's formal review of the project that you reviewed tonight, and June 5th is the second reading of the Development Agreement on this.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you. (The meeting concluded at 9:46 PM).
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thank you. All right. So those recommendations will proceed to Council. Thank you all for your work on that and thank you all, members of the public for weighing in. I anticipate if you still would like to comment further, I believe that there's a meeting in City Council June 5th. Is that correct, Rachel? Isn't it June 5th is the next, or is it the end of May? MS. GROSSMAN: May 29th will be the City Council's formal review of the project that you reviewed tonight, and June 5th is the second reading of the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Meeting's adjourned. Thank you. (The meeting concluded at 9:46 PM).

36 (Pages 138 to 141)
		1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO) I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the discussion in the foregoing meeting was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the foregoing is a full, true and complete record of said matter. I further certify that I an not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing meeting and caption named, or in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 	

	addendum 115:21	affect 75:22 96:4	137:25	51:23 62:18,22
<u>A</u>	adding 27:5	109:14 115:18	ahead 13:8 33:15	64:22,23 65:14,18
Aaron 9:15	addition 23:21	affirmative 140:10	33:18 45:13 60:16	66:1,10,20 67:13
ability 46:11 70:13	24:13 67:14 93:5	affirmed 70:7	76:20 118:21	87:8,11,13,18,23
78:15 111:8	93:24	affordable 72:24	121:23 122:2	89:8 104:5,9
able 4:9 28:4 44:21	additional 8:18,21	73:10,13	121.23 122.2	112:23
138:15	23:21 24:19,21	afternoon 38:21	123:16 134:10	analyze 66:8,21
absent 13:11 81:24	25:6 38:4 59:20	95:23 102:15,20	138:21	87:19 104:8
absolutely 40:18		111:24 114:21	air 24:17 65:23	117:19
59:18 133:25	60:2 66:9,15,17 85:11 91:13 98:3	111:24 114:21	66:3	
abstaining 7:25				analyzed 22:4
abstains 7:25	98:5 104:5 108:24	agencies 73:11	al 106:1	104:4 117:18
academic 71:21	120:11 139:10	98:24	alarming 127:8	123:5
acceptable 62:4	address 5:20 27:17	agency 39:3 67:19	align 32:8	analyzes 117:17
accepted 140:1	27:18 28:7 30:22	67:23,24,25 95:2	alleviate 121:20	analyzing 124:23
access 19:18 79:12	64:11 92:13	agenda 5:21	allow 31:11 87:13	Andrikopoulos
accommodate 17:9	100:11 135:18	agendized 6:2	124:21	9:16 10:15
119:6	addressed 21:14	agent 128:17	allows 53:6	ANDRIKOPUO
accomplished	94:5	aggressive 60:25	alluded 100:7	9:15
41:15	addresses 37:21	62:9	102:1	and/or 6:2
accurate 45:20	addressing 135:19	ago 78:19 125:7	alternative 56:23	announced 60:10
accurately 65:9,15	adequacy 64:1	agree 58:9 72:1	75:2 124:4	Announcements
achieve 79:13	adequate 95:3	120:22	Alto 9:17 11:1	4:21
107:16	adequately 28:7	agreed 68:25	16:15,17 21:10	annual 33:21 35:7
acknowledgement	64:11	130:20	24:5 27:22 68:16	36:7,12 50:15,16
69:9	Adina 3:13 73:24	agreement 13:17	68:18,20,22,23,25	50:24 51:9,11
acknowledges	77:3,8,10 79:19	14:23 16:5,16	69:6,14,17,21	55:9 56:18,19
99:13	82:3 109:12	20:7,8,13,18,23	70:12 71:18 78:18	59:19 83:2,5,6
acquire 56:10	Adina's 109:21	21:13,15 29:10,16	78:19,22 79:3,5,6	129:14
acquisition 101:25	adjacency 11:19,23	30:6,7 31:20,22	85:8 109:23,25	annually 21:4
act 28:15 32:23	adjacent 8:17	32:9 34:16 35:2	131:4 137:23,25	129:13
34:19 106:5	57:21,22 103:4	35:16,19,24 36:6	139:18	answer 8:22 10:2
action 4:24 6:16,19	adjourn 141:8	40:23 42:12 45:15	amazing 80:2	28:25 36:10 55:18
134:2 142:11	adjourned 141:12	45:17,18,22 46:8	amended 14:20	82:8 92:14 113:5
actionable 136:1	adjust 119:5	46:13 47:1 51:20	16:22 17:2,2,7	115:4 130:1
actions 14:19 26:10	adjusted 21:4	52:25 60:22,24	29:14 72:5 139:1	138:17
29:4,6	adopt 29:13,16,17	62:8,14,21 69:8	amendment 13:16	answered 16:2
activity 11:20	29:18 72:4,7,8,9	72:6,7 75:8 77:21	60:24	52:22 77:13 127:6
actual 48:22 52:12	139:1,3,4,5	78:1,18,22 80:13	amount 40:14 43:9	answering 82:10
93:9 136:25	adopting 29:18	83:3 88:8 92:17	55:10 58:23 90:9	anticipate 92:19
actuality 70:5	67:23 72:10 139:5	109:14,16 119:1	93:14 115:22	140:16
add 13:4 79:15	adoption 15:5	119:21 121:12	118:2 126:14,23	anybody 4:18 6:10
81:7 86:22 115:6	25:12 26:6	128:1 130:19	127:14	10:6 11:14 12:5
122:21 127:5	advantage 103:21	132:17,21 139:3	analyses 87:17	18:13 44:7 48:9
added 23:19 24:1	adverse 25:25	139:15 140:24	analysis 16:1 23:13	59:8 141:9
86:21 136:23	Advisors 70:19	agreements 50:16	23:21 24:8 28:14	anyway 52:18
00.21 100.20		-		- *
	I	l	I	I

emerickfinch@emerickfinch.com

	i			
111:17	approving 29:15,16	assume 55:19 81:17	138:4	97:12,14 140:4
apologies 60:4	72:5,7 106:7	108:7	average 56:1	BCDC 44:15
apologize 27:12	139:1,2,3	assumed 55:18,19	avocation 13:6	beats 75:25
63:17 85:15	approximately	assuming 40:21	avoid 61:20	beer 126:4,9
apparently 136:4	16:6	100:2	aware 87:7	behalf 32:24 71:6
appear 37:25 61:3	April 6:9 7:15	assumption 94:19	awkward 10:19	73:1
130:25	15:14 16:9 20:12	107:8	A.J 9:16	behave 114:11
appears 89:6	23:5 64:3	assumptions 64:13		behavior 104:22,22
applicable 21:7	arborist 22:3	assurance 32:3	B	believe 5:12 9:6
applicant 9:11,12	area 9:19 57:24	Atherton 24:16	B 18:24	13:14 30:16 36:21
10:7 14:1 16:7,16	58:6 69:25 79:14	28:19 37:20 38:1	back 10:20 15:4	43:19 50:9 62:5
20:6 25:20 27:16	89:9,11 115:13	62:1 63:23 64:10	19:25 25:11 27:24	64:5 69:17 72:25
37:13 38:12 44:19	122:22 129:4	64:20 65:25 66:10	27:24 28:11 33:6	75:6,14 80:9 90:7
46:5 63:8,10,12	areas 17:23	66:18,19,22 67:9	33:8,11 54:17,17	94:3 108:23 125:3
68:3 79:23 105:23	arenas 52:1,2	68:1 79:3 84:20	54:18 61:4 71:16	140:17
107:4,12,24	argue 89:17	84:23 85:15,24	75:12 81:7,25	believes 64:11
108:12,20 121:20	arguments 132:18	93:4,16 94:15	96:18 100:24	65:25 66:19
125:5,12 130:20	arrival 48:18	100:8	101:8 104:6 110:6	Belle 71:25
application 11:19	arrive 4:19 114:12	Atherton's 28:1,2	background 91:9	Ben 2:4 59:24
11:25	arrived 2:4 116:12	Atkins 14:6 105:19	balance 127:7	88:19 113:13
applies 22:24	arrives 4:9	attached 43:3	ball 126:8	121:25 122:2
apply 40:17	arriving 48:10	attachment 14:13	ballpark 48:16	beneficial 46:11
approach 92:2	articulated 69:4	15:7,16 17:16	base 74:19,20	87:10 112:20
approached 71:10	artificial 64:23	18:12,24 20:19	based 20:21 21:5	125:15
approaching	93:7,22	23:1 26:6,8 28:2,3	23:12 24:15,18	benefit 40:14 42:4
129:19	artificially 66:12	28:3 44:22 64:5	37:5 45:17 49:9	46:24 52:16 57:4
appropriate 61:3	asked 52:23 55:15	83:10 85:7	55:24 56:25 58:8	61:23 71:17 75:17
65:8,13 76:21	74:13 128:19	attachments 14:15	64:23 88:16 89:1	76:2 78:25 110:21
83:24 103:3	asking 82:2 105:8	attendance 5:14	89:8 95:24 101:12	117:7 119:18
120:11 131:21	aspects 32:10 53:15	ATTENDEES 2:1	109:13 112:15	127:13 135:2
132:1	106:2 108:23	attention 68:21	113:4 123:7	137:2 140:7
approval 6:8,16	assess 67:24	76:11 135:23	127:11 130:13	benefits 20:20
18:4,6 22:11	asset 79:11	attorney 2:10 4:14	basically 31:22	30:11 31:24 52:16
25:24 29:14 68:24	assign 112:20	14:4 61:9 142:8	37:13 39:3,4,7	56:22,25 62:20
88:15 102:3	assigned 30:8 65:6	auditorium 53:22	44:5 54:13 104:24	72:2 79:5 106:14
107:16 109:2,3	assist 13:25	authority 39:5,16	105:1,1 130:21	106:14 110:13
110:23,23	Assistant 2:9 4:13	authorization	134:10	121:11,12,15
approvals 46:23	14:3	70:18	basis 16:23 46:13	137:24
approve 6:20 7:14	Associate 2:8 4:12	automatic 83:12	48:6 59:7,13	Benito 67:10
8:2 12:11,18	65:7	available 28:11	Bay 19:21 20:6	best 45:2 46:15
15:20 21:11 72:6	associated 14:25	29:22 59:8,11	22:19 44:15 47:17	50:23 51:15 73:4
85:25 102:5 130:4	17:4 19:20 23:13	87:17 140:11	47:20 49:23 58:6	100:10 118:6
approved 16:17	25:17 26:4 44:12	Ave 129:8,9 137:15	88:21 89:3 136:4	133:25
19:9 20:11,12	47:4 84:25	Avenue 9:18 66:22	Bayfront 18:18	better 17:22 37:16
22:16 39:15,21	Associates 69:5,23	67:7,10 97:11,13	27:7 47:10,18	80:23 85:13 91:14
			57:23 78:7 97:7	
				·

r				
111:1 126:19	79:8	70:10 80:22 90:2	19:23 21:24 22:1	carry 39:9,9
130:23 134:15	Bressler 2:4 4:5	135:7	22:14,22,25 23:17	cars 83:13 90:2,3
136:23	7:25 12:23 45:14	bumping 58:12	23:18,20,23 24:2	113:1
beyond 66:11,18	46:1,9,12,18,21	bunch 131:10	24:24 25:11,19	case 39:17 42:10
67:7 129:11	46:25 47:8,12,22	bus 113:22 114:4	26:4,24,25 37:4,4	43:16 45:3 47:14
136:25 141:4	48:3,19 49:7,13	bush 75:25	37:5,7,9,15 40:17	48:24 56:24 67:21
bicycle 78:23	84:13,14 85:10	business 134:21	40:19,21,24 41:2	86:12,13 98:2
137:22	86:7 87:4,21 97:2	137:7 141:5	40.19,21,24 41.2	100:22 102:25
big 56:4,4 80:1 84:5	97:11,15 98:8	businesses 131:22	42:24,24 43:14,21	100.22 102.23
95:11 96:14,14	99:2,5 100:13	busy 96:9 116:4	43:22,24 45:16,19	107:1 112:24
131:10 134:20	108:5,5 111:6	•	45:22,23,25 46:4	107.1 112.24 122:21 134:21,23
	,	bypass 66:24 67:10	, ,	cases 31:22 32:1
bike 10:11 47:2,8,9	112:13,14 113:9	C	46:6,8,23 53:20	
79:12 87:8,20	120:17 121:13,16	C 14:13 15:16	60:19 69:7 71:13	57:23 102:23
88:1 133:21	128:12 129:22	cafeteria 53:22	76:22 78:6 85:18	118:12
bike/pedestrian	130:11 132:6,14	calculated 56:12	85:19,20 89:20	categorically 12:15
19:18	137:13,16 138:6	87:2	90:6,7 96:5	cause 113:3 115:18
binding 20:9	Bressler's 105:21	calculations 55:23	113:20 114:18	142:10
biological 23:12	BRICKMAN 1:23	129:2 136:21	117:2 126:15	caused 65:11
bird 23:16,19	2:18 142:19	calendar 6:7,7	130:24	causes 125:13
bit 15:12 20:16	bridge 61:10 134:3	California 2:18,19	Campuses 14:24	caveat 69:15
81:12 82:5 85:12	briefly 23:2	9:18 12:16 28:14	15:3 23:14	CDP 17:7,20 139:2
91:21 95:11,22	bring 18:15 33:2		cap 17:3,8,11,12,13	cement 81:2
105:21 113:11	37:24 60:13 76:10	70:1 99:12 106:5	17:14,19 35:21	Center 131:7
116:6 138:13	77:6 81:7 125:9	142:1	41:2,17 42:9,15	centers 131:8
blah-blah-blah-b	135:6	call 4:3,4 40:15	43:7,16,17 45:17	Central 8:15
99:16	bringing 81:25	108:9 136:9,22	55:1 57:12,12	cents 125:24
blocks 10:24 60:19	135:11	called 40:16 107:3	60:24 77:16 79:13	128:16,18,23
blue 62:11	broad 116:7	calling 133:11	82:6,11,21,25	CEQA 12:16 34:24
Board 44:17 67:21	brought 93:24	136:25	83:9 90:2,10 93:7	38:22 67:20 77:22
68:18 70:19	113:10,12 125:7	calls 100:8	93:9,11,12,21	99:16 109:13
boards 68:19	127:15	Caltrain 47:13	94:19 95:10 96:25	117:19
boat 114:9	build 46:23 61:16	91:25 97:20	113:17 114:6	certain 54:15 55:8
body 25:24 106:7	110:8	Caltrans 27:14,18	115:8 126:13,13	118:12 134:22,22
bond 108:1 131:15	building 8:14,17	39:12,15,20,22	capacity 58:1 91:11	certainly 119:7
131:17	24:24 53:21,22	40:4,5,9 44:15	91:13	126:5
bonds 107:17	54:3 71:6 87:20	74:5,11 75:13,14	capital 137:4,19	certification 15:3
book 100:24	110:9	75:20 76:14 85:25	caps 128:4	22:21,24 25:15
bothers 121:5	buildings 43:15,15	91:25 92:2 100:9	caption 142:9	98:25 100:1
bottom 62:23 99:5	53:8,10,18,18,19	102:3,5 107:12,16	car 95:14 115:2	certified 42:11 95:2
120:19	53:19,24 54:9,14	109:2,3 111:13	card 77:4,6 79:20	95:6
bottoms 22:10	54:15,20,23 98:7	141:2	cards 60:6,12 79:21	certify 76:19 100:2
box 124:9	135:7	Camino 5:3	81:16	142:3,7
boxes 99:19	buildout 89:20	campus 13:18,19	care 124:12	certifying 29:18
break 84:7	built 17:25 43:14	13:20 14:20,22	careful 49:2	72:9 98:21 139:5
breaking 77:12	69:21,24 70:3,6	15:5 17:24 19:4,6	carrot 124:14	cetera 94:16
		19:7,8,14,21,22		
	1	1	1	1

		•		
Chair 63:5 71:3	92:1	46:10 50:19 52:14	116:3 137:12	118:23 121:25
77:9,12 105:15	chances 60:1	55:11 57:4 61:13	closing 78:5 83:25	130:18 134:12,12
108:4 111:6	change 54:8 56:10	61:20,25 62:23	closure 83:16	140:17 141:1
Chairman 68:13	56:11,17 82:15	64:4,4 65:7 67:21	Code 54:3	commented 73:9
Chairperson 2:3,3	84:9 92:17 104:9	68:15,20,23 69:6	codified 99:18	91:24
4:1,16 5:18 6:13	124:2	69:13,14 70:16	cogenra 24:23	commenting 77:5
6:22 7:1,9,19,21	changed 26:12	71:20 72:4 74:13	collaborative 80:9	comments 3:5 5:19
8:23 9:1,8 10:4	74:21 82:12 104:9	74:18 76:14 79:16	collar 62:12	5:20 6:12 8:21
11:5 12:5,9,13,25	changes 21:5,12,15	85:22 87:9 88:8,9	collect 60:14	11:15 15:22 23:8
13:7 29:24 33:8	23:9,12 24:14	95:6 98:10,21	colon 7:11	23:13 24:15,18
33:14,18 36:16	53:18 77:12,16,20	99:1,4,6 100:14	column 84:16	30:5 57:10 60:2
38:16 45:12 49:14	79:8 82:6 139:15	103:21 105:23,25	com 116:1	60:20 63:13 64:3
55:4 57:8 59:24	Chapman 14:6	107:19 108:7	combined 24:23	69:16,17 70:17
60:9 63:3,6,11,16	charge 128:21	110:14 111:10	come 9:13 10:10	79:22 81:8,15
68:11 70:24 72:17	charging 128:17	127:13,15 129:11	15:10 19:8 33:10	91:18 92:25 93:3
73:22 77:2 79:19	charities 71:24	129:15 131:4,9,15	50:17 51:1,5,11	93:6 98:24,24,25
81:5,14,20 82:17	chart 105:19	131:19,20,24,24	56:2,3 60:10	127:11 133:14
82:23 83:11 84:2	check 11:18 88:17	134:4 135:1 137:3	61:20 63:17 73:5	135:9 136:15,19
88:3 91:19 92:16	99:20	138:23 140:17,21	74:3 110:15	Commercial 8:15
92:21 93:2 94:24	checked 84:4 99:19	City's 21:12 31:9	116:11 122:9	Commission 1:2
95:7 96:17 99:7	chime 106:4	32:17	127:20 128:20	2:2,20 3:4 4:2,21
100:22 102:7	Chip 2:9 4:18 14:5	clarification 34:6	130:4	4:23,24 5:7,21,22
105:12 111:3	28:24 82:7,18	34:10 37:3 77:18	comes 41:23 55:10	6:1,9,17,19 7:15
112:12 113:7,13	86:1 89:7 118:20	82:4 94:25 100:23	58:3 85:18 98:10	11:13 13:23 15:11
115:6 116:10	120:1	clarified 48:4	110:3,5 117:2	16:10 17:11 26:11
118:20 119:25	choice 40:3	clarify 35:20 40:12	122:24 137:11	27:11 28:10 29:4
120:15 121:9,14	choose 79:4 111:10	40:13 41:1 85:6	Comfort 3:12	29:13,22 44:16
121:18 122:1,20	chose 28:16 57:16	107:10 113:10	72:18,21	50:18,25 51:2,7
122:25 124:22	117:8	116:18 121:9	comfortable 49:8	62:24 63:22 68:14
125:19 127:1	chosen 57:18 58:3	class 12:15 48:2	61:5 96:24 122:6	71:4 72:3 74:2
129:1 130:15	88:24 116:17	clause 78:20	coming 10:20 16:24	77:12 79:16
133:6,10,16	117:5,8 119:11	clear 69:22 70:9	22:9 37:5,5,6 48:6	138:23 141:4,6
136:17,20 137:14	circle 44:22	85:16 86:8 101:21	56:22,25 90:12	Commissioner 4:4
137:18 138:19	cities 61:16	112:10 119:20	91:3 93:14 116:20	4:5,5,6,7 6:11,13
139:12,19,24	citizens 69:20	139:7	116:22 125:17	6:15,24 7:5,18,20
140:2,25 141:11	city 1:1,16 2:7,10	clearing 34:13	commenced 2:19	7:22,25 8:1,24,25
challenge 34:24	2:17,20 4:14 5:6	99:14,22	commendation	9:2,7 11:16,17,24
35:24 95:12	5:10,24 10:1 14:3	clearly 46:24 89:14	68:22	12:3,7,10,23 13:5
challenges 71:21	14:12 15:18 16:4	Clem 3:8 60:3,11	comment 6:5 11:9	20:22 30:4,25
101:25 109:20	16:6,8,15,17 19:9	60:18	11:11 15:20,21	31:3,7,21 32:25
challenging 58:19	21:10,16 22:3	close 11:7 29:21	24:4 27:13 28:1,2	33:5,12,16,19
60:25 125:4	24:5 28:10,16	45:4 81:10,13,17	30:1 38:4 50:7	34:3,15,25 35:5
Chambers 1:16	29:4,5,13 32:17	83:20,24 122:10	52:21 57:5 60:1	35:12,15 36:3,18
2:17 5:12	32:20 39:7,23	closely 130:2	63:9,13,24 82:3	37:10,16,19 38:6
chance 36:15 74:7	40:2 44:14 46:3	closer 58:1 96:7	96:17 110:18	38:15,18 40:2,8
L				

				2
40:11,25 41:5,19	communicate 4:22	118:4 122:5,17	connection 48:1	62:24 70:13 79:9
41:21 42:2 43:13	communities 80:15	conceptual 18:23	connectivity 19:24	95:10 127:25
44:1 45:6,10,14	80:24	concern 51:13 79:2	conscientious 73:7	132:4
46:1,9,12,18,21	community 9:23,25	119:4 125:13	73:18	continues 70:7
46:25 47:8,12,22	31:13 32:21 49:20	133:20	consent 6:6,7,20	contract 20:9 61:1
48:3,19 49:7,13	49:25 50:13,13,21	concerned 57:5	consequently 70:10	62:25
49:15,18 50:11	59:16 65:23 70:13	103:19 111:7,20	94:15	contractors 116:22
51:1,8,12 52:15	70:20 71:11,23,24	125:9	Conservation	contractually 68:4
54:12 55:2,5,14	73:3,17,19 78:25	concerns 27:19	44:16	contribute 55:21
56:20 57:9,11	79:5,11 80:21	28:8 40:4 63:25	consider 15:19	68:6 86:5
58:5,16 59:1,15	81:3 95:25 97:21	80:11 100:12	102:4	contribution 86:3
59:23 63:21 81:19	115:19 117:7,15	105:1	consideration	86:19,24 137:6
81:23 84:12,14	127:18 131:23	conclude 25:3	16:21	contributions
85:10 86:7 87:4	137:5	concluded 141:14	Considerations	80:17
87:21 88:4,5,13	commuters 78:24	concludes 79:22	15:6 25:13,16,23	contributor 9:25
89:16 90:13 91:1	companies 71:10	conclusion 62:16	26:7,16,22 27:3	control 39:3,13
91:16,24 92:23,24	-	79:17 100:3	29:19 72:11	controlling 135:2
93:3 94:12 95:8,9	companion 21:9	concrete 80:14	considered 11:19	convenient 10:17
97:2,11,15 98:8	company 56:10	condition 22:5,10	23:10 108:16	11:4
99:2,5 100:13	71:16 80:20 84:24	44:13	123:7	converge 136:5
102:9,10,13,16	comparable 54:11	conditional 13:13	considering 80:14	converging 66:15
103:8,12 104:1,20	55:1	13:16 14:21 16:22	consistent 20:5	conversation 38:2
105:11,13,14,21	comparative 131:2	16:24,25 17:2	93:25	conversations
107:7,23 108:3,5	compare 130:14	18:3,5,10 27:4	consisting 8:12	39:20 81:2
109:8 110:7,11	compared 54:5	29:14 44:23 45:24	constants 119:3	cooperate 61:25
111:6,23,25	134:16	72:5 94:6 139:16	constitution 13:20	coordinating 125:3
112:13,14 113:9	comparing 134:14	139:22	70:19	copied 27:23
113:14 115:20	comparison 36:23	conditions 12:20	constraints 102:1	copies 27:24
116:13 118:22	compensation	18:4 20:10 38:11	construct 21:20	core 54:1
119:12 120:17	78:15	53:7 66:2 68:25	107:15	corporate 69:12
121:13,16,24	complaints 135:8	109:16 139:6	construction 17:25	71:13
122:3,24 123:2	135:20	conducted 23:13	18:9,20 19:5 24:2	correct 12:2 37:1,2
124:25 125:19,21	complete 92:25	50:15,24	70:6 71:19 86:6	38:3,14 40:10,22
128:12 129:22	116:14 136:7	confidence 127:24	constructive 81:1	41:4 54:25 55:12
130:8,11,17 132:6	142:6	confidential 48:14	consultant 14:5	67:20 77:23 97:6
132:8,14 133:8,13	completely 59:11	confirm 36:19	28:6,17,25 57:19	97:7 105:24
133:17 136:18	76:7	38:19 105:20	consultation 98:23	107:25 121:21
137:13,16 138:6	complex 116:2	confirmed 70:2	Consumer 21:5	140:19
139:25 141:10	compliant 22:18	confirming 89:1	contact 80:5,6	corrected 7:16
commissioners	complicated 38:21	confused 35:17	99:16	101:21
13:10,11 60:17	complied 99:14,21	confusion 49:21	contained 107:5	correctly 67:22
77:10 91:20 96:13	comport 74:15	congratulation	content 41:22	78:5 97:5
Commissionser 4:9	computed 48:6	13:12	contesting 94:19	correlated 78:9
commitment 80:14	con 92:6	congratulations	context 31:5 50:23	83:17
committees 68:19	concept 113:18	68:24	continue 53:4	correspondence
				· ·
	l			I

	•	•		
27:20	creating 19:21	118:5 119:13,14	defined 112:2,7	despite 70:4
corridor 89:3	134:7	120:9,14 123:7	119:22 120:22	detail 15:7 78:3
cost 20:25 86:25	creation 20:13	130:6,18	definitely 69:9	details 14:13
costs 129:15	creative 72:24	database 103:14,14	definition 32:9	determination
Council 1:16 2:17	credit 56:19 80:16	date 77:19 83:3,8	definitions 110:19	106:13 121:17,19
5:6,10,11 14:12	Creek 61:10	120:8 124:24	degradation 66:1	determine 23:22
15:18,24 16:4,9	critical 76:24	135:14	delineating 20:9	27:17 103:2
16:17 19:9 20:12	criticism 100:14	dated 64:3	deliveries 116:21	120:10
21:16 27:22 28:10	cross 78:11 134:2	day 11:3 15:19 16:3	demand 17:5 65:8	determined 24:10
29:4,5,13 32:6,17	crossing 44:17 78:6	41:9 55:12 57:13	65:13,16 67:4	24:21 25:4,24
34:18 50:5,19	78:7,14 83:17,18	75:10 94:9 95:15	75:22 130:21	28:15 88:8 120:13
51:24,24 68:23	83:21 137:8	98:20 104:19	132:1 134:15,23	determining 31:18
70:16 71:7 72:4	crowd 103:24	113:25 116:6	demonstrated	developed 83:21
76:20 79:16 95:6	crux 75:5	142:16	127:24	developer 134:23
98:21 99:1,4	Cruz 129:8,9	days 34:21 45:5	denial 69:4 70:7	developers 95:19
110:14 111:10	137:15 138:4	70:1 77:21 78:1	dense 53:10	114:11
126:25 138:23	crystal 126:7	83:8	density 33:23 53:5	developing 111:1
140:14,18	CSR 1:23 2:18	de 69:8	53:10 54:14,18	development 2:8
Council's 140:22	142:19	dead 116:14	56:23 88:15	4:12 13:16,17
counsel 142:7	cubicles 54:2	deal 56:16 80:16	103:22	14:2,21,23,23
count 64:23 88:12	culture 128:5	92:6,7	Department 50:14	16:5,22,24 17:1,3
89:10	cumulative 37:9	dealing 46:16	depend 67:19	17:23 18:3,10
counted 56:18	65:21 66:1,14	deals 74:9	depending 31:6	19:4,15 20:7,8,13
57:13	67:2 85:20 90:25	debate 129:2	89:13 104:12	20:18,23 21:13,15
counting 83:13	curious 55:15	December 69:3,5	116:6 122:16	27:4 29:9,15,16
counts 59:4 89:9	57:17 58:11	69:23	depends 51:10	30:6,7 31:14 32:9
county 65:7 71:6	current 12:15 91:2	decide 51:25 52:17	67:22 131:3	32:22 35:2,24
71:20 72:25 100:5	91:4	83:24 97:18 113:6	deposit 107:17	37:8 40:23 41:7
142:2	currently 9:17	126:4	Deputy 2:10	43:24 44:16,23
couple 6:11 20:21	42:16 86:22 90:14	decided 83:22	des 49:25	45:15,17,18,22,24
26:12 36:19 60:20	cut 100:16	decides 98:18	describe 67:3	46:7,13 47:1
77:11 91:18 98:16		decision 32:15	described 39:10	49:19,20,25 50:13
111:5 116:15	cutting 101:3 134:2	39:22 40:9 50:9	88:1	50:14,16,21 51:19
133:17	cyclist 20:3	52:12,13 73:20	describes 38:11	60:22 62:20 66:14
course 16:6 55:4	C-3 8:14	98:19 99:3 100:18	description 38:9	72:6,7 75:8 77:21
88:9 113:25	D	decisions 31:18	deserve 80:16	78:1 88:8 92:17
Court 70:2	$\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ 12:20	73:16 85:3	design 67:6 124:13	94:6 109:14,15
coverage 17:24	D 12.20 DA 109:22	decision-makers	designate 30:17	119:1 121:12
covered 36:22	daily 17:13 41:17	106:12	31:13,14,17 50:6	128:1 135:5 139:3
134:6	41:18 42:15 90:15	decision-making	designated 30:20	139:15,16,22
covering 96:15	90:24 116:19	39:5,16	designating 30:18	140:24 developmental
cowboy 136:22	126:13	declaration 31:8	designation 30:19	developmental
Crane 8:11	data 59:20 96:18	deemed 108:18	designed 62:4	135:3 developments
create 120:19 137:5	96:22 102:20,24	defensible 87:14 deficiencies 28:20	designee 31:10 32:4 32:18 50:1	developments 141:2
creates 67:11	112:9 115:10,22	uenciencies 28:20	32.10 30.1	141.2
	112.7 113.10,22			

			_	
develops 19:15	discuss 15:25 30:2	dollar 40:16 129:20	14:22,24 15:2,4	23:5,6,10,10
device 24:24	83:23 128:10	132:10 136:25	16:15,17 17:24	25:15 27:14 29:18
dias 27:12	discussed 19:2	137:20	19:4,8,21,22	36:23,24 37:21
Diego 67:21	41:22 43:11	dollars 21:3,5 47:5	21:10,24 22:14,25	38:23 42:11,17
difference 112:18	125:23	48:23 55:25 131:5	23:14,18,20 24:24	43:2 46:6,17 61:3
134:20	discussion 3:1 4:24	131:16,16,18	25:11,19 26:4,24	61:4 63:25 64:11
differences 23:3	11:13 13:1 38:23	doubling 113:19	27:21 37:4,9,15	65:22 66:4,8,14
108:15	47:19 57:19 82:1	downtown 5:3 9:19	40:17,23 41:12	67:3,16 69:16
different 42:7	84:3 102:12,18	10:14,16 11:20	42:24 43:22 45:16	84:15 85:9,17
85:12 87:6 89:2	111:24 117:20	116:3 128:17,23	45:23,25 46:4	86:11 93:18 94:18
92:11 101:10	142:4	129:25	60:23 68:15,16,18	94:25 96:25 97:19
112:3,22 113:16	discussions 24:15	downward 43:11	68:20,22,23,25	98:21,23,25 99:11
114:19,22 115:11	100:9 103:17	Draft 15:22,25 16:1	69:6,14,17,21	99:20 100:1,2
119:7 127:16	105:9	20:18 23:4,9	70:12 78:18,19,22	105:5,6,18,22,24
129:3	displacement 65:10	36:23 42:17 43:2	79:3,5,6 85:7,19	108:6 117:19
differently 85:13	69:19	46:6,17 64:11	89:3 90:6 107:19	119:20,23 123:5
94:14	distance 10:25	66:4 98:23 99:15	109:22,24 137:23	127:7 134:7,13
differs 112:9	distinct 25:14	100:1 135:9	137:25 139:17	135:9 139:5
difficult 48:15 98:4	distinction 35:20	dramatically	easterly 67:7	Eiref 2:4 4:7 13:11
98:9 101:1	distributions 112:4	122:15	east-west 87:9	49:15 57:9,11
digest 92:1	district 8:15 129:24	drawing 103:10	economic 71:19	58:5,16 59:1,15
diligent 44:20	137:7 138:1	132:12,17	edification 74:8	59:23 91:21 95:8
diligently 8:8 39:18	districts 73:10	drive 10:11 13:20	effect 34:13,20 35:2	95:9 103:13
107:13 109:4	divisive 133:14	79:14 95:14 115:1	35:11,12,13,16,19	105:11 113:14
diminishes 57:4	DKS 14:7	121:1	36:1,6 59:14	115:20 116:13
direct 104:22 108:7	document 20:14,16	driven 96:18	87:10 92:18 94:13	122:3,24
direction 43:11	23:8 25:10 32:14	driving 114:24	110:8 112:21	Eiref's 102:13
104:23 138:11	33:1 39:25 41:12	126:1	114:14	either 10:10 32:20
directly 60:6 80:6	56:9 64:2 84:15	drop 10:19 122:10	effective 83:3,4,8	32:22 68:8 103:6
director 2:9 14:4	86:14,15 90:20	122:15	effectively 53:25	104:17 120:12
30:9 31:8,9,13,14	95:5 100:15 106:6	dropped 10:11	113:19,23 114:15	142:8
32:18,21,22 49:19	106:7 107:2,3	dropping 122:22	efficient 80:20	El 5:3
49:20,25 50:13,21	117:17,18 136:3	due 64:12 101:24	effort 52:12 73:7	elect 33:22 35:21
72:22	documentation	Dumbarton 47:18	73:18 108:8,21	35:23
disagree 138:7	38:8	dumping 96:2,7	125:6 128:1,4	Element 5:10
disappear 110:6	documents 26:5	E	efforts 44:20 51:16	135:13,18
disclosure 106:7	27:9 31:12 36:25		110:13	elements 5:15
107:1,3	99:15 103:2	earlier 33:25 36:20	Efner 14:6	eleven 21:2 136:8
discounted 76:4	117:19	38:20 74:17 76:5	eight 22:13 31:23	elongated 118:13
discovering 126:9	doing 8:9 37:13	84:5 101:6 104:15	70:1 86:23 101:18	em 60:13,14
discretion 30:12,12	51:16 64:9 92:12	104:15 105:4	101:19 117:10	emissions 24:21
31:23 32:11,11,16	111:17 113:20	127:6	eighteen 127:18	128:8
32:16,20 46:4	127:12 131:23	early 82:14 100:10	eighty 128:16,18,23	emphasis 87:16
50:10 51:17,23	132:24 135:11,12	earth 128:8	eight-page 20:16	107:19
52:3,19	135:20	easier 20:16	EIR 15:22,23 23:4	emphasize 111:12
		east 13:18,19 14:20		
	-	-	-	-

	I	1	I	
employ 53:10	114:18 116:1	73:12	expectation 52:6	61:23 62:5 64:14
employee 17:3	Environment	eventual 62:14	expectations 32:6	64:24 65:5,12,14
53:10 54:14 55:1	18:21	eventually 51:25	74:16	65:22 66:4,8,25
employees 17:3,9	environmental	everybody 61:12	expected 31:25	67:13 68:5 69:1,7
21:8 33:24 34:8,9	13:19 14:5 15:3	70:22 81:15 86:10	53:13 78:11	69:11 70:11,14
35:8 45:25 54:19	15:25 16:1 22:22	125:15 138:20	expenditures	71:8,22 73:1,6
55:24 70:11 95:13	25:5 26:1 28:15	evidence 112:6	129:15	78:6,24 79:10,13
113:20,22 114:11	28:17 35:25 64:2	133:3	expenses 137:21	79:17 88:9,23
115:1 126:1	72:9 84:7 99:15	exact 43:9,9 60:20	expensive 98:9	91:3 93:15,21
135:12	99:23 104:4 106:2	90:8	experience 58:8	95:21 98:11
encapsulate 114:8	106:5,6,9,15	exactly 31:25	80:18	103:24 104:25
Encinal 66:23	equipment 83:8,12	104:21 115:23	explain 101:5	105:6 108:20
encompass 117:25	83:13	examined 125:8	128:25	110:5 113:1,21
118:16	equivalent 137:14	example 30:23	explanation 82:5	124:8 134:9,14,16
encompassing	138:4	32:14,19 74:22,23	87:24 122:5	134:21 136:1,10
118:2	Erin 14:6	75:9 79:3 90:18	explicitly 125:5	137:3,5,21
encourage 62:23	err 23:25	107:8,12 108:13	explore 49:23	Facebook's 32:10
76:18 114:4	error 24:8	109:9,25 111:11	52:10,12 95:10	32:16 50:10 71:12
encroachment	esca 21:4	117:9 124:2	express 79:9	71:18 72:2 80:4
92:12	escalates 21:2,3	examples 32:8 33:4	138:15	81:2 103:17
encumbrance	especially 111:6	33:7	expressed 46:5	facilities 62:6 65:11
107:23	essentially 118:17	exceed 33:23 35:7	63:25	78:24
endorsed 16:8	estab 42:9	35:21 91:4	expressing 68:23	facility 8:12 48:2
ends 75:16	establish 26:2 42:9	exceeding 21:8	expressway 18:18	53:23 57:21
energy 24:23	established 71:22	excellent 62:19	27:7 47:10,18	facing 71:21
enforcement 17:14	estimate 96:21	excuse 28:3 33:25	57:23 78:7 89:23	fact 38:8 51:15
17:15	estimated 136:6	34:3	97:7,12,14 140:4	69:10,24 70:4
engage 100:11	et 94:16	executed 35:3,19	extend 66:10 67:7	74:15 80:7 86:2
engineering 74:20	evaluated 18:19,21	38:22	extensions 15:19	87:22 96:9,19
Engineers 65:1	24:18 37:11 106:1	Executive 72:22	extensive 98:22	126:19 132:11,16
enhancements 20:4	evaluating 60:21	exempt 12:15	100:7	134:19
enjoy 80:9	evening 4:1,10 5:16	exist 45:16 69:10	extra 54:18 120:2	facto 69:8
enormous 71:17	7:3 13:22,24	134:8	extremely 96:9	failed 66:8 67:3
entertain 136:16	14:10,11,14,17	existence 78:9		failing 88:11
entire 117:7	16:11,12,19,21	existing 8:14 19:11	F	fair 22:5 66:22 67:7
entirely 17:25	17:6 18:15 22:21	19:12 28:20 42:11	F 18:12 44:22	67:9 68:6 86:3,19
132:1	25:19 26:11 27:12	45:24 64:15 67:5	75:15,16	86:20,24 91:2
entities 47:25	27:13,17 28:5,10	71:15 91:9 105:18	fabulous 136:14	126:23 128:21
entitled 109:16	29:2,5 33:3 60:17	exists 112:6	Facebook 3:1 13:17	faith 52:11 88:16
entitlement 25:18	64:6 71:3 73:25	exit 78:5 90:1	13:19 16:16 21:11	108:21
34:7,12 35:10,18	77:9 79:25 96:11	expanded 66:20	22:22 30:12,14	falling 117:11
37:15	116:14 139:11	expansion 69:7	31:23 33:22 39:17	falls 107:9
entitlements 25:21	event 37:7 53:6,8	expect 45:18 51:13	44:25 49:22 51:14	familiar 18:17 23:7
entity 50:14 92:2	53:16	51:18,22 62:5	52:10 54:5,10	32:5
entrance 90:1	events 52:25 71:25	105:2	55:6,7,15 56:6	families 9:22
			57:3,19 60:19	
	1	1	1	

				Page 15
fantastic 8:7	77:2 79:19 81:5	find 10:17 35:17	87:5 94:17 102:12	86:23 89:14 90:25
101:10 127:16	81:14,20 82:17,23	36:9 77:12 88:13	112:16	96:18 103:7 118:7
far 39:23 47:24	83:11 84:2 88:3	90:20	followed 31:18	118:8,9,13 123:4
57:5 62:17,18	91:19 92:16.21	finding 12:14	60:3 68:12 72:19	frames 58:3 118:6
84:15 92:6 97:24	93:2 94:24 95:7	findings 12:17	73:24	framework 20:13
99:18 117:4,16	96:17 99:7 100:22	fine 12:18 36:10	following 6:20	46:15 96:15
124:9 133:9	102:7 105:12	63:19 98:19	12:19,19 15:19	FRANCISCO
fast 61:16	102.7 105.12	119:23 128:7	18:13 64:22	142:2
fastest 71:9	113:7,13 115:6	finish 5:17	105:17,18,21	Francisquito 61:10
faulty 65:18	116:10 118:20	Fire 138:1	109:11	frankly 76:15,23
favor 7:23 13:8,10	119:12,25 120:15	firm 61:2	follow-up 16:13	frees 91:10
81:22 132:18	121:9,14,18 122:1	first 4:4 5:20 8:4	food 55:20	freeway 20:1 61:21
140:9	122:20,25 124:22	14:19 16:21,23	foot 62:3 128:16,18	115:14
feas 103:21	122.20,23 124.22	19:3,17 23:11	128:22 129:21	friendships 80:22
feasibility 42:21	129:1 130:15	26:14 29:25 31:7	128.22 129.21	fringes 118:14
43:12 67:24	133:6,10,16	36:13 44:4 60:3,7	footage 90:9	front 5:5 55:16
103:16 108:15	136:17,20 137:14	60:8 61:7 74:18	footnote 111:24	102:24 114:18
111:13	137:18 138:19	76:11 77:15 88:25	forecasting 65:8,14	fruitless 126:8
feasible 24:22	139:12,19,24	101:7 116:18	foregoing 142:4,5,9	frustration 133:1
42:14 68:9 84:21	140:2,25 141:11	fiscal 62:22	foresaw 108:3	full 15:13 39:13
86:15 102:3 108:6	fewer 114:24	fit 64:15 85:13	form 41:22 42:23	43:16 89:20 142:6
February 16:3	136:14	95:15 125:15	119:10	fully 28:17 40:1
fee 40:15 129:7	fifteen 21:3 76:8	five 13:10 16:20	formal 46:18 80:7	50:10 84:21 86:4
feed 137:7	95:25 122:13	20:25 26:10 60:19	121:16 140:22	97:4,8,10,25
feedback 80:11	136:8	84:8 107:14 109:4	format 5:13 85:12	101:16,24 109:7
feel 31:25 49:7 73:3	fifty 15:22	126:22,25 131:5	formula 48:10,17	functional 44:6
73:6 95:24 131:21	figure 55:25 74:10	120.22,23 131.3	49:12	fund 70:20 137:5
136:23	74:12 75:11 118:6	fixed 41:23,25 42:5	forth 41:10 127:25	funded 68:3 108:1
feeling 131:21	136:25 137:15,20	93:20 124:5	forty 20:14	
fees 137:2	figures 137:10	fixing 61:24	forty-year 60:18	funding 71:23 137:8
FEI 134:13	fill 77:6 135:6,6	0	forum 50:23	funds 138:5
FEIR 135:9	final 15:3,23 19:6	flagship 71:16 flatter 118:12	forward 9:24 19:9	
felt 24:5 57:2	22:22 23:5,6,10	flaw 66:5 97:18	27:19 52:17 73:15	funny 61:17
				further 11:8 13:1
Ferrick 2:3 4:1,16	25:15 27:14,25 29:18 36:23 37:21	flexibility 56:17	73:20 76:19,21	15:1 23:13 30:2 63:13 83:24 85:5
5:18 6:13,22 7:1,9		58:12 84:12	82:13 85:18	
7:19,21 8:1,23 9:1	67:16 69:16 70:4	124:23	107:21 110:3	93:15 104:8
9:8 10:4 11:5	85:9 98:25 99:11	flexible 41:6	111:19	128:10 136:19
12:5,9,13,25 13:7	105:18 134:13	floor 17:23 54:6	forwarded 68:21	140:17 142:7
29:24 33:8,14,18	136:3,3 139:5	111:22	found 22:4 23:24	future 19:14 40:20
36:16 38:16 45:12	finally 15:4 16:14	flow 89:19 96:6	74:5 117:9	65:22 66:13 81:2
49:14 55:4 57:8	18:9 24:17 25:3	focused 80:23	four 12:20 53:8	83:23 110:21
59:24 60:9 63:3,5	29:8 66:25	focuses 74:14	95:22 101:23	124:21,24 127:19
63:6,11,16,21	financial 75:17	focusing 19:7	102:2 118:11	137:21 141:5
68:11,13 70:24	129:11	118:24	frame 44:4 57:25	F-8 44:22
,	P	C 11 . 7 4 40 10		
71:3 72:17 73:22	financially 76:2	follow 7:4 48:19	57:25 58:20,25	G

				Page 152
G 83:10	92:13 94:10 100:5	83:25	127:20	99.17 120.2
				88:17 130:3 Hello 72:20
gain 129:11	104:16 109:19	granted 16:25	hamper 78:14	
gap 47:20 49:24	110:2 111:3 113:2	granting 12:17 25:17	hand 7:24 43:8,8	help 14:18 78:24
136:3	118:21 120:18		65:6 140:9 142:15	79:13,13 80:25
gases 24:20 134:13	121:23 122:2,25	graphic 101:9	handbook 74:20	138:12
135:3	126:11,18 128:11	graphics 111:25	handle 105:7	helpful 100:23
Gateway 134:14,17	133:16,22 138:21	great 7:13 9:14	hands 39:8,9	helps 122:18
138:9	goal 62:9	12:25 13:5,7 44:1	hang 10:20	Henry 2:5 33:10
general 4:22 6:3	goes 35:18 47:3	73:17 74:23 76:4	happen 32:5 34:16	36:17 38:16
48:16 58:6 82:22	50:3 51:24 75:10	79:21 80:16 82:17	70:22 78:13 124:1	121:23 127:3
92:1 115:9 127:7	86:10 129:11	124:14 128:24	134:24 141:3	hereunto 142:15
generally 31:11	going 4:9 5:10	136:10 139:24	happening 30:14	heritage 13:18
generated 48:13	12:23 14:14 16:19	greater 74:24	132:11	14:24 21:19,23,25
64:14,17 65:5	21:22 29:11 31:16	103:21 122:22	happens 35:6	22:15 29:17 72:8
generating 48:21	32:22 33:1 34:12	138:2	122:16	139:4
generation 64:24	49:22 50:2 54:22	greenhouse 128:8	happiness 79:10	hey 128:19
65:1 66:13	58:14 61:23 74:3	134:13 135:3	happy 8:21 10:2,13	Hi 9:15
gentleman 84:19	74:4 75:4,23 76:2	Grossman 2:8 3:3	13:1 79:11 128:9	high 11:1 49:4 52:8
germane 17:1	78:6 85:4 86:19	4:12 13:22 37:2	136:16	58:24 96:6
getting 39:18 44:12	86:24 87:16,16	37:11,18 85:4,11	hard 21:21 54:1	higher 42:10,18
54:16,17 70:21	91:4 95:18,21	95:5 99:21 101:7	115:23	93:12,13,15
79:14 114:15	96:4 113:11,24	102:8 103:25	harder 94:22	118:15
give 6:4 13:25	117:21 119:3	104:3 106:3	Harr 3:12 72:18,20	highest 50:3
36:14 56:7 76:12	120:17 122:4,9,11	107:10,25 116:15	72:21 73:13	highlight 18:25
89:18 119:17	122:12,13,14	140:21	Hart 10:23	21:22 26:12 27:10
141:9	124:1 126:9	Grossman's 44:12	Haven 71:25	28:13
given 14:16 31:8	127:15,25 128:13	grounds 70:7	head 90:22 102:22	highlighted 23:3
81:15 107:8,19	133:22,25 134:3	group 8:13 62:6	120:7	highlighting 86:2
gives 31:22 46:22	134:10 138:3,22	grow 79:17	heading 19:25	highlights 20:21
90:11	golfcourse 61:11	growing 23:23	95:22	highly 23:25
giving 56:23	good 4:1,16 8:6	71:10	headquarters	Highway 18:18
glad 48:4	13:22,23 22:5,10	grown 80:19	48:25 71:14	HIP 72:22
Glenwood 66:23	40:25 51:8 52:11	guess 11:12 31:16	130:10	hired 126:2
global 71:14	60:17 61:1,15,16	36:4 49:7 95:23	hear 9:10 133:1	hiring 134:22
go 5:5 8:3 13:8	62:22 69:12 71:3	98:13,16 102:10	heard 33:20 36:20	histograms 112:3
14:14 22:8 30:1	71:23 73:25 74:14	113:17 123:2	48:22 49:3 93:23	historical 89:10
33:10,15,18 34:20	77:9 79:25 80:21	guesses 95:20	94:1 122:4	historically 89:10
35:2 39:14 40:5	87:12 92:14 101:2	guessing 58:9 95:12	hearing 8:10 81:10	hit 103:9 114:21
42:10,18,19 43:8	103:11 108:20	95:17,20	81:13,18 127:7	Holbrook 67:9
44:15 45:13 46:4	110:16 115:19	guidelines 12:16	heat 24:23	hold 81:12 92:24
50:18,22 52:17	127:9,21	guys 60:7 128:23	heavier 96:23	109:19 110:2
59:25 60:16 61:19	government 50:4	129:8	115:10	hole 96:14
63:15 73:15,20	Governments 65:7		heavy 67:4 134:3	home 61:20 71:11
74:6,7,21 75:1,12	Governor's 99:12	H	height 17:23 62:3	72:14 95:22
76:3,20,21 79:23	grade 83:16,20,20	habits 126:1	held 15:24 67:22	homeowner 60:18
,	6	half 10:18 120:2		
	-		l	l

				2
60:18	109:25	impression 47:12	137:1,21	insert 85:5
honestly 76:13	identify 50:12	87:22	incorporate 139:17	installation 24:23
honorable 71:4	65:15	improve 44:20	incorporates	installed 83:9
hope 45:8 61:15,22	ignore 115:25	136:11	139:10	instance 66:12
73:15 79:15	124:20	improved 19:22	incorporation	instances 32:15
127:20	illustrate 25:23	61:14	21:12	50:9
hopefully 4:8	imagine 141:1	improvement 18:2	increase 17:9 25:7	Institute 65:1
hoping 136:22	impact 15:4 16:1,1	19:18 69:2 86:20	33:23 53:5 94:9	instrument 135:21
horrible 121:2	18:21 22:22 24:6	87:1 107:18 109:6	increased 25:8	intend 130:18
hour 10:18 66:15	24:10 25:1,1,2	137:7.19	64:17 65:15 66:1	intended 54:7
88:19 89:11,24	28:17 35:25 64:21	improvements 15:1	88:15	58:22
90:18 91:2 102:24	65:14 66:20 67:1	18:8,16 19:3,20	increases 20:24	intent 41:24 50:20
104:19 105:18	70:8,12 72:9 84:7	20:2,3 21:20,25	incredible 113:1	57:20 59:7,13
111:24 112:1,2	86:5 87:3 88:24	22:2,18 23:15	115:22 135:8	interest 4:22 46:5
117:17,19,21,23	89:1 104:4 109:7	37:14 44:5 78:23	136:9	interested 55:6,7
120:2,3 126:13	115:18 117:22	79:12 87:25 110:1	incredibly 116:4	77:5 119:7 141:2
hours 88:24 89:6	126:5	117:14 137:4,9,22	incremental 137:2	142:10
89:19 96:22	impacted 66:24	improving 67:16	incrementally	interpret 54:13
102:19 115:11	115:13	87:8	20:24	114:14
118:10,11 120:3	impacting 115:12	inadequate 64:19	index 21:5	interpreting 114:3
124:24	impacts 18:20	64:22 65:4,19	indicated 22:23	interrupt 99:8
house 69:20 99:14	24:12 25:5,8 26:1	66:7	68:2 101:16	intersection 19:25
99:22	26:4,18 46:16	incapable 31:17	indicates 101:10	28:18,20 38:10
housing 5:10,15	64:12,16,18 65:4	incentive 45:1	108:6	39:4,6,13 61:17
49:23 62:8,10	65:19 66:6,9,21	94:11 122:9,9	indicating 24:5	64:12,20 66:3,11
69:19,20 72:22,24	67:11 69:6,9,19	incentive's 122:14	individual 8:13	66:16,19 67:2,6
73:11,14 135:10	85:20 86:11 93:16	incentivize 104:21	31:14	68:3 86:4,18,21
135:13,18,18,21	106:9,15 107:5	include 17:8 65:22	industry 65:2	87:3 89:23 101:14
huge 100:15 113:3	111:13 114:17	72:2	inevitably 105:22	114:18
Huh 37:10	118:17 127:10	included 17:15,18	infeasible 101:24	intersections 24:12
hundred 21:2 55:7	128:8	18:2,10,12,24	108:18	27:6 36:21,22
hurdles 34:13	implement 39:25	20:18 22:25 23:1	inferior 22:6	37:23 38:25 39:12
	67:25 107:4	23:17 24:25 26:5	inflammatory	66:24 84:16 97:16
<u> </u>	implementation	26:15,20 27:23	76:16	97:25 100:25
idea 89:18 90:11	67:19,23 68:4	37:12 38:9 39:24	informal 105:9	101:4,10,13,19,19
ideas 105:11	implements 20:15	41:11 43:1 47:17	information 4:22	111:14 112:16
125:16 136:10	implications 40:18	47:24 66:4 85:9	6:3 7:11 8:18	115:14 117:14
identification	43:7	85:17 108:24	17:18 25:6 56:7	121:21 139:21
26:18	importance 135:17	includes 14:20	59:22 67:14 74:13	Intervals 57:14
identified 25:8	important 21:6	17:12,22 23:7	85:12 90:20 130:3	intriguing 72:14
41:25 54:21 64:1	62:11,11 68:1	24:22 27:6 34:13	informed 106:8,8	introduce 4:19
82:14,15 85:22	74:19 88:14	78:22 85:11	106:11	29:15 139:2
111:25 117:5	100:19 111:12	including 66:13	initial 137:6	Introduction 4:11
139:11	119:10	73:11 100:8	initially 76:1	intuitive 103:13
identifies 66:14	impressed 62:17	101:20 129:14	117:20 120:9	invite 81:1
67:17 106:6				
	I	I	I	1

	1			
involved 70:22	join 4:10 9:24	Keyser 69:4	127:22,23 128:4	83:22 104:15
involving 30:5	Joint 44:16	kick 124:20	128:13 129:2,5,7	105:10 114:12
38:21,25	Jose 9:19	kicks 77:23	129:24 130:9,20	leaves 117:2
in-depth 27:16	judgment 52:17	kind 5:13,14 10:19	131:3,10,12,13,14	leaving 103:19
in-lieu 9:4 20:23	July 83:4,5	11:22 15:9 18:25	131:22 132:3,4	left 14:2 40:20
21:7 40:15 48:5	jump 111:21	20:16 42:19 43:17	133:18 134:2,6,15	108:13,17 109:5
129:7 138:9	June 5:6 29:8 53:3	44:8,8,25 46:3	134:17 135:10,22	legal 20:14 35:24
In-process 44:8	140:18,19,23	48:15 52:13 56:14	136:12,15,22	36:5 92:5 94:7
issue 42:21 74:17	jurisdiction 4:23	79:1 87:19 89:13	knowing 30:18	97:23 109:19
97:17,19 102:17	5:22,24 67:18	96:13,15 97:17	126:19	legally 20:9 61:8
120:19 123:4	85:21 101:15	99:19 102:11,11	knowledge 7:16 9:5	87:14
issued 51:3	107:9,21	112:5 113:15,23	56:8	Leigh 2:10 4:13,14
issues 28:19 37:22	jurisdictions 44:11	114:4,9,21 116:8	knows 54:22	14:4 106:3 109:12
64:1 92:5 103:23	136:5	117:3 122:5,17	Kyle 2:9 4:13 5:1	lessen 128:7
109:22 126:22	justifies 119:15	123:3 124:21	8:17 11:18	lessening 117:13
133:14	justify 94:22	127:12 130:2	T	lessons 10:18
item 5:9 6:5 8:10	Justin 2:8 4:12 5:1	132:8,10 133:18	$\frac{L}{1100.15}$	letter 24:4 27:14,16
11:11 14:19 15:5	5:18 14:3 82:5	134:5,7,25,25	labor 129:15	27:17,18 28:1,2,9
23:11 27:10,20	139:8	135:25 138:10,17	laid 44:7 54:10	37:20,25 38:4
44:23,24 77:5		kinds 133:2	land 25:18,21	61:6 64:3,8 67:15
97:3	$\frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{L}}$	Kirsten 14:6	34:12 35:10 60:21	68:21 69:17,18
items 4:25 5:3 6:2,7	Kadvany 2:3 4:5	knew 49:8	landscape 20:4	70:17 74:5,9,14
14:12 15:8 16:20	8:1 38:18 40:2,8	know 8:4,19 9:13	22:18	74:18 75:6,13,14
26:12 51:5 84:8	40:11,25 41:5,19	9:23,25 10:19,24	lane 39:6 66:22	75:21 76:11 85:8
126:22,23 138:24	41:21 42:2 43:13	15:18 40:15 41:8	67:9,10 98:3,5,6	91:25 92:3,15
139:17	44:1 45:6,10	48:24 49:3 51:13	108:24	94:4 97:20 99:11
I-5 31:9	91:21 92:23,24	52:1,20 53:11	lanes 38:24,24 58:7	99:13 111:14
	93:3 94:12 102:9	55:15,16,19 57:3	language 62:14	141:2
J	102:10 103:8	61:22 63:24 73:9	large 14:16 56:14	letters 15:22 59:3
Jack 7:10 12:6 13:3	104:1,20 111:6,7	78:15 79:5 82:18	94:10	62:1
30:3 33:9 36:16	111:23 118:22	83:12 84:11 91:25	larger 97:21 118:2	letter's 76:15
49:17 81:20 133:6	123:2 124:25	93:13 95:3,19	largest 71:8	let's 13:8 39:13
Jack's 133:11	130:17 132:8	101:1,3 103:1,20	late 8:6 16:11 27:11	45:21 59:25 62:21
jams 126:10	133:13,17 136:18 Kashiwagi 3:9 60:4	103:24 104:12,25	27:12 77:11 79:8	98:2 114:10
January 15:11,20 15:24 36:13 38:4	63:4,19,22	105:1,2,5,5	79:8 114:12,12 Laurel 2:17	117:25 136:9
61:5 101:8 127:7	Kashiwagi's 93:1	108:10 111:16	law 56:11	level 30:20 32:12
job 80:2 110:16	Kasinwagi \$ 93.1 Kate 3:12 72:18,21	112:8 113:4,5,9	lawyer 62:1	50:3 52:8 91:5,14
128:24	Katie 2:3	114:10,13,17	lawyers 93:19	100:5,5 103:6
jobs 71:19 127:14	Kayser 69:22	115:4,9,16,17	94:23	135:3 Javala 42:8
129:16	keep 53:4 88:11	117:23 118:25	layers 125:12	levels 42:8
John 2:3,5 38:17	115:12 124:11	119:2,9,9,15,16	layouts 54:9	Levin 3:13 73:24
45:12 79:24	keeping 119:4	119:17,19,21,24	lead 93:15	77:9,10 82:4
111:22 112:16	123:4	120:4,8 122:17	leading 50:15	109:12 License 1:24
118:21 123:1	kept 73:16	123:8,9 124:1,14 124:16 125:7	learn 134:17	License 1:24 life 75:23
130:16 133:12	key 23:3 94:5	124.10 123.7	leave 19:13 29:11	me 13.23
150,10 155,12			100,017,15 27,11	

				-
light 11:19 73:19	location 10:14,22	low 49:4 66:13	Marsh/Middlefield	108:23 131:15
118:21 121:25	19:13 22:6 103:9	129:22 130:7	64:12 66:2,11,16	measured 42:25
122:2	locations 10:16	132:15	66:18 67:1	measures 18:10
lighting 20:3	14:16	lower 42:19 90:8,9	Marston 69:4,22	24:19 26:4,15
light's 105:13	locking 124:3	118:14 129:7	mass 101:3	27:5 37:12 39:14
likelihood 126:2	long 9:25 20:14	lowered 42:13	massive 71:19	39:21,24 41:8,9
limit 95:10 117:21	86:23 96:22 99:1	luncheon 73:13	master 76:8	64:19 65:4,20
118:17	115:12 120:18	Lytton 24:6,11	Mateo 71:6 72:25	66:7 68:2 75:22
limitation 43:10	135:19	101:12	math 136:22	78:4 84:17 85:25
76:8	longer 49:5		matter 24:21 49:5	87:23 92:8,9
limitations 77:22	long-term 46:23	<u> </u>	51:15 52:4 78:21	102:2,6 107:3,11
limited 126:14	56:9 62:25	M 23:1	95:16 117:3	139:20,22 140:3
limits 45:24	look 11:25 17:21	Madam 105:14	125:17 142:6	measuring 52:11
line 19:13 32:13	33:3 42:19 53:24	magic 73:5	matters 4:23	mechanism 120:25
62:23 99:5 120:19	60:25 74:8,22	magnitude 89:24	maximum 17:23	media 16:15
lines 125:1	75:11,16 76:6	main 119:4	Mayor 64:4	meet 80:11
linkage 19:21,22	90:7,19 103:1	maintain 19:12	mean 39:11 41:24	meeting 2:16,20 3:1
list 15:13 20:5	115:24 116:2,3,3	42:22	47:15 58:19 59:6	4:2,3 5:7 6:9,18
36:21 84:15	116:4 123:9,9	maintained 22:7	89:8,19,25 91:6	15:17 16:9 17:17
110:13	124:4 128:15	major 65:10 73:12	92:4 96:22 97:16	29:7,8 30:14
listed 5:21 6:22,25	129:12 135:4	94:2 137:19,21	98:13,15 100:16	45:15 140:17
12:20 31:24 52:16	looked 25:24 37:20	majority 74:9	102:22 103:15	141:7,14 142:4,9
137:11 138:24	43:20 58:20 89:9	making 14:15	104:11,20 105:4	meetings 16:7 64:2
140:4	94:15 95:1 118:5	31:17 44:19 50:7	108:5 112:25	80:12 100:8
listened 80:10	118:6 120:8	70:22 71:10 73:20	113:16 117:16	Meeting's 141:12
listening 8:9	looking 9:23 22:21	84:5 95:20 130:13	119:2 120:6	member 68:18 74:2
listing 53:9	25:12,18 26:11	management 17:5	121:11 124:7	100:15
lists 109:17	29:3 43:6 49:5	30:21 103:24	125:2,16 128:16	members 4:21 9:16
litigation 34:14	53:25 80:20,21	104:2 134:16	129:23 130:12	13:23 17:10 47:20
53:1 68:7 78:21	83:20 89:20 92:14	Manager 2:8 4:13	131:3 133:1 134:1	63:21 68:13 71:4
79:1 110:3	95:3 97:2 98:16	14:3 32:18,21	138:2	140:15
little 20:16 61:17	101:18	64:4,4	meaning 37:15	men 100:15
76:15 81:12 82:5	looks 87:8	managing 9:16	means 53:25 55:19	Menlo 1:1,16 2:16
85:12 87:5 91:21	lost 11:22	mandates 99:23	76:22 133:14	2:17,21 9:22
95:10 113:11	lot 9:20,22 10:23	manifest 109:18	meant 38:7 53:11	10:23 13:12 39:7
122:6 127:12	11:20,23 14:15	March 6:17 24:15	53:16 76:16	39:23 40:3 56:3
128:22 129:3	17:6,24 38:23	MARK 1:23 2:18	100:14	61:6 68:14 69:13
136:23 138:13	43:20 51:16 64:2	142:19	measurable 70:12	71:5,10,12,16,18
live 5:24 60:19	75:24 87:12 88:14	market 62:7,10	measure 23:18,19	72:13 74:2 76:23
135:1	88:20,21 91:10,12	Mark's 140:7	24:1,14,22,25	77:10 80:4,15,23
local 70:19 71:24	93:20 94:21 95:19	marsh 24:15 27:6,7	28:16,23 39:19	85:22 96:7 97:17
73:10 80:21	102:7 113:25	37:22 38:10 65:17	41:3,9,14,16 42:1	97:18 98:10 99:6
locate 8:12 99:10	114:11 122:18	67:5,7,10,16	42:9 47:16,16	108:8,11 110:16
located 8:14 10:14	129:25	84:22 86:12 96:8	67:16,25 85:16	131:10 134:14,17
19:16 67:18	lots 30:8	101:20 116:4	86:14,16 108:2,19	135:1,10,20
		140:4,5		
	•	•	•	•

137:22	mistake 26:23 37:3	modeled 94:14	movement 70:11	44:14,14 46:15
mention 77:16	misunderstood	models 65:8,14	moves 128:17	49:2 50:15 54:17
mess 61:18 121:3	120:1	87:12 93:8,11	moving 6:6 8:3	60:7 98:3,6 104:8
met 15:18	mit 85:16	modifications 6:21	13:13 15:4 18:14	108:22 109:4
methodical 62:18	mitigatable 100:17	6:21 7:3	29:2 76:25 138:10	112:6 115:16
methodologies	mitigate 24:25	modified 68:24	Mueller 72:19	138:21 139:8
64:13	28:17 84:21 85:2	modifying 93:8	73:25 91:24	141:1
methodology 65:9	85:20 86:4 101:2	Molony 3:8 60:3,16	Murphy 2:8 4:12	needed 61:13 99:19
Michael 3:9 60:4	101:24 107:5	60:17,18 63:4	5:2 7:2,7 14:3	needing 46:13
63:4	121:21	mom 128:22	30:22 31:2,4 32:7	54:18 79:14
microphone 9:1	mitigated 40:1	mom 120:22 moment 4:7 14:7	33:2 34:5,17 35:3	needle 124:17
Microsystems 54:1	69:20 84:17 86:11	34:16 60:10 75:20	35:9,13 42:7	needs 34:18 61:2
54:11	97:4,8,10 98:1	75:21	43:19 44:10 45:8	62:9,15,25 83:8
middle 73:6 118:15	101:16,23 109:7	Monday 1:15 26:13	45:21 46:2,10,14	108:20 135:18
Middlefield 24:6	112:16	monetarily 127:14	46:20,22 47:7,9	negative 69:6,9
24:11,14 37:22	mitigation 15:6	money 75:12	48:8 49:1,11 50:8	70:8
38:10 65:16 67:5	18:9 23:18,19	132:12	50:12 51:4,9 52:9	negotiate 46:11
67:17 86:13	24:1,14,19,22,25	monitoring 15:6	53:17 54:25 55:13	138:13
101:12,15,20	25:13,16 26:2,3,7	17:15 25:13,16	56:8 60:8 63:5,7	negotiated 48:9
mid-Peninsula	26:9,14,16,21	26:2,8,9,16,21	81:9 83:1 98:20	49:9 128:21
61:14	27:1,5 28:16,23	27:2 29:20 59:20	99:3 100:6 108:14	130:19 132:17
Mike 63:22	29:19 38:9 39:2	59:21 72:11 83:7	125:2 139:9,14,20	130.19 132.17
mile 126:15,17	39:10,14,19,21,24	88:7 107:6 128:3	mutually 46:11	negotiating 16:4,6
136:5	40:5 41:3,14 42:1	months 125:7	125:15	16:7 68:22 76:17
milestones 15:13	42:9,16,16 47:16	127:18	M-2 89:2 129:24	110:15,17 128:24
44:19	47:16 59:21 64:16	morning 102:17,19	NI-209.2129.24	132:20,21
million 21:3,4	64:19 65:4,19	117:9	Ν	negotiation 132:24
40:16 47:5 48:23	66:7 67:16,22,25	mornings 118:24	N 26:6	negotiation 132.24 negotiations 27:22
129:20 131:5,16	68:2,9 72:11 78:4	morphing 102:12	Nack 3:11 68:12	40:21
131:16,18 132:10	84:17 85:16,25	motion 7:14 12:8	71:1,2,5 72:17	neighbor 69:13
137:4,8,11	86:3,14,15 87:23	12:10 81:11,17	73:9	71:23 80:21
mind 73:17 79:8	87:25 92:8,8	84:6 126:20	nail 62:21	neighborhood
minimizing 114:17	101:22 102:2,5	128:10 138:22	name 5:23 63:18,22	67:11
minor 21:15 24:13	103:23 107:3,6,11	139:7 140:9 141:8	68:14 71:4	neighboring 59:15
minutes 5:7 6:9,17	107:21 108:1,9,19	motions 11:14	named 111:14	80:15,24
6:23 7:13,15 8:5,9	108:23 109:6	move 11:13 27:19	142:9,10	neighbors 71:25
mis 60:4	117:22 139:20,22	33:6 71:12,18	nature 6:8 27:12	nesting 23:16,19
mispronounce	140:3	76:19 79:16 80:4	98:13 127:8	net 129:11,17
63:20	mitigations 26:24	80:4,19 91:20	near 96:5	network 65:6 71:9
mispronouncing	37:12 38:22 61:3	107:21 111:18	nearly 136:5	networking 136:13
63:18	64:14 85:1 139:10	116:5 126:24	necessarily 34:8	never 37:8 128:18
misrepresenting	mixed 131:6	133:9	46:14 59:6 70:11	new 23:18 25:5
93:9	MMRP 26:21	moved 7:18 48:24	138:7	26:18 38:24,24
missed 114:9	139:6,11,21	103:16 130:9	necessary 21:19	39:6 71:13,25
missing 7:17 98:15	model 127:19	133:9	need 25:9 34:18	80:22 86:21
			39:25 41:15 43:8	,
		l		

		I		
105:11 125:16	90:11,21 95:11	occurring 18:1	on-ramps 115:14	outweigh 25:25
127:10	97:3 113:1,20,23	odd 75:20	open 32:1 40:20	106:14 121:11,15
Newbridge 27:8	114:24,25 120:6	offer 108:4,4	45:1,8 54:6 81:12	overall 8:6 56:16
97:9 98:2,4,6	125:7 128:20	111:21	83:22 84:1 125:16	overpasses 133:21
108:13,18 140:6	129:23 130:7	office 53:19,24	131:1	Overriding 15:6
news 51:8	132:3,10,15,18,22	99:12	opening 83:17	25:13,16,22 26:7
nexus 28:22 61:8	138:15	offices 9:17 10:16	96:14	26:16,22 27:2
nice 47:2	numbers 24:9	54:2	open-ended 125:1	29:19 72:10 139:6
night 5:11 58:24	43:20,25 48:14,22	officially 81:10	operate 9:17 88:22	oversight 26:20
74:4 126:5	49:2,3,4,4 53:13	offset 56:14	operates 99:23	oversized 43:17
nine 21:2 91:7	90:23 92:11	oh 8:4 9:1 33:14	operating 91:14	owner 110:5
101:13	105:17 129:24	41:17 42:2 59:18	operative 95:18	oxide 24:20
ninety 131:18	131:2,11,12	60:9 81:22 84:3	opinion 129:23	o'clock 58:11,23
nitrous 24:20	numerous 136:4	99:17 121:24	opportunity 9:24	91:4,7,12 95:22
nodding 36:10	nurses 62:12	okay 4:16 7:1 9:7	17:21 22:8 27:15	96:10 103:20
nominal 93:7,22	nut 124:15	10:4 12:25 31:1,3	31:12 71:20 72:13	116:5 117:10
non 6:1		31:7 33:2,7 36:10	72:21	122:9,15
non-agendized 6:5	0	36:11,13 40:2,11	opposed 42:3	O'Keefe 68:15
non-profit 72:23	O 26:8 28:3	40:25 41:5,19	optimize 104:24	O'Malley 2:5 4:6
73:2,4,7	Oaks 65:23 66:3,22	42:2 43:13 44:1	113:16 114:16,19	6:11,14,15,24 7:5
noon 115:17	67:7,9	45:6 46:9,21 48:3	114:22 117:6	7:18 8:1,24,25 9:2
normally 58:7	obey 93:9	49:20 50:7 51:12	120:23	9:7 12:7,10 13:5
North 65:23 66:3	obligated 35:6,9	55:2,8,14 56:20	option 53:3 84:4	30:4,25 31:3,7,21
northbound 27:7	36:7 39:9 52:10	57:8 59:1,23	options 84:11	32:25 33:5,12,16
67:4 90:17 140:5	68:4	63:16 83:2,11	order 4:3 21:20	33:19 34:3,15,25
note 8:5,5 10:9 21:6	obligation 56:19	84:14 87:21 91:16	23:25 28:7 39:25	35:5,12,15 36:3
49:15 68:1 110:12	obligations 56:15	95:7 98:11,12,19	60:14 89:24 99:20	49:18 50:11 51:1
126:12 127:9	109:17	100:21 110:11	106:1 107:4	51:8,12 52:15
129:10 141:5	observation 89:17	111:23 113:3	ordinance 12:17	54:12 55:2,5,14
noted 10:9 67:15	96:8	115:12 121:6,10	29:10,15 34:19,20	56:20 81:19,20
88:11 127:14	observe 88:7	122:23 132:10	72:6 139:2	133:8
notice 2:15 14:14	observed 78:18	139:24	ordinances 76:21	o0o 2:11,22 141:15
15:15 22:9 81:15	88:20	omission 66:5	organic 24:20	
98:22	obstacles 36:5	once 4:8 52:25	organization 72:23	<u> </u>
noticed 46:25	obtained 69:3	78:10 100:1	originally 23:17	P 28:3 64:5
notified 99:25	obviate 79:4	119:13 135:7	113:18	pack 124:15
notifying 100:3	obviated 78:25	ones 39:24 95:16	outcome 119:10	packed 96:10
notion 113:21	obviously 78:14	128:2 137:10	142:10	page 3:2 6:15,16
nub 115:23	92:5	onetime 109:18	outlined 46:6,17	12:21 20:14,20
number 8:10 14:12	occupancy 19:6	one-time 137:3	outlines 44:24	37:20 38:5 44:22
42:17 44:11,18	116:20	ongoing 30:11 38:1	outreach 73:1	44:22 83:9 84:15
45:25 47:4 48:7,9	occupants 61:23	127:23	100:7	84:16 85:9 90:15
49:8 52:18 54:2,4	occupy 8:16 21:7	online 37:5,6	outside 67:18,19,23	99:10 105:17
54:5,15,16 56:4	occur 4:24 37:8	on-ramp 108:25	67:25 122:22	111:25
70:6 74:15 90:8,8	occurred 15:12,13	134:2	128:3	pages 101:3 105:18
	occurrence 23:24			paid 88:8
	1	I	1	1

Palmer 67:10	69:25 85:17 88:15	89:6,10,24 90:3,4	74:24 75:1,2,2	122:14
Palo 9:17 11:1	90:9 99:25 108:18	90:4,18 91:2	86:23,25	piece 27:20
16:15,17 21:10	108:19 109:1,3	96:19,25 102:15	percentage 38:12	pieces 48:2 97:24
24:5 27:21 68:16	119:20,21 129:10	102:17,19,19,20	56:2	pile 27:24 122:23
68:18,20,22,23,25	130:25	102:23 103:3,3,4	period 15:20,21	place 39:19 51:24
69:6,14,17,21	partial 47:16 85:1	103:7,10,16	17:12 36:2 42:8	55:9 70:15,18
70:12 71:18 78:18	101:22	104:10,16,25	56:1 63:24 90:3,4	87:13 129:5 142:5
78:19,22 79:3,5,6	partially 101:23	105:17,18 111:24	90:5 96:1,20,23	placement 104:25
85:8 109:22,25	participants	112:1,1,6,7,8,18	97:1 99:6 103:3,3	plan 5:4 20:5 54:6
131:4 137:23,25	100:11	112:25 114:20	104:10,16 107:13	65:23 76:19
139:17	particular 11:25	115:8 116:11	114:7,7 116:11,17	128:13 135:16,17
Paly 11:1	37:22 40:23 84:20	117:12,17,19,21	117:4,12 118:1,1	135:24
panel 5:13	86:3,13,18 89:11	118:1,4,12,13,16	118:4,7,16 120:10	Planner 2:8,9 4:12
paper 14:17	97:25 102:25	119:16 120:1,10	periods 11:2	4:13
paragraph 74:22	119:10 121:22	124:23 126:13	permit 8:11,12	planning 1:2 2:2,19
75:15,16 76:6	particularly 111:19	peaks 89:15 116:1	12:19 13:16,18	4:2 5:7 6:9,17
99:13 110:2	particulate 24:20	116:8 119:17	14:21 16:22,24	7:15 15:11 27:11
paragraphs 74:10	parties 142:8	pedestrian 20:3	17:3 18:3 27:4	28:10 29:3,13
parallel 41:12	partly 132:15	78:3,5,23 79:12	29:15 44:23 45:24	50:17,24 51:2,6
parameter 119:1	partner 73:17	83:15,16 137:8,22	60:24 92:12 94:6	63:22 68:14 71:4
parameters 16:5	partnerships 80:23	peers 62:13	139:16,23	72:3 88:13 99:12
41:6 124:5 125:16	127:17	Peipei 2:6 140:10	permits 12:18	136:11,12 138:23
parcels 43:7	passed 131:14,17	penalties 60:25	29:17 44:15 72:8	141:6
parents 10:11,17	path 47:3	75:7,17 88:11	139:4	plans 18:24 44:14
Park 1:1,16 2:17,18	patterns 124:2	93:20 94:21 119:1	permitted 18:4	54:1 80:8 133:23
2:21 9:23 13:12	Paul 14:7 28:25	124:14	permitting 60:22	planting 22:19
39:23 40:3 56:3	pause 76:12	penalty 17:18	person 30:20 50:3	play 110:6
61:6 68:14 69:13	pay 9:4 75:23 86:19	82:12,15 94:8,10	50:6 53:12	please 5:23 7:23
71:5,10,12,17,19	87:2 110:9 130:8	119:5,6 124:9	personal 58:8	13:8 60:13 77:5
72:14 74:2 76:23	135:23 137:22	Peninsula 9:21	personally 31:24	99:16 140:9
77:10 80:4,15,23	paying 67:24 86:20	62:11 89:4	95:24 110:15	pleased 62:7
85:22 96:7 97:17	138:3	people 5:13 10:13	114:23 115:3	plenty 81:15
97:18 98:10 99:6	payment 20:23	19:15 40:4 54:22	perspective 5:15	plus 20:14 99:1
108:8,11 110:16	21:7 38:12 56:18	78:11,14 91:3	49:6 54:3,4 90:14	126:8
131:10 135:1,10	56:19 109:25	95:20 96:2,21	103:17,22	PM 2:4,16 17:12
135:20 137:23	137:1,3	100:19 103:19	pertaining 12:17	41:16 57:15 58:7
parking 93:20	payments 33:21	113:11 121:1	phase 19:4,5,6,17	58:13 102:14,15
94:21 126:14	35:7,10,22 36:8	129:6 132:16,25	22:20 25:20 44:4	112:2 115:10,17
Parks 131:15	40:17,18 55:9	134:22 135:1,6,22	phases 19:3 22:24	126:3 141:14
Park's 39:8	110:9	136:1,21	phenomenal	point 11:8 23:3
part 18:1 20:2	payment's 36:12	Perata 2:9 4:13	113:21	30:24 36:6,7
21:24 22:1 27:1	peak 17:12 41:8,8	8:20 9:5 11:22	philosophically	44:13 48:10,15
30:10 32:17 36:22	41:16 42:8 57:21	12:2	114:23	49:1 81:9 83:23
41:15 44:10 56:16	58:20,21,22,23	percent 17:4 55:7	physical 54:8	91:15 100:17
59:19,21 61:13,14	66:15 88:19,23	64:25 74:9,15,23	picked 109:9	101:11 102:13

F				2
115:5 125:8,18	powerful 80:3	82:4,9 91:4 95:21	20:10 22:9,20,23	62:16,20 63:13
126:19 130:6,22	Powers 44:17	95:22 125:4	20:10 22:9,20,23	71:17 73:4 79:22
136:16	Precedent 109:17	126:15 131:7	25:24,25 28:18,21	80:8 81:10,13,18
pointed 61:9	predict 126:1	problem 30:9,18	28:22 35:24 38:13	82:3 88:10 106:7
pointing 85:6	predominance	67:12 74:11 84:18	42:14 43:14,18	106:8,12,13
103:13 121:4	37:21	113:3 120:20	61:23 64:14,17,24	110:13,21 137:23
points 52:18 84:3	preparation 15:15	122:11,12 124:20	65:5,12 66:3,25	140:15
90:1 94:2,4	98:22,23 106:6	133:5	69:25 72:2 75:21	publication 26:13
116:16 126:25	prepared 18:21	problems 84:22,22	76:25 85:1 86:18	publishing 59:7,13
130:18	132:21	121:4	104:6 106:2,10,14	purpose 14:11 20:8
policy 17:15 43:1	preparing 5:7	proceed 63:13	107:5 118:17	26:1
83:9	prescription	140:14	119:22,24 121:14	pursuant 2:15
political 5:24	105:23	proceeding 41:7	134:14 140:22	99:15
pony 131:20	present 2:6 4:6,7	PROCEEDINGS	projects 65:23 86:5	pursue 39:18 94:23
poor 109:9	49:16 68:9	1:14	86:17 137:19	107:13 108:21,22
pop 128:22	presentation 3:3	proceeds 40:21	pronunciation 60:5	107:13 100:21,22
popular 72:14	14:1 16:12 29:21	135:6	proper 31:5	pursued 107:11
114:8	presentations 80:7	process 5:6 25:4	properly 66:3,20	108:9,11
portion 64:8 74:14	presented 4:25	34:19 46:19 52:13	property 8:16	pursuing 44:19
76:4 87:3 109:5	21:16 101:7	60:21 62:17 80:10	71:15 129:13	push 104:5
pose 125:11	presume 54:23	80:19 84:4 92:7	proportion 43:21	put 56:5 82:12
posed 109:11	presumed 11:20	95:1 97:18 98:14	proposed 8:16 76:7	90:14 92:18
position 49:10	pretend 114:10	98:22 99:1,18	Protection 138:1	104:12,12,13
128:21 138:16	pretty 32:1 41:23	100:2,7 121:5,17	protects 57:3	127:25 138:16
positive 51:14 80:9	42:5,5,20 60:24	133:5 136:12	prove 106:1	putting 38:24 71:15
80:18	60:25 91:7 98:21	138:12	provide 5:14 6:3	92:8 103:2 120:11
possibilities 34:23	122:10 125:3	processes 104:8	49:12 55:17	
34:24	127:8 134:3	136:13	103:23	Q
possibility 124:17	previous 22:17	produces 129:25	provided 16:4 20:5	quality 24:18 28:15
possible 34:14 44:8	45:15,16,22	productively	27:11,16 64:23	75:23 106:5
45:2 62:21 85:2	previously 21:10	111:12	67:15 103:21	131:25
133:25	22:23 23:16 25:9	productivity	provides 71:16,19	quantify 65:10,15
possibly 9:24 12:1	48:13	126:16	72:24	quasi-actionable
posting 38:12 100:4	Price 21:5	products 56:6	provisions 2:20	133:18
potential 11:8	Prince 2:10 4:14,15	professionals 88:21	public 2:9 3:5,7	question 8:25 9:3
18:20 23:22 50:18	14:4 31:11 33:20	profitable 131:7	5:19,20,20 6:5	11:23 30:23 36:4
60:25 70:5 89:20	34:2 35:18 38:3	program 15:7 17:5	8:10 11:9 13:23	38:21 43:12 44:3
109:6 110:23	38:14 109:15	18:11 25:14,17	14:2,4,18 15:20	52:24 55:3,15
111:18 114:2	110:10	26:2,8,9,17,21	15:21 17:11,21	78:2,17 84:19
128:7 133:21	prior 23:20 24:1	27:2 29:20 72:12	20:19 23:8,12	88:18 93:18 94:17
potentially 19:14	74:12,12 78:13	86:10 107:6	24:4,4 30:1,8,11	94:22,25 102:11
40:8 42:13,14,19	private 73:4	134:16	31:8,9,12 32:21	102:11 103:15
66:9,21 69:10	probability 64:16	progress 73:12	40:14,14 42:4	105:4,4,21 108:4
83:20 96:2 104:14	probably 9:21 45:4	project 12:14 15:25	47:20 56:22 57:4	109:11,21 113:5
106:9 139:16	50:20,23 58:9	18:1,6,22 19:9	57:10 59:5,25	115:3 116:11
				119:23 123:3
	1	I	1	1

emerickfinch@emerickfinch.com

125:1 130:1	64:25	reason 25:14 50:12	red 19:13	remain 84:1
138:18	rates 65:2 66:13	52:6 58:5 59:17	redevelopment	remaining 69:15
questions 3:4 8:22	ratios 17:24	75:14 101:2	69:25	remedy 94:7
8:23 9:10 10:2,6	Ray 72:19 73:24	135:16,23	redress 97:21	remember 7:5
11:8,15 14:1,8	77:2	reasonable 127:8	reduce 24:19 128:6	17:21
16:2,13 20:22	reach 73:7,18	reasons 64:22	136:13	REMEMBERED
29:1,22,25 30:5	100:10	97:24	reduced 55:10	2:15
33:15,17 36:15,19	reached 34:9	reassuring 109:10	reduction 17:4	remind 15:10 17:10
38:19 49:17 52:22	reaching 73:10	rebate 68:5	74:10,24 87:19	reminds 61:9
57:9,11 59:2 60:2	react 132:7	recall 7:2 45:14	126:16	removal 13:18
62:19 77:11,14	reaction 130:14	recalling 47:6	Redwood 61:20	14:25 23:20 29:17
79:7,23 81:1,8,12	132:14	receive 6:2 15:22	refer 15:15 105:17	72:8 139:4
82:3 88:25 91:17	reactive 24:20	57:1	references 14:15	removals 21:19
111:13 127:5	read 61:7 64:8	received 14:17 23:8	referendum 34:23	22:12,15 23:14
queues 67:6	126:7 136:3	27:13 28:1 75:18	referred 21:10	removed 21:24
quick 57:11 74:6	reading 29:9 94:2	78:19	referring 50:1	22:1 24:11 101:12
115:21 118:22	97:5 99:17 126:23	receiving 92:3 98:6	refined 17:17	render 68:8
120:18	140:23	recirculate 25:10	reflected 75:15,19	renovate 71:13
quickly 45:1 61:7	reads 6:16 30:17	recognition 139:14	reflection 48:12	Rent 68:18
74:7 128:14	52:3	recommend 29:13	134:19	reoccurring 129:13
quite 15:12 32:5	ready 131:19 140:8	62:8 72:4 76:20	regard 69:16	replaced 22:14
79:14 88:12,14	real 5:3 54:8 57:20	126:24	regarding 4:22	report 12:12,21
101:21 116:5	61:7 74:6,7 95:12	recommendation	37:19 88:18	14:13,16 15:4,16
125:21 131:13	95:16 112:8 114:7	16:20 29:3,12	regards 24:3,17	16:1,11 17:16
quoted 90:23	133:4	72:1 84:5 124:23	27:22 64:1 85:15	18:13,21,25 19:2
R	realistic 74:16	recommendations	regional 5:15 97:19	20:19,20 22:22
Rachel 2:8 4:11	realize 120:24	12:11 14:12 22:19	regular 59:7,13	23:1,4 26:13 28:3
13:21 29:24 34:2	realized 37:3	84:9,10 111:9,10	reinforce 12:1	35:25 43:3 49:24
34:3 36:20 82:5	really 8:6,6 9:23	111:17 112:15	reiterating 69:18	50:3 59:21 64:5
82:18 99:10	10:17 28:13 40:3	140:13	relate 12:1 109:24	69:5,23 70:4 72:9
140:19	53:15 57:25 58:3	recommended	related 18:7 28:20	77:15 84:8 85:7
radical 69:2	58:20 63:9 70:17	22:11 64:19	34:13 54:16 79:6	85:14 104:4 105:25
railroad 44:17 47:3	73:3 75:5,22 76:3 76:12,18 79:11	recommending 49:8	91:22 101:25 109:22 110:1	reported 1:23 88:9
47:11,18,19	84:17,25 86:8	recommends	relates 53:17	REPORTER'S
raise 7:23 13:9	87:13,18,24	138:23	139:16	1:14
75:25 140:9	100:23 101:4	reconcile 7:7,12	relating 77:11	reporting 15:7
raised 74:17 76:5	103:4 114:16	reconciliation 7:16	relationship 11:21	25:14,17 26:2,8,9
80:12 82:4 124:21	115:3 117:10	record 4:8 5:25	relationships 61:15	26:17,21 27:2
raising 28:19	119:4 120:23	49:15 64:8 112:11	relative 18:6 43:17	29:20 51:6 72:11
ramped 27:8	121:1,3,5 124:11	113:22 122:8	43:21 49:23	77:19,23,25 82:19
ramps 140:5	126:6,8 127:15,19	142:6	relatively 74:4	82:22 83:2,5
range 86:23 90:16	128:5 129:15,19	recorded 35:4,19	release 15:15	105:25 107:6
103:20	136:2	recreation 53:23	released 23:5	reports 4:20 50:22
rapidly 117:10	realm 124:17	131:15	relevant 77:13	51:2,10 62:18
rate 62:8,10 64:25		101110		01.2,10 02.10
<i>,</i>	l	l	l	

	22.12	140.22	02 01 05 0 102 04	100 10 14 100 0
representatives	resources 23:12	140:22	92:21 95:3 102:24	129:12,14 130:9
108:10	respectfully 72:3	reviewed 37:1	103:8 107:7	samTrans 44:16
represented 69:2	respond 6:1 38:7	135:14 140:22	108:24 109:8	San 9:19 61:10
represents 66:5	responded 15:23	reviewing 52:14	110:7,10 115:4	67:10,21 71:6
67:12 80:14	38:5	reviews 50:15,17	122:25 125:22	72:25 142:2
request 8:11 15:19	response 27:25	50:24	133:6,10 137:24	Santa 129:8,9
46:7 56:16 72:3	28:4,9 69:16	revised 27:1	138:11,19,20	137:15 138:4
requested 72:5	74:12 76:8 98:24	revision 38:10	139:12,19 140:8	Saratoga 9:18
requesting 34:12	98:24	revisions 27:3	140:13 141:11	satisfaction 110:5
require 28:22	responses 23:7	re-analysis 101:13	right-of-way	satisfied 35:1 36:5
required 25:23	99:11	rideshare 58:6	101:25	53:2
39:3 63:14 67:24	responsibilities	113:22	risk 104:18 134:8	Save 20:6 22:18
68:6 85:17,18	30:15	ridesharing 114:4	road 13:18 19:24	saving 24:23
100:6 104:5	responsibility 26:3	riding 134:1	19:25 27:6,7,8	saw 75:19 76:11
105:25 107:4,11	30:8,10,13,19	Riggs 2:5 4:6 7:20	56:17 65:6 67:5,5	134:16
107:17 108:1	33:22 50:6 121:20	7:22 8:1 11:16,17	67:7,10 89:23	saying 53:4 54:24
128:1	responsible 31:15	11:24 12:3 36:18	90:15 137:7,9	76:9 84:21 93:17
requirement 17:4	restated 14:21 17:2	37:10,16,19 38:6	140:4,5,5	94:13 97:20 98:18
22:15	17:7 29:14 72:5	38:15 88:4,5	roads 65:17 79:15	112:17 121:10,10
requirements 19:8	139:2	89:16 90:13 91:1	96:8,9	128:24
20:22 23:16 82:24	restating 16:22	91:16 102:16	roadway 57:20,22	says 88:10 98:10
83:1 99:15,22,23	restricted 59:10	105:13,14 107:7	89:15 91:13 120:9	99:13 130:6
100:4	restrictive 110:22	107:23 108:3	roadways 57:24	scale 43:15,16,23
requires 109:2,3	restriping 39:6	109:8 110:7,11	58:1 91:10,11	43:25
requiring 23:19	110:1 133:22	111:25 121:24	96:3 103:4 118:12	scanned 61:6
24:1 37:13	result 21:12,16	125:19,21 130:8	roll 4:4	scenario 45:3 65:21
rerun 24:9	26:17,19 28:21	139:25 141:10	room 27:24	67:2
Research 99:12	64:15 80:13 104:7	right 4:20 5:19 6:6	rough 89:24	scenarios 66:14
reside 68:15	104:9 110:18	7:10,25 8:3,3 9:8	roughly 90:17	schedule 141:6
resident 71:5 74:1	resulted 64:18,19	9:12,18 10:8,20	routes 65:16	scheduled 5:5 29:5
77:10	64:24 65:3,18	11:5,7 12:3,5,13	routine 6:7	school 11:2,3 73:10
residential 66:23	resulting 18:20	13:7,9,13 32:25	RPR 1:23	schools 9:20 10:23
67:8	65:4 69:8 106:9	33:5,17 34:7	rules 107:8	71:24 131:17
residents 70:12	results 66:6 67:6,8	36:12 41:17 43:19	ruling 30:13	screen 21:22
79:13 131:19	retail 11:21 12:1	46:1 47:22 51:19	ruminating 113:15	se 121:10
resist 125:24	129:4,24,25	52:9 54:18 55:17	run 124:8	seats 54:4,5
resolution 26:6	revenue 56:14	56:13 57:10,15	running 103:18	second 5:9 7:20,22
29:14,16,17,18	129:12,13,25	59:25,25 61:15		12:21,24 19:5
72:4,7,8,10 139:1	131:6	63:1 67:4 76:7,24	S	29:9 77:18 81:11
139:3,4,5	revenues 131:6	78:8 79:20,21	Sacred 10:23	81:18,19 108:3
resolutions 23:1	review 13:19 14:11	81:6,14,25 82:2	safe 134:5,11	127:1,4 130:24
resolve 111:12	27:15 28:12 29:6	83:14,25 84:2,16	safety 20:4 23:25	140:23 141:9,10
resolved 109:20	44:15 50:4 51:9	86:7 87:12,18	67:11 133:21	seconder 128:10
110:4	51:11 52:7 99:14	88:3 89:16 90:13	sales 9:4 48:5,13,14	seconds 81:21
resonates 96:12	99:24 123:6 124:6	90:21 91:1,19	48:22 55:10,17,22	section 109:16,18
			56:2,3,12 57:1	
			I	I

	1		1	
sector 73:2,8	Session 5:11 15:24	69:5 70:10 75:9	somebody 31:13	specificity 62:15
see 3:5 7:9 21:21,21	sessions 8:13	78:23 85:23 88:12	36:14 59:12,16	spelled 31:25
36:10 41:12 42:2	set 5:20 76:8 105:9	88:15 102:4 106:9	98:12	spend 56:1
45:21 47:2 58:21	107:8 142:15	106:15 108:16	somewhat 17:17	spirit 113:17
58:23,24 59:9,12	settle 79:17	119:17 126:6,16	61:10 88:22	spoke 61:4 140:3
59:16 60:7 61:4	settlement 130:22	127:10 131:22	103:10 110:22	spoken 18:15 47:1
61:13 62:1,7 63:8	seven 21:25 31:23	significantly 43:14	soon 44:8	sponsoring 71:24
75:8 79:11 87:19	53:9 54:15 58:10	91:8 94:9	sooner 37:14	73:11
90:15,24 92:12	96:10 101:5,6	signing 82:20	132:11	spot 19:13
96:12 105:2,22	103:20 116:5	Silicon 71:9	sorry 63:11,16 99:8	square 90:9 128:16
112:6 122:1	severity 25:7,8	similar 11:1 40:18	104:2 115:21	128:18,22 129:20
131:22,22	share 16:10 68:6	86:17	125:20 132:19,25	137:15
seeing 6:6 9:12	86:3,19,20,24	similarly 27:3 41:6	sort 42:12 46:19	Stabilization 68:18
10:8 11:12 19:10	sharing 114:4	simple 74:4 130:13	75:25 76:1 87:18	staff 2:7 3:3,4 4:11
seeking 25:21	sheet 16:8,17 20:11	simply 74:13	99:18 105:8	4:21 8:20,24
seen 16:14 17:6	20:15 21:11 27:22	single 8:14 116:19	133:18	11:24 12:11,11,21
127:17 128:18	136:24 139:18	117:1 134:21	sorts 87:17	14:13,16 15:16
segment 136:6,7	shift 104:14,14,15	site 22:3,9 23:23	sound 55:6 130:13	16:11 17:16 18:13
segments 120:9	117:12,23,24	34:8 48:13 64:15	sounds 82:23 96:5	18:25 19:2 20:5
selected 32:4	118:3	90:1,12	101:5 111:5	20:19,20 23:1,4
selection 119:15,15	shifted 88:22	situation 11:1 68:8	source 56:14	26:13 27:15 28:2
119:16	shoot 114:24	69:3	South 88:21	29:25 32:11 38:20
self-correcting	shop 128:22 129:6	six 21:1 58:23	souvenirs 55:20	43:2,3 57:19
120:25	138:4	81:23 122:9,15	span 120:3	62:19,24 64:5
self-monitor 128:2	shopping 131:6,8	140:10,10	speak 60:13 72:21	68:1 77:6,13,15
sell 55:20 56:6	shot 135:19	size 43:21	86:1 116:15,16	80:1 85:7,13 93:6
semi 135:25	shoulders 116:7	slide 138:25 140:4	speaker 77:6 88:10	93:24 100:14
seminar 73:12	show 66:1 119:13	slight 34:6,9 108:15	109:12	123:3 125:1
send 75:13	showing 44:21	slightly 31:6 92:11	speakers 3:5,7 82:3	staff's 29:12,22
senior 68:17	shown 66:25 96:19	108:14	speaking 71:6 74:1	72:1
sense 77:24 132:22	shows 90:24 96:23	small 8:13	speaks 14:2	stage 54:19
sent 27:21 28:9	119:14	smaller 43:15,24	special 29:6 53:5	standard 12:19
75:14,21	shuttle 116:21	social 71:9 136:12	species 22:6	65:2
sentence 76:2	sick 126:9	softer 119:6	specific 5:4 14:20	standards 17:23
separate 45:23	side 60:23 62:3	software 95:19	14:22,24 15:2	standpoint 76:17
109:5 110:23	98:7 103:6 120:12	114:11	16:25 17:22 18:7	92:5
126:21	sign 35:16	sold 55:17	25:11 30:23 32:8	standstill 116:14
separated 26:24	signalized 19:24	sole 30:12 31:22	33:3 44:13 48:10	Stanford 61:11
sequencing 44:24	signals 91:13	50:10 51:17,22	48:17 49:2,12	74:25 75:1 131:6
serious 135:22	signed 34:16 53:1	52:3,19	57:13 83:6 135:16	Stanis 14:7
seriously 42:20	77:22 78:1 92:17	solely 32:10,16	135:17,23	Stannis 28:25
serve 15:14 68:19	significant 24:7,10	56:22	specifically 17:17	start 4:20 36:13
service 91:14	24:12 25:1,2,5,6	solid 130:6	25:19,22 48:15	55:12 63:7,9
Services 2:8 4:13	46:16 65:22 66:9	solution 61:16	64:21 114:17	75:12 77:19,25
14:2	66:13,21 67:1	solutions 72:24	116:1	82:1,19 84:12
	I		I	1

85:4 91:7 105:22	strong 80:22	36:13 130:4	56:3,12 57:1	98:22 105:8
106:3	structure 30:21	Supreme 70:2	129:12,13,14	108:17 128:1
started 55:23	42:23 96:14 119:6	sure 4:17 7:3 31:4	130:9 131:5	135:2
117:22	structured 34:11	43:5 44:19 45:2	taxes 137:2	testimony 6:2
starting 5:16	85:13	48:9 51:14 63:8	Taylor 2:9 4:18	textual 23:9
starts 5:12 94:9	students 9:22 10:10	81:13 104:7	14:5 28:24 39:11	thank 5:18 7:19,21
state 2:19 5:14,23	10:23	133:23,24	40:7,10,22 41:4	8:8,20 9:7,8 10:4
56:11 70:1 95:2	studied 42:17	surprised 60:23	41:11,20,24 47:15	11:5,7,17 12:3
99:11,14,22 100:5	studies 96:19	136:2	47:23 57:18 58:14	13:22 29:24 36:16
142:1	Study 5:11 15:24	surprises 61:4	58:17 59:6,18	36:18 37:17 38:15
stated 33:25 127:6	stuff 113:23 114:5	surprising 52:20	82:7,8,21 83:19	38:16,18 44:1
142:5	sub 75:15,16	surrounding 71:23	85:5 86:12 87:11	59:24 60:9,15,16
statement 15:5	subcommittee	115:13	89:8,25 90:19	63:3 68:10,11
25:12,15,22 26:7	110:14	surveys 23:16,20	91:6 92:4,19 94:3	69:11 70:14,14,21
26:15,22 27:2	subject 5:21 12:19	24:1	97:6,13,23 101:9	70:23,24 71:2
29:19 33:20 45:20	123:6 124:6	sympathy 103:12	101:20 102:1,21	72:16,17,20 73:21
67:20 69:18,18	submit 44:14 60:13		104:11 116:16	73:22 77:1,2
72:10 139:6	submitted 38:4		117:16 120:6	79:18,19 80:25
statements 70:16	substance 135:19	table 28:11 70:15	Taylor's 102:18	81:5 82:17 83:14
station 47:3,13	substantial 25:7,25	70:18 77:7 97:3,4	TDM 17:6 75:3,7	88:2,5 91:16
status 41:3 42:3	62:20 72:2	100:24 101:11,17	team 16:4,7 28:6	92:21 102:8,10
101:1	subtract 129:14	tables 54:6	68:22 110:15	105:14 109:8
statute 77:22	subtracting 56:24	table's 85:8	technical 29:1	110:11 111:2
stay 10:20 11:7	successful 64:16	tagged 120:2	Technology's 14:9	112:12 125:21
50:5 94:11	72:15	tails 116:9	teeth 62:15 107:2	127:3 140:12,14
stayed 36:1	suckers 22:9	take 11:9 13:1	121:1	140:15,25 141:13
staying 95:21	sudden 116:9	33:22 55:9 60:6,8	tell 40:5 55:6 130:2	thanking 80:1
steps 29:2 60:21	sue 79:4	69:12 84:8 87:13	130:3	thanks 40:11 45:10
69:12 100:6	suggest 111:4	113:22 138:21	ten 16:6 21:1 75:1	45:12 57:8 59:23
stick 115:16 124:15	suggested 89:6	140:8	91:4,12 95:25	63:2 73:22 77:8
124:15	suggestions 113:8	taken 73:19 80:10	101:10 122:13	80:1,2 81:4 91:19
sticking 120:22	suing 97:22	142:4	136:9,13	100:21 102:7
stiff 94:8	suit 109:13	takes 47:17 51:24	Tenanas 63:15	118:20 120:15
Stockbridge 66:22	suites 8:17	talk 57:20 72:25	79:24,25	122:19 130:15
stop 36:14	summarized 20:20	talked 17:9 20:8	tends 102:23	138:19
story 8:14	64:3	38:20 49:19	term 16:8,16 20:11	They'd 35:9
strange 57:2	summary 3:1 26:10	talking 7:10 17:1	20:15 21:11 27:22	thing 21:6,18 33:13
street 2:17 8:11 9:3	64:10 79:9 101:2	91:22 102:16,17	49:6 79:6 110:21	42:22 56:11 77:20
27:8 78:6,12,14	Sun 16:24 45:22	103:9	136:24 139:18	82:11 95:4 108:19
140:6	48:21 54:1,11	tally 127:13	terms 5:15 20:10	114:19,22 115:7
streets 66:18,21,23	130:3,8	tap 135:14	20:15 30:14 31:19	115:19 116:8
67:9 94:15	support 87:23	taper 91:7	31:21 38:7,8 42:8	124:8 134:6,9
stretched 80:11	110:13,24	tapers 91:10	42:23 43:9 48:16	135:25
strictly 26:19	supporting 71:25	tax 9:4 20:23 21:7	49:3 55:20 56:12	things 18:14 28:13
stringent 93:20	supposed 26:20	48:5,13,14,22	68:25 83:2,6	44:18 47:1 49:23
		55:10,17,22 56:2		
	•	-	•	•

51:16 52:10 55:8	132:9 133:4 139:9	tonight 19:7 37:25	117:6 119:5 121:2	93:21 94:8,19
55:21 56:10,17	thousand 21:2	61:7 62:20 64:7	122:21 124:2,2,11	95:10 96:25 115:8
59:3 74:21 75:23	thousands 96:2	72:21,25 74:1,3	124:13 126:10	115:8 126:13
86:8 98:17 111:5	thread 124:17	76:19 110:23,24	134:3	128:3
112:15,22 113:16	threat 69:19	140:11,23	trail 19:21 47:8,9	trips 17:5,13,13
121:5 132:13	three 5:13 18:5	tools 87:17 106:11	47:17,20 49:24	42:17,24 43:10
133:2 136:24	19:3 27:6 36:21	top 6:16 36:21	87:20 136:4	66:15,17 83:7
138:7	118:10 139:10,21	90:21 102:22	trails 87:9 88:1	90:3,3 95:15
think 4:19 36:10	140:3	topic 87:5 105:11	tran 136:11	114:25 116:19,20
47:4,25 50:20	thrilled 138:8	132:9	TRANSCRIPT	116:21 117:3,10
58:18,18 59:2,10	throwing 125:24	TOT 129:13	1:14	128:6
59:11,18 62:4	tied 34:6,11 37:15	total 21:21,25	transportation	true 112:6 114:20
75:9,12,13 76:3	94:5,6	22:13 69:4 86:25	17:5 24:3 65:1,11	130:12,12 135:13
76:12,15,16 82:9	tight 114:7	113:24 114:24	74:2 75:2,22	137:18 142:6
87:15 88:10,20	till 126:3	138:5	91:24 107:18	truly 64:15
90:23 91:17 92:20	time 8:21 9:25	totally 68:9 114:19	134:15 136:12	trust 32:23
93:16 100:19	10:19 15:12 22:7	128:13 131:21	Transportationer	Trustees 67:22
104:17,21 105:7	30:11 33:13 36:2	touch 15:1 21:18	91:23	truth 112:8
105:22 109:2,9,21	41:7 44:4 57:24	23:2,11 91:23	travel 65:13 114:8	try 21:22 24:19
110:16 111:1	57:25 58:3,7,20	touched 88:20	128:17	45:1 90:19 104:15
112:14 113:15	58:25 59:22 61:7	tough 71:21	traveling 66:17	104:16 121:21
115:19,25 118:23	61:19 68:5,9	tougher 101:4	tree 13:18 14:25	129:1 135:2
119:4,14 120:23	71:20 76:24 83:19	town 24:16 27:25	21:19 22:15 23:14	trying 32:8,13
121:7 122:5,8,10	83:22 84:9 86:23	28:1 63:23,25	29:17 72:8 139:4	35:16,20 36:9
126:7,8 127:16	87:15 88:22 89:14	64:7,10,20 65:25	trees 21:23 22:1,4	39:18 55:25 70:17
128:5 131:13,18	90:25 95:10 96:16	66:10,19 68:4	22:13,14,17	99:9 104:21
133:8 134:6 136:8	96:18 103:7	79:10 116:2	tremendous 79:10	113:19 114:3,15
136:14 138:3,10	104:16 112:19	track 5:8 113:21	127:15	114:16,23 117:6
138:13	113:2 114:20	tracking 94:18	trigger 37:4 46:3	118:15 120:23
thinking 84:20	115:8 117:2 118:2	tracks 47:11,18,19	triggered 37:8	124:7,8,10,10
113:18 117:23	118:6,7,8,9,13	Trades 71:7	77:19,20 85:19	126:7 138:12
132:15 138:14	119:7 120:10,11	traffic 28:25 58:10	101:6	turn 39:4,5 85:5
third 78:2 108:24	120:11,18 123:4	64:11,13,17,21	triggering 52:25	98:3,5 108:13,17
thirty 34:20 77:21	125:6,9,18 126:4	65:3,5,8,10,14,16	53:6,7,16	109:5 116:16
78:1 86:25 131:16	132:12 135:15	65:19,21,22 66:2	triggers 44:18	turned 9:2 121:24
thirty-day 34:22	136:6 137:17	66:6,9,20 67:8,11	trip 17:8,11,12,13	turns 67:4
thorough 62:24	141:7 142:5	67:13 79:15 86:21	17:14,19 41:2,17	tutoring 8:12,13
thoroughly 62:17	times 57:21 114:8	87:20 88:16,18,23	42:15 43:7,16	9:17 10:10 11:3
thought 33:19,20	117:5,8 118:11	88:24 89:1,3,5,9	45:16 57:12,12	twenty 75:1,2
50:5 54:19 56:21	timing 26:3 51:11	89:19,22 90:15,24	59:4 60:24 64:23	twice 119:13 126:4
61:8 74:3 75:20	today 20:22	91:2,5,7,9,10 93:8	64:24 65:1 74:10	131:9,9
84:21 85:24 92:25	today's 73:12	93:9,14 96:20	74:24 77:16 79:13	two 5:3 8:17 10:16
99:17 100:13	told 101:6	103:6,10,22	82:6,11,21,25	10:24 11:20,23
102:14,15 111:11	tomorrow 5:11,16	111:13 112:19,25	83:9 88:7,11 90:2	21:23 26:5 43:7
120:1 125:25	ton 58:10 125:6	115:9,13,18 116:1	90:10 93:6,6,7,9	43:15,15 48:1
L	1	1		<u>.</u>

r				2
53:7,19 57:11	78:4,8 94:12 98:9	34:12 35:10 38:6	96:23 101:3	115:6 125:11
61:16 75:22 88:23	99:2 100:20	51:15 56:12,23	103:22	127:3,5 141:5
88:25 105:18	122:17 123:3	60:21 78:15	volumes 64:14 91:7	wants 11:10 106:4
117:3 120:3 123:8	understanding	110:21 114:7	105:19 112:1	warrant 51:6
125:24 131:12	10:13 17:22 38:20	131:13	voluntary 133:19	wasn't 76:5
two-hour 116:11	39:1 43:6 53:2,15	uses 18:4	vote 13:2,8 16:9	watched 62:16
116:17 117:25	131:4	utilized 107:18	133:9,11,15	Watkins 66:22
118:7,18	understated 64:13	utilizing 65:7,13	138:21,22 140:8	way 7:8 11:25
two-step 34:19	64:18 65:19 66:6	117:15	votes 140:10	30:16 47:3 52:3
52:13	understating 65:3	UUT 129:13		54:9,12 55:5
type 89:2	understood 93:5,16		W	58:10 59:11 61:20
types 18:5 39:20	102:16 139:25	V	wade 20:17	76:16 80:22 93:7
127:16	under-utilized	vacated 54:14	walk 11:2 14:18	104:14,17 105:16
typically 34:20	71:13	vacation 53:8	15:8 16:20 126:16	107:22 109:14
89:10 102:22	undoubtedly	Valentine's 16:3	walking 10:25	110:22,24 111:1
128:16	137:20	valid 99:20	walled 54:1	111:18 114:2,3,14
T-shirts 55:20	unfortunately	valley 76:23,24	want 6:10 8:18	116:4 118:3,3
	49:11 126:3	Valley's 71:9	11:18 18:25 27:10	127:23 129:9
U	units 9:4 69:20,24	valuable 80:3	28:13 31:4 32:3	131:24 133:2,19
Uh-huh 93:2	70:3,6,10	value 38:24	33:10,12 42:19,22	131:24 135:2,19
ultimately 39:11,22	University 47:10	valued 132:12	43:3,5 45:1 49:17	142:9
58:2,19,25 59:8	47:17,21 97:11,13	var 93:13	53:4 55:8 57:9	web 59:13
82:11 90:2 92:7	133:24	variable 93:13	59:12 60:12 63:7	Webster 3:10 27:21
94:7 98:25 117:16	unmitigatable	118:25 124:5	69:11 70:9 81:10	68:12,13,15 70:25
Um 47:15	121:6	variables 119:3	81:13 86:8 87:5	week 5:8 16:18
un 138:5	unprecedented	varies 89:13	88:7 92:12,25	78:19 126:5
unable 107:15	127:19	various 49:23	98:11 102:12	weeks 16:6
unanimous 6:20	unproductive	98:23	104:24 105:16,20	weighing 140:15
81:23	71:15	vary 31:5	113:6,8,12 116:15	welcome 4:2 13:12
unavoidable 24:7	unrelated 79:3	vehicle 60:23 67:6	116:18 119:21	37:18 71:1 81:1
25:2 46:16 67:17	128:13	116:20 117:2,3	121:1,3 125:4,9	went 25:4 47:13
85:23 102:4	unrestricted 138:5	vehicles 90:12	128:6,7 129:1	133:4
108:16 127:10	unsure 102:5	113:24	131:4,20 132:4,6	west 13:20 14:24
unaware 56:6	update 4:8 5:1,2,9	vehicular 17:8,11	133:7 135:1	15:2 19:5,7,14,23
uncomfortable	26:14 36:20 65:24	versus 54:2 67:21	139:13 141:9	22:1,14,25 23:14
132:25	101:11	Vice 2:3	wanted 4:17 8:5	23:17,23 24:2
undercrossing	updated 43:2 85:9	Vince 2:4 12:22	10:8 13:4 18:15	26:25 37:4,5,7
14:25 18:2,7,16	updates 5:14	45:13 52:23	26:12 33:2 38:19	40:19,21 41:2,7
18:17,18 19:3,11	139:17	120:16 127:4	40:12,13 41:1	41:15,18,23 42:24
19:11,12,19 21:20	upgrades 107:13	128:11 130:15	49:14 53:14 55:16	43:14,20,24 45:19
21:25 23:15 44:5	107:16	136:22	57:5 59:9,16 74:5	46:6,8,23 62:3
78:3,10,10,16	urban 136:11	Vince's 94:17	76:10 79:23 82:1	85:18,19 90:6
83:16,21	usability 54:4	violations 17:19	91:21,23 95:9	130:24
undersigned 142:3	use 8:10,12,16	visit 22:3	108:4 110:12,22	westbound 67:4
understand 36:3	12:18,18 25:18,21	voice 80:3	111:4,18,21	108:24
52:15 55:8 56:21	12.10,10 20.10,21	volume 14:17 93:10	112:10 113:10	100.21
	l			

			_	
we'll 4:4,8,19 5:17	Willow 13:17 19:24	world's 71:8 72:14	zones 122:14	2
8:3 11:12 12:16	19:24,25 27:8	worried 115:15	zoning 8:15 12:17	2 6:15,16 45:4
12:18 19:7 30:2	89:23 90:15,18,24	worst 117:6		2 0.15,10 45.4 2nd 6:9 7:15
60:1 92:10,12	96:4,7 97:7,9 98:2	worth 98:18	\$	2,600 17:12 90:2
100:2 141:7	98:4,5 101:15	wouldn't 51:19	\$2.00 128:18,22	2.65 137:11
we're 9:23 15:23	108:17 116:3	92:16,19 115:9	\$400,000 75:10	20th 68:17
16:23 17:1 19:10	133:24 137:6,9	138:6	\$50 94:8	200 66:15
25:12,18 29:3	140:5	wrestling 115:3	\$50,000 137:7	2003 131:14
35:20 39:9 40:15	Willows 60:18	write 8:4 49:24	\$500,000 137:6	2004 74:20,20,21
43:5 52:11 56:22	wind 82:9	50:2	\$800,000 21:1	2010 71:8
56:23,24 62:22	window 34:22	writing 62:25	137:1	2012 1:15 2:16 4:3
73:3 76:23 80:14	112:20 118:18	written 88:6 110:25		6:9,17 7:15 38:5
87:4 89:19 92:12	119:7	wrong 105:24	0	141:8 142:17
101:13,18 102:11	wishes 64:7	132:19	00 89:7	2013 36:13
103:8 104:21	withstanding		1	2013 30:13 2018 37:6
113:19,20 114:14	126:13	Y	$\frac{1}{10.10}$	2018 57:0 2022 53:3
114:15,16 115:15	WITNESS 142:14	yeah 4:14 7:9 10:15	1 8:10	2022 33.3 2025 37:9
117:6 118:1,24	Wonderful 140:2	31:2 33:18 34:2	1st 16:18 36:13	2025 57.9 21st 69:5 141:7
121:7,10,10 124:3	wondering 44:9	35:13 39:11 40:7	1,100 90:7	23rd 23:5
124:7,7,8,10	56:5 77:17	40:7 41:20 48:8	1.1 137:4	2310 23.3 240 45:4
125:2,16 128:17	word 38:7 93:22	49:1,11 51:4,12	10th 15:18	240 45.4 25 17:4 64:25 74:9
128:24 130:4	137:24	53:17 58:16 82:8	10:00 117:13	
132:17 134:10	words 112:24	94:3 98:8 118:22	101 27:7 58:7 65:11	74:15,23,24 26th 64:3
135:10,11,11,12	124:19,19	121:13 122:3	96:7 103:9 107:19	2011 04:5 270 45:4
138:10,22	work 9:20 19:1	125:2 133:8	108:25 116:4,14	
we've 10:22 17:9	24:2 27:18 28:6	139:25	133:24 137:9	28 22:14
20:7 38:23 39:19	39:18 61:19 62:24	year 8:7 9:22 15:11	140:5	29th 29:6 140:21
43:6 45:2 80:5,10	71:16 73:5 75:4	15:14,18 21:1,1	11 24:24 49:22	140:25
80:10,19,22 83:21	89:2 92:10,13	45:7,9 48:5,23	53:21	3
84:24 89:9 99:21	111:12 115:17	49:4,6 68:17 83:3	1155 8:11	$\frac{3}{3}$ 12:21 76:6
100:10 101:12	124:8 126:3	89:13 99:1 123:8	12 97:3,9	3,600 17:3 21:8
114:21 117:4	140:14	131:5	13 3:3	33:23 34:7,9 35:7
119:2,2,11 122:14	worked 9:21 10:22	years 20:25 21:2	14th 16:3	35:21 45:25 54:19
125:23 127:17	76:13 124:14	51:4 61:12 76:8	15,000 17:13 95:11	55:1 55:1
123.23 127.17	workers 62:12,12	95:25 107:14	95:15 114:25	30 3:4
WHEREOF	workforce 104:1	109:4 122:13	116:19	
142:14	105:3 134:23	123:8 131:17	15,000's 122:11	30th 15:21 53:3
widely 65:2	working 14:9 28:16	136:8,9,13,14	1601 13:17	31 131:16 31 at 15:25 60:22
William 3:10,11	47:25 61:2 71:24	year's 56:1	168,000 129:17,18	31st 15:25 69:23
27:21 68:12,12,14	92:2 96:22	Yu 2:6 4:9 13:11	17th 16:9 20:12	312 13:20
71:5	92:2 96:22 works 2:9 14:4	81:23	18 97:3	313 13:20
			180 83:8	4
willing 115:17	30:8 31:8,9,12	Z	19 53:22 109:19	4 74:10 75:15,16
120:19 126:11,18	32:21 75:4,7	zero 81:23 122:10	19th 38:5	83:9
willingness 69:12	77:16 82:6	zone 88:22 89:2	1992 16:25	4-163 84:15
70:15	workspace 80:20	96:16		4-103 84.13 4-220 38:5
				

104:13 112:2.8	128:15 129:12
115:10 116:2	82 3:4
118:9 120:14	84 18:18
	843 69:20,24 70:3,6
	9
,	9 44:23,24 89:7
	109:18
,	9th 15:11,17
	9,000 89:21
	9.1 44:24 49:22
7	9.2 49:22
7 1:15 2:16 20:20	9:00 57:14,24 89:14
109:18 110:2	90:4 91:3 95:17
7th 4:2	115:17 118:8
7,000 115:1	120:14
7-15,000 115:2	9:46 141:14
· ·	900,000 40:16
	.,
89:14 90:4 91:3	
· · · · · ·	
8	
8 44:22 97:3,6	
8.2.3 53:13	
8:00 117:13	
8:15 89:12	
8:30 89:12	
8:45 89:12	
800 40:16 129:18	
800,000 48:5	
	l
	$\begin{array}{r} 118:9\ 120:14\\ 122:22\ 123:4\\ 6:01\ 122:23\\ 6:30\ 112:4,25\ 113:2\\ 116:14\ 122:24\\ 60\ 3:5,8\\ 63\ 3:9\\ 68\ 3:10\\ \hline\hline 7\\ 7\ 1:15\ 2:16\ 20:20\\ 109:18\ 110:2\\ 7th\ 4:2\\ 7,000\ 115:1\\ 7-15,000\ 115:2\\ 7.2.2\ 109:25\\ 7:00\ 5:17\ 57:14,24\\ 58:7,13,14\ 89:6\\ 89:14\ 90:4\ 91:3\\ 95:16\ 96:1,16\\ 104:13\ 112:4\\ 118:8\ 120:13\\ 126:3\\ 7:03\ 2:16\\ 7:15\ 89:11\\ 7:30\ 89:12\ 112:5\\ 7:45\ 89:12\\ 7:55\ 2:4\\ 700\ 9:21\\ 701\ 2:17\\ 71\ 3:11\\ 72\ 3:12\\ \hline75\ 62:3\ 129:17\\ 77\ 3:13\\ \hline\hline 8\\ 8\ 44:22\ 97:3,6\\ 8.2.3\ 53:13\\ 8:00\ 117:13\\ 8:15\ 89:12\\ 8:30\ 89:12\\ 8:45\ 89:12\\ 8:30\ 89:12\\ 8:45\ 89:12\\ 8:00\ 40:16\ 129:18\\ \hline\end{array}$