



GODBE RESEARCH  
Gain Insight

## City of Menlo Park: 2017 City Satisfaction Survey

January 16, 2018

The City of Menlo Park commissioned Godbe Research to conduct a survey of its residents to gauge community satisfaction and priorities, with the following research objectives:

- Track against 2015 baseline data survey results;
- Gauge the overall quality of life in Menlo Park;
- Identify the resident satisfaction with various City issues and services such as, the Downtown area, parks and recreation, public libraries, public safety, and public works;
- Assess potential voter support for a bond measure or an utility users tax rate increase to replace the aging Menlo Park and Belle Haven library system with 21<sup>st</sup> century libraries that meet earthquake and fire codes with funding that cannot be taken by the State;
- Prioritize projects and programs to be funded with the proceeds;
- Determine the impact and preferred sources of City communications; and,
- Identify any differences due to demographic characteristics.

- Data Collection Landline (90), cell phone (50), text to online (419), and email to online (249) interviewing
- Universe 24,916 adults ages 18 and older in the City of Menlo Park, with a subsample of those likely to vote in the November 2020 election (16,150)
- Fielding Dates November 29 through December 5, 2017
- Interview Length 22 minutes
- Sample Size 808 Adult residents ages 18+  
710 Likely November 2020 voters
- Margin of Error  $\pm 3.39\%$  Adult residents ages 18+  
 $\pm 3.60\%$  Likely November 2020 voters




GODBE RESEARCH  
Gain Insight



## Key Findings

# Q1. Satisfaction With Overall Quality of Life in Menlo Park

## Adults 18+



### 2017


Total Satisfied = 72.9%

Total Dissatisfied = 26.8%

Ratio Sat to Dissat = 2.7 to 1

# Q2. Satisfaction with Job the City is Doing to Provide Services

## Adults 18+



### 2017


Total Satisfied = 70.1%

Total Dissatisfied = 27.1%

Ratio Sat to Dissat = 2.6 to 1


# Q3. Satisfaction with City Services

## Adults 18+



Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes. The responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1 and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.

# Q3. Satisfaction with City Services Adults 18+ Continued




Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes. The responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1 and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.




# Q4. Frequency of Visiting Downtown Menlo Park

Adults 18+




# Q5. Able to Find Parking Downtown in Reasonable Amount of Time

Adults 18+



# Q6. Support for 7-Story, Multi-use Parking Structure


Adults 18+



Support = 50.5%  
Oppose = 43.1%

# Q7. Support for Alternative 5-Story, Multi-use Parking Structure


Adults 18+



Support = 59.8%  
Oppose = 33.8%


# Q8. Support for Alternative 3-Story, Multi-use Parking Structure

Adults 18+



Support = 74.7%  
Oppose = 19.4%


# Q9. Satisfaction with Parks and Recreation Adults 18+



Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes. The responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1 and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.


# Q10. Used Menlo Park Public Libraries or Services in Past 12 Months

## Adults 18+



Users Only	
<b>2015</b>	<b>2017</b>
Ex = 46.5%	Ex = 42.1%
Good = 42.1%	Good = 39.0%
Fair = 5.5%	Fair = 10.4%
Poor = 2.6%	Poor = 4.0%
Very Poor = 1.7%	Very Poor = 1.5%
Not sure = 1.7%	Not sure = 3.1%


# Q11. Support for Improving the Library System Adults 18+



Support = 76.4%  
Oppose = 14.1%



# Q12. Support for Bond Measure Split Sample C (n=365)




To replace the aging Menlo Park and Belle Haven library system with 21<sup>st</sup> century libraries that meet earthquake and fire codes with space for:

- children's books and story times;
- homework centers;
- computer workstations for software training;
- up-to-date book and resource collections;
- quiet reading; and
- improved senior and disabled access;

shall Menlo Park issue \$50 million dollars in bonds at legal rates for 30 years, as the Voter Guide describes, requiring independent citizen oversight, and all funds for Menlo Park libraries?

# Q13. Support for Utility Users Tax Split Sample D (n=345)



In order to replace the aging Menlo Park and Belle Haven library system with 21st century libraries that meet earthquake and fire codes with space for:

- children's books and story times;
- homework centers;
- computer workstations for software training;
- up-to-date book and resource collections;
- quiet reading; and
- improved senior and disabled access;

would you support or oppose the city council increasing the current utility users tax rate to the 2006 voter approved 3.5 percent?

# Q14. Features of the Measure

November 2020 (n=710)

**A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost**

**J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools**


**C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections**

**G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning**

**B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library**

**E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library would provide more space for reference materials, books, audio-visual materials, and periodicals**

**L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students**



Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes, and responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Much More Likely" = +2, "Somewhat More Likely" = +1, "No Effect" = 0, "Somewhat Less Likely" = -1, and "Much Less Likely" = -2.

# Q14. Features of the Measure November 2020 (n=710) Continued

**M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students**


**I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space**

**H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading**

**D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training**

**F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading**


**K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses**




Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes, and responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Much More Likely" = +2, "Somewhat More Likely" = +1, "No Effect" = 0, "Somewhat Less Likely" = -1, and "Much Less Likely" = -2.

# Q15. Opinion on Effectiveness of Police Dept. Addressing Neighborhood Concerns


Adults 18+



# Q16. Preferred Online Sources for Community News and Info Adults 18+




# Q17. Preferred Newspaper Sources for Community News and Info Adults 18+



# Q18. Satisfaction With City Communications

## Adults 18+



Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes. The responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1 and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.






GODBE RESEARCH  
Gain Insight

## Appendix A: Additional Demographic Information


# QA. Live on Bayside or Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas

Adults 18+




# QB. Live on Bayside or Oceanside of El Camino Real

Adults 18+




# QC. Live on Bayside or Oceanside of Middlefield Road

Adults 18+




# QD. Live on Bayside or Oceanside of Highway 101

Adults 18+




# QE. Age Adults 18+




# QF. Ages of Children in Household

## Adults 18+




# QG. Ethnicity

## Adults 18+







# QH. Gender Adults 18+



# Q1. Age Adults 18+




# QJ. Ethnic Surname Adults 18+




# QK. Home Ownership


## Adults 18+



# QL. Individual Party Adults 18+




# QM. Household Party Type Adults 18+




# QN. Registration Date

## Adults 18+



# QO. Voting History


## Adults 18+






# QP. Times Voted in Last Elections

## Adults 18+




# QQ. Times Voted Absentee Adults 18+




# QR. Permanent Absentee Voter

## Adults 18+



# QS. Likely Absentee Voter Adults 18+





GODBE RESEARCH  
Gain Insight



## Appendix B: Detailed Methodology

## Survey Parameters

Godbe Research conducted a total of 808 interviews representing 24,916 adults ages 18 and older in the City of Menlo Park. This includes a subsample of 710 likely November 2020 voters. The error rate is plus or minus 3.39% for the sample of 808 adult residents, and plus or minus 3.60% for the sample of 710 likely November 2020 voters. Interviews were conducted from November 29 through December 5, 2017. The average interview time was approximately 22 minutes.

## Sample and Weighting

Once collected, the sample of voters was compared with the population in the City to examine possible differences between the demographics of the sample and the universe of all adults 18+ and likely November 2020 voters. The data have been weighted by respondent age and ethnicity to reflect the actual population characteristics of the adult residents and likely November 2020 voters in the City of Menlo Park (Based on 2016 ACS (American Community Survey)).

## Questionnaire Methodology

To avoid the problem of systematic position bias, where the order in which a series of questions is asked systematically influences the answers, several questions in the survey were randomized such that the respondents were not consistently asked the questions in the same order. The series of items in Questions 3, 9, 14 and 18 were randomized to avoid such position bias. Questions 16, 17 and F allowed the voters surveyed to mention multiple responses and may sum to more than 100.

Because a survey typically involves a limited number of people who are part of a larger population group, by mere chance alone there will almost always be some differences between a sample and the population from which it was drawn. These differences are known as “sampling error” and they are expected to occur regardless of how scientifically the sample has been selected. The advantage of a scientific sample is that we are able to calculate the sampling error. Sampling error is determined by four factors: the population size, the sample size, a confidence level, and the dispersion of responses.

For example, the following table shows the possible sampling variation that applies to a percent result reported from a probability type sample. Because the sample of 808 adult residents was drawn from the estimated population of the City of Menlo Park of approximately 24,916 adult residents, one can be 95% confident that the margin of error due to sampling will not vary, plus or minus, by more than the indicated number of percent points from the result that would have been obtained if the interviews had been conducted with all persons in the universe. As the table on the following page indicates, the margin of error for all aggregate responses is between 2.03 and 3.39% for the survey.

This means that, for a given question with dichotomous response options (e.g., Yes/No) answered by 808 respondents, one can be 95% confident that the difference between the percent breakdowns of the sample and those of the total population is no greater than 3.39%. The percent margin of error applies to both sides of the answer, so that for a question in which 50% of respondents said yes, one can be 95% confident that the actual percent of the population that would say yes is between 47% (50 minus 3.39) and 53% (50 plus 3.39).

The margin of error for a given question also depends on the distribution of responses to the question. The 3.39% refers to dichotomous questions where opinions are evenly split in the sample with 50% of respondents saying yes and 50% saying no. If that same question were to receive a response in which 10% of the respondents say yes and 90% say no, then the margin of error would be no greater than plus or minus 2.03%. As the number of respondents in a particular subgroup (e.g., age) is smaller than the number of total respondents, the margin of error associated with estimating a given subgroup’s response will be higher. Due to the high margin of error, Godbe Research cautions against generalizing the results for subgroups that are comprised of 25 or fewer respondents.

# Margin of Error II

<i>n</i>	Distribution of Responses				
	90% / 10%	80% / 20%	70% / 30%	60% / 40%	50% / 50%
1000	1.82%	2.43%	2.78%	2.97%	3.04%
900	1.92%	2.57%	2.94%	3.14%	3.21%
808	2.03%	2.71%	3.11%	3.32%	3.39%
600	2.37%	3.16%	3.62%	3.87%	3.95%
500	2.60%	3.47%	3.98%	4.25%	4.34%
400	2.92%	3.89%	4.45%	4.76%	4.86%
300	3.37%	4.50%	5.15%	5.51%	5.62%
200	4.14%	5.52%	6.33%	6.76%	6.90%



# Reading Crosstabulation Tables

The questions discussed and analyzed in this report comprise a subset of various crosstabulation tables available for each question. Only those subgroups that are of particular interest or that illustrate particular insights are included in the discussion. Should readers wish to conduct a closer analysis of subgroups for a given question, the complete breakdowns appear in Appendix E. These crosstabulation tables provide detailed information on the responses to each question by demographic and behavioral groups that were assessed in the survey. A typical crosstabulation table is shown here.

A short description of the item appears on the left-hand side of the table. The item sample size (n = 250) is presented in the first column of data under “Total.”

The results to each possible answer choice of all respondents are presented in the first column of data under “Total.” The aggregate number of respondents in each answer category is presented as a whole number, and the percent of the entire sample that this number represents is just below the whole number. In this example, among the total respondents, 96 voters reported their “definitely yes” vote on the measure, and this number of respondents equals 39% of the total sample size of 250. Next to the “Total” column are the other columns representing responses from the low-, medium-, and high-propensity voters. The data from these columns are read in exactly the same fashion as the data in the “Total” column, although each group makes up a smaller percent of the entire sample.

EXAMPLE OF DATA CROSSTABULATION TABLE		Voting Propensity			
		Total	Low	Medium	High
If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure?	Total	250	68	80	101
	Definitely Yes	96	24	35	37
		38.5%	34.4%	44.2%	36.7%
	Probably Yes	60	25	16	19
		23.9%	36.1%	19.6%	19.2%
	Probably No	20	3	8	9
		7.9%	4.3%	9.5%	8.9%
Definitely No	63	14	16	33	
	25.3%	20.9%	19.7%	32.6%	
DK/NA	11	3	6	3	
	4.4%	4.2%	7.0%	2.6%	

# Subgroup Comparisons

To test whether or not the differences found in percent results among subgroups are likely due to actual differences in opinions or behaviors – rather than the results of chance due to the random nature of the sampling design – a “z-test” was performed. In the headings of each column are labels, “A,” “B,” “C,” etc. along with a description of the variable. The “z-test” is performed by comparing the percent in each cell with all other cells in the same row within a given variable (within Voting Propensity in the pictured table, for example).

The results from the “z-test” are displayed in a separate table below the crosstabulation table. If the percent in one cell is statistically different from the percent in another, the column label will be displayed in the cell from which it varies significantly. For instance, in the adjacent table, a significantly higher percent of low-propensity voters (36%) reported “probably yes” than high-propensity voters (19%). Hence, the letter “C,” which stands for high-propensity voters, appears under Column “A,” which stands for low-propensity voters. The letters in the table indicate the differences where one can be 95% confident that the results are due to actual differences in opinions or behaviors reported by subgroups of respondents.

It is important to note that the percent difference among subgroups is just one piece in the equation to determine whether or not two percentage figures are significantly different from each other. The variance and sample size associated with each data point is integral to determining significance. Therefore, two calculations may be different from each other, yet the difference may not be statistically significant according to the “z” statistic.

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR SUBGROUP COMPARISONS		Voting Propensity			
		Total	Low	Medium	High
If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure?	Total	250	68	80	101
	Definitely Yes	96	24	35	37
		38.5%	34.4%	44.2%	36.7%
	Probably Yes	60	25	16	19
		23.9%	36.1%	19.6%	19.2%
	Probably No	20	3	8	9
		7.9%	4.3%	9.5%	8.9%
Definitely No	63	14	16	33	
	25.3%	20.9%	19.7%	32.6%	
DK/NA	11	3	6	3	
	4.4%	4.2%	7.0%	2.6%	

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR Z-TEST		Voting Propensity		
		Low	Medium	High
		(A)	(B)	(C)
If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure?	Definitely Yes			
	Probably Yes	C		
	Probably No			
	Definitely No			
	DK/NA			

# Understanding a Mean

In addition to the analysis of the percent of the responses, some results are discussed with respect to an average score. To derive the overall influence of a feature, Q14 for example, a number value was assigned to each response category – in this case “Much More Likely” = +2, “Somewhat More Likely” = +1, “Somewhat Less Likely” = -1, and “Much Less Likely” = -2. The number values that correspond to respondents’ answers were then averaged to produce a final score that reflects the overall importance of an issue. The resulting mean score makes the interpretation of the data considerably easier.

In the crosstabulation tables for Questions 3, 9, 14 and 18 of the survey, the reader will find mean scores. These mean scores represent the average response of each group. The table to the right shows the scales for each corresponding question. Responses of “DK/NA” were not included in the calculations of the means for any question.

Question	Measure	Scale	Values
Q3, Q9 and Q18	Satisfaction Ratings	-2 to +2	+2 = “Very Satisfied” +1 = “Somewhat Satisfied” -1 = “Somewhat Dissatisfied” -2 = “Very Dissatisfied”
Q14	Features	+2 to -2	+2.0 = “Much More Likely” +1.0 = “Somewhat More Likely” -1.0 = “Somewhat Less Likely” -2.0 = “Much Less Likely”

# Means Comparisons

A typical crosstabulation table of mean scores is shown in the adjacent table. All subgroups of interest concerning questions 3, 9, 14 and 18 are included in Appendix E.

The aggregate mean score for each item in the question series is presented in the first column of the data under “Total.” For example, among all the survey respondents, the feature A, “Emphasize reading, writing, math and science skills in all grades,” earned a mean score of 1.2. Next to the “Total” column are other columns representing the mean scores assigned by the respondents grouped by Gender.

The data from these columns are read in the same fashion as the data in the “Total” column. To test whether two mean scores are statistically different, a “t-test” is performed. As in the case of the “z-test” for percentage figures, a statistically significant result is indicated by the letter representing the data column.

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR MEANS COMPARISON	Gender		
	Total	Male	Female
Emphasize reading, writing, math and science skills in all grades	1.2	1.3	1.2
Maintain hands-on science classes and labs	1.1	1.1	1.1
Restore smaller class sizes	.9	.8	.9
Attract and retain qualified teachers	1.1	1.1	1.1
Prevent the layoff of teachers	.9	.8	1.1

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR T-TEST	Gender	
	Male	Female
	(A)	(B)
Emphasize reading, writing, math and science skills in all grades		
Maintain hands-on science classes and labs		
Restore smaller class sizes		
Attract and retain qualified teachers		
Prevent the layoff of teachers		A



GODBE RESEARCH  
Gain Insight



## Appendix C: Topline Report



CITY OF MENLO PARK  
2017 City Satisfaction Survey

Topline Report  
n=808  
22-minutes  
Hybrid Sample

December 13, 2017

[www.godberesearch.com](http://www.godberesearch.com)

Northern California and Corporate Offices  
1575 Old Bayshore Highway, Suite 102  
Burlingame, CA 94010

Nevada  
59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309  
Reno, NV 89521

Pacific Northwest  
601 108<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE, Suite 1900  
Bellevue, WA 98004

## METHODOLOGY

**Sample Universe:**  
- 24,916 Adults 18+  
- 16,150 Likely November 2020 Voters

**Sample Size:**  
n=808 Adults 18+  
n=710 Likely November 2020 Voters

**Data Collection Methodology:**  
n=90 Landline  
n=50 Cell Phone  
n=249 Online from email invitation  
n=419 Online from text invitation

**Margin of Error:**  
- Adults 18+  $\pm$  3.39%  
- Likely November 2020 Voters  $\pm$  3.60%

**Interview Dates:** November 29 to December 5, 2017

## QUALITY OF LIFE

	Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
	Column N %	$\Sigma$ or Mean	Column N %	$\Sigma$ or Mean
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	30.4%	31.7%	
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	42.5%	41.8%	
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	20.3%	19.4%	
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	6.6%	7.1%	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0.2%	0.0%	
	<b>- Total Satisfied</b>	72.9%	73.5%	
	<b>- Total Dissatisfied</b>	26.8%	26.5%	
	<b>- Ratio Sat to Dissat</b>	2.7	2.8	
<b>1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?</b>				

**CITY SERVICES**

		Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
		Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	23.9%		25.0%	
	Somewhat satisfied	46.2%		46.1%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.3%		18.4%	
	Very dissatisfied	7.8%		8.2%	
	DK/NA	2.8%		2.3%	
	- Total Satisfied	70.1%		71.1%	
	- Total Dissatisfied	27.1%		26.6%	
	- Ratio Sat to Dissat	2.6		2.7	
3A. Police services	Very satisfied	39.8%	78.9%	41.4%	78.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	39.1%		37.5%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6.5%		6.5%	
	Very dissatisfied	6.4%		6.2%	
	DK/NA	8.3%		8.3%	
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Very satisfied	28.9%	67.0%	29.1%	67.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	38.2%		38.4%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11.9%		12.2%	
	Very dissatisfied	8.1%		7.9%	
	DK/NA	13.0%		12.4%	
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very satisfied	17.7%	58.7%	17.6%	60.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	41.0%		43.1%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18.7%		17.9%	
	Very dissatisfied	13.2%		13.1%	
	DK/NA	9.4%		8.2%	
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Very satisfied	7.6%	36.8%	6.7%	35.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	29.1%		28.9%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26.9%		27.4%	
	Very dissatisfied	22.9%		23.1%	
	DK/NA	13.4%		14.0%	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Very satisfied	37.9%	75.1%	39.1%	76.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	37.3%		37.8%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.5%		6.3%	
	Very dissatisfied	5.3%		5.7%	
	DK/NA	12.0%		11.2%	
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied	11.0%	33.5%	11.0%	33.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	22.5%		22.5%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4.4%		4.3%	
	Very dissatisfied	3.4%		3.3%	
	DK/NA	58.6%		58.8%	
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Very satisfied	22.1%	55.4%	22.7%	57.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	33.3%		34.4%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22.2%		21.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	12.5%		11.9%	
	DK/NA	10.0%		9.8%	
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very satisfied	2.3%	14.3%	2.5%	14.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	12.1%		12.3%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25.6%		26.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	59.4%		58.3%	
	DK/NA	0.7%		0.8%	

		Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
		Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Very satisfied	8.6%	30.1%	9.1%	30.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	21.5%		21.3%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23.0%		23.2%	
	Very dissatisfied	45.2%		44.9%	
	DK/NA	1.7%		1.6%	
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	Very satisfied	40.2%	80.5%	41.7%	81.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	40.4%		39.5%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%		11.7%	
	Very dissatisfied	7.2%		6.9%	
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	DK/NA	0.2%		0.3%	
	Very satisfied	27.6%	67.9%	28.0%	68.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	40.2%		40.7%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11.5%		10.9%	
	Very dissatisfied	5.5%		5.8%	
3L. Library facilities and services	DK/NA	15.1%		14.6%	
	Very satisfied	46.5%	74.1%	46.6%	74.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	27.6%		27.9%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.3%		7.3%	
	Very dissatisfied	4.4%		4.1%	
	DK/NA	14.3%		14.0%	

**CITY SERVICE SATISFACTION -- RANKED BY MEAN SCORE**

	Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
	Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
3L. Library facilities and services		1.22		1.23
3A. Police services		1.08		1.11
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events		1.08		1.11
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks		0.94		0.98
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events		0.86		0.87
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens		0.80		0.82
3B. Neighborhood police patrols		0.78		0.78
3C. Communication between the City and residents		0.35		0.37
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel		0.34		0.39
3D. Land use, planning and zoning		-0.33		-0.36
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow		-0.76		-0.75
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours		-1.29		-1.26

### DOWNTOWN MENLO PARK

		Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
		Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily	31.3%		30.5%	
	A few times a week	24.1%		24.5%	
	Weekly	15.5%	70.9%	16.1%	71.1%
	A few times a month	16.2%		17.1%	
	Once a month	5.9%		4.7%	
	A few times a year	3.3%		3.4%	
	Once a year	0.9%		1.0%	
	Less than once a year	0.8%		0.9%	
	Never	1.8%		1.5%	
	DK/NA	0.3%		0.3%	
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always	21.9%		22.1%	
	Most of the time	46.1%		45.5%	
	Some of the time	25.3%		25.0%	
	None of the time	3.9%		4.4%	
	DK/NA	2.8%		3.0%	
6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?	Strongly support	22.5%		22.9%	
	Somewhat support	27.9%		29.0%	
	Somewhat oppose	17.4%		16.0%	
	Strongly oppose	25.7%		25.5%	
	DK/NA	6.4%		6.6%	
	- Total Support	50.5%		51.9%	
- Total Oppose	43.1%		41.5%		
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	31.4%		32.1%	
	Somewhat support	28.4%		29.0%	
	Somewhat oppose	16.1%		14.7%	
	Strongly oppose	17.6%		17.5%	
	DK/NA	6.4%		6.6%	
	- Total Support	59.8%		61.1%	
- Total Oppose	33.8%		32.3%		
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	44.0%		45.3%	
	Somewhat support	30.6%		29.2%	
	Somewhat oppose	9.8%		10.3%	
	Strongly oppose	9.6%		9.1%	
	DK/NA	5.9%		6.0%	
	- Total Support	74.7%		74.5%	
- Total Oppose	19.4%		19.5%		

### PARK AND RECREATION

		Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
		Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very satisfied	15.3%	44.8%	14.3%	44.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	29.5%		29.8%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18.3%		18.4%	
	Very dissatisfied	4.7%		4.3%	
	DK/NA	32.3%		33.3%	
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very satisfied	31.5%	68.4%	32.2%	70.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	36.9%		38.0%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8.0%		7.9%	
	Very dissatisfied	2.2%		2.6%	
	DK/NA	21.3%		19.3%	
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very satisfied	36.0%	76.1%	37.1%	78.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	40.1%		41.1%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15.6%		13.4%	
	Very dissatisfied	2.8%		3.2%	
	DK/NA	5.5%		5.3%	
9D. Children's play areas.	Very satisfied	30.2%	65.1%	30.7%	64.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	34.9%		33.6%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9.3%		9.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	4.5%		4.7%	
	DK/NA	21.1%		21.9%	
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very satisfied	15.4%	39.9%	16.1%	40.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	24.5%		24.6%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6.6%		6.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	3.2%		3.4%	
	DK/NA	50.3%		49.9%	
9F. Recreation centers.	Very satisfied	37.1%	71.4%	36.7%	72.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	34.3%		35.4%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.0%		6.3%	
	Very dissatisfied	3.0%		3.1%	
	DK/NA	18.6%		18.5%	

### PARK AND RECREATION -- RANKED BY MEAN SCORE

	Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
	Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
9F. Recreation centers.		1.17		1.18
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.		1.11		1.11
9D. Children's play areas.		0.98		0.98
9C. Neighborhood parks.		0.96		1.01
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.		0.85		0.87
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.		0.48		0.47



### LIBRARY

		Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
		Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent	32.3%	62.4%	33.0%	63.5%
	Good	30.1%		30.5%	
	Fair	8.6%		8.1%	
	Poor	2.8%		3.2%	
	Very poor	1.1%		1.1%	
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services	22.7%		21.7%	
	DK/NA	2.4%		2.4%	
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	47.5%		49.2%	
	Somewhat support	28.8%		27.4%	
	Somewhat oppose	8.1%		8.0%	
	Strongly oppose	6.0%		5.6%	
	DK/NA	9.5%		9.8%	
	- Total Support	76.4%		76.6%	
	- Total Oppose	14.1%		13.7%	
12. To replace the aging Menlo Park and Belle Haven library system with 21st century libraries that meet earthquake and fire codes with space for: • children's books and story times; • homework centers; • computer workstations for software training; • up-to-date book and resource collections; • quiet reading; and • improved senior and disabled access; shall Menlo Park issue \$50 million dollars in bonds at legal rates for 30 years, as the Voter Guide describes, requiring independent citizen oversight, and all funds for Menlo Park libraries?	Definitely Yes	25.0%		27.1%	
	Probably Yes	33.8%		34.2%	
	Probably No	14.7%		14.8%	
	Definitely No	12.3%		12.1%	
	DK/NA	14.2%		11.7%	
	- Total Yes	58.9%		61.3%	
	- Total Yes	27.0%		27.0%	
13. In order to replace the aging Menlo Park and Belle Haven library system with 21st century libraries that meet earthquake and fire codes with space for: • children's books and story times; • homework centers; • computer workstations for software training; • up-to-date book and resource collections; • quiet reading; and • improved senior and disabled access; would you support or oppose the city council increasing the current utility users tax rate to the 2006 voter approved 3.5 percent?	Strongly support	26.8%		28.9%	
	Somewhat support	27.0%		24.5%	
	Somewhat oppose	7.7%		7.8%	
	Strongly oppose	31.1%		31.2%	
	DK/NA	7.3%		7.6%	
	- Total Support	53.8%		53.4%	
	- Total Oppose	38.9%		39.0%	

### FEATURES OF LIBRARY PROPOSAL

		Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
		Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely	41.4%	77.9%	42.0%	78.0%
	Somewhat more likely	36.5%		36.0%	
	Somewhat Less Likely	4.7%		4.9%	
	Much Less Likely	7.4%		6.8%	
	DK/NA	10.0%		10.3%	
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library	Much more likely	37.3%	67.1%	37.7%	68.4%
	Somewhat more likely	29.8%		30.7%	
	Somewhat Less Likely	7.9%		7.5%	
	Much Less Likely	7.4%		7.2%	
	DK/NA	17.7%		16.9%	
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Much more likely	37.5%	72.3%	38.2%	73.7%
	Somewhat more likely	34.7%		35.5%	
	Somewhat Less Likely	7.8%		6.3%	
	Much Less Likely	7.2%		6.9%	
	DK/NA	12.7%		13.1%	
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Much more likely	29.5%	65.9%	29.9%	67.6%
	Somewhat more likely	36.4%		37.7%	
	Somewhat Less Likely	8.8%		8.6%	
	Much Less Likely	9.5%		9.4%	
	DK/NA	15.8%		14.3%	
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library would provide more space for reference materials, books, audio-visual materials, and periodicals	Much more likely	34.1%	68.7%	34.1%	70.2%
	Somewhat more likely	34.6%		36.1%	
	Somewhat Less Likely	5.6%		5.3%	
	Much Less Likely	8.3%		8.3%	
	DK/NA	17.4%		16.2%	
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely	29.5%	66.1%	29.9%	67.4%
	Somewhat more likely	36.6%		37.5%	
	Somewhat Less Likely	9.4%		9.4%	
	Much Less Likely	9.2%		9.1%	
	DK/NA	15.4%		14.1%	
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much more likely	36.8%	70.3%	38.0%	72.1%
	Somewhat more likely	33.6%		34.1%	
	Somewhat Less Likely	6.3%		6.0%	
	Much Less Likely	7.3%		7.3%	
	DK/NA	16.0%		14.6%	
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Much more likely	27.5%	66.2%	28.5%	68.0%
	Somewhat more likely	38.7%		39.6%	
	Somewhat Less Likely	7.9%		7.8%	
	Much Less Likely	8.5%		8.3%	
	DK/NA	17.4%		15.8%	
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely	32.0%	67.9%	33.1%	69.2%
	Somewhat more likely	35.8%		36.1%	
	Somewhat Less Likely	8.7%		8.9%	
	Much Less Likely	8.3%		8.1%	
	DK/NA	15.2%		13.8%	
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	Much more likely	39.2%	72.3%	39.9%	73.3%
	Somewhat more likely	33.0%		33.4%	
	Somewhat Less Likely	5.5%		5.9%	
	Much Less Likely	5.9%		5.9%	
	DK/NA	16.3%		14.9%	

	Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
	Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	25.8%	50.6%	52.6%
	Somewhat more likely	24.8%		25.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	12.7%		13.0%
	Much Less Likely	23.9%		23.4%
	DKNA	12.7%		11.0%
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	33.0%	69.2%	70.7%
	Somewhat more likely	36.3%		37.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	7.5%		7.4%
	Much Less Likely	8.3%		8.1%
	DKNA	14.9%		13.7%
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely	33.1%	69.3%	70.7%
	Somewhat more likely	36.2%		37.4%
	Somewhat Less Likely	6.9%		6.6%
	Much Less Likely	9.3%		9.3%
	DKNA	14.5%		13.4%

**FEATURES OF LIBRARY PROPOSAL -- RANKED BY MEAN SCORE**

	Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
	Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools		1.13		1.12
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost		1.11		1.13
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning		1.03		1.05
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections		1.00		1.06
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library		0.99		1.01
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library would provide more space for reference materials, books, audio-visual materials, and periodicals		0.98		0.98
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students		0.92		0.93
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students		0.90		0.91
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space		0.88		0.89
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading		0.83		0.86
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading		0.80		0.81
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer workstations for individual use and software training		0.80		0.82
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses		0.18		0.22

**POLICE SERVICES**

	Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
	Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent	26.9%		27.5%
	Good	40.5%		40.9%
	Fair	16.4%		15.4%
	Poor	6.4%		7.0%
	Very poor	2.9%		2.7%
	DK/NA	7.0%		6.6%

**COMMUNICATIONS**

	Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
	Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
16. Online source of local community news and information	Next Door	39.9%		37.8%
	Emails	34.1%		33.8%
	County Almanac	31.9%		30.7%
	City Website	25.1%		26.2%
	Palo Alto Daily Post	22.5%		23.0%
	Bay Area News Group	20.2%		20.5%
	Facebook	19.1%		20.7%
	Internet	18.7%		18.7%
	Blogs	17.9%		18.4%
	Instagram	2.1%		2.4%
	San Francisco Chronicle	0.0%		0.0%
	San Jose Mercury	0.0%		0.0%
	San Mateo Daily Journal	0.0%		0.0%
	Snapchat	0.0%		0.0%
	Social media generic	0.0%		0.0%
	Twitter	0.0%		0.0%
	Other	1.5%		1.7%
Not sure/DK/NA	10.7%		11.3%	
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Palo Alto Daily Post	40.6%		41.6%
	County Almanac	36.5%		36.5%
	San Jose Mercury	14.6%		15.2%
	San Francisco Chronicle	11.1%		11.1%
	San Mateo Daily Journal	6.6%		6.8%
	Other	6.5%		4.5%
	Not sure/DK/NA	25.0%		25.9%
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Never Seen	11.4%		11.3%
	Very satisfied	36.8%	51.8%	35.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	15.0%		14.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4.6%		4.3%
	Very dissatisfied	7.8%		7.6%
	DK/NA	24.4%		26.8%
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Never Seen	17.5%		18.5%
	Very satisfied	41.9%	49.2%	40.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	7.4%		7.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.2%		3.5%
	Very dissatisfied	7.3%		3.9%
	DK/NA	22.8%		25.6%

		Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
		Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Never Seen	10.4%		11.2%	
	Very satisfied	19.9%	27.8%	20.4%	28.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	8.0%		7.9%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4.0%		4.5%	
	Very dissatisfied	18.8%		14.0%	
	DK/NA	39.1%		41.9%	
18D. Activity Guide	Never Seen	22.0%		21.5%	
	Very satisfied	32.4%	45.0%	32.7%	45.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	12.6%		12.2%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2.9%		3.5%	
	Very dissatisfied	8.3%		5.6%	
	DK/NA	21.9%		24.5%	
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Never Seen	9.2%		9.9%	
	Very satisfied	19.7%	26.3%	20.5%	27.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	6.6%		6.8%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.2%		2.6%	
	Very dissatisfied	23.2%		21.0%	
	DK/NA	38.2%		39.1%	
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Never Seen	12.1%		12.6%	
	Very satisfied	37.2%	43.8%	37.2%	44.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	6.6%		6.9%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2.9%		2.8%	
	Very dissatisfied	10.5%		9.5%	
	DK/NA	30.6%		31.1%	
18G. Email notifications from the City	Never Seen	22.1%		21.4%	
	Very satisfied	32.3%	43.2%	31.8%	42.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	10.9%		10.3%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%		5.4%	
	Very dissatisfied	5.9%		6.0%	
	DK/NA	23.5%		25.0%	

SATISFACTION WITH COMMUNICATION METHODS -- RANKED BY MEAN SCORE

	Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
	Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
18B. News stories in the Almanac		1.23		1.40
18G. Email notifications from the City		1.07		1.06
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org		1.06		1.07
18D. Activity Guide		1.03		1.17
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post		1.00		1.06
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings		0.12		0.34
18E. Facebook and Twitter		-0.07		0.06

DEMOGRAPHICS

		Adults 18+		Likely Voters		
		Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean	
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	70.9%		71.5%		
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	16.6%		17.4%		
	DK/NA	12.5%		11.1%		
B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?	Bayside of El Camino Real	72.2%		72.5%		
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	26.8%		26.4%		
	DK/NA	0.9%		1.1%		
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Bayside of Middlefield Road	74.9%		73.9%		
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	22.2%		23.2%		
	DK/NA	2.9%		2.9%		
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	24.8%		24.1%		
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	73.7%		74.2%		
	DK/NA	1.5%		1.7%		
E. What is your age?	18 to 24	8.3%		9.4%		
	25 to 29	2.7%		3.1%		
	30 to 34	13.0%		13.2%		
	35 to 39	3.4%		3.2%		
	40 to 44	15.8%		15.9%		
	45 to 49	4.4%		3.1%		
	50 to 54	14.1%		14.8%		
	55 to 59	8.2%		7.8%		
	60 to 64	5.2%		5.3%		
	65 to 69	7.3%		7.6%		
	70 to 74	7.4%		7.3%		
75 and over	8.4%		8.0%			
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	DK/REF	1.7%		1.1%		
	0 to 4 years	15.3%		16.5%		
	5 to 11 years	23.6%		23.5%		
	12 to 13 years	9.8%		9.4%		
	14 to 17 years	13.6%		12.6%		
	None in household	56.4%		57.1%		
	Not sure/DK/NA	0.3%		0.4%		
	African-American or Black	4.9%		4.9%		
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1%		0.1%		
	Asian	11.8%		11.8%		
	Caucasian or White	61.0%		61.1%		
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Hispanic or Latino	14.9%		14.9%		
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0.8%		0.9%		
	Two or more races	3.7%		3.7%		
	Some other race	0.6%		0.6%		
	DK/NA	2.1%		1.9%		
	H. Respondent's Gender	Male	46.6%		46.4%	
		Female	51.8%		52.1%	
Other		1.6%		1.5%		
I. Age	18-29	11.9%		11.9%		
	30-39	15.5%		15.5%		
	40-49	19.5%		19.5%		
	50-64	28.5%		28.5%		
	65+	24.6%		24.6%		
	Not coded	0.0%		0.0%		

		Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
		Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
<b>J. Ethnic Surname</b>	Japanese	1.1%		1.1%	
	Chinese	2.7%		2.7%	
	Hispanic	12.5%		12.5%	
	Jewish	4.2%		4.2%	
	Armenian	0.0%		0.0%	
	Vietnamese	0.0%		0.0%	
	Italian	2.3%		2.3%	
	Korean	0.5%		0.5%	
	African American	2.0%		2.0%	
	Not Coded	74.7%		74.7%	
<b>K. Homeownership Status</b>	Owner	66.2%		66.2%	
	Renter	33.8%		33.8%	
<b>L. Party</b>	Democrat	54.3%		54.3%	
	Republican	17.7%		17.7%	
	Other	3.2%		3.2%	
	DTS	24.8%		24.8%	
<b>M. Household Party Type</b>	Dem 1	29.3%		29.3%	
	Dem 2+	15.7%		15.7%	
	Rep 1	6.1%		6.1%	
	Rep 2+	6.5%		6.5%	
	Other 1	15.3%		15.3%	
	Other 2+	5.3%		5.3%	
	Dem & Rep	4.3%		4.3%	
	Dem & Other	10.8%		10.8%	
	Rep & Other	5.0%		5.0%	
	Dem, Rep & Other	1.7%		1.7%	
<b>N. Registration Date</b>	2013 to 2017	41.8%		41.8%	
	2009 to 2012	13.4%		13.4%	
	2005 to 2008	6.5%		6.5%	
	2001 to 2004	9.7%		9.7%	
	1997 to 2000	8.0%		8.0%	
	1993 to 1996	6.2%		6.2%	
	1981 to 1992	9.4%		9.4%	
	1980 or before	5.0%		5.0%	
Not Coded	0.0%		0.0%		
<b>O. Voting History</b>	see detailed crosstabs				

		Adults 18+		Likely Voters	
		Column N %	Σ or Mean	Column N %	Σ or Mean
<b>P. Times Voted in Last Elections</b>	0	14.9%		14.9%	
	1	8.6%		8.6%	
	2	5.2%		5.2%	
	3	6.2%		6.2%	
	4	4.8%		4.8%	
	5	7.7%		7.7%	
	6	8.6%		8.6%	
	7	3.7%		3.7%	
	8	4.5%		4.5%	
	9	4.8%		4.8%	
	10	3.7%		3.7%	
	11	5.0%		5.0%	
	12	3.2%		3.2%	
	13	4.4%		4.4%	
	14	5.5%		5.5%	
	15	4.1%		4.1%	
	16	5.2%		5.2%	
<b>Q. Absentee Voter</b>	0	40.0%		40.0%	
	1	10.4%		10.4%	
	2	7.4%		7.4%	
	3	4.7%		4.7%	
	4	5.2%		5.2%	
	5	4.6%		4.6%	
	6	3.1%		3.1%	
	7	2.8%		2.8%	
	8	1.7%		1.7%	
	9	3.2%		3.2%	
	10	1.4%		1.4%	
	11	2.1%		2.1%	
	12	2.0%		2.0%	
	13	3.2%		3.2%	
	14	2.8%		2.8%	
	15	2.7%		2.7%	
	16	2.6%		2.6%	
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	Yes	71.8%		71.8%	
	No	28.2%		28.2%	
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	Yes	48.9%		48.9%	
	No	51.1%		51.1%	



GODBE RESEARCH  
Gain Insight



## Appendix D: Questionnaire



CITY OF MENLO PARK

2017 City Satisfaction Survey

Questionnaire  
n=400  
22-minutes (current 22 minutes)  
Hybrid Sample

January 10, 2018

FINAL

[www.godberesearch.com](http://www.godberesearch.com)

Northern California and Corporate Offices  
1575 Old Bayshore Highway, Suite 102  
Burlingame, CA 94010

Nevada  
59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309  
Reno, NV 89521

Pacific Northwest  
601 108<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE, Suite 1900  
Bellevue, WA 98004

Godbe Research  
Menlo Park - 2017 Resident Satisfaction Survey

## EMAIL INVITATION

---

From: [city.manager@menlopark.org](mailto:city.manager@menlopark.org)

Reply to: [city.manager@menlopark.org](mailto:city.manager@menlopark.org)

Subject: Participate in this important study about our community

Dear [insert name],

The City of Menlo Park has commissioned GRA and McGuire Research, independent research firms, to conduct research on important issues in the City of Menlo Park.

Your individual responses are entirely confidential and will be used for research purposes only. Your data will not be sold or provided to anyone. You will not be approached for any other reason - we are only interested in your opinions.

To access the survey, simply click on the link below. If your email does not support links, cut and paste the entire link into your browser.

[<survey link with unique voter file id>](#)

We ask that you please complete the survey on or before \_\_\_\_\_, 2017, after which it will be closed.

Thank you in advance for your participation.  
Sincerely,

Alex D. McIntyre  
City Manager  
City of Menlo Park

**Technical Issues:** If you have technical issues or questions with the survey link, password or completing the survey form please contact [Technical Assistance \(pwwood@mcguire-research.com\)](mailto:pwwood@mcguire-research.com).

**Questions about the City or this Survey:** If you have questions about the City of Menlo Park, or the purpose of this survey please contact: [city.manager@menlopark.org](mailto:city.manager@menlopark.org).

Note: Email addresses for this survey were obtained from public records at the Registrar of Voters in San Mateo County and the City of Menlo Park. If you no longer wish to receive invitations or reminders for this research please click [HERE](#) to unsubscribe.

EMAIL & AOL OPT-IN EMAIL INVITATION

---

From: city.manager@menlopark.org

Reply to: city.manager@menlopark.org

Subject: Participate in this important study about our community

Dear [insert name],

The City of Menlo Park has commissioned GRA and McGuire Research, independent research firms, to conduct research on important issues the City of Menlo Park.

Your individual responses will be entirely confidential and will be used for research purposes only. We are not selling anything or asking you to donate anything and the data from these surveys will not be sold or provided to anyone. You will not be approached for any other reason - we are only interested in your opinions on these important community issues.

For the individual named above, if you would like to be included in this email list to receive and be able to participate in important community surveys such as this and future ones, then please click on this link below.

[<survey link with unique voter file id>](#)

If you click on the link above, then an email invite for this specific survey will be sent to you shortly.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alex D. McIntyre  
City Manager  
City of Menlo Park

**Questions about the City of Menlo Park or this Survey:** If you have questions about the City of Menlo Park or the purpose of this survey, please contact [city.manager@menlopark.org](mailto:city.manager@menlopark.org).

Note: Email addresses for this survey were obtained from public records at the Registrar of Voters in San Mateo County and the City of Menlo Park. If you no longer wish to receive invitations or reminders for this research please click [HERE](#) to unsubscribe.

TEXT MESSAGE INVITATION

---

Menlo Park is conducting research on important issues in the City.

Your individual responses are entirely confidential and will be used for research purposes only. Your data will not be sold or provided to anyone. You will not be approached for any other reason. We are only interested in your opinions.

To participate, please click the link below.

[<survey link with unique voter file id>](#)

We ask that you please complete the survey on or before \_\_\_\_\_, 2017, after which it will be closed.

Thank you in advance for your participation.

Regards,

Alex D. McIntyre  
City Manager  
City of Menlo Park

Please reply REMOVE if you do not wish to be recontacted.

Please reply HELP if you need assistance with the survey

Cell phone numbers for this survey were obtained from public records at the Registrar of Voters in San Mateo County.

## TEXT SOURCING LETTER

---

January 10, 2018

EZ Texting/Callfire  
1410 2nd Street  
Suite 200  
Santa Monica, CA 90401  
Dear Compliance Department:

Menlo Park is a General Law city with a City Council/City Manager form of government, and a community-oriented, performance-based management system at the core of decision-making. As its governing body, Menlo Park's City Council is comprised of the mayor and four council members.

The source of the sample that Godbe Research and McGuire Research Services are using are publicly available, county voter registration records from San Mateo County that voters have opted to provide both landline and cell numbers, and email address. The landline or cell number is optional field and is not required to register to vote. Additionally, the survey invitation used by Godbe Research and McGuire Research Services clearly identifies the source of the list and allows participants to opt out of the process and ensures they will not be texted again for this research study.

We would appreciate the opportunity to complete this project which allows us to communicate with our constituents and allows registered voter to participate in the governmental process.

Sincerely,

Alex D. McIntyre  
City Manager  
City of Menlo Park

## CLIENT EMAIL SETUP INFORMATION

---

### Step 1

The City of Menlo Park will verify the email address used by Godbe Research in 2015 to send out email invitations. This email address was:

city.manager@menlopark.org

### Step 2

After the email has been verified, have your IT Department forward all of the emails sent to the account to: [surveys.gra@gmail.com](mailto:surveys.gra@gmail.com). We will handle any tech support questions sent to this account and forward any substantive emails that may require a response from a City representative.

### Step 3

Provide Godbe Research with City email lists for matching with the voter file. The data needs to include separate fields for first name, last name, street address, home phone, cell phone and email address. The format in an excel files should be:

First Name	Last Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip	Home Phone	Cell Phone	Email
Bryan	Godbe	1575 Old Bayshore Highway	Burlingame	CA	94010	(650) 288-3027	(650) 520-9150	<a href="mailto:wbgodbe@godberesearch.com">wbgodbe@godberesearch.com</a>
Leslie	Godbe	1575 Old Bayshore Highway	Burlingame	CA	94010	(650) 288-3029	(650) 533-2320	<a href="mailto:lgodbe@godberesearch.com">lgodbe@godberesearch.com</a>

This single person/record format is critical as it matches the format of the voter file.

### Client Check List

- Verify email address.
- Auto forward all email from new account to [surveys.gra@gmail.com](mailto:surveys.gra@gmail.com).
- Notify Godbe Research on completion of above so the email can be tested.
- Send email list as discussed to Godbe Research.
- Print "Text" compliance letter on City Stationary, sign and scan or fax to Godbe Research.



SCREENERS

[INTERNET INTRODUCTION]

Thank you for your interest in taking our survey to help understand issues in the City of Menlo Park. All of your answers to the survey will be kept strictly anonymous and confidential.

Survey Instructions:

Once you have answered all the questions on a page, click the "Next" button to continue. If you have any technical difficulties with the survey, please email: [Technical Assistance \(pwood@mcquire-research.com\)](mailto:Technical Assistance@pwood@mcquire-research.com).

[PHONE INTERVIEW]

Hello, May I speak with \_\_\_\_\_? Hello, my name is \_\_\_\_\_ and I'm calling on behalf of GRA, a public opinion research firm. We're conducting a survey concerning some important issues in the City of Menlo Park, and we would like to hear your opinions, we really appreciate your time. [IF NEEDED]: This is a study about issues of importance in your area. It is a survey only and I am not selling anything.

[IF THE PERSON ASKS WHY YOU ONLY WANT TO TALK TO THE INDIVIDUAL LISTED ON THE SAMPLE, OR ASKS IF THEY ARE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE INSTEAD OF THE INDIVIDUAL, THEN SAY: "I'm sorry, but for statistical purposes this survey must only be completed by this particular individual." [IF THE INDIVIDUAL SAYS THEY ARE ON THE NATIONAL DO NOT CALL LIST, RESPOND WITH GUIDELINES FROM THE MARKETING RESEARCH ASSOCIATION. IF THE INDIVIDUAL SAYS: "There's a law that says you can't call me," RESPOND WITH: "Most types of opinion research studies are exempt under the law that congress passed. That law was passed to regulate the activities of the telemarketing industry. This is a legitimate research call. Your opinions count!"]].

Before we get started, I'd like to verify that you are eligible to complete the survey.

- i. But first, I need to know if I have reached you on a cell phone, and if so, are you in a place where you can talk safely without endangering yourself or others?
  - Yes, cell and can talk safely ----- 1
  - Yes, cell but cannot talk safely ----- 2 [CALL BACK LATER]
  - No, not on cell ----- 3
  - [DON'T READ] DK/NA/REFUSED -----99 [CALL BACK LATER]

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

- ii. Do you work for the City of Menlo Park or are you appointed to any Menlo Park or county board, committee or commission?
  - Yes ----- 1 [CONTINUE TO Qii TEXT]
  - No ----- 2 [GO TO Q1]
  - [ONLINE] Not sure / [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99
- iii. Thank you for your time, but the focus of this survey is on the general public's opinion of local issues. Due to your response to this question, you are not eligible to complete the survey. Thank you again for your time. [TERMINATE]

QUALITY OF LIFE

- 1. [ONLINE] Generally speaking, are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?

[PHONE] Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Is that very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

- Very satisfied ----- 1
- Somewhat satisfied ----- 2
- Somewhat dissatisfied ----- 3
- Very dissatisfied ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure / [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

CITY SERVICES

2. [ONLINE] Generally speaking, are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?

[PHONE] Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

- Very satisfied ----- 1
- Somewhat satisfied ----- 2
- Somewhat dissatisfied ----- 3
- Very dissatisfied ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

3. Now here is a list of Menlo Park city services.

[ONLINE:] Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with the City's efforts to provide the service?

[PHONE:] Here's the (first/next) one, \_\_\_\_\_. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the City's provision of this service? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

[RANDOMIZE]

[ONLINE]  
Not sure  
[PHONE  
DON'T  
READ]  
DK/NA

	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DK/NA
A. Police services-----	1	2	3	4	99
B. Neighborhood police patrols -----	1	2	3	4	99
C. Communication between the City and residents ----	1	2	3	4	99
D. Land use, planning and zoning -----	1	2	3	4	99
E. Providing park and recreation programs and events-----	1	2	3	4	99
F. Providing programs for senior citizens-----	1	2	3	4	99
G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel ----	1	2	3	4	99
H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours-----	1	2	3	4	99
I. Neighborhood traffic flow-----	1	2	3	4	99
J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks-----	1	2	3	4	99
K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events -----	1	2	3	4	99
L. Library facilities and services-----	1	2	3	4	99

DOWNTOWN MENLO PARK

4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?

- Daily ----- 1
- A few times a week ----- 2
- Weekly ----- 3
- A few times a month ----- 4
- Once a month ----- 5
- A few times a year ----- 6
- Once a year----- 7
- Less than once a year----- 8
- Never ----- 9
- [ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

5. [IF Q4 = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, OR 8, ASK:] When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?

- Always ----- 1
- Most of the time----- 2
- Some of the time ----- 3
- None of the time----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

6. [ONLINE] Would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure with residential and/or retail space on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?

[PHONE] Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park ? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be strongly (support/oppose) or somewhat (support/oppose)?

- Strongly support ----- 1
- Somewhat support ----- 2
- Somewhat oppose ----- 3
- Strongly oppose ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

7. [IF Q6 = 2, 3, 4 OR 99, ASK:] Instead of an seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?

[PHONE, GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be strongly (support/oppose) or somewhat (support/oppose)?

- Strongly support ----- 1
- Somewhat support ----- 2
- Somewhat oppose ----- 3
- Strongly oppose ----- 4

[ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

8. [IF Q7 = 2, 3, 4, OR 99, ASK:] Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?

[PHONE, GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be strongly (support/oppose) or somewhat (support/oppose)?

- Strongly support ----- 1
- Somewhat support ----- 2
- Somewhat oppose ----- 3
- Strongly oppose ----- 4

[ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

PARKS AND RECREATION

9. Next, thinking about the parks and recreation facilities in Menlo Park

[ONLINE] are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with each of the following?

[PHONE] are you satisfied or dissatisfied with each of the following? Here's the (first/next) one, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with \_\_\_\_\_. [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]:  
Would that be very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

[RANDOMIZE]

					[ONLINE]
					Not sure
					[PHONE
					DON'T
					READ]
					DK/NA
	Very	Somewhat	Somewhat	Very	
	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Dissatisfied</u>	

[SPLIT SAMPLE A]

- A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms-----1-----2-----3-----4----- 99
- B. Condition of sports fields and courts -----1-----2-----3-----4----- 99
- C. Neighborhood parks-----1-----2-----3-----4----- 99

[SPLIT SAMPLE B]

- D. Children's play areas-----1-----2-----3-----4----- 99
- E. Bedwell Bayfront Park -----1-----2-----3-----4----- 99
- F. Recreation centers-----1-----2-----3-----4----- 99

LIBRARY

10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?

- Excellent----- 1
- Good----- 2
- Fair----- 3
- Poor----- 4
- Very poor ----- 5
- Not used Menlo Park public library or services---- 6
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
- [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?

[PHONE, GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be strongly (support/oppose) or somewhat (support/oppose)?

- Strongly support ----- 1
- Somewhat support ----- 2
- Somewhat oppose ----- 3
- Strongly oppose ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
- [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

[SPLIT SAMPLE C]

In the future, voters in Menlo Park could be asked to vote on local ballot measures. Here's the description of one potential measure:

12. To replace the aging Menlo Park and Belle Haven library system with 21<sup>st</sup> century libraries that meet earthquake and fire codes with space for:

- children's books and story times;
- homework centers;
- computer workstations for software training;
- up-to-date book and resource collections;
- quiet reading; and
- improved senior and disabled access;

shall Menlo Park issue \$50 million dollars in bonds at legal rates for 30 years, as the Voter Guide describes, requiring independent citizen oversight, and all funds for Menlo Park libraries? [75 words "Menlo Park" =1 word; and "\$50 million dollars" to be replaced with a numeral]

[INTERNET] If the election were held today, would you definitely vote yes, probably vote yes, probably vote no, or definitely vote no on this measure?

[PHONE] If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be definitely (yes/no) or probably (yes/no)?

- Definitely Yes ----- 1
- Probably Yes ----- 2
- Probably No----- 3
- Definitely No ----- 4
- [INTERNET] Not sure /
- [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

[SPLIT SAMPLE D]

13. In order to replace the aging Menlo Park and Belle Haven library system with 21<sup>st</sup> century libraries that meet earthquake and fire codes with space for:

- children's books and story times;
- homework centers;
- computer workstations for software training;
- up-to-date book and resource collections;
- quiet reading; and
- improved senior and disabled access;

would you support or oppose the city council increasing the current utility users tax rate to the 2006 voter approved 3.5 percent?

[PHONE, GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be strongly (support/oppose) or somewhat (support/oppose)?

- Strongly support ----- 1
- Somewhat support ----- 2
- Somewhat oppose ----- 3
- Strongly oppose ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
- [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

**[RETURN TO ENTIRE SAMPLE]**

14. Here is some additional information about this proposal.

[ONLINE:] For each, does the information make you more or less likely to support the proposal?

[PHONE:] Here's the (first/next) one \_\_\_\_\_, would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Is much (more/less) likely or somewhat (more/less) likely to support the proposal?

[RANDOMIZE]

	Much More Likely	Sw. More Likely	Sw. Less Likely	Much Less Likely	[INTERNET Not sure] [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost -----	1	2	3	4	99
B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library -----	1	2	3	4	99
C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections -	1	2	3	4	99
D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training-----	1	2	3	4	99
E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library would provide more space for reference materials, books, audio-visual materials, and periodicals -----	1	2	3	4	99
F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading-----	1	2	3	4	99
G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning -----	1	2	3	4	99
H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading-----	1	2	3	4	99
I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space-----	1	2	3	4	99
J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools -----	1	2	3	4	99
K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses-----	1	2	3	4	99
L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students -----	1	2	3	4	99
M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students -----	1	2	3	4	99

**POLICE SERVICES**

15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?

- Excellent----- 1
- Good----- 2
- Fair----- 3
- Poor----- 4
- Very poor ----- 5
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
- [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

COMMUNICATIONS

16. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from? [READ LIST/SHOW LIST -- ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

- Bay Area News Group ----- 1
- Blogs ----- 2
- City Website ----- 3
- County Almanac ----- 4
- Emails ----- 5
- Facebook ----- 6
- Instagram ----- 7
- Internet ----- 8
- Next Door ----- 9
- Palo Alto Daily Post ----- 10
- San Francisco Chronicle ----- 11
- San Jose Mercury ----- 12
- San Mateo Daily Journal ----- 13
- Snapchat ----- 14
- Social media generic ----- 15
- Twitter ----- 16
- Other [SPECIFY: \_\_\_\_\_] ----- 98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA ----- 99

17. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from? [READ LIST/SHOW LIST -- ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

- County Almanac Printed Newspaper ----- 1
- Palo Alto Daily Post Printed Newspaper ----- 2
- San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper ----- 3
- San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper ----- 4
- San Mateo Daily Journal Printed Newspaper ----- 5
- Other [SPECIFY: \_\_\_\_\_] ----- 98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA ----- 99

18. The City of Menlo Park provides information on local news, services and events through several sources.

[ONLINE] Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with the City's efforts to communicate through each method?

[PHONE] Here's the (first/next): \_\_\_\_\_. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the City's efforts to communicate through this method? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

[RANDOMIZE]

- |   | [PHONE<br>DON'T<br>READ]<br>Never<br>Seen | Very<br>Satisfied | Sw.<br>Satisfied | Sw.<br>Dissat. | Very<br>Dissat. | [ONLINE]<br>Not sure<br>[PHONE<br>DON'T<br>READ]<br>DK/NA |
|---|---|-------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|---|
| A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org ----- | 1   | 2                 | 3                | 4              | 5               | 99  |

[SPLIT SAMPLE A]

- |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| B. News stories in the Almanac -----                        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 99 |
| C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council<br>meetings ----- | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 99 |
| D. Activity Guide -----                                     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 99 |

[SPLIT SAMPLE B]

- |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| E. Facebook and Twitter -----                     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 99 |
| F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post ----- | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 99 |
| G. Email notifications from the City -----        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 99 |

DEMOGRAPHICS (ASKED)

Now, just a few background questions for comparison purposes.

A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?

Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas ----- 1  
Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas ----- 2  
[ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

B. [IF QA = 1, ASK:] Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?

Bayside of El Camino Real ----- 1  
Oceanside of El Camino Real ----- 2  
[ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

C. [IF QB = 1, ASK:] Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?

Bayside of Middlefield Road ----- 1  
Oceanside of Middlefield Road ----- 2  
[ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

D. [IF QC = 1, ASK:] Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?

Bayside of Interstate 101 ----- 1  
Oceanside of Interstate 101 ----- 2  
[ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

E. What is your age? [DON'T READ CHOICES]

18 to 24 ----- 1  
25 to 29 ----- 2  
30 to 34 ----- 3  
35 to 39 ----- 4  
40 to 44 ----- 5  
45 to 49 ----- 6  
50 to 54 ----- 7  
55 to 59 ----- 8  
60 to 64 ----- 9  
65 to 69 ----- 10  
70 to 74 ----- 11  
75 and over ----- 12  
[ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

F. If you have children under the age of 18 living in your household, what are the ages of those children? [MULTIPLE RESPONSES PERMITTED. IF NECESSARY, PROMPT WITH GRADE LEVELS]

0 to 4 years (pre-school)----- 1

5 to 11 years (grade-school)----- 2  
12 to 13 years (middle-school) ----- 3  
14 to 17 years (high-school) ----- 4  
No children under 18 year old living in household - 5  
[ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? [PHONE: IF RESPONDENT HESITATES, READ LIST]

African-American or Black----- 1  
American Indian or Alaska Native ----- 2  
Asian ----- 3  
Caucasian or White ----- 4  
Hispanic or Latino ----- 5  
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander----- 6  
Two or more races ----- 7  
Some other race ----- 98  
[ONLINE] Not sure /  
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

H. Gender [INTERNET: ASK // PHONE: DO NOT ASK, RECORD BY VOICE]:

Male ----- 1  
Female ----- 2  
[PHONE, DO NOT ASK] Other----- 3

Thank you very much for participating

DEMOGRAPHICS (FROM VOTER FILE PORTION OF SAMPLE)

All of the following information is included in voter registration records, and these items will not be asked during interviews.

I. Age

18-29 years ----- 1  
 30-39 years ----- 2  
 40-49 years ----- 3  
 50-64 years ----- 4  
 65+ years ----- 5  
 Not coded ----- 6

J. Ethnic Surname Code:

----- 98

K. Homeownership Status

Owner ----- 1  
 Renter ----- 0

L. Individual Party

Democrat ----- 1  
 Republican ----- 2  
 Other ----- 3  
 DTS ----- 4

M. Household Party Type

Democrat [1] ----- 1  
 Democrat [2+] ----- 2  
 Republican [1] ----- 3  
 Republican [2+] ----- 4  
 Other [1] ----- 5  
 Other [2+] ----- 6  
 Democrat & Republican ----- 7  
 Democrat & Other ----- 8  
 Republican & Other ----- 9  
 Democrat, Republican, & Other ----- 0

N. Reg. Date

2017 ----- 1  
 2013 to 2016 ----- 2  
 2009 to 2012 ----- 3  
 2005 to 2008 ----- 4  
 2001 to 2004 ----- 5

1997 to 2000 ----- 6  
 1993 to 1996 ----- 7  
 1981 to 1992 ----- 8  
 1980 or before ----- 9  
 Not coded ----- 99

O. Voting History

	No	Poll	Mail
Voted 2/08 -----	0	1	2
Voted 6/08 -----	0	1	2
Voted 11/08 -----	0	1	2
Voted 5/09 -----	0	1	2
Voted 11/09 [if applicable] -----	0	1	2
Voted 06/10 -----	0	1	2
Voted 11/10 -----	0	1	2
Voted 11/11 [if available] -----	0	1	2
Voted 06/12 -----	0	1	2
Voted 11/12 -----	0	1	2
Voted 6/14 -----	0	1	2
Voted 11/14 -----	0	1	2
Voted 6/16 -----	0	1	2
Voted 11/16 -----	0	1	2

P. [PLEASE COUNT TIMES VOTED IN QK] Times Voted in Past Elections: \_\_\_\_\_

Q. [PLEASE COUNT TIMES VOTED BY MAIL IN QK] Absentee Voter: \_\_\_\_\_

R. Permanent Absentee Voter

Yes ----- 1  
 No ----- 2

S. Likely Absentee Voter

Yes ----- 1  
 No ----- 2

T. Precinct number: \_\_\_\_\_

U. Interview date: \_\_\_\_\_





GODBE RESEARCH  
Gain Insight



## Appendix E: Crosstabulation Tables

		Total	
		Total	Total
	<b>Total</b>	808	808
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	246 30.4%	246 30.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	343 42.5%	343 42.5%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	164 20.3%	164 20.3%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	53 6.6%	53 6.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2 0.2%	2 0.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		Total
		(A)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	246 30.4%	115 30.5%	130 31.0%	1 9.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	343 42.5%	180 47.9%	156 37.4%	7 52.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	164 20.3%	52 13.7%	108 25.9%	4 28.4%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	53 6.6%	28 7.4%	24 5.7%	1 9.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2 0.2%	2 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A	
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	246 30.4%	27 40.3%	37 29.2%	32 20.9%	37 24.6%	36 33.3%	74 39.4%	3 22.2%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	343 42.5%	18 26.5%	59 46.2%	78 50.2%	72 48.4%	37 33.7%	72 38.6%	8 57.5%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	164 20.3%	18 27.4%	26 20.2%	38 24.3%	27 18.0%	28 25.9%	24 13.0%	3 19.6%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	53 6.6%	4 5.8%	6 4.4%	7 4.6%	13 9.0%	6 5.7%	17 9.0%	0 0.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.1%	2 1.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied						C	
	Somewhat satisfied			A				
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a			a	a
	DK/NA	.	.	.			.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied	225 31.7%	143 30.4%	82 34.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	297 41.8%	208 44.2%	89 37.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	138 19.4%	84 17.9%	53 22.3%
	Very dissatisfied	51 7.1%	35 7.5%	15 6.4%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied	225 31.7%	126 32.8%	31 24.8%	7 29.3%	61 34.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	297 41.8%	154 40.0%	52 41.0%	14 60.1%	77 43.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	138 19.4%	88 22.8%	25 19.8%	2 10.6%	22 12.7%
	Very dissatisfied	51 7.1%	17 4.4%	18 14.4%	0 0.0%	16 8.9%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	D			
	Very dissatisfied		A	.a	
	DK/NA	.a		.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	<b>Total</b>	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied	225 31.7%	67 32.0%	40 36.1%	6 13.7%	13 27.7%	54 34.7%	46 31.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	297 41.8%	88 42.4%	43 38.5%	21 48.5%	21 44.6%	57 36.6%	67 45.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	138 19.4%	45 21.6%	25 22.1%	9 21.3%	6 13.1%	30 19.2%	23 15.9%
	Very dissatisfied	51 7.1%	8 3.9%	4 3.3%	7 16.4%	7 14.6%	15 9.5%	10 7.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied			A			
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a		.a	
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a		.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	710	297	95	46	69
	Very satisfied	225	92	24	11	30
	Somewhat satisfied	31.7%	31.0%	25.7%	24.4%	43.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	297	114	50	27	22
	Very dissatisfied	41.8%	38.6%	52.1%	58.6%	31.8%
	DK/NA	138	66	17	1	10
		19.4%	22.1%	18.4%	2.3%	15.0%
	51	25	4	7	6	
	7.1%	8.3%	3.8%	14.7%	9.3%	
	0	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	57	44	67	35
	Very satisfied	19	13	25	10
	Somewhat satisfied	33.2%	29.6%	37.0%	29.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23	13	33	15
	Very dissatisfied	40.3%	28.7%	49.1%	43.0%
	DK/NA	10	15	9	9
		18.2%	33.7%	13.9%	24.9%
	5	3	0	1	
	8.3%	7.9%	0.1%	2.5%	
	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	C				
	Very dissatisfied			G		
	DK/NA		.a	.a	.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	C		
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA		.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Very satisfied	246	30	138	12	21	15	17	13
	Somewhat satisfied	30.4%	19.6%	32.4%	28.8%	32.8%	32.9%	40.7%	37.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	343	79	173	17	26	19	18	12
	Very dissatisfied	42.5%	51.0%	40.7%	40.1%	40.6%	40.6%	42.7%	35.4%
	DK/NA	164	29	92	9	12	10	2	9
		20.3%	19.0%	21.6%	20.7%	19.3%	22.4%	4.4%	27.0%
	53	16	23	4	3	2	5	0	
	6.6%	10.4%	5.4%	10.3%	4.6%	4.2%	12.2%	0.0%	
	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							.a
	Very dissatisfied							.a
	DK/NA	.a		.a	B	.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	510	200
Very satisfied		225 31.7%	165 32.4%	60 29.9%
Somewhat satisfied		297 41.8%	210 41.2%	87 43.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied		138 19.4%	95 18.6%	43 21.4%
Very dissatisfied		51 7.1%	40 7.8%	11 5.4%
DK/NA		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	347	363
Very satisfied		225 31.7%	115 33.1%	110 30.3%
Somewhat satisfied		297 41.8%	148 42.7%	149 40.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied		138 19.4%	66 19.0%	72 19.8%
Very dissatisfied		51 7.1%	18 5.2%	33 9.0%
DK/NA		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		808	710	98
Very satisfied		246 30.4%	225 31.7%	21 21.4%
Somewhat satisfied		343 42.5%	297 41.8%	47 47.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied		164 20.3%	138 19.4%	26 26.5%
Very dissatisfied		53 6.6%	51 7.1%	3 2.6%
DK/NA		2 0.2%	0 0.0%	2 1.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied	B	
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	808	350	455	3
	Very satisfied	246	85	159	2
		30.4%	24.3%	34.9%	75.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	343	149	194	1
		42.5%	42.5%	42.6%	24.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	164	91	73	0
		20.3%	26.0%	16.0%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	53	24	29	0	
	6.6%	6.8%	6.5%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	
	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied		A	
	Somewhat satisfied			.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B		.a
	Very dissatisfied			.a
	DK/NA			.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	808	124	191	79	110
	Very satisfied	246	29	46	25	25
		30.4%	23.5%	24.1%	31.1%	23.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	343	42	90	38	45
		42.5%	33.9%	47.4%	48.1%	41.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	164	49	43	14	29
		20.3%	39.8%	22.6%	18.2%	26.1%
Very dissatisfied	53	3	11	2	9	
	6.6%	2.8%	5.9%	2.7%	8.2%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	2	
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	455	3
	Very satisfied	159	2
		34.9%	75.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	194	1
		42.6%	24.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	73	0
		16.0%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	29	0	
	6.5%	0.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household				
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied		A			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B C E				
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA		.a	.a	E	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied	
	Somewhat satisfied	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a
	DK/NA	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	Very satisfied	246	11	0	36	157	35	0
		30.4%	26.9%	0.0%	37.8%	31.8%	29.1%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	343	10	0	45	228	35	0
		42.5%	25.2%	0.0%	46.6%	46.4%	29.3%	0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	164	13	0	9	80	39	7
		20.3%	33.3%	0.0%	9.4%	16.3%	32.5%	100.0%
Very dissatisfied	53	4	1	6	27	11	0	
	6.6%	10.5%	100.0%	6.1%	5.5%	9.1%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	
	0.2%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	30	5	17
	Very satisfied	4	0	3
		12.5%	6.0%	18.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	14	3	8
		47.3%	58.7%	46.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	1	4
		33.3%	24.8%	24.6%
Very dissatisfied	2	0	2	
	6.9%	10.4%	9.7%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group					
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied		a,b				b
	Somewhat satisfied		a,b		E		b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	C	a,b			C D	b
	Very dissatisfied		a,b				b
	DK/NA	D	a,b	b		b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	C		
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA	b	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	Very satisfied	225	1	7	25	17	6	2
		31.7%	14.6%	35.9%	28.2%	55.5%	39.7%	65.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	297	3	9	32	11	9	0
		41.8%	44.3%	44.0%	36.1%	38.5%	52.1%	14.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	138	3	0	22	2	1	0
		19.4%	41.1%	2.0%	24.7%	5.7%	6.7%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	51	0	4	10	0	0	1	
	7.1%	0.0%	18.0%	11.1%	0.4%	1.5%	20.7%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	14	530
	Very satisfied	2	165
		13.5%	31.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	1	231
		8.7%	43.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	98
	77.8%	18.6%	
Very dissatisfied	0	36	
	0.0%	6.8%	
DK/NA	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ethnic Surname						
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							B C D E H
	Very dissatisfied	a						a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
		(H)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied	
	Somewhat satisfied	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	
	Very dissatisfied	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	246 30.4%	61 45.3%	55 35.6%	25 27.3%	57 25.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	343 42.5%	43 32.0%	66 43.1%	41 44.8%	124 54.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	164 20.3%	27 19.8%	26 17.1%	22 24.2%	25 11.0%
	Very dissatisfied	53 6.6%	4 2.9%	7 4.2%	3 3.7%	22 9.8%
	DK/NA	2 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	77	123
	Very satisfied	16 21.1%	32 26.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	21 27.1%	48 39.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 43.7%	30 24.3%
	Very dissatisfied	6 7.9%	11 8.9%
	DK/NA	0 0.1%	2 1.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied	D E F			
	Somewhat satisfied				A E
	Somewhat dissatisfied			D	
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	A B D	D
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Very satisfied	246	30	24	92	99
	Somewhat satisfied	343	16	25	182	120
	Somewhat dissatisfied	164	7	6	86	64
	Very dissatisfied	53	5	5	22	20
	DK/NA	2	0	0	2	0
		0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied	C D			
	Somewhat satisfied			A	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA	.a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Very satisfied	225	33	48	47	24	73
	Somewhat satisfied	297	42	44	64	44	102
	Somewhat dissatisfied	138	20	42	24	16	35
	Very dissatisfied	51	10	8	14	7	11
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					B
	Somewhat dissatisfied		E			
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a	.a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83
	Very satisfied	225	80	47	11	20	26
	Somewhat satisfied	291	74	76	20	31	34
	Somewhat dissatisfied	134	62	22	10	15	17
	Very dissatisfied	49	11	4	6	12	6
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	91	12	11
	Very satisfied	34	4	3
	Somewhat satisfied	41	6	8
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	2	0
	Very dissatisfied	10	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0
	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied		A				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	F					
	Very dissatisfied				A B		
	DK/NA	.a	.a		.a	.a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Very satisfied	122	36	45	19	11
		29.4%	34.9%	32.3%	30.7%	20.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	177	42	60	19	19
		42.4%	40.4%	42.6%	30.7%	37.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	90	19	27	19	15
		21.5%	18.5%	19.4%	31.0%	30.0%
Very dissatisfied	28	6	8	5	6	
	6.7%	6.2%	5.8%	7.6%	12.0%	
DK/NA						

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	59
	Very satisfied	11
		18.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	37
		62.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9
	15.0%	
Very dissatisfied	2	
	4.2%	
DK/NA		

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					C
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Very satisfied	124	46	38	13	22
		31.6%	43.4%	35.6%	42.9%	18.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	167	34	56	9	53
		42.6%	32.1%	52.9%	30.4%	43.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	74	15	12	5	35
		18.9%	14.6%	11.4%	17.4%	28.4%
	Very dissatisfied	25	9	0	3	12
	6.5%	8.3%	0.0%	9.2%	9.7%	
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	
	0.4%	1.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		29
<b>1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	5 18.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	15 51.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	7 23.3%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	2 6.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>1. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	D	D	D		
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>		A			
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>				B	
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	B		B	B	
	<b>DK/NA</b>			a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>Total</b>		808	808
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	193 23.9%	193 23.9%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	374 46.2%	374 46.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	156 19.3%	156 19.3%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	63 7.8%	63 7.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	22 2.8%	22 2.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		Total
		(A)
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	.
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	.
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>		808	377	418	13
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	193 23.9%	89 23.7%	103 24.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	374 46.2%	181 48.1%	185 44.2%	7 57.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	156 19.3%	59 15.6%	95 22.7%	3 20.2%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	63 7.8%	35 9.3%	27 6.4%	1 9.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	22 2.8%	13 3.3%	8 2.0%	2 12.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>			a
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>		A	
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>			
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>			
	<b>DK/NA</b>			B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	193	18	24	29	40	25	56	3
		23.9%	26.4%	18.6%	18.4%	26.5%	22.6%	29.8%	21.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	374	37	69	71	69	50	74	3
		46.2%	55.6%	53.9%	45.9%	46.5%	46.1%	39.4%	24.8%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	156	3	24	45	26	22	31	5
		19.3%	4.7%	18.7%	28.9%	17.5%	20.3%	16.4%	40.6%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	63	9	9	9	10	8	18	0	
	7.8%	13.3%	7.0%	6.0%	6.6%	7.6%	9.6%	0.8%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	22	0	2	1	4	4	9	2	
	2.8%	0.0%	1.8%	0.8%	2.9%	3.4%	4.9%	12.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						Not sure/DK/NA
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>							
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>							
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>			A				A
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>							
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.a						C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	177	118	59
		25.0%	25.1%	24.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	327	205	122
		46.1%	43.7%	50.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	131	96	35
		18.4%	20.3%	14.6%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	58	36	22	
	8.2%	7.6%	9.4%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	16	15	1	
	2.3%	3.2%	0.5%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>		
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>		
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>		
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	177	96	27	2	53
		25.0%	24.8%	21.1%	10.9%	29.9%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	327	182	53	13	79
		46.1%	47.4%	42.2%	59.0%	44.6%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	131	71	30	3	26
		18.4%	18.5%	23.8%	15.0%	14.6%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	58	28	10	3	17	
	8.2%	7.2%	7.9%	15.1%	9.8%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	16	8	6	0	2	
	2.3%	2.1%	4.9%	0.0%	1.1%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>				
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>				
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>				
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>				
	<b>DK/NA</b>				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>Total</b>		710	208	111	44	46	155	146
<b>Very satisfied</b>		177	44	35	4	12	43	40
		25.0%	21.0%	31.7%	9.6%	25.0%	27.7%	27.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>		327	108	50	17	26	62	65
		46.1%	51.8%	45.2%	38.7%	57.2%	39.8%	44.2%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>		131	34	20	17	7	28	26
		18.4%	16.2%	17.8%	38.2%	14.1%	18.1%	17.7%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>		58	18	5	3	2	14	16
		8.2%	8.5%	4.7%	8.0%	3.7%	9.2%	10.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>		16	5	1	2	0	8	0
		2.3%	2.5%	0.6%	5.6%	0.0%	5.2%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied			A			
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>Total</b>		710	297	95	46	69
<b>Very satisfied</b>		177	66	19	11	19
		25.0%	22.4%	20.4%	24.7%	28.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>		327	144	50	20	31
		46.1%	48.5%	52.8%	44.2%	45.6%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>		131	48	16	10	10
		18.4%	16.2%	16.9%	22.2%	14.7%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>		58	31	8	4	8
		8.2%	10.5%	8.6%	7.9%	11.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>		16	7	1	0	0
		2.3%	2.4%	1.2%	1.0%	0.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>Total</b>		57	44	67	35
<b>Very satisfied</b>		21	8	19	13
		36.7%	17.2%	28.7%	36.4%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>		21	24	27	11
		36.3%	53.7%	39.9%	30.1%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>		13	7	14	11
		23.0%	16.8%	21.5%	31.5%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>		2	3	2	0
		4.0%	6.9%	3.0%	0.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>		0	2	5	1
		0.0%	5.4%	6.8%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA				a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Very satisfied	193	29	101	7	16	10	20	9
	Somewhat satisfied	374	77	197	18	27	23	18	14
	Somewhat dissatisfied	156	28	85	9	16	7	1	9
	Very dissatisfied	63	14	31	7	1	6	3	2
	DK/NA	22	7	12	0	3	0	0	0
			2.8%	4.5%	2.7%	1.0%	5.0%	0.8%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied						A B	
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied				F			
	Very dissatisfied						a	a
	DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	710	510	200
	Very satisfied	177	123	55
	Somewhat satisfied	327	248	80
	Somewhat dissatisfied	131	81	49
	Very dissatisfied	58	46	12
	DK/NA	16	12	4
		2.3%	2.3%	2.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied	B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	710	347	363
	Very satisfied	177	94	83
	Somewhat satisfied	327	167	161
	Somewhat dissatisfied	131	61	69
	Very dissatisfied	58	19	39
	DK/NA	16	6	11
		2.3%	1.6%	2.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		808	710	98
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	193 23.9%	177 25.0%	15 15.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	374 46.2%	327 46.1%	46 47.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	156 19.3%	131 18.4%	26 26.1%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	63 7.8%	58 8.2%	5 4.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	22 2.8%	16 2.3%	6 6.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	B	
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>		
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>		
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		808	350	455	3
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	193 23.9%	82 23.5%	109 23.8%	2 65.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	374 46.2%	149 42.7%	223 49.0%	1 34.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	156 19.3%	80 22.9%	76 16.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	63 7.8%	33 9.4%	30 6.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	22 2.8%	5 1.4%	17 3.8%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes (A)	No (B)	Not sure [DK/NA] (C)
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>			
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>			
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	B		.a
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>			.a
<b>DK/NA</b>			A	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>Total</b>		808	124	191	79	110
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	193 23.9%	23 18.2%	38 20.1%	21 26.5%	36 32.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	374 46.2%	54 44.0%	83 43.6%	38 48.3%	33 29.8%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	156 19.3%	34 27.5%	49 25.7%	13 16.5%	26 23.4%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	63 7.8%	11 9.3%	20 10.6%	6 7.0%	12 10.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	22 2.8%	1 1.1%	0 0.0%	1 1.7%	4 3.4%

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		455	3
<b>2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	109 23.8%	2 65.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	223 49.0%	1 34.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	76 16.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	30 6.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	17 3.8%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household				
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			D		
	Somewhat satisfied					D
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	
	Somewhat satisfied	.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a
	DK/NA	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	Very satisfied	193	4	0	24	133	27	0
		23.9%	9.2%	0.0%	25.1%	26.9%	22.3%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	374	15	0	46	234	54	0
		46.2%	37.8%	0.0%	48.5%	47.6%	44.8%	0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	156	12	0	20	82	22	7
		19.3%	30.2%	0.0%	20.7%	16.6%	18.2%	100.0%
Very dissatisfied	63	7	1	0	32	18	0	
	7.8%	18.6%	100.0%	0.0%	6.4%	14.7%	0.0%	
DK/NA	22	2	0	5	12	0	0	
	2.8%	4.1%	0.0%	5.7%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	30	5	17
	Very satisfied	4	1	1
		12.5%	14.6%	6.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	16	1	7
		52.5%	24.6%	40.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	3	6
		17.8%	58.5%	34.5%
Very dissatisfied	4	0	2	
	12.9%	2.3%	9.8%	
DK/NA	1	0	2	
	4.3%	0.0%	9.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group					
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		.a,b				.b
	Somewhat satisfied		.a,b				.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.a,b				.b
	Very dissatisfied		.a,b	.b		D	.b
	DK/NA		.a,b			.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	DK/NA		.b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	Very satisfied	177 25.0%	0 5.4%	7 37.6%	16 18.2%	16 54.4%	5 31.8%	2 49.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	327 46.1%	4 57.0%	5 27.0%	40 45.3%	8 26.8%	8 51.2%	1 30.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	131 18.4%	1 13.7%	7 35.4%	18 20.2%	0 0.5%	3 15.6%	1 20.7%
	Very dissatisfied	58 8.2%	2 24.0%	0 0.0%	14 16.3%	4 12.3%	0 1.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	16 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 5.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	14	530
	Very satisfied	0 0.0%	130 24.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	4 28.7%	256 48.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 47.2%	95 17.8%
	Very dissatisfied	3 24.0%	35 6.5%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	15 2.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname						
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied				C H			a
	Somewhat satisfied							D
	Somewhat dissatisfied		D					D
	Very dissatisfied		a	H			a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
		(H)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	
	Somewhat satisfied	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	
	Very dissatisfied	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	193 23.9%	42 31.6%	51 33.3%	25 26.8%	49 21.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	374 46.2%	55 40.8%	63 41.1%	43 46.9%	120 52.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	156 19.3%	23 16.9%	26 16.8%	20 21.6%	39 17.2%
	Very dissatisfied	63 7.8%	9 6.8%	10 6.2%	4 4.7%	15 6.5%
	DK/NA	22 2.8%	5 3.9%	4 2.6%	0 0.0%	6 2.6%

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	77	123
	Very satisfied	8 10.6%	18 14.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	31 40.5%	62 50.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26 33.3%	23 18.6%
	Very dissatisfied	12 15.7%	13 10.8%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	7 5.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	E F	E F		
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	D	
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Very satisfied	193	20	24	77	71
	Somewhat satisfied	374	28	24	176	145
	Somewhat dissatisfied	156	8	9	83	56
	Very dissatisfied	63	2	3	33	25
	DK/NA	22	0	0	15	7
		2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	2.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		C		
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Very satisfied	177	17	37	38	25	60
	Somewhat satisfied	327	59	54	73	42	99
	Somewhat dissatisfied	131	17	31	22	19	42
	Very dissatisfied	58	10	17	9	5	17
	DK/NA	16	3	3	7	1	2
		2.3%	2.9%	1.9%	4.7%	1.3%	1.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83
	Very satisfied	177	57	39	13	14	23
	Somewhat satisfied	321	101	78	18	35	40
	Somewhat dissatisfied	128	49	20	12	18	12
	Very dissatisfied	57	17	9	1	9	7
	DK/NA	16	4	4	4	3	0
		2.2%	1.5%	2.7%	7.6%	3.3%	0.0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	91	12	11
	Very satisfied	30	2	0
	Somewhat satisfied	36	6	7
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	3	0
	Very dissatisfied	10	0	3
	DK/NA	2	0	0
		2.1%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		.a
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.a
	Very dissatisfied		C
	DK/NA	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Very satisfied	107	33	37	18	9
	Somewhat satisfied	198	45	78	22	20
	Somewhat dissatisfied	77	20	17	18	13
	Very dissatisfied	24	4	7	3	9
	DK/NA	10	2	2	0	1
		2.4%	1.7%	1.6%	0.1%	1.5%

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	59
	Very satisfied	9
	Somewhat satisfied	33
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9
	Very dissatisfied	2
	DK/NA	5
		8.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			B		
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Very satisfied	86 21.9%	44 41.5%	22 20.6%	8 26.9%	9 7.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 44.7%	35 33.6%	67 63.7%	12 40.5%	49 39.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	79 20.3%	10 9.7%	16 15.3%	4 12.3%	43 35.6%
	Very dissatisfied	39 9.9%	14 13.6%	0 0.1%	6 19.1%	16 12.8%
	DK/NA	13 3.2%	2 1.6%	0 0.4%	0 1.2%	5 4.5%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Total	29
	Very satisfied	3 11.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	12 41.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 20.6%
	Very dissatisfied	3 9.9%
	DK/NA	5 16.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job Menlo Park is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	B D E	D	D		
	Somewhat satisfied		A D		A B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				B	
	Very dissatisfied	B		B	B	B
	DK/NA					A B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
3A. Police services	Total	808	808
	Very satisfied	322 39.8%	322 39.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	316 39.1%	316 39.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 6.5%	52 6.5%
	Very dissatisfied	51 6.4%	51 6.4%
	DK/NA	67 8.3%	67 8.3%
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Total	808	808
	Very satisfied	233 28.9%	233 28.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	308 38.2%	308 38.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	96 11.9%	96 11.9%
	Very dissatisfied	65 8.1%	65 8.1%
	DK/NA	105 13.0%	105 13.0%
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Total	808	808
	Very satisfied	143 17.7%	143 17.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	331 41.0%	331 41.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	151 18.7%	151 18.7%
	Very dissatisfied	106 13.2%	106 13.2%
	DK/NA	76 9.4%	76 9.4%
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Total	808	808
	Very satisfied	62 7.6%	62 7.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	235 29.1%	235 29.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	217 26.9%	217 26.9%
	Very dissatisfied	185 22.9%	185 22.9%
	DK/NA	108 13.4%	108 13.4%
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Total	808	808
	Very satisfied	306 37.9%	306 37.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	301 37.3%	301 37.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	61 7.5%	61 7.5%
	Very dissatisfied	43	43

		Total	
		Total	Total
	<b>very dissatisfied</b>	5.3%	5.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	97	97
		12.0%	12.0%
	<b>Total</b>	808	808
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	89	89
		11.0%	11.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	182	182
		22.5%	22.5%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	36	36
		4.4%	4.4%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	28	28
		3.4%	3.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	474	474
		58.6%	58.6%
	<b>Total</b>	808	808
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	179	179
		22.1%	22.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	269	269
		33.3%	33.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	179	179
		22.2%	22.2%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	101	101
		12.5%	12.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	81	81
		10.0%	10.0%
	<b>Total</b>	808	808
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	18	18
		2.3%	2.3%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	97	97
		12.1%	12.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	207	207
		25.6%	25.6%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	480	480
		59.4%	59.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	6	6
		0.7%	0.7%
	<b>Total</b>	808	808
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	70	70
		8.6%	8.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	174	174
		21.5%	21.5%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	186	186
		23.0%	23.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	365	365
		45.2%	45.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	14	14
		1.7%	1.7%
	<b>Total</b>	808	808
<b>3J. Providing clean, well</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	325	325
		40.2%	40.2%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	326	326
		40.4%	40.4%

		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>maintained streets and sidewalks</b>	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	98	98
		12.1%	12.1%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	58	58
		7.2%	7.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2	2
		0.2%	0.2%
	<b>Total</b>	808	808
<b>3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	223	223
		27.6%	27.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	325	325
		40.2%	40.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	93	93
		11.5%	11.5%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	45	45
		5.5%	5.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	122	122
		15.1%	15.1%
	<b>Total</b>	808	808
<b>3L. Library facilities and services</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	375	375
		46.5%	46.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	223	223
		27.6%	27.6%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	59	59
		7.3%	7.3%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	35	35
		4.4%	4.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	115	115
		14.3%	14.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Total
		Total
		(A)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Total
		Total
		(A)
sidewalks	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
	Very satisfied	.
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
3L. Library facilities and services	DK/NA	.
	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	322	160	159	2
		39.8%	42.5%	38.1%	16.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	316	139	170	8
		39.1%	36.8%	40.5%	61.5%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	52	31	21	0
		6.5%	8.2%	5.1%	3.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	51	23	26	2	
	6.4%	6.0%	6.3%	18.8%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	67	25	42	0	
	8.3%	6.5%	10.1%	0.0%	
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	233	105	124	3
		28.9%	28.0%	29.7%	26.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	308	147	156	5
		38.2%	39.1%	37.2%	41.6%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	96	43	52	1
		11.9%	11.4%	12.4%	10.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	65	31	32	3	
	8.1%	8.2%	7.6%	21.8%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	105	50	55	0	
	13.0%	13.3%	13.2%	0.0%	
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	143	51	92	0
		17.7%	13.4%	22.1%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	331	159	166	7
		41.0%	42.1%	39.6%	53.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	151	65	85	1
		18.7%	17.1%	20.4%	10.2%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	106	64	40	2	
	13.2%	16.9%	9.6%	17.7%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	76	39	34	2	
	9.4%	10.4%	8.2%	18.8%	
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	62	22	38	1
		7.6%	6.0%	9.1%	9.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	235	127	107	1
		29.1%	33.7%	25.6%	8.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	217	102	112	4
		26.9%	27.0%	26.8%	27.7%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	185	76	105	4	
	22.9%	20.1%	25.1%	34.9%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	108	50	56	2	
	13.4%	13.2%	13.4%	18.8%	
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	306	134	172	0
		37.9%	35.5%	41.1%	0.2%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	301	135	153	13
		37.3%	36.0%	36.5%	99.8%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	61	20	41	0
		7.5%	5.3%	9.8%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	43	23	21	0	

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	5.3%	6.0%	4.9%	0.0%
		97	65	32	0
		12.0%	17.2%	7.6%	0.0%
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	89	41	48	0
		11.0%	10.8%	11.5%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	182	94	83	5
		22.5%	25.0%	19.7%	38.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	36	13	23	0
		4.4%	3.4%	5.5%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	28	11	15	2	
	3.4%	2.8%	3.5%	17.2%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	474	218	250	6	
	58.6%	57.9%	59.7%	44.4%	
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	179	80	99	0
		22.1%	21.1%	23.7%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	269	117	143	9
		33.3%	31.1%	34.2%	67.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	179	93	84	2
		22.2%	24.7%	20.0%	17.2%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	101	43	56	2	
	12.5%	11.5%	13.3%	15.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	81	44	37	0	
	10.0%	11.6%	8.9%	0.0%	
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	18	7	11	0
		2.3%	1.8%	2.7%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	97	31	64	2
		12.1%	8.3%	15.3%	18.8%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	207	95	109	2
		25.6%	25.3%	26.0%	18.6%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	480	238	234	8	
	59.4%	63.2%	55.9%	62.5%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	6	5	0	0	
	0.7%	1.4%	0.1%	0.0%	
<b>3J. Providing clean, well</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	70	23	44	3
		8.6%	6.0%	10.5%	24.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	174	70	100	4
		21.5%	18.6%	23.8%	30.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	186	100	86	0
		23.0%	26.5%	20.6%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	365	179	183	3	
	45.2%	47.4%	43.7%	25.7%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	14	6	2	2	
	1.7%	1.5%	1.4%	18.8%	

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 12.1%	43 11.5%	53 12.7%	1 10.6%
	Very dissatisfied	58 7.2%	32 8.5%	26 6.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.2%	0 0.0%	2 0.4%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>418</b>	<b>13</b>
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied	223 27.6%	93 24.7%	130 31.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	325 40.2%	137 36.5%	179 42.7%	9 70.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	93 11.5%	41 11.0%	49 11.7%	2 17.2%
	Very dissatisfied	45 5.5%	24 6.5%	20 4.8%	0 3.0%
	DK/NA	122 15.1%	81 21.4%	41 9.7%	1 9.7%
3L. Library facilities and services	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>418</b>	<b>13</b>
	Very satisfied	375 46.5%	161 42.6%	207 49.4%	8 62.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	223 27.6%	120 31.9%	99 23.6%	4 30.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	59 7.3%	14 3.8%	45 10.7%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	35 4.4%	10 2.7%	24 5.7%	1 7.6%
	DK/NA	115 14.3%	71 18.9%	44 10.5%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	DK/NA			a
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied		A	a
	Somewhat satisfied			
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Somewhat dissatisfied	B		
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Somewhat satisfied	B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			AB
	Very dissatisfied		A	a
	DK/NA			a
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			AB
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			AB
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied		A	
	Somewhat satisfied			
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	DK/NA	B		a
	Very satisfied			A
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B		a
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and	DK/NA			AB
	Very satisfied		C	
	Somewhat satisfied			AB



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
sidewalks	Very dissatisfied			<sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA	<sup>a</sup>		<sup>a</sup>
	Very satisfied		A	<sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat satisfied			A
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA	B		
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A	<sup>a</sup>
3L. Library facilities and services	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA	B		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
3A. Police services	Total	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	Very satisfied	322	24	32	64	53	46	99	4
		39.8%	35.6%	25.2%	41.0%	35.5%	42.0%	52.9%	32.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	316	22	72	58	72	32	53	7
		39.1%	32.3%	56.4%	37.4%	48.2%	29.8%	28.3%	52.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	2	8	15	11	12	4	0
		6.5%	2.6%	6.5%	9.7%	7.2%	11.5%	2.1%	2.8%
	Very dissatisfied	51	18	2	5	4	10	11	2
	6.4%	26.8%	1.2%	3.3%	2.9%	9.2%	5.7%	12.0%	
DK/NA	67	2	13	13	9	8	21	0	
	8.3%	2.7%	10.6%	8.7%	6.1%	7.5%	11.0%	0.8%	
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Total	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	Very satisfied	233	20	33	50	47	24	55	5
		28.9%	29.5%	25.6%	32.2%	31.3%	21.8%	29.6%	37.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	308	26	43	55	68	38	72	6
		38.2%	38.2%	34.1%	35.7%	45.6%	34.6%	38.5%	46.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	96	4	13	25	18	21	15	0
		11.9%	6.0%	10.2%	16.2%	12.3%	19.0%	8.0%	0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	65	13	5	14	7	9	14	2
	8.1%	20.0%	4.0%	8.9%	5.0%	8.6%	7.5%	14.8%	
DK/NA	105	4	33	11	9	17	31	0	
	13.0%	6.3%	26.1%	7.0%	5.8%	16.0%	16.5%	0.8%	
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Total	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	Very satisfied	143	5	25	29	33	8	41	2
		17.7%	7.9%	19.6%	18.7%	22.3%	7.0%	21.9%	12.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	331	32	47	54	57	56	77	8
		41.0%	47.4%	36.6%	35.1%	38.4%	52.0%	41.2%	57.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	151	10	21	33	40	18	28	1
		18.7%	14.9%	16.3%	21.1%	26.6%	16.9%	15.2%	9.7%
	Very dissatisfied	106	9	16	20	12	18	28	3
	13.2%	13.3%	12.8%	12.8%	8.3%	17.0%	14.9%	19.9%	
DK/NA	76	11	19	19	7	8	13	0	
	9.4%	16.5%	14.7%	12.3%	4.4%	7.0%	6.9%	0.0%	
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Total	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	Very satisfied	62	5	4	18	9	6	18	2
		7.6%	7.6%	3.0%	11.7%	5.9%	5.1%	9.9%	12.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	235	17	39	32	41	40	63	3
		29.1%	25.0%	30.9%	20.7%	27.6%	36.8%	33.8%	21.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	217	20	35	56	47	19	34	6
		26.9%	30.4%	27.7%	36.1%	31.5%	17.4%	18.0%	45.1%
	Very dissatisfied	185	14	35	33	29	31	41	3
	22.9%	20.3%	27.4%	21.2%	19.7%	28.6%	21.8%	19.6%	
DK/NA	108	11	14	16	23	13	31	0	
	13.4%	16.6%	11.1%	10.3%	15.2%	12.1%	16.6%	0.8%	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Total	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	Very satisfied	306	12	45	65	61	40	82	2
		37.9%	17.8%	35.5%	41.9%	40.8%	36.6%	43.5%	12.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	301	40	37	47	69	34	65	8
		37.3%	59.6%	29.0%	30.5%	46.5%	31.5%	34.9%	59.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	61	0	8	24	12	9	6	2
		7.5%	0.0%	6.6%	15.3%	7.9%	8.5%	3.1%	15.6%



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied						BD	
	Somewhat satisfied		ACEF		F			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			F		F		
	Very dissatisfied	BCDEF						
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	DK/NA							
	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	BD						
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very dissatisfied		ACD					
	DK/NA							
	Very satisfied				E		E	
	Somewhat satisfied							
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied			EF				
	DK/NA							
	Very satisfied			A	A		A	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Somewhat satisfied	BCDEF						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	<sup>a</sup>		F				
	Very dissatisfied	D	D				D	<sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA		CD			D		<sup>a</sup>
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied					ABCDE		
	Somewhat satisfied					BCD		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	<sup>a</sup>						<sup>a</sup>
	Very dissatisfied							
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	DK/NA	F	EF	EF	F	F		
	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied		F	F	F			
	Somewhat dissatisfied							D
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	DK/NA							<sup>a</sup>
	Very satisfied							<sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat satisfied							<sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat dissatisfied	<sup>a</sup>						<sup>a</sup>
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	DK/NA							<sup>a</sup>
	Very satisfied							<sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat satisfied							<sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat dissatisfied		AF		A			<sup>a</sup>
3J. Providing clean, well	DK/NA							
	Very satisfied	E		E				
	Somewhat satisfied					CF		

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied							
	DK/NA	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>		<sup>a</sup>
	Very satisfied		A	A	A	A	A	A
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	F	F	F				
	Very dissatisfied	B						B
	DK/NA		F				B	
3L. Library facilities and services	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	DF	F	F				
	Very dissatisfied		ACD					DEF
DK/NA								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	294 41.4%	203 43.1%	91 37.9%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	267 37.5%	173 36.8%	94 39.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	47 6.5%	33 7.1%	13 5.5%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	44 6.2%	23 4.8%	21 8.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	59 8.3%	39 8.2%	21 8.6%
	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	207 29.1%	127 26.9%	80 33.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	273 38.4%	193 41.1%	79 33.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	87 12.2%	61 12.9%	26 10.9%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	56 7.9%	36 7.7%	20 8.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	88 12.4%	53 11.3%	35 14.4%
	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	125 17.6%	78 16.6%	47 19.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	306 43.1%	210 44.7%	96 39.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	127 17.9%	82 17.5%	45 18.7%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	93 13.1%	65 13.9%	28 11.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	58 8.2%	34 7.2%	24 10.2%
	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	47 6.7%	34 7.2%	13 5.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	205 28.9%	143 30.3%	62 26.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	194 27.4%	125 26.5%	70 29.1%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	164 23.1%	112 23.8%	52 21.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	99 14.0%	57 12.1%	42 17.6%
	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	277 39.1%	180 38.2%	98 40.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	268 37.8%	187 39.7%	81 34.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	45 6.3%	28 5.9%	17 7.2%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	40	25	16
	<b>DK/NA</b>			

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	5.7%	5.3%	6.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	79 11.2%	52 11.0%	28 11.5%
	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	78 11.0%	63 13.3%	16 6.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	160 22.5%	100 21.3%	60 25.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30 4.3%	16 3.3%	15 6.2%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	24 3.3%	14 2.9%	10 4.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	417 58.8%	278 59.1%	139 58.1%
	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	161 22.7%	113 24.0%	49 20.2%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	245 34.4%	153 32.6%	91 38.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	150 21.1%	98 20.9%	52 21.5%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	84 11.9%	57 12.0%	28 11.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	70 9.8%	49 10.5%	20 8.5%
	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	18 2.5%	8 1.7%	10 4.2%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	88 12.3%	52 11.0%	36 14.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	185 26.1%	132 28.0%	53 22.3%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	414 58.3%	276 58.7%	138 57.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	6 0.8%	3 0.6%	3 1.2%
	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>3J. Providing clean, well</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	64 9.1%	38 8.2%	26 10.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	151 21.3%	102 21.8%	49 20.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	165 23.2%	103 21.9%	62 25.9%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	319 44.9%	216 45.9%	103 42.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	11 1.6%	11 2.3%	0 0.1%
	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>3K. Providing clean, well</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	296 41.7%	204 43.5%	92 38.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	280 39.5%	180 38.3%	100 41.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>			

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat dissatisfied	83 11.7%	54 11.4%	29 12.2%
	Very dissatisfied	49 6.9%	30 6.4%	19 7.8%
	DK/NA	2 0.3%	2 0.4%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>470</b>	<b>240</b>
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied	199 28.0%	135 28.8%	63 26.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	289 40.7%	192 40.9%	97 40.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 10.9%	54 11.5%	23 9.8%
	Very dissatisfied	41 5.8%	25 5.4%	16 6.5%
	DK/NA	104 14.6%	63 13.4%	41 17.1%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>470</b>	<b>240</b>
3L. Library facilities and services	Very satisfied	331 46.6%	214 45.6%	117 48.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	198 27.9%	141 30.0%	57 23.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 7.3%	23 4.8%	29 12.2%
	Very dissatisfied	29 4.1%	21 4.5%	8 3.4%
	DK/NA	100 14.0%	71 15.2%	29 11.9%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>470</b>	<b>240</b>

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A
	Very dissatisfied		
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied	B	
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Somewhat dissatisfied		A
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied	B	
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very dissatisfied		A
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied	B	
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
sidewalks	Very dissatisfied		a
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
3L. Library facilities and services	Very dissatisfied		A
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
3A. Police services	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	Very satisfied	294	153	57	11	72
		41.4%	39.8%	45.4%	48.5%	41.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	149	46	10	61
		37.5%	38.6%	36.6%	46.0%	34.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	47	26	4	1	15
		6.5%	6.7%	3.4%	5.5%	8.6%
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Very dissatisfied	44	23	6	0	15
		6.2%	5.9%	5.0%	0.0%	8.3%
	DK/NA	59	34	12	0	13
		8.3%	8.9%	9.6%	0.0%	7.2%
	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	Very satisfied	207	101	32	9	65
		29.1%	26.2%	25.7%	37.7%	36.7%
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Somewhat satisfied	273	159	48	12	54
		38.4%	41.3%	38.1%	52.7%	30.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	87	45	17	1	23
		12.2%	11.6%	13.9%	5.7%	13.2%
	Very dissatisfied	56	27	14	1	15
		7.9%	6.9%	10.9%	3.8%	8.3%
	DK/NA	88	54	14	0	20
	12.4%	13.9%	11.4%	0.0%	11.3%	
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	Very satisfied	125	79	8	3	36
		17.6%	20.4%	6.2%	12.3%	20.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	306	159	61	14	73
		43.1%	41.2%	48.1%	62.2%	41.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	127	70	28	6	24
		17.9%	18.1%	22.1%	25.5%	13.7%
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Very dissatisfied	93	45	23	0	25
		13.1%	11.7%	18.2%	0.0%	14.3%
	DK/NA	58	33	7	0	19
		8.2%	8.6%	5.4%	0.0%	10.5%
	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	Very satisfied	47	24	8	0	15
		6.7%	6.3%	6.1%	0.0%	8.7%
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Somewhat satisfied	205	116	29	7	53
		28.9%	30.1%	22.9%	31.9%	30.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	194	104	38	7	45
		27.4%	27.0%	30.4%	31.2%	25.7%
	Very dissatisfied	164	76	37	7	43
		23.1%	19.7%	29.3%	33.0%	24.6%
	DK/NA	99	65	14	1	19
	14.0%	16.9%	11.2%	3.8%	10.8%	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	Very satisfied	277	151	53	15	59
		39.1%	39.1%	42.4%	65.5%	33.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	268	159	43	6	60
		37.8%	41.3%	34.4%	26.3%	33.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	20	5	2	18
		6.3%	5.2%	3.7%	8.2%	10.4%
Very dissatisfied	40	14	7	0	20	

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	<b>very dissatisfied</b>	5.7%	3.6%	5.3%	0.0%	11.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	79	41	18	0	20
		11.2%	10.8%	14.2%	0.0%	11.3%
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	78	44	23	2	10
		11.0%	11.3%	17.9%	10.5%	5.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	160	92	29	7	32
		22.5%	24.0%	22.9%	31.2%	18.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	5	8	1	17
		4.3%	1.2%	6.2%	4.6%	9.6%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	24	17	6	0	1	
	3.3%	4.3%	4.6%	0.0%	0.7%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	417	228	61	12	117	
	58.8%	59.1%	48.4%	53.7%	66.2%	
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	161	86	32	6	37
		22.7%	22.4%	25.4%	28.3%	20.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	245	133	34	7	70
		34.4%	34.5%	27.3%	31.4%	39.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	150	81	28	6	35
		21.1%	21.0%	22.0%	24.5%	20.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	84	54	14	1	16	
	11.9%	13.9%	11.3%	4.4%	8.9%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	70	31	18	3	18	
	9.8%	8.2%	14.0%	11.5%	10.3%	
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	18	11	2	0	5
		2.5%	2.8%	1.5%	0.0%	3.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	88	49	4	2	33
		12.3%	12.6%	3.1%	9.8%	18.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	185	96	42	7	41
		26.1%	25.0%	33.0%	29.9%	23.2%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	414	228	76	14	97	
	58.3%	59.1%	60.4%	60.3%	54.8%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	6	2	3	0	1	
	0.8%	0.6%	2.1%	0.0%	0.3%	
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	64	38	7	2	18
		9.1%	9.8%	5.3%	9.8%	10.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	151	86	33	3	29
		21.3%	22.3%	26.4%	14.6%	16.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	165	82	24	6	53
		23.2%	21.3%	19.4%	26.0%	29.9%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	319	174	59	11	74	
	44.9%	45.1%	46.9%	49.6%	42.3%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	11	6	3	0	3	
	1.6%	1.5%	2.1%	0.0%	1.5%	
<b>3J. Providing clean, well</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	296	157	39	10	90
		41.7%	40.7%	31.2%	45.6%	51.1%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	280	157	57	11	56	
	39.5%	40.7%	45.3%	47.6%	31.5%	

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>maintained streets and sidewalks</b>	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	83	47	18	1	16
		11.7%	12.2%	14.3%	6.6%	9.4%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	49	23	12	0	14
	6.9%	6.0%	9.2%	0.2%	8.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	2	2	0	0	0	
	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	199	111	38	9	41
		28.0%	28.8%	29.9%	38.2%	23.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	289	166	47	12	64
		40.7%	43.1%	37.6%	52.6%	36.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	78	45	18	1	14
		10.9%	11.6%	14.1%	3.8%	8.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	41	16	16	0	9	
	5.8%	4.2%	12.6%	0.2%	5.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	104	47	7	1	48	
	14.6%	12.2%	5.7%	5.1%	27.4%	
<b>3L. Library facilities and services</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	331	183	62	13	72
		46.6%	47.6%	49.6%	57.5%	41.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	198	105	34	5	53
		27.9%	27.4%	26.8%	24.2%	30.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	52	29	7	2	15
		7.3%	7.5%	5.4%	6.8%	8.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	29	14	4	0	11	
	4.1%	3.6%	3.5%	0.0%	6.4%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	100	54	18	3	25	
	14.0%	14.0%	14.7%	11.5%	14.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied			a	
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied	B			B
	Somewhat satisfied				
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied				
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied		D		
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A		A
	Very dissatisfied			a	
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied	B		a	B
	Somewhat satisfied				
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied				
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
sidewalks	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA		a	a	a
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A		
	Very dissatisfied				A B
3L. Library facilities and services	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
3A. Police services	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Very satisfied	294	76	57	18	22	63	58
	Somewhat satisfied	41.4%	36.8%	50.7%	40.3%	48.5%	40.7%	39.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	267	82	36	17	17	54	60
	Very dissatisfied	37.5%	39.7%	32.7%	39.0%	37.3%	34.8%	40.8%
	DK/NA	47	11	11	2	3	7	13
		6.5%	5.2%	9.7%	3.5%	6.1%	4.6%	9.2%
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Very dissatisfied	44	19	2	1	4	15	4
	DK/NA	6.2%	9.1%	1.9%	1.5%	7.9%	9.4%	2.7%
	Very satisfied	59	19	6	7	0	16	11
	Somewhat satisfied	8.3%	9.2%	5.0%	15.6%	0.2%	10.6%	7.7%
	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Very satisfied	207	44	37	12	10	47	56
	Somewhat satisfied	29.1%	21.1%	33.6%	28.2%	21.3%	30.2%	38.6%
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Somewhat dissatisfied	273	88	41	18	14	66	46
	Very dissatisfied	38.4%	42.2%	36.4%	41.3%	31.3%	42.6%	31.5%
	DK/NA	87	20	17	6	8	14	22
	Very satisfied	12.2%	9.4%	15.0%	13.9%	17.8%	9.3%	14.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	56	18	4	4	8	15	7
	Very dissatisfied	7.9%	8.6%	4.0%	8.3%	17.6%	9.4%	5.0%
	DK/NA	88	39	12	4	6	13	15
Very satisfied	12.4%	18.7%	10.9%	8.2%	12.1%	8.5%	10.0%	
	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Very satisfied	125	41	26	2	2	28	26





	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
very dissatisfied	4.1%	4.6%	3.6%	0.8%	2.9%	4.0%	5.3%
	100	32	15	6	4	27	15
DK/NA	14.0%	15.5%	13.4%	14.3%	8.6%	17.4%	10.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	DK/NA						A
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
3C. Communication between the City and residents	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied				B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			A E			
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	DK/NA	F	F				
	Very satisfied				E		
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						A
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied				F		
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.a		A		A
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	DK/NA						D
	Very satisfied				A		
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied			.a			
	Somewhat satisfied			.a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied				A		
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied		.a				
	Somewhat satisfied			E			
	Somewhat dissatisfied						C

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied	E		E			E
	DK/NA			.a	.a		
	Very satisfied						C
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	DK/NA			.a	.a	.a	.a
	Very satisfied		A E				
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied					B	
	DK/NA				B F		
	Very dissatisfied						A
3L. Library facilities and services	DK/NA						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	294	108	46	22	26
		41.4%	36.3%	48.8%	47.2%	37.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	267	111	30	18	32
		37.5%	37.4%	31.6%	38.8%	46.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	47	18	11	2	5
		6.5%	6.0%	11.1%	4.4%	7.2%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	44	33	0	0	3	
	6.2%	11.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	59	27	8	4	3	
	8.3%	9.3%	8.5%	9.5%	4.3%	
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	207	86	32	12	18
		29.1%	28.9%	33.8%	26.2%	25.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	273	107	29	19	29
		38.4%	36.2%	30.0%	40.2%	42.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	87	33	14	9	12
		12.2%	11.1%	15.2%	19.2%	16.8%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	56	28	8	1	5	
	7.9%	9.5%	8.5%	3.1%	6.8%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	88	42	12	5	6	
	12.4%	14.3%	12.4%	11.3%	8.7%	
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	125	51	19	8	12
		17.6%	17.2%	20.0%	18.2%	17.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	306	117	45	23	33
		43.1%	39.5%	46.9%	49.3%	47.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	127	48	15	8	16
		17.9%	16.3%	15.6%	16.6%	22.8%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	93	43	13	4	7	
	13.1%	14.6%	13.8%	9.3%	10.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	58	37	3	3	2	
	8.2%	12.4%	3.7%	6.6%	2.6%	
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	47	17	8	1	9
		6.7%	5.8%	8.3%	1.2%	13.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	205	74	28	12	16
		28.9%	25.0%	28.9%	25.9%	23.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	194	84	32	13	21
		27.4%	28.3%	33.6%	29.1%	30.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	164	71	21	11	19	
	23.1%	24.1%	21.6%	22.9%	27.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	99	50	7	10	4	
	14.0%	16.9%	7.5%	20.8%	5.4%	
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	277	100	43	17	31
		39.1%	33.6%	45.3%	37.5%	45.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	268	100	36	19	23
		37.8%	33.7%	38.0%	40.3%	33.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	45	30	4	3	6
		6.3%	10.2%	3.8%	7.3%	8.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	40	22	4	1	4	
	5.6%	7.4%	4.2%	2.2%	5.8%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	28	18	31	16
		48.5%	40.9%	46.8%	44.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	21	19	25	10
		37.3%	42.8%	37.3%	29.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1	2	5	3
		2.6%	4.0%	6.9%	9.2%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	2	0	3	2	
	4.2%	1.0%	4.4%	5.4%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	4	5	3	4	
	7.4%	11.3%	4.6%	11.6%	
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	18	9	22	9
		32.5%	21.1%	33.6%	24.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	25	26	19	19
		44.0%	58.1%	29.1%	54.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	5	1	12	2
		8.5%	1.2%	17.4%	5.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	3	4	3	3	
	6.1%	9.2%	4.4%	8.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	5	5	10	3	
	8.9%	10.4%	15.5%	7.2%	
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	7	7	15	5
		12.5%	15.0%	23.0%	15.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	25	19	32	12
		44.5%	43.4%	48.3%	34.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	16	10	6	8
		27.4%	23.7%	9.6%	23.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8	3	8	7	
	13.6%	7.3%	12.1%	19.2%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	1	5	5	3	
	2.0%	10.6%	6.9%	7.7%	
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	1	0	9	3
		2.1%	0.0%	13.4%	7.2%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	20	15	31	10
		35.5%	33.8%	45.9%	26.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	16	10	7	11
		28.8%	22.6%	10.2%	31.7%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	12	8	13	10	
	20.7%	17.2%	19.7%	27.4%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	7	12	7	2	
	12.9%	26.4%	10.8%	6.8%	
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	26	18	28	14
		45.6%	41.9%	41.4%	39.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	24	18	30	18
		42.5%	41.6%	44.7%	51.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	0	1	1
		0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	2.2%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	2	0	4	3	
	3.5%	0.0%	5.9%	8.6%	

	Total	Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	5.7%	7.5%	4.2%	1.4%	6.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	11.2%	15.0%	8.6%	13.5%	6.8%
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>					
<b>Very satisfied</b>	78	21	3	3	12
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	160	68	19	3	10
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	19	2	0	4
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	24	14	0	2	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	417	175	71	39	43
	58.8%	59.0%	74.5%	83.4%	62.1%
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>					
<b>Very satisfied</b>	161	48	21	14	19
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	245	103	38	12	20
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	150	73	16	9	12
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	84	40	12	7	6
<b>DK/NA</b>	70	32	9	4	12
	9.8%	10.8%	9.0%	9.5%	16.9%
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>					
<b>Very satisfied</b>	18	7	0	2	3
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	88	39	14	4	9
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	185	75	22	17	14
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	414	172	58	24	43
<b>DK/NA</b>	6	2	1	0	0
	0.8%	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>					
<b>Very satisfied</b>	64	19	8	6	8
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	151	63	21	10	17
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	165	65	22	7	14
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	319	145	44	23	28
<b>DK/NA</b>	11	5	0	0	2
	1.6%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
<b>3J. Providing clean, well</b>					
<b>Very satisfied</b>	296	121	48	18	34
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	280	117	34	22	25
	39.5%	39.6%	35.3%	47.9%	36.2%

	Total	Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	3.7%	1.0%	6.0%	7.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	8.3%	15.5%	6.2%	0.1%	
<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35	
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>					
<b>Very satisfied</b>	13	7	10	9	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	15	12	25	8	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1	0	3	2	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	2	3	2	2	
<b>DK/NA</b>	26	23	27	14	
	46.2%	51.3%	40.7%	40.2%	
<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35	
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>					
<b>Very satisfied</b>	18	14	22	6	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	17	8	30	17	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	17	9	6	7	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	4	5	8	3	
<b>DK/NA</b>	2	8	1	2	
	2.9%	17.5%	2.2%	6.2%	
<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35	
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>					
<b>Very satisfied</b>	1	1	2	2	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	6	5	10	1	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	19	9	20	9	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	31	27	35	23	
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	3	0	0	
	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35	
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>					
<b>Very satisfied</b>	13	3	4	4	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	5	6	22	7	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	22	5	18	11	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	17	25	23	13	
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	4	0	0	
	0.1%	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35	
<b>3J. Providing clean, well</b>					
<b>Very satisfied</b>	22	13	27	14	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	27	13	29	13	
	46.7%	28.8%	43.7%	38.1%	

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat dissatisfied	83 11.7%	35 11.9%	7 7.6%	5 10.8%	3 4.9%
	Very dissatisfied	49 6.9%	21 7.0%	7 7.0%	1 2.3%	7 10.3%
	DK/NA	2 0.3%	2 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>69</b>
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied	199 28.0%	63 21.2%	39 40.6%	8 16.9%	24 34.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	289 40.7%	120 40.4%	36 37.4%	22 48.5%	26 37.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 10.9%	40 13.5%	9 9.6%	6 12.8%	5 7.9%
	Very dissatisfied	41 5.8%	18 5.9%	2 2.5%	2 4.5%	4 5.7%
	DK/NA	104 14.6%	56 19.0%	10 10.0%	8 17.4%	10 13.9%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>69</b>
3L. Library facilities and services	Very satisfied	331 46.6%	132 44.3%	50 52.4%	19 41.3%	36 51.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	198 27.9%	73 24.6%	24 25.5%	17 36.3%	16 23.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 7.3%	30 10.0%	4 3.8%	2 4.5%	1 1.4%
	Very dissatisfied	29 4.1%	20 6.8%	0 0.5%	1 1.5%	4 6.3%
	DK/NA	100 14.0%	42 14.2%	17 17.8%	8 16.4%	12 16.8%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>69</b>

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 12.7%	12 26.3%	9 13.2%	4 12.4%
	Very dissatisfied	1 2.5%	6 14.6%	2 3.0%	3 9.3%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>35</b>
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied	16 27.8%	14 30.8%	24 35.5%	12 34.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	29 50.8%	14 32.5%	28 41.8%	14 40.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 6.4%	4 8.6%	6 8.9%	4 10.8%
	Very dissatisfied	4 6.5%	6 12.6%	2 2.8%	4 11.1%
	DK/NA	5 8.5%	7 15.6%	7 11.0%	1 3.5%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>35</b>
3L. Library facilities and services	Very satisfied	25 44.5%	19 42.7%	37 55.5%	14 38.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	19 32.7%	11 25.8%	22 33.6%	15 42.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5 8.3%	5 10.5%	1 0.9%	6 16.2%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	2 5.2%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	8 14.5%	7 15.8%	5 7.9%	1 2.7%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>35</b>

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		a			
	DK/NA					
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		G			
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied					AB
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		a		a	
	DK/NA		E G H	A E F G H		
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very satisfied		a			
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA			a	a	a
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Very satisfied					A
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA		a	a		
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Very satisfied	a		
	Somewhat satisfied		A	
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA	D		
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied			AB
	Somewhat satisfied		C	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA	A	a	a
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Very satisfied		E	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA	A	a	a
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	D		

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
sidewalks	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a
	Very satisfied		A			
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
3L. Library facilities and services	Very dissatisfied					a
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
sidewalks	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA	a	a	a
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
3L. Library facilities and services	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
3A. Police services	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Very satisfied	322	60	167	19	20	19	25	12
	39.8%	38.9%	39.2%	44.7%	32.2%	41.4%	59.2%	34.1%	
	Somewhat satisfied	316	50	178	12	30	19	13	15
	39.1%	32.1%	41.9%	28.4%	47.3%	40.7%	30.3%	42.5%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	16	29	3	2	2	0	0
	6.5%	10.4%	6.8%	7.2%	3.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.5%	
Very dissatisfied	51	7	21	8	4	4	4	4	
6.4%	4.4%	4.9%	18.5%	6.8%	8.2%	9.3%	12.0%		
DK/NA	67	22	31	1	7	2	0	4	
8.3%	14.2%	7.3%	1.2%	10.8%	4.4%	1.1%	10.8%		
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Very satisfied	233	35	128	13	15	19	13	11
	28.9%	22.7%	30.0%	31.7%	23.3%	40.0%	30.7%	31.5%	
	Somewhat satisfied	308	57	160	10	29	14	24	15
	38.2%	36.8%	37.6%	24.5%	45.3%	29.1%	56.2%	45.2%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	96	28	48	6	7	5	0	2
	11.9%	18.2%	11.2%	13.9%	11.8%	10.1%	0.0%	6.0%	
Very dissatisfied	65	10	28	8	5	7	4	2	
8.1%	6.5%	6.6%	19.7%	8.7%	15.1%	9.5%	6.5%		
DK/NA	105	24	62	4	7	3	2	4	
13.0%	15.8%	14.5%	10.2%	10.9%	5.8%	3.6%	10.8%		
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Very satisfied	143	21	77	10	11	7	14	3
	17.7%	13.7%	18.1%	24.6%	17.7%	14.1%	33.3%	7.9%	
	Somewhat satisfied	331	78	151	15	26	24	19	19
	41.0%	50.1%	35.4%	35.7%	41.0%	52.0%	45.3%	55.4%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	151	20	84	9	16	8	6	8
	18.7%	13.0%	19.7%	21.2%	25.0%	17.9%	14.4%	23.7%	
Very dissatisfied	106	19	66	5	8	6	2	2	
13.2%	12.0%	15.4%	11.3%	12.4%	12.1%	3.7%	7.0%		
DK/NA	76	17	48	3	2	2	1	2	
9.4%	11.0%	11.3%	7.2%	3.9%	3.8%	3.3%	6.0%		
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Very satisfied	62	14	28	2	7	4	2	4
	7.6%	9.3%	6.6%	5.6%	11.2%	8.0%	4.5%	13.0%	
	Somewhat satisfied	235	38	122	13	25	14	13	12
	29.1%	24.5%	28.6%	30.3%	39.3%	29.8%	31.0%	33.9%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	217	40	118	12	13	15	8	11
	26.9%	25.7%	27.7%	28.2%	21.2%	31.5%	19.9%	33.2%	
Very dissatisfied	185	38	110	10	15	7	2	3	
22.9%	24.6%	25.9%	24.8%	23.2%	14.5%	5.3%	7.9%		
DK/NA	108	25	48	5	3	8	17	4	
13.4%	16.0%	11.2%	11.1%	5.1%	16.2%	39.4%	12.0%		
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Very satisfied	306	53	163	15	20	21	22	12
	37.9%	34.5%	38.2%	35.4%	31.5%	46.1%	52.0%	34.6%	
	Somewhat satisfied	301	61	145	21	30	11	16	17
	37.3%	39.4%	34.1%	49.4%	47.4%	23.8%	37.1%	51.1%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	61	9	29	0	7	10	2	4
	7.5%	6.0%	6.7%	0.0%	10.9%	22.2%	5.1%	11.2%	
Very dissatisfied	43	8	26	3	3	3	0	0	

	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>very dissatisfied</b>	5.3%	5.2%	6.0%	7.7%	5.0%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	12.0%	14.9%	14.9%	7.5%	5.2%	0.9%	5.8%	3.1%
<b>Total</b>	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>								
<b>Very satisfied</b>	89	13	53	4	5	3	9	2
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	182	30	86	9	15	19	12	11
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	22.5%	19.2%	20.1%	20.6%	24.0%	41.3%	28.4%	33.6%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	36	4	25	2	2	0	1	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	4.4%	2.8%	6.0%	6.0%	3.5%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	28	7	9	2	4	2	2	2
<b>Very satisfied</b>	3.4%	4.4%	2.2%	3.9%	6.7%	4.1%	4.4%	5.5%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	474	101	253	25	36	22	18	18
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	58.6%	65.3%	59.3%	59.6%	57.4%	47.9%	43.9%	53.8%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>DK/NA</b>	179	31	90	9	14	17	10	8
<b>Total</b>	22.1%	20.3%	21.1%	21.2%	22.8%	35.6%	23.2%	23.6%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	269	50	145	10	21	11	15	16
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	33.3%	32.5%	34.1%	24.7%	32.6%	23.5%	36.9%	46.7%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	179	36	96	9	17	9	7	5
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	22.2%	23.0%	22.7%	21.9%	27.2%	18.6%	16.6%	14.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	101	19	50	6	8	7	7	3
<b>Total</b>	12.5%	12.3%	11.7%	15.6%	13.4%	14.9%	15.9%	9.2%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	81	19	44	7	3	3	3	2
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	10.0%	12.0%	10.4%	16.6%	4.0%	7.4%	7.3%	5.6%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>DK/NA</b>	18	1	12	0	2	1	3	0
<b>Total</b>	2.3%	0.7%	2.8%	0.0%	2.9%	1.1%	6.8%	0.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	97	8	57	7	8	8	10	1
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	12.1%	4.9%	13.3%	16.4%	12.5%	16.9%	22.8%	3.4%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	207	49	110	12	10	10	4	13
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	25.6%	31.7%	25.8%	28.0%	15.3%	20.8%	9.3%	37.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	480	97	244	23	44	28	25	20
<b>Total</b>	59.4%	62.6%	57.2%	54.5%	69.0%	61.3%	58.7%	58.7%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	6	0	4	0	0	0	1	0
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0.7%	0.0%	0.9%	1.1%	0.3%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	70	10	27	6	6	6	15	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	8.6%	6.2%	6.4%	14.6%	9.4%	12.7%	35.4%	0.8%
<b>Total</b>	174	23	98	7	14	12	9	12
<b>Very satisfied</b>	21.5%	14.7%	23.0%	17.1%	21.4%	25.7%	20.3%	33.9%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	186	43	89	7	20	9	6	12
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	23.0%	28.0%	21.0%	17.3%	31.3%	18.5%	13.8%	33.9%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	365	77	202	19	23	20	13	11
<b>DK/NA</b>	45.2%	49.7%	47.5%	45.1%	37.2%	43.1%	30.5%	31.3%
<b>Total</b>	14	2	9	2	0	0	0	0
<b>Very satisfied</b>	1.7%	1.3%	2.1%	5.8%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	325	64	172	18	18	20	20	13
<b>DK/NA</b>	40.2%	41.2%	40.4%	43.0%	28.9%	43.1%	47.4%	36.7%
<b>Total</b>	326	59	177	13	29	14	17	17
<b>Very satisfied</b>	40.4%	38.1%	41.6%	30.2%	46.3%	29.6%	41.1%	49.4%

	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>maintained streets and sidewalks</b>								
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	98	22	50	6	7	8	2	3
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	12.1%	13.9%	11.7%	14.3%	11.0%	17.6%	5.9%	8.2%
<b>DK/NA</b>	58	11	25	5	9	4	2	2
<b>Total</b>	7.2%	6.8%	5.8%	12.6%	13.8%	9.6%	5.6%	5.6%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>DK/NA</b>	223	45	115	11	19	11	15	6
<b>Total</b>	27.6%	29.0%	27.1%	27.7%	29.9%	23.0%	36.7%	18.4%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	325	61	161	18	28	21	14	22
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	40.2%	39.5%	37.9%	43.0%	44.2%	45.4%	32.4%	64.4%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	93	10	56	4	5	9	6	2
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	11.5%	6.7%	13.2%	10.4%	7.9%	19.7%	13.5%	5.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	45	9	24	2	4	4	0	2
<b>Total</b>	5.5%	5.5%	5.6%	5.7%	7.0%	8.1%	0.0%	5.5%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	122	30	69	6	7	2	7	2
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	15.1%	19.3%	16.2%	13.3%	11.0%	3.9%	17.4%	6.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>DK/NA</b>	375	64	182	21	33	29	25	22
<b>Total</b>	46.5%	41.4%	42.7%	50.6%	52.5%	61.9%	58.9%	63.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	223	45	119	12	21	7	10	9
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	27.6%	29.3%	27.9%	29.0%	33.1%	15.0%	24.1%	25.1%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	59	9	39	0	4	8	0	0
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	7.3%	5.6%	9.2%	0.0%	5.7%	16.6%	0.2%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	35	11	16	3	0	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>	4.4%	7.2%	3.9%	8.0%	0.8%	4.1%	0.0%	5.6%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	115	26	69	5	5	1	7	2
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	14.3%	16.5%	16.3%	12.4%	8.0%	2.4%	16.8%	6.3%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>DK/NA</b>	325	64	172	18	18	20	20	13
<b>Total</b>	40.2%	41.2%	40.4%	43.0%	28.9%	43.1%	47.4%	36.7%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	326	59	177	13	29	14	17	17
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	40.4%	38.1%	41.6%	30.2%	46.3%	29.6%	41.1%	49.4%



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Date						
		Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied			A B				
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	DK/NA							
	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very dissatisfied							
	DK/NA							
	Very satisfied	B						
	Somewhat satisfied							
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied							
	DK/NA							
	Very satisfied					A B D		
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.a		A B		.a
	Very dissatisfied						.a	.a
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	DK/NA							
	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied					A B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied							.a
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Very dissatisfied							
	DK/NA							
	Very satisfied			.a				.a
	Somewhat satisfied						A	
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied							
	DK/NA	.a				.a		.a
	Very satisfied						A B D G	
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied							.a
	DK/NA					.a		.a
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Date						
		Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
sidewalks	Very dissatisfied							
	DK/NA	.a		.a	.a		.a	.a
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied						.a	
3L. Library facilities and services	DK/NA							
	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.a				.a
	Very dissatisfied							
	DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
3A. Police services	Total	710	510	200
	Very satisfied	294	210	84
		41.4%	41.3%	41.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	200	67
		37.5%	39.2%	33.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	47	30	16
		6.5%	6.0%	8.0%
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Very dissatisfied	44	29	15
		6.2%	5.7%	7.4%
	DK/NA	59	40	19
		8.3%	7.9%	9.5%
	Total	710	510	200
	Very satisfied	207	156	50
		29.1%	30.7%	25.1%
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Somewhat satisfied	273	192	81
		38.4%	37.6%	40.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	87	55	32
		12.2%	10.8%	15.8%
	Very dissatisfied	56	41	15
		7.9%	8.1%	7.3%
	DK/NA	88	65	23
	12.4%	12.8%	11.4%	
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and	Total	710	510	200
	Very satisfied	125	92	33
	17.6%	18.0%	16.5%	

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	Somewhat satisfied	306 43.1%	216 42.5%	90 44.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	127 17.9%	95 18.6%	33 16.2%
		93 13.1%	70 13.7%	24 11.8%
	DK/NA	58 8.2%	37 7.2%	22 10.7%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	Very satisfied	47 6.7%	38 7.5%	9 4.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	205 28.9%	154 30.2%	51 25.6%
		194 27.4%	129 25.4%	65 32.4%
	Very dissatisfied	164 23.1%	119 23.3%	45 22.4%
	DK/NA	99 14.0%	69 13.5%	30 15.1%
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>
	Very satisfied	277 39.1%	203 39.7%	75 37.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	268 37.8%	187 36.7%	81 40.4%
		45 6.3%	38 7.5%	7 3.4%
	Very dissatisfied	40 5.7%	27 5.3%	14 6.8%
DK/NA	79 11.2%	55 10.8%	24 12.1%	
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>
	Very satisfied	78 11.0%	53 10.5%	25 12.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	160 22.5%	116 22.8%	44 22.0%
		30 4.3%	24 4.7%	6 3.2%
	Very dissatisfied	24 3.3%	18 3.6%	5 2.7%
DK/NA	417 58.8%	298 58.4%	120 59.7%	
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>
	Very satisfied	161 22.7%	124 24.3%	38 18.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	245 34.4%	177 34.6%	68 34.0%
		150 21.1%	108 21.3%	41 20.6%
	Very dissatisfied	84 11.9%	53 10.4%	32 15.8%
DK/NA	70 9.8%	48 9.5%	22 10.8%	

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>
	Very satisfied	18 2.5%	16 3.0%	2 1.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	88 12.3%	63 12.3%	25 12.4%
		185 26.1%	140 27.4%	45 22.7%
	Very dissatisfied	414 58.3%	286 56.1%	128 63.7%
DK/NA	6 0.8%	6 1.1%	0 0.0%	
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>
	Very satisfied	64 9.1%	38 7.5%	26 12.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	151 21.3%	116 22.9%	35 17.3%
		165 23.2%	120 23.6%	45 22.2%
	Very dissatisfied	319 44.9%	226 44.3%	93 46.4%
DK/NA	11 1.6%	9 1.7%	2 1.2%	
<b>3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>
	Very satisfied	296 41.7%	210 41.3%	86 43.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	280 39.5%	193 37.8%	87 43.6%
		83 11.7%	72 14.1%	11 5.5%
	Very dissatisfied	49 6.9%	33 6.5%	16 7.9%
DK/NA	2 0.3%	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	
<b>3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>
	Very satisfied	199 28.0%	150 29.4%	49 24.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	289 40.7%	205 40.3%	84 41.7%
		78 10.9%	50 9.7%	28 14.0%
	Very dissatisfied	41 5.8%	30 5.9%	11 5.5%
DK/NA	104 14.6%	75 14.6%	29 14.6%	
<b>3L. Library facilities and services</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>
	Very satisfied	331 46.6%	261 51.2%	70 35.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	198 27.9%	125 24.6%	73 36.3%
		52 7.3%	39 7.7%	13 6.4%
	Very dissatisfied	29 4.1%	18 3.5%	11 5.5%

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Very dissatisfied	4.1%	3.5%	5.6%
	100	66	33
DK/NA	14.0%	13.0%	16.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B	
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		A
	DK/NA		
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		a
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied		

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B	
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		a
	Very satisfied		
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied	B	
3L. Library facilities and services	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
3A. Police services	Total	710	347	363
	Very satisfied	294	167	127
		41.4%	48.1%	35.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	116	151
		37.5%	33.4%	41.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	47	21	26
	6.5%	6.0%	7.1%	
Very dissatisfied	44	17	27	
	6.2%	4.8%	7.5%	
DK/NA	59	27	32	
	8.3%	7.7%	8.9%	
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Total	710	347	363
	Very satisfied	207	99	108
		29.1%	28.5%	29.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	273	132	140
		38.4%	38.2%	38.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	87	34	53
	12.2%	9.7%	14.6%	
Very dissatisfied	56	24	32	
	7.9%	6.9%	8.8%	
DK/NA	88	58	30	
	12.4%	16.7%	8.2%	
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Total	710	347	363
	Very satisfied	125	62	63
		17.6%	18.0%	17.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	306	166	140
		43.1%	47.8%	38.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	127	62	66
	17.9%	17.8%	18.1%	
Very dissatisfied	93	35	58	
	13.1%	10.1%	16.1%	
DK/NA	58	22	36	
	8.2%	6.3%	10.0%	
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Total	710	347	363
	Very satisfied	47	32	15
		6.7%	9.4%	4.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	205	118	87
		28.9%	34.0%	24.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	194	92	103
	27.4%	26.5%	28.3%	
Very dissatisfied	164	67	97	
	23.1%	19.4%	26.6%	
DK/NA	99	38	62	
	14.0%	10.8%	17.0%	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Total	710	347	363
	Very satisfied	277	153	124
		39.1%	44.2%	34.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	268	135	133
		37.8%	38.9%	36.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	14	31
	6.3%	4.1%	8.5%	
Very dissatisfied	40	9	32	

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very dissatisfied	5.7%	2.5%	8.7%
	DK/NA	79	36	43
		11.2%	10.3%	12.0%
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Total	710	347	363
	Very satisfied	78	44	34
		11.0%	12.7%	9.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	160	80	80
		22.5%	23.2%	21.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	8	22
	4.3%	2.4%	6.1%	
Very dissatisfied	24	14	10	
	3.3%	4.1%	2.6%	
DK/NA	417	200	218	
	58.8%	57.6%	59.9%	
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Total	710	347	363
	Very satisfied	161	96	66
		22.7%	27.5%	18.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	245	123	122
		34.4%	35.3%	33.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	150	72	78
	21.1%	20.7%	21.5%	
Very dissatisfied	84	31	53	
	11.9%	9.0%	14.7%	
DK/NA	70	26	44	
	9.8%	7.5%	12.1%	
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Total	710	347	363
	Very satisfied	18	8	10
		2.5%	2.2%	2.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	88	47	40
		12.3%	13.6%	11.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	185	93	92
	26.1%	26.9%	25.3%	
Very dissatisfied	414	196	218	
	58.3%	56.4%	60.1%	
DK/NA	6	3	2	
	0.8%	0.9%	0.6%	
3J. Providing clean, well	Total	710	347	363
	Very satisfied	64	23	42
		9.1%	6.5%	11.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	151	94	58
		21.3%	27.0%	15.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	165	79	86
	23.2%	22.7%	23.8%	
Very dissatisfied	319	145	173	
	44.9%	41.9%	47.7%	
DK/NA	11	7	5	
	1.6%	1.9%	1.2%	

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat dissatisfied	83 11.7%	50 14.4%	33 9.1%
	Very dissatisfied	49 6.9%	14 4.2%	34 9.4%
	DK/NA	2 0.3%	0 0.0%	2 0.5%
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Total	710	347	363
	Very satisfied	199 28.0%	115 33.1%	84 23.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	289 40.7%	140 40.4%	149 41.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 10.9%	26 7.6%	51 14.2%
	Very dissatisfied	41 5.8%	19 5.4%	22 6.2%
	DK/NA	104 14.6%	47 13.5%	57 15.7%
3L. Library facilities and services	Total	710	347	363
	Very satisfied	331 46.6%	196 56.4%	135 37.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	198 27.9%	80 23.1%	118 32.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 7.3%	20 5.6%	32 8.9%
	Very dissatisfied	29 4.1%	11 3.0%	19 5.2%
	DK/NA	100 14.0%	41 11.8%	59 16.2%

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
3A. Police services	Total	808	710	98
	Very satisfied	322 39.8%	294 41.4%	28 28.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	316 39.1%	267 37.5%	49 50.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 6.5%	47 6.5%	6 6.1%
	Very dissatisfied	51 6.4%	44 6.2%	7 7.6%
	DK/NA	67 8.3%	59 8.3%	8 7.7%
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Total	808	710	98
	Very satisfied	233 28.9%	207 29.1%	27 27.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	308 38.2%	273 38.4%	36 36.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	96 11.9%	87 12.2%	9 9.4%
	Very dissatisfied	65 8.1%	56 7.9%	9 9.5%
	DK/NA	105 13.0%	88 12.4%	17 17.7%
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Total	808	710	98
	Very satisfied	143 17.7%	125 17.6%	18 18.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	331 41.0%	306 43.1%	25 25.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	151 18.7%	127 17.9%	24 24.4%
	Very dissatisfied	106 13.2%	93 13.1%	13 13.3%
	DK/NA	76 9.4%	58 8.2%	18 17.9%
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Total	808	710	98
	Very satisfied	62 7.6%	47 6.7%	14 14.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	235 29.1%	205 28.9%	30 31.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	217 26.9%	194 27.4%	23 23.4%
	Very dissatisfied	185 22.9%	164 23.1%	21 21.8%
	DK/NA	108 13.4%	99 14.0%	9 9.3%
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Total	808	710	98
	Very satisfied	306 37.9%	277 39.1%	29 29.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	301 37.3%	268 37.8%	33 33.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	61 7.5%	45 6.3%	16 16.5%
	Very dissatisfied	43 5.3%	40 5.6%	3 3.0%
	DK/NA	142 17.6%	137 19.3%	5 5.1%

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
	Very dissatisfied	5.3%	5.7%	2.8%
	DK/NA	97	79	17
		12.0%	11.2%	17.8%
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Total	808	710	98
	Very satisfied	89	78	11
	Somewhat satisfied	182	160	22
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22.5%	22.5%	22.2%
	Very dissatisfied	36	30	5
	DK/NA	4.4%	4.3%	5.5%
		28	24	4
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Total	808	710	98
	Very satisfied	179	161	17
	Somewhat satisfied	269	245	24
	Somewhat dissatisfied	179	150	29
	Very dissatisfied	101	84	16
	DK/NA	81	70	11
		10.0%	9.8%	11.3%
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Total	808	710	98
	Very satisfied	18	18	0
	Somewhat satisfied	97	88	10
	Somewhat dissatisfied	207	185	21
	Very dissatisfied	480	414	66
	DK/NA	6	6	0
		0.7%	0.8%	0.0%
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Total	808	710	98
	Very satisfied	70	64	5
	Somewhat satisfied	174	151	22
	Somewhat dissatisfied	186	165	21
	Very dissatisfied	365	319	46
	DK/NA	14	11	3
		1.7%	1.6%	2.9%
3J. Providing clean, well	Total	808	710	98
	Very satisfied	325	296	28
	Somewhat satisfied	326	280	46
	40.4%	39.5%	46.9%	

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	83	15
	Very dissatisfied	58	49	9
	DK/NA	2	2	0
		12.1%	11.7%	15.2%
		7.2%	6.9%	9.3%
		0.2%	0.3%	0.0%
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Total	808	710	98
	Very satisfied	223	199	25
	Somewhat satisfied	325	289	36
	Somewhat dissatisfied	93	78	15
	Very dissatisfied	45	41	4
	DK/NA	122	104	19
		15.1%	14.6%	19.0%
3L. Library facilities and services	Total	808	710	98
	Very satisfied	375	331	44
	Somewhat satisfied	223	198	25
	Somewhat dissatisfied	59	52	7
	Very dissatisfied	35	29	6
	DK/NA	115	100	15
		14.3%	14.0%	15.8%

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	322	126	193	2
		39.8%	36.0%	42.4%	90.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	316	153	163	0
		39.1%	43.7%	35.7%	10.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	52	24	28	0
		6.5%	6.9%	6.2%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	51	24	27	0	
	6.4%	6.9%	6.0%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	67	23	44	0	
	8.3%	6.5%	9.7%	0.0%	
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	233	113	118	2
		28.9%	32.4%	25.9%	75.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	308	131	176	1
		38.2%	37.5%	38.7%	24.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	96	47	49	0
	11.9%	13.4%	10.8%	0.0%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	65	32	34	0	
	8.1%	9.0%	7.4%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	105	27	79	0	
	13.0%	7.7%	17.2%	0.0%	
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	143	73	70	0
		17.7%	20.9%	15.3%	1.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	331	138	193	1
		41.0%	39.5%	42.3%	24.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	151	66	85	0
	18.7%	18.9%	18.7%	10.0%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	106	52	55	0	
	13.2%	14.8%	12.0%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	76	21	53	2	
	9.4%	5.9%	11.7%	64.6%	
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	62	28	31	2
		7.6%	8.1%	6.9%	75.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	235	87	148	0
		29.1%	25.0%	32.5%	0.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	217	115	102	1
	26.9%	32.9%	22.3%	24.3%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	185	82	103	0	
	22.9%	23.5%	22.6%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	108	37	71	0	
	13.4%	10.6%	15.7%	0.0%	
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	306	140	164	2
		37.9%	40.0%	36.1%	65.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	301	139	162	0
	37.3%	39.7%	35.5%	10.0%	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	61	40	21	0	
	7.5%	11.5%	4.5%	0.0%	

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	43	25	18	0
		5.3%	7.2%	3.9%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	97	5	91	1
	12.0%	1.5%	20.0%	24.3%	
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	89	26	63	0
		11.0%	7.4%	13.9%	1.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	182	59	123	0
		22.5%	16.9%	26.9%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	36	14	22	0
		4.4%	3.9%	4.9%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	28	11	16	0	
	3.4%	3.2%	3.6%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	474	240	231	3	
	58.6%	68.6%	50.8%	98.9%	
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	179	81	96	2
		22.1%	23.1%	21.1%	65.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	269	116	152	0
		33.3%	33.2%	33.5%	10.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	179	93	86	1
	22.2%	26.5%	18.8%	24.3%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	101	41	59	0	
	12.5%	11.8%	13.1%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	81	19	62	0	
	10.0%	5.5%	13.6%	0.0%	
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	18	7	11	0
		2.3%	2.1%	2.4%	1.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	97	57	40	0
		12.1%	16.3%	8.9%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	207	82	125	0
	25.6%	23.4%	27.4%	10.0%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	480	204	274	2	
	59.4%	58.2%	60.2%	88.9%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	6	0	5	0	
	0.7%	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%	
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	70	35	33	2
		8.6%	10.1%	7.2%	65.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	174	68	105	0
		21.5%	19.4%	23.2%	10.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	186	67	119	0
	23.0%	19.0%	26.2%	0.0%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	365	177	188	1	
	45.2%	50.5%	41.2%	24.3%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	14	3	11	0	
	1.7%	1.0%	2.3%	0.0%	
	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	325	149	173	3
	40.2%	42.5%	38.0%	99.9%	

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks</b>	Somewhat satisfied	326 40.4%	133 37.9%	193 42.5%	0 0.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 12.1%	38 10.8%	60 13.2%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	58 7.2%	31 8.8%	27 6.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.2%	0 0.0%	2 0.4%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events</b>	Very satisfied	223 27.6%	90 25.8%	133 29.1%	0 1.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	325 40.2%	155 44.4%	169 37.1%	1 24.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	93 11.5%	51 14.7%	41 9.1%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	45 5.5%	18 5.0%	27 6.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	122 15.1%	35 10.1%	85 18.7%	2 74.6%
<b>3L. Library facilities and services</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>3</b>
	Very satisfied	375 46.5%	157 45.0%	216 47.4%	2 75.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	223 27.6%	112 31.9%	111 24.5%	0 0.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	59 7.3%	37 10.7%	22 4.8%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	35 4.4%	27 7.6%	9 1.9%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	115 14.3%	17 4.9%	97 21.4%	1 24.3%	

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>110</b>
	Very satisfied	322 39.8%	38 30.4%	68 35.5%	28 35.1%	48 43.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	316 39.1%	59 47.5%	78 41.2%	33 41.4%	45 40.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 6.5%	7 5.5%	20 10.3%	7 9.0%	6 5.4%
	Very dissatisfied	51 6.4%	5 3.9%	17 8.8%	3 3.5%	7 6.2%
	DK/NA	67 8.3%	16 12.6%	8 4.3%	9 11.0%	4 3.7%
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>110</b>
	Very satisfied	233 28.9%	44 35.5%	58 30.6%	23 29.2%	40 36.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	308 38.2%	43 34.6%	63 33.2%	30 37.8%	48 43.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	96 11.9%	20 16.3%	33 17.2%	15 19.2%	8 7.5%
	Very dissatisfied	65 8.1%	7 5.4%	22 11.5%	5 6.2%	10 8.8%
	DK/NA	105 13.0%	10 8.3%	14 7.6%	6 7.7%	4 3.7%
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>110</b>
	Very satisfied	143 17.7%	27 21.8%	36 19.1%	20 24.8%	27 24.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	331 41.0%	38 31.0%	81 42.7%	35 43.7%	41 37.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	151 18.7%	26 20.6%	27 14.3%	15 19.4%	22 20.4%
	Very dissatisfied	106 13.2%	22 17.8%	34 17.8%	5 6.1%	13 11.6%
	DK/NA	76 9.4%	11 8.8%	12 6.1%	5 6.0%	6 5.7%
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>110</b>
	Very satisfied	62 7.6%	2 1.5%	13 6.7%	10 12.5%	17 15.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	235 29.1%	32 25.9%	40 21.2%	16 20.0%	34 31.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	217 26.9%	49 39.3%	68 35.7%	31 39.7%	17 15.6%
	Very dissatisfied	185 22.9%	29 23.7%	54 28.6%	14 17.1%	29 26.0%
	DK/NA	108 13.4%	12 9.6%	15 7.8%	8 10.7%	13 11.7%
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>110</b>
	Very satisfied	306 37.9%	49 39.8%	75 39.2%	36 45.5%	41 37.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	301 37.3%	43 35.0%	71 37.3%	30 38.4%	53 48.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	61 7.5%	18 14.5%	24 12.5%	10 12.9%	5 4.8%



		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	193 42.4%	2 90.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	163 35.7%	0 10.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	28 6.2%	0 0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	27 6.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	44 9.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Total</b>	455
<b>Very satisfied</b>		118 25.9%	2 75.7%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>		176 38.7%	1 24.3%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>		49 10.8%	0 0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>		34 7.4%	0 0.0%
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Total</b>	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	70 15.3%	0 1.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	193 42.3%	1 24.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	85 18.7%	0 10.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	55 12.0%	0 0.0%
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Total</b>	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	31 6.9%	2 75.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	148 32.5%	0 0.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	102 22.3%	1 24.3%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	103 22.6%	0 0.0%
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	455	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	164 36.1%	2 65.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	162 35.5%	0 10.0%

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	43 5.3%	12 9.8%	18 9.4%	2 2.6%	7 6.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	97 12.0%	1 1.0%	3 1.6%	0 0.5%	2 2.2%
	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	89 11.0%	12 9.4%	8 4.1%	6 7.1%	13 11.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	182 22.5%	23 18.9%	40 21.1%	8 10.4%	18 16.6%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	36 4.4%	8 6.3%	11 5.8%	0 0.0%	2 2.2%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	28 3.4%	2 1.8%	2 1.2%	5 6.7%	5 4.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	474 58.6%	79 63.6%	129 67.9%	60 75.9%	72 65.5%
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	179 22.1%	14 11.0%	40 21.0%	28 35.3%	37 33.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	269 33.3%	57 46.4%	63 33.0%	21 27.0%	31 27.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	179 22.2%	28 22.8%	51 27.0%	15 18.6%	27 25.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	101 12.5%	15 12.2%	27 14.0%	9 10.8%	9 7.8%
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	18 2.3%	4 3.0%	6 3.2%	2 2.8%	2 1.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	97 12.1%	21 16.8%	31 16.2%	13 16.9%	16 15.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	207 25.6%	23 18.4%	41 21.3%	18 22.2%	31 28.3%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	480 59.4%	77 61.9%	113 59.4%	46 58.1%	60 54.8%
<b>3J. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	70 8.6%	19 15.0%	13 6.9%	12 15.8%	15 13.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	174 21.5%	20 15.9%	44 23.0%	16 20.5%	17 15.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	186 23.0%	14 11.4%	31 16.1%	13 16.0%	28 25.2%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	365 45.2%	70 57.0%	103 54.1%	38 47.7%	48 43.8%
<b>3K. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>DK/NA</b>	14 1.7%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.2%
	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>3L. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	325 40.2%	54 43.3%	85 44.6%	41 51.4%	56 50.9%

	Ages of Children in Household	
	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	18 3.9%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	91 20.0%	1 24.3%
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>		
<b>Very satisfied</b>	63 13.9%	0 1.1%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	123 26.9%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	22 4.9%	0 0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	16 3.6%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	231 50.8%	3 98.9%
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>		
<b>Very satisfied</b>	96 21.1%	2 65.7%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	152 33.5%	0 10.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	86 18.8%	1 24.3%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	59 13.1%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	62 13.6%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>		
<b>Very satisfied</b>	11 2.4%	0 1.1%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	40 8.9%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	125 27.4%	0 10.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	274 60.2%	2 88.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	5 1.2%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>		
<b>Very satisfied</b>	33 7.2%	2 65.7%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	105 23.2%	0 10.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	119 26.2%	0 0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	188 41.2%	1 24.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>	11 2.3%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>Very satisfied</b>	173 38.0%	3 99.9%

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks</b>	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	326 40.4%	43 34.5%	67 35.2%	27 34.2%	37 33.5%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	98 12.1%	18 14.5%	21 10.9%	8 10.6%	10 8.8%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	58 7.2%	10 7.7%	18 9.3%	3 3.7%	7 6.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	223 27.6%	29 23.5%	53 27.6%	16 20.1%	28 25.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	325 40.2%	54 43.9%	82 42.8%	45 57.5%	54 49.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	93 11.5%	31 25.1%	28 14.8%	5 5.9%	8 7.1%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	45 5.5%	0 0.0%	10 5.1%	3 3.6%	6 5.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	122 15.1%	9 7.5%	18 9.7%	10 12.8%	14 12.5%
<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110	
<b>3L. Library facilities and services</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	375 46.5%	46 37.3%	87 45.9%	47 60.0%	49 44.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	223 27.6%	42 34.1%	59 30.7%	26 32.8%	32 29.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	59 7.3%	21 17.0%	19 9.9%	2 2.3%	10 9.3%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	35 4.4%	10 8.4%	17 9.0%	0 0.0%	6 5.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	115 14.3%	4 3.3%	9 4.6%	4 4.9%	12 11.0%

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks</b>	Somewhat satisfied	193 42.5%	0 0.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	60 13.2%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	27 6.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events</b>	Very satisfied	133 29.1%	0 1.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	169 37.1%	1 24.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	41 9.1%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	27 6.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	85 18.7%	2 74.6%
<b>3L. Library facilities and services</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>3</b>
	Very satisfied	216 47.4%	2 75.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	111 24.5%	0 0.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22 4.8%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	9 1.9%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	97 21.4%	1 24.3%	

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	Very satisfied	322 39.8%	11 26.8%	0 0.0%	30 31.3%	224 45.5%	50 41.2%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	316 39.1%	9 23.5%	0 0.0%	43 44.8%	178 36.2%	57 47.0%	7 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 6.5%	3 6.5%	1 100.0%	9 9.3%	24 4.9%	12 9.6%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	51 6.4%	17 43.2%	0 0.0%	2 2.1%	18 3.7%	3 2.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	67 8.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	12 12.5%	48 9.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	Very satisfied	233 28.9%	9 22.5%	0 0.0%	27 28.4%	140 28.5%	41 33.8%	7 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	308 38.2%	7 18.9%	0 0.0%	40 41.5%	186 37.7%	58 48.2%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	96 11.9%	7 18.5%	0 0.0%	18 18.4%	52 10.5%	10 8.6%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	65 8.1%	16 40.2%	1 100.0%	5 4.8%	28 5.7%	7 6.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	105 13.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 6.9%	87 17.6%	4 3.3%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	Very satisfied	143 17.7%	5 13.8%	0 0.0%	15 15.5%	87 17.6%	26 21.1%
Somewhat satisfied		331 41.0%	10 26.1%	0 0.0%	46 48.3%	213 43.2%	47 38.6%	0 0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied		151 18.7%	8 19.8%	0 0.0%	16 16.6%	93 18.9%	23 18.8%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied		106 13.2%	12 30.8%	1 100.0%	8 8.8%	50 10.2%	22 18.2%	0 0.0%
DK/NA		76 9.4%	4 9.5%	0 0.0%	10 10.7%	50 10.1%	4 3.4%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>808</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>		Very satisfied	62 7.6%	3 8.4%	0 0.0%	7 7.3%	38 7.7%	11 8.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	235 29.1%	11 28.6%	0 0.0%	33 34.7%	152 30.9%	34 27.8%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	217 26.9%	11 28.8%	0 0.0%	20 21.1%	140 28.5%	30 24.7%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	185 22.9%	12 29.4%	1 100.0%	18 19.1%	100 20.3%	29 23.7%	7 100.0%
	DK/NA	108 13.4%	2 4.8%	0 0.0%	17 17.9%	62 12.5%	18 15.1%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	Very satisfied	306 37.9%	14 35.2%	0 0.0%	32 33.2%	197 40.1%	49 41.0%
Somewhat satisfied		301 37.3%	15 38.9%	0 0.0%	36 37.9%	190 38.6%	40 33.1%	0 0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied		61 7.5%	4 8.9%	1 100.0%	15 15.3%	26 5.4%	11 9.3%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>808</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>7</b>

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	6	0	2
		18.2%	4.9%	10.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	8	2	12
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	2	1	1
		7.9%	24.8%	5.2%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	11	1	0
	34.7%	12.9%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	4	0	2	
	14.3%	9.8%	13.0%	
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	5	0	4
		16.4%	4.9%	23.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	8	1	9
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	4	3	2
		12.8%	59.7%	14.3%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8	0	0	
	27.1%	0.0%	2.3%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	5	1	1	
	17.7%	22.7%	7.9%	
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	4	0	0
		12.5%	1.2%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	5	2	8
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	7	0	5
		22.8%	0.0%	29.6%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	7	2	4	
	23.1%	47.3%	21.2%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	8	0	0	
	26.8%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	2	0	1
		5.2%	0.1%	5.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	2	1	2
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	8	2	6
	26.3%	33.8%	35.3%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	11	2	6	
	35.6%	50.4%	36.7%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	8	0	2	
	25.7%	0.0%	9.4%	
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	10	1	3
		32.4%	13.0%	17.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	5	2	12
	17.6%	39.7%	72.6%	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	2	1	1	
	6.3%	27.1%	7.5%	

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	43	7	0	2	13	10	7
		5.3%	17.1%	0.0%	2.1%	2.7%	8.5%	100.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	97	0	0	11	66	10	0
		12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%	13.3%	8.1%	0.0%
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	89	4	0	4	62	13	0
		11.0%	10.8%	0.0%	4.3%	12.7%	10.6%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	182	16	0	21	94	43	0
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	36	2	0	5	21	2	7
		4.4%	4.1%	0.0%	4.8%	4.2%	1.6%	100.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	28	5	1	1	16	1	0
	3.4%	13.0%	100.0%	0.7%	3.2%	1.1%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	474	13	0	65	300	62	0	
	58.6%	32.8%	0.0%	68.0%	60.8%	51.2%	0.0%	
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	179	8	0	28	109	24	0
		22.1%	21.0%	0.0%	29.2%	22.1%	20.1%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	269	12	0	22	175	37	7
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	179	12	0	21	110	25	0
		22.2%	29.0%	0.0%	22.3%	22.3%	20.3%	0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	101	2	0	12	50	26	0
	12.5%	4.8%	0.0%	12.0%	10.2%	21.2%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	81	6	1	13	48	10	0	
	10.0%	15.9%	100.0%	13.9%	9.8%	8.2%	0.0%	
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	18	2	0	2	12	2	0
		2.3%	4.3%	0.0%	2.4%	2.5%	1.5%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	97	0	0	18	55	12	7
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	207	5	0	26	132	29	0
		25.6%	12.4%	0.0%	26.8%	26.9%	24.1%	0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	480	33	1	50	288	77	0
	59.4%	83.3%	100.0%	52.0%	58.5%	63.9%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	6	0	0	0	5	1	0	
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%	
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	70	4	0	6	39	15	0
		8.6%	8.9%	0.0%	6.2%	8.0%	12.4%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	174	3	0	33	106	20	0
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	215	8	0	35	214	16	0
		21.5%	8.8%	0.0%	35.0%	21.4%	16.9%	0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	186	9	0	9	125	29	0
	23.0%	21.9%	0.0%	8.9%	25.3%	24.0%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	365	24	1	45	212	56	7	
	45.2%	60.4%	100.0%	47.3%	43.0%	46.7%	100.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	14	0	0	2	11	0	0	
	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	325	11	1	35	202	49	7
	40.2%	26.8%	100.0%	36.4%	41.0%	40.9%	100.0%	

	Ethnic Group			
	Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA	
Very dissatisfied	4	0	0	
	12.2%	10.4%	0.0%	
	10	0	0	
DK/NA	31.5%	9.8%	2.3%	
	30	5	17	
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Total	5	17	
	Very satisfied	4	1	0
		12.1%	28.2%	2.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	2	1	5
		6.2%	27.1%	30.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0
		0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	2	0	2	
	5.3%	0.0%	12.9%	
DK/NA	23	2	9	
	76.4%	43.5%	54.5%	
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Total	30	5	17
	Very satisfied	7	0	1
		24.0%	4.9%	8.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	10	1	6
		33.7%	13.0%	38.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	0	5
		23.0%	1.2%	28.5%
Very dissatisfied	4	3	4	
	13.3%	71.2%	22.5%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	
	6.0%	9.8%	2.4%	
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Total	30	5	17
	Very satisfied	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	6	0	0
		19.5%	4.9%	0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	0	9
		19.5%	0.0%	50.8%
Very dissatisfied	18	4	8	
	61.0%	95.1%	49.1%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Total	30	5	17
	Very satisfied	3	0	3
		8.3%	0.1%	19.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	0	2
		27.6%	6.0%	11.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	1	5
		31.9%	12.9%	28.0%
Very dissatisfied	10	3	7	
	32.2%	71.2%	41.1%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	0.0%	9.8%	0.0%	
Total	30	5	17	
Very satisfied	16	1	3	
	52.4%	27.6%	17.5%	

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat satisfied	326	11	0	51	198	48	0
		40.4%	27.6%	0.0%	53.3%	40.1%	39.7%	0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	9	0	6	67	7	0
		12.1%	23.6%	0.0%	6.8%	13.6%	6.2%	0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	58	9	0	3	24	16	0
		7.2%	21.9%	0.0%	3.5%	4.9%	13.2%	0.0%
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Total	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	Very satisfied	223	10	0	23	158	25	0
		27.6%	26.3%	0.0%	24.3%	32.1%	20.3%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	325	12	0	38	195	57	7
		40.2%	31.4%	0.0%	39.4%	39.5%	47.2%	100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	93	6	0	18	36	24	0
	11.5%	15.3%	0.0%	18.8%	7.3%	20.2%	0.0%	
Very dissatisfied	45	7	1	1	24	6	0	
	5.5%	18.3%	100.0%	0.7%	4.9%	5.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	122	3	0	16	80	9	0	
	15.1%	8.7%	0.0%	16.8%	16.3%	7.2%	0.0%	
3L. Library facilities and services	Total	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	Very satisfied	375	17	0	38	246	52	0
		46.5%	42.0%	0.0%	39.9%	50.0%	43.0%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	223	10	0	24	140	34	0
		27.6%	25.4%	0.0%	25.2%	28.5%	28.5%	0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	59	2	0	10	19	12	7
	7.3%	6.1%	0.0%	10.4%	3.9%	9.9%	100.0%	
Very dissatisfied	35	9	1	4	11	7	0	
	4.4%	21.6%	100.0%	4.4%	2.3%	5.8%	0.0%	
DK/NA	115	2	0	19	75	15	0	
	14.3%	4.9%	0.0%	20.2%	15.3%	12.8%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks</b>	Somewhat satisfied	8 26.0%	0 10.4%	10 60.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 12.8%	1 24.8%	2 13.5%
	Very dissatisfied	3 8.7%	2 37.1%	1 8.5%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events</b>	Very satisfied	5 17.0%	1 17.8%	1 5.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	7 23.9%	2 33.8%	8 46.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5 15.3%	1 24.8%	3 15.3%
	Very dissatisfied	5 15.9%	1 13.8%	0 2.3%
	DK/NA	8 27.9%	0 9.8%	5 30.4%
<b>3L. Library facilities and services</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>
	Very satisfied	12 39.8%	2 40.9%	8 50.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	6 18.4%	2 37.7%	7 39.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9 29.0%	0 1.2%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2 5.3%	0 10.4%	1 8.0%
DK/NA	2 7.5%	0 9.8%	0 2.4%	

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	Very satisfied	294 41.4%	1 14.6%	2 11.5%	35 39.0%	18 60.7%	6 36.4%	0 14.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	267 37.5%	2 30.6%	9 47.4%	42 47.5%	5 17.3%	10 63.5%	1 20.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	47 6.5%	1 13.7%	5 27.5%	9 10.4%	0 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	44 6.2%	1 17.1%	0 0.0%	3 3.1%	2 5.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	59 8.3%	2 24.0%	3 13.6%	0 0.0%	5 16.1%	0 0.2%	2 65.1%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	Very satisfied	207 29.1%	1 9.2%	4 18.5%	24 26.7%	9 29.0%	2 11.9%	0 14.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	273 38.4%	4 54.5%	9 47.6%	45 50.7%	15 51.4%	7 45.3%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	87 12.2%	1 13.7%	6 31.9%	6 7.3%	1 3.2%	7 40.0%	2 69.7%
	Very dissatisfied	56 7.9%	1 17.1%	0 0.0%	10 11.2%	2 5.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	88 12.4%	0 5.4%	0 2.0%	4 4.2%	3 11.3%	0 2.7%	1 16.1%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	Very satisfied	125 17.6%	0 5.4%	2 10.9%	9 9.7%	10 34.7%	2 15.0%
Somewhat satisfied		306 43.1%	1 9.2%	13 69.0%	40 44.7%	10 32.3%	11 69.3%	3 79.3%
Somewhat dissatisfied		127 17.9%	2 30.6%	0 2.0%	16 18.4%	8 25.9%	1 6.6%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied		93 13.1%	4 54.8%	4 18.0%	16 18.2%	2 5.5%	1 9.1%	1 20.7%
DK/NA		58 8.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 9.0%	0 1.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>710</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>		Very satisfied	47 6.7%	0 0.0%	4 18.0%	2 2.1%	5 18.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	205 28.9%	0 5.4%	4 19.6%	21 23.4%	10 34.3%	4 26.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	194 27.4%	1 9.2%	6 31.9%	27 30.4%	7 23.6%	3 20.6%	1 34.9%
	Very dissatisfied	164 23.1%	6 85.4%	4 18.0%	23 25.8%	2 8.0%	7 41.0%	2 49.0%
	DK/NA	99 14.0%	0 0.0%	2 12.5%	16 18.3%	5 15.9%	2 12.4%	1 16.1%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	Very satisfied	277 39.1%	0 5.4%	6 29.4%	32 36.3%	8 26.0%	10 58.2%
Somewhat satisfied		268 37.8%	4 53.5%	10 50.5%	30 34.2%	16 52.1%	5 33.1%	0 14.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied		45 6.3%	0 0.0%	1 4.4%	7 8.3%	3 9.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied		1 0.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA		27 3.8%	4 50.0%	5 25.0%	16 18.2%	10 33.3%	5 31.2%	1 16.7%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	530
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	0	231
		1.8%	43.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	9	188
		63.9%	35.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	31
		0.0%	5.8%
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	530
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	7	160
		50.2%	30.2%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	1	191
		6.9%	35.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1	62
		6.9%	11.8%
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	530
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	7	94
		47.6%	17.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	4	225
		26.6%	42.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	99
		1.7%	18.7%
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	530
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	0	37
		0.0%	6.9%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	3	163
		18.4%	30.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	149
		0.0%	28.1%
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	530
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	0	221
		1.7%	41.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	6	196
		44.1%	37.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1	33
		6.9%	6.2%

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	40	1	0	8	0	1	1
		5.7%	17.1%	0.0%	9.2%	0.0%	8.8%	20.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	79	2	3	11	4	0	2
		11.2%	24.0%	15.6%	12.0%	12.6%	0.0%	49.0%
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	78	0	0	9	4	0	0
		11.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.4%	12.4%	1.3%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	160	1	4	35	4	2	0
		22.5%	17.1%	20.7%	39.0%	13.7%	15.2%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	0	1	2	0	0	0
		4.3%	0.0%	4.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	161	0	6	8	5	4	2
		22.7%	5.4%	31.9%	9.5%	16.4%	24.6%	49.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	245	1	2	35	16	6	1
		34.4%	9.2%	11.5%	39.0%	53.0%	36.2%	16.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	150	0	5	16	3	6	1
		21.1%	0.0%	27.6%	17.6%	8.9%	38.8%	20.7%
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	18	0	0	4	0	0	0
		2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	88	2	6	4	8	0	0
		12.3%	24.0%	31.5%	4.9%	26.3%	0.0%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	185	3	3	20	9	6	2
		26.1%	35.9%	14.2%	22.9%	29.7%	35.9%	49.0%
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	64	0	0	15	2	0	0
		9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	17.2%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	151	5	6	8	9	2	0
		21.3%	59.9%	31.5%	9.1%	29.5%	12.4%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	165	0	1	20	12	5	3
		23.2%	0.0%	6.1%	22.5%	38.7%	31.4%	79.3%
<b>3J. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	296	3	7	35	9	5	1
		41.7%	33.2%	34.1%	39.1%	30.5%	32.6%	16.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	319	3	12	45	5	9	1
		44.9%	40.1%	62.4%	51.2%	17.8%	56.2%	20.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	11	0	0	0	2	0	0
		1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Surname	
	African American	Not Coded
Very dissatisfied	7	22
	47.2%	4.2%
DK/NA	0	58
	0.0%	11.0%
<b>Total</b>	14	530
Very satisfied	0	65
	0.0%	12.3%
Somewhat satisfied	4	110
	25.8%	20.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	8	20
	54.5%	3.7%
Very dissatisfied	0	21
	0.0%	4.0%
DK/NA	3	314
	19.7%	59.2%
<b>Total</b>	14	530
Very satisfied	0	136
	0.0%	25.6%
Somewhat satisfied	8	176
	58.7%	33.3%
Somewhat dissatisfied	5	114
	33.1%	21.5%
Very dissatisfied	1	53
	8.2%	10.0%
DK/NA	0	51
	0.0%	9.6%
<b>Total</b>	14	530
Very satisfied	0	14
	0.0%	2.7%
Somewhat satisfied	7	61
	47.2%	11.4%
Somewhat dissatisfied	0	143
	0.0%	27.0%
Very dissatisfied	7	308
	52.8%	58.1%
DK/NA	0	5
	0.0%	0.9%
<b>Total</b>	14	530
Very satisfied	0	47
	0.0%	8.9%
Somewhat satisfied	0	121
	0.4%	22.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	1	123
	6.9%	23.3%
Very dissatisfied	13	230
	92.7%	43.3%
DK/NA	0	9
	0.0%	1.7%
<b>Total</b>	14	530
Very satisfied	7	231
	47.2%	43.5%

		Ethnic Surname							
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat satisfied	280	5	8	38	15	6	3	
		39.5%	66.8%	41.0%	42.2%	51.7%	35.3%	83.9%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	83	0	2	5	1	5	0	
		11.7%	0.0%	11.3%	5.2%	4.8%	30.4%	0.0%	
Very dissatisfied		49	0	3	12	2	0	0	
		6.9%	0.0%	13.6%	13.5%	6.8%	1.5%	0.0%	
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	
		0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%	0.2%	0.0%	
<b>Total</b>		710	8	20	89	30	16	3	
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied	199	0	6	16	5	5	1	
		28.0%	5.4%	30.6%	17.6%	18.2%	28.1%	30.3%	
	Somewhat satisfied	289	4	5	41	13	11	0	
		40.7%	57.0%	24.2%	45.8%	42.0%	65.8%	0.0%	
Somewhat dissatisfied		78	0	3	18	2	0	0	
		10.9%	0.0%	13.6%	20.6%	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	41	2	0	5	0	0	1	
		5.8%	24.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	20.7%	
DK/NA		104	1	6	10	10	1	2	
		14.6%	13.7%	31.6%	10.9%	32.0%	6.1%	49.0%	
	<b>Total</b>		710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	3L. Library facilities and services	Very satisfied	331	0	9	34	12	8	3
		46.6%	5.4%	46.6%	38.2%	38.8%	47.2%	79.3%	
Somewhat satisfied		198	3	7	25	12	5	0	
		27.9%	40.1%	37.8%	28.3%	39.4%	29.6%	0.0%	
Somewhat dissatisfied		52	2	0	9	2	1	0	
		7.3%	24.0%	0.0%	9.7%	5.8%	3.8%	0.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	29	0	0	3	0	0	1	
		4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	20.7%	
DK/NA		100	2	3	18	5	3	0	
		14.0%	30.6%	15.6%	20.3%	16.0%	19.4%	0.0%	



		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
<b>3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks</b>	Somewhat satisfied	2 16.8%	203 38.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 22.8%	66 12.5%
	Very dissatisfied	2 13.1%	30 5.6%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	14	530
<b>3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events</b>	Very satisfied	1 6.5%	165 31.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	11 79.9%	205 38.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 1.7%	54 10.2%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.4%	34 6.4%
	DK/NA	2 11.5%	73 13.8%
<b>3L. Library facilities and services</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	530
	Very satisfied	1 8.3%	264 49.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	6 42.9%	140 26.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 47.6%	32 6.1%
	Very dissatisfied	0 1.2%	25 4.8%
DK/NA	0 0.0%	68 12.9%	

		Total	Area			
			Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	322 39.8%	60 44.8%	65 42.5%	48 52.6%	97 42.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	316 39.1%	47 35.1%	53 34.6%	28 31.0%	93 40.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 6.5%	11 8.3%	8 5.0%	4 4.3%	15 6.4%
	Very dissatisfied	51 6.4%	1 0.7%	6 3.9%	0 0.0%	11 4.9%
DK/NA	67 8.3%	15 11.1%	21 13.9%	11 12.1%	13 5.6%	
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	233 28.9%	32 23.8%	50 32.5%	30 32.8%	72 31.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	308 38.2%	53 39.7%	54 34.8%	37 39.9%	81 35.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	96 11.9%	20 15.1%	12 7.7%	11 12.3%	33 14.5%
	Very dissatisfied	65 8.1%	4 3.0%	9 5.9%	0 0.0%	15 6.4%
DK/NA	105 13.0%	25 18.5%	29 19.0%	14 15.0%	28 12.3%	
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	143 17.7%	24 17.8%	38 25.0%	12 13.5%	41 17.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	331 41.0%	61 45.4%	67 43.7%	40 43.9%	100 43.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	151 18.7%	29 21.7%	25 16.0%	21 22.5%	35 15.2%
	Very dissatisfied	106 13.2%	10 7.4%	17 11.3%	11 12.1%	29 12.7%
DK/NA	76 9.4%	10 7.6%	6 4.0%	7 8.0%	25 10.7%	
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	62 7.6%	14 10.2%	11 7.2%	5 5.7%	14 6.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	235 29.1%	49 36.3%	41 26.8%	22 24.4%	75 32.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	217 26.9%	33 24.9%	45 29.4%	28 30.9%	53 23.3%
	Very dissatisfied	185 22.9%	23 17.0%	36 23.5%	29 31.3%	54 23.5%
DK/NA	108 13.4%	16 11.7%	20 13.2%	7 7.7%	33 14.4%	
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	306 37.9%	45 33.9%	70 45.6%	40 43.2%	91 39.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	301 37.3%	53 39.8%	51 33.3%	37 40.4%	84 36.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	61	16	13	2	6

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
3A. Police services	Total	77	123
	Very satisfied	17 22.0%	34 27.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	43 55.4%	52 42.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 7.8%	9 7.4%
	Very dissatisfied	11 14.8%	22 17.7%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	7 5.4%
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Total	77	123
	Very satisfied	16 21.3%	33 26.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	33 42.6%	51 41.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16 20.6%	4 2.9%
	Very dissatisfied	12 15.5%	26 20.9%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	9 7.7%
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Total	77	123
	Very satisfied	9 11.5%	19 15.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	21 27.3%	42 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	21 26.9%	21 17.4%
	Very dissatisfied	20 26.2%	19 15.2%
	DK/NA	6 8.1%	21 17.5%
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Total	77	123
	Very satisfied	4 5.6%	13 11.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	19 24.9%	29 23.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28 35.8%	30 24.2%
	Very dissatisfied	16 20.8%	28 22.8%
	DK/NA	10 13.0%	22 18.3%
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and	Total	77	123
	Very satisfied	25 33.1%	34 27.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	18 23.1%	58 47.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17	7

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
events	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.5%	11.6%	8.5%	1.6%	2.8%
	Very dissatisfied	43 5.3%	1 0.5%	4 2.8%	2 2.4%	16 7.1%
	DK/NA	97 12.0%	19 14.2%	15 9.8%	11 12.4%	31 13.5%
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	89 11.0%	24 18.2%	18 11.9%	7 7.8%	17 7.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	182 22.5%	42 31.3%	36 23.4%	12 13.0%	39 17.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 4.4%	10 7.7%	5 3.3%	6 6.5%	9 4.0%
	Very dissatisfied	28 3.4%	5 3.8%	7 4.4%	0 0.0%	4 1.6%
	DK/NA	474 58.6%	52 39.0%	88 57.1%	67 72.7%	160 69.8%
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	179 22.1%	33 24.9%	42 27.1%	21 23.3%	44 19.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	269 33.3%	45 33.5%	57 36.8%	31 33.4%	75 32.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	179 22.2%	26 19.2%	26 17.0%	12 13.5%	72 31.6%
	Very dissatisfied	101 12.5%	12 8.8%	21 13.6%	17 18.1%	22 9.8%
	DK/NA	81 10.0%	18 13.7%	8 5.4%	11 11.7%	15 6.5%
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	18 2.3%	3 2.2%	6 4.2%	0 0.3%	1 0.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	97 12.1%	25 18.4%	16 10.1%	21 22.4%	13 5.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	207 25.6%	54 40.2%	56 36.6%	18 20.0%	41 18.1%
	Very dissatisfied	480 59.4%	50 37.3%	74 48.1%	52 56.9%	173 75.5%
	DK/NA	6 0.7%	3 2.0%	1 1.0%	0 0.5%	0 0.0%
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	70 8.6%	12 9.0%	22 14.0%	8 8.6%	8 3.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	174 21.5%	45 33.5%	43 28.1%	23 25.3%	33 14.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	186 23.0%	41 30.6%	44 28.3%	21 22.8%	36 15.9%
	Very dissatisfied	365 45.2%	32 23.5%	45 29.2%	36 38.7%	152 66.5%
	DK/NA	14 1.7%	4 3.3%	0 0.3%	4 4.6%	0 0.0%
Total	808	134	154	92	229	

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
events	Somewhat dissatisfied	22.1%	6.1%
	Very dissatisfied	11	9
		14.5%	7.0%
	DK/NA	6	15
		7.2%	12.1%
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Total	77	123
	Very satisfied	6	16
		7.6%	13.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	26	27
		33.4%	22.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	3
		3.3%	2.2%
	Very dissatisfied	9	3
	11.3%	2.8%	
DK/NA	34	73	
	44.5%	59.5%	
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Total	77	123
	Very satisfied	13	25
		17.0%	20.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	20	42
		25.9%	34.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	20	23
		25.9%	18.6%
	Very dissatisfied	13	16
	16.3%	13.3%	
DK/NA	11	17	
	14.9%	13.9%	
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Total	77	123
	Very satisfied	2	5
		2.3%	4.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	18
		6.6%	15.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	25
		14.9%	20.6%
	Very dissatisfied	59	73
	76.2%	59.2%	
DK/NA	0	1	
	0.0%	0.8%	
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Total	77	123
	Very satisfied	7	13
		9.7%	10.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	10	19
		13.4%	15.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16	28
		20.9%	22.8%
	Very dissatisfied	43	58
	55.9%	47.2%	
DK/NA	0	5	
	0.0%	3.9%	
	Total	77	123

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda of las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	Very satisfied	325	50	68	46	96
		40.2%	37.2%	44.0%	50.2%	41.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	326	47	56	31	109
		40.4%	35.0%	36.6%	33.6%	47.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	25	17	11	19
		12.1%	18.5%	11.3%	11.7%	8.2%
	Very dissatisfied	58	11	12	4	5
		7.2%	7.9%	8.1%	4.5%	2.3%
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	
	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	223	45	49	30	62
		27.6%	33.5%	31.9%	32.9%	27.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	325	46	67	36	99
		40.2%	34.0%	43.8%	39.5%	43.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	93	13	15	3	15
		11.5%	10.0%	9.5%	3.7%	6.4%
	Very dissatisfied	45	16	8	4	4
	5.5%	11.6%	5.2%	4.0%	1.6%	
DK/NA	122	15	15	18	49	
	15.1%	11.0%	9.6%	19.9%	21.3%	
3L. Library facilities and services	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Very satisfied	375	61	81	46	104
		46.5%	45.2%	52.9%	49.6%	45.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	223	39	38	28	68
		27.6%	29.0%	24.9%	31.0%	29.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	59	5	11	4	15
		7.3%	3.8%	6.8%	3.9%	6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	35	1	3	2	6
	4.4%	0.8%	2.0%	2.5%	2.6%	
DK/NA	115	28	21	12	35	
	14.3%	21.2%	13.4%	13.0%	15.4%	

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	18 22.9%	47 38.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	31 39.8%	53 42.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	14 18.4%	12 9.6%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	15 18.9%	11 8.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	77	123
<b>3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	13 16.3%	24 19.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	24 31.1%	53 42.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	23 29.6%	24 19.4%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8 10.0%	6 5.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	10 13.1%	16 12.9%
	<b>Total</b>	77	123
<b>3L. Library facilities and services</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	30 38.5%	54 44.3%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	19 24.7%	30 24.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	10 13.5%	14 11.8%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13 16.7%	10 8.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 6.5%	14 11.4%
	<b>Total</b>	77	123

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	322 39.8%	22 37.6%	35 57.5%	142 37.0%	122 40.3%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	316 39.1%	29 48.7%	19 30.8%	151 39.1%	118 38.8%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	52 6.5%	0 0.3%	3 4.7%	24 6.2%	26 8.4%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	51 6.4%	7 11.6%	4 5.8%	26 6.9%	15 4.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	67 8.3%	1 1.8%	1 1.2%	42 10.8%	23 7.7%
	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	233 28.9%	19 32.1%	23 37.8%	87 22.6%	104 34.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	308 38.2%	27 45.7%	26 43.4%	154 39.9%	102 33.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	96 11.9%	6 9.4%	2 4.1%	46 11.8%	42 14.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	65 8.1%	7 11.3%	7 10.7%	30 7.9%	22 7.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	105 13.0%	1 1.5%	2 4.0%	69 17.8%	33 11.0%
	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	143 17.7%	14 23.5%	15 25.2%	61 15.8%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>		331 41.0%	33 56.3%	26 43.2%	155 40.2%	117 38.7%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>		151 18.7%	8 12.8%	11 18.4%	73 18.9%	60 19.7%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>		106 13.2%	4 7.2%	5 8.4%	47 12.2%	50 16.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>		76 9.4%	0 0.3%	3 4.8%	50 13.0%	23 7.6%
<b>Total</b>		808	59	61	385	304
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>		<b>Very satisfied</b>	62 7.6%	6 10.3%	8 12.4%	35 9.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	235 29.1%	23 38.6%	21 34.6%	115 29.8%	77 25.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	217 26.9%	13 22.2%	10 16.8%	101 26.3%	93 30.5%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	185 22.9%	8 13.1%	11 17.9%	83 21.6%	83 27.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	108 13.4%	9 15.9%	11 18.3%	51 13.1%	37 12.3%
	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	306 37.9%	20 33.8%	29 47.4%	134 34.7%	124 40.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	301 37.3%	30 51.7%	22 36.2%	144 37.3%	105 34.6%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	61 7.5%	4 7.3%	2 3.3%	38 9.8%	17 5.6%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	43 5.3%	2 3.4%	4 6.4%	15 3.9%	22 7.2%
	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very dissatisfied	5.3%	3.2%	7.3%	3.8%	7.3%
	DK/NA	12.0%	3.9%	5.9%	14.4%	11.7%
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Very satisfied	89	2	16	49	22
	Somewhat satisfied	182	16	25	80	60
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22.5%	27.7%	41.3%	20.8%	19.9%
	Very dissatisfied	36	1	2	17	16
	DK/NA	4.4%	2.0%	3.0%	4.4%	5.3%
	DK/NA	28	4	3	15	6
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Very satisfied	179	15	16	79	69
	Somewhat satisfied	269	24	15	119	111
	Somewhat dissatisfied	179	11	12	97	59
	Very dissatisfied	101	5	11	47	38
	DK/NA	81	4	7	43	27
	DK/NA	10.0%	7.1%	11.9%	11.1%	8.9%
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Very satisfied	18	2	5	0	11
	Somewhat satisfied	97	12	6	36	45
	Somewhat dissatisfied	207	15	10	99	83
	Very dissatisfied	480	29	40	248	163
	DK/NA	6	1	0	3	2
	DK/NA	0.7%	1.7%	0.3%	0.7%	0.6%
3J. Providing clean, well	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Very satisfied	70	6	21	26	17
	Somewhat satisfied	174	23	6	72	72
	Somewhat dissatisfied	186	14	13	86	73
	Very dissatisfied	365	16	20	190	139
	DK/NA	14	0	0	11	3
	DK/NA	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.9%

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	1	4	53	40
	Very dissatisfied	58	6	5	28	19
	DK/NA	2	0	0	2	0
	DK/NA	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Very satisfied	223	8	27	110	78
	Somewhat satisfied	325	39	18	147	121
	Somewhat dissatisfied	93	3	3	45	41
	Very dissatisfied	45	4	3	23	14
	DK/NA	122	5	9	60	49
	DK/NA	15.1%	7.9%	14.9%	15.5%	16.2%
3L. Library facilities and services	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Very satisfied	375	36	32	176	131
	Somewhat satisfied	223	14	10	111	87
	Somewhat dissatisfied	59	2	4	24	29
	Very dissatisfied	35	2	1	20	12
	DK/NA	115	5	13	53	45
	DK/NA	14.3%	7.8%	21.4%	13.7%	14.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied		C		
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Very satisfied				C
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA			A B	
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA			A	
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied		A C D		
	Somewhat satisfied		C D		
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA	B		B	B
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very satisfied	C	C		C
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				D
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Very satisfied		A C D		
	Somewhat satisfied	B C			
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied			A	
	DK/NA	.a	.a		
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and	Very satisfied				C
	Somewhat dissatisfied				

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
sidewalks	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA	.a	.a		
	Very satisfied		A D		
	Somewhat satisfied	B C D			
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied	D			
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
3L. Library facilities and services	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
3A. Police services	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Very satisfied	294	31	49	68	40	106
	Somewhat satisfied	41.4%	29.2%	34.7%	45.2%	43.4%	48.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	267	53	52	51	32	80
	Very dissatisfied	37.5%	49.8%	36.4%	34.1%	34.6%	36.0%
	DK/NA	47	3	15	7	8	14
	DK/NA	6.5%	2.7%	10.5%	4.8%	8.4%	6.2%
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Very satisfied	207	31	51	30	31	65
	Somewhat satisfied	29.1%	29.0%	35.8%	19.9%	33.6%	29.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	273	47	37	71	30	88
	Very dissatisfied	38.4%	44.7%	25.8%	47.7%	32.6%	39.6%
	DK/NA	87	8	26	19	16	17
	DK/NA	12.2%	7.7%	18.3%	12.9%	17.6%	7.8%
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Very satisfied	56	4	22	11	5	13
	Somewhat satisfied	7.9%	4.0%	15.4%	7.7%	5.5%	6.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	88	15	7	18	10	38
	Very dissatisfied	12.4%	14.6%	4.6%	11.8%	10.8%	17.4%
	DK/NA						
	DK/NA						
Total	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Very satisfied	125	21	21	30	20	33



	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
very dissatisfied	4.1%	8.6%	4.8%	4.2%	0.6%	3.0%
	100	24	16	21	17	22
DK/NA	14.0%	22.7%	11.4%	14.2%	18.2%	9.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied					A
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	B	C	B		
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		E			
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very dissatisfied		A E			
	DK/NA					B
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					A B D
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied			A	A	A
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	D E	E			
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA	B				
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied			B		B
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	C D E				
	Very dissatisfied					
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	DK/NA				E	
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B	E		B	
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA		a			
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					a
	Very satisfied					
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A		A	
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA	a	a		a	
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied					A B
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		D E			
	Very dissatisfied					
3L. Library facilities and services	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied			A		A
	Somewhat satisfied		E			
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA	E				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	292	81	70	19	38	37
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	261	91	52	20	26	29
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	46	9	16	2	3	7
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	41	17	6	3	3	4
	<b>DK/NA</b>	59	30	4	4	9	5
		8.5%	13.3%	2.8%	7.5%	10.9%	6.4%
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	204	54	44	11	21	35
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	268	91	63	16	32	24
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	86	23	21	11	7	11
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	53	18	9	5	9	5
	<b>DK/NA</b>	88	41	13	4	10	8
		12.6%	18.0%	8.5%	8.8%	13.0%	9.5%
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	125	50	29	4	3	24
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	300	94	59	22	38	29
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	126	40	29	11	17	13
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	92	24	20	5	18	11
	<b>DK/NA</b>	56	20	13	4	2	6
		8.0%	8.9%	8.5%	9.2%	3.1%	7.5%
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	47	18	6	3	4	9
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	204	60	55	11	18	26
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	192	60	41	16	22	17
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	159	48	24	13	24	26
	<b>DK/NA</b>	97	41	24	4	10	5
		13.8%	18.0%	16.1%	9.4%	12.3%	6.2%
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	277	96	54	20	33	32
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	257	90	61	18	26	22
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	45	16	4	4	0	9
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	40	5	9	1	6	15
		6.4%	7.1%	2.5%	9.2%	0.4%	10.8%
		5.7%	2.2%	6.0%	2.1%	7.7%	18.0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	91	12	11
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	35	6	5
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	33	5	5
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	8	1	0
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8	0	0
	<b>DK/NA</b>	7	0	0
		8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Total</b>	91	12	11
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	29	5	3
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	30	5	7
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	12	1	0
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	7	1	0
	<b>DK/NA</b>	12	0	0
		13.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Total</b>	91	12	11
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	12	2	1
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	44	6	8
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	4	2
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	14	0	0
	<b>DK/NA</b>	10	0	0
		11.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Total</b>	91	12	11
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	6	0	0
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	28	3	5
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	29	4	3
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	17	5	3
	<b>DK/NA</b>	12	1	0
		12.8%	7.3%	0.0%
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	91	12	11
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	26	7	8
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	34	3	3
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	10	2	0
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	5	0	0
		10.5%	15.5%	0.0%
		5.5%	0.0%	0.0%

	Total	Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	5.8%	2.2%	6.1%	1.4%	7.7%	18.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>	11.3%	8.8%	14.3%	10.5%	16.4%	4.5%
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>						
<b>Very satisfied</b>	78	30	14	8	15	5
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	155	44	43	5	24	20
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	22.2%	19.4%	29.0%	10.1%	30.6%	24.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	30	4	1	4	4	11
<b>DK/NA</b>	21	12	0.6%	7.7%	5.4%	13.7%
<b>Total</b>	414	138	90	30	31	46
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>						
<b>Very satisfied</b>	161	53	34	14	18	19
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	238	79	51	13	21	31
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	148	42	36	12	16	18
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	83	34	18	4	11	9
<b>DK/NA</b>	70	20	11	5	13	7
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>						
<b>Very satisfied</b>	18	8	3	2	0	1
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	85	35	14	1	3	19
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	184	56	39	19	23	20
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	407	129	92	26	50	42
<b>DK/NA</b>	6	0	2	0	3	0
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>						
<b>Very satisfied</b>	62	25	11	1	6	13
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	147	53	29	16	17	15
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	165	43	39	10	14	17
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	316	101	71	20	39	37
<b>DK/NA</b>	9	6	0	3	0	0
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
<b>3J. Providing clean, well</b>						
<b>Very satisfied</b>	296	93	63	16	23	53
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	270	92	57	19	38	16
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83

	Total	Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	17.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	91	12	11	11
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>				
<b>Very satisfied</b>	4	2	1	1
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	12	2	5	5
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	6	1	0	0
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	1	0	0	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	68	7	5	5
<b>Total</b>	91	12	11	11
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>				
<b>Very satisfied</b>	18	2	4	4
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	36	5	2	2
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	18	3	2	2
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	7	1	0	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	12	0	3	3
<b>Total</b>	91	12	11	11
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>				
<b>Very satisfied</b>	4	0	0	0
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	11	2	0	0
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	20	3	4	4
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	54	7	7	7
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	91	12	11	11
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>				
<b>Very satisfied</b>	5	2	0	0
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	13	2	2	2
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	36	3	3	3
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	37	5	6	6
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	91	12	11	11
<b>3J. Providing clean, well</b>				
<b>Very satisfied</b>	37	5	5	5
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	37	6	5	5
<b>Total</b>	91	12	11	11

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat dissatisfied	82 11.8%	31 13.5%	16 10.7%	9 18.2%	9 12.0%	7 8.5%
	Very dissatisfied	49 7.0%	9 4.1%	14 9.1%	4 8.5%	8 9.6%	7 8.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.3%	2 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>		699	228	150	47	78	83
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied	199 28.4%	69 30.3%	42 28.0%	14 29.1%	24 30.4%	25 30.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	281 40.2%	99 43.7%	61 40.9%	20 42.0%	27 35.0%	34 40.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	75 10.8%	28 12.2%	15 9.9%	2 4.3%	16 20.1%	10 11.5%
	Very dissatisfied	41 5.8%	8 3.6%	8 5.3%	8 16.4%	8 10.3%	4 4.8%
	DK/NA	103 14.8%	23 10.2%	24 16.0%	4 8.2%	3 4.2%	11 12.8%
	<b>Total</b>		699	228	150	47	78
3L. Library facilities and services	Very satisfied	324 46.3%	110 48.3%	69 46.1%	27 57.4%	35 44.9%	36 44.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	194 27.8%	50 22.1%	52 34.4%	11 23.2%	23 29.0%	23 27.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 7.4%	27 11.7%	2 1.4%	2 3.3%	5 6.7%	8 10.2%
	Very dissatisfied	29 4.2%	10 4.4%	4 2.5%	2 4.6%	2 2.8%	10 12.1%
	DK/NA	100 14.3%	31 13.5%	23 15.6%	5 11.6%	13 16.6%	5 6.3%
	<b>Total</b>		699	228	150	47	78

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat dissatisfied	9 10.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	7 8.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.5%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>		91	12	11
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied	16 18.0%	6 46.5%	3 29.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	27 30.3%	5 41.0%	7 65.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5 5.2%	1 7.3%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5 5.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.5%
	DK/NA	37 41.3%	1 5.2%	1 5.0%
	<b>Total</b>		91	12
3L. Library facilities and services	Very satisfied	33 36.8%	7 59.1%	6 55.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	30 33.4%	3 28.5%	2 19.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 6.9%	1 12.5%	0 0.5%
	Very dissatisfied	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	19 21.4%	0 0.0%	3 24.3%
	<b>Total</b>		91	12

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA	B					
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Very satisfied					A	
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very satisfied	D				D	
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied					A	
	DK/NA						
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied					AB	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a	
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA	D					D
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied					CD	
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA	a					
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						A
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA		a	a		a	
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied	E			E		
	Somewhat dissatisfied						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA		
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA	a	a
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		a
	DK/NA	a	a
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	D	a
	Very dissatisfied		a
	DK/NA		a
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA		
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA	a	
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very satisfied		a
	Somewhat satisfied		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA	a	
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA	a	a
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
sidewalks	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very dissatisfied			A			
	DK/NA						A B C D E
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B					
3L. Library facilities and services	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied					B	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
sidewalks	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very dissatisfied	a	
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
3L. Library facilities and services	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
3A. Police services	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Very satisfied	155	44	53	23	23
		37.2%	42.2%	37.3%	37.8%	45.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	168	34	58	20	20
		40.4%	32.4%	41.3%	33.4%	38.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28	6	11	8	2
		6.8%	5.6%	8.0%	13.6%	3.3%
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Very dissatisfied	21	8	5	5	3
		5.0%	7.4%	3.9%	8.6%	5.1%
	DK/NA	44	13	13	4	4
		10.6%	12.4%	9.5%	6.7%	6.9%
	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Very satisfied	110	35	33	14	20
		26.5%	33.3%	23.2%	22.3%	39.7%
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Somewhat satisfied	167	40	65	29	17
		40.2%	38.5%	45.8%	47.1%	32.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	44	6	19	9	3
		10.6%	5.3%	13.3%	15.2%	6.1%
	Very dissatisfied	25	10	8	4	4
		6.1%	9.3%	5.3%	6.0%	8.7%
	DK/NA	70	14	17	6	7
	16.7%	13.6%	12.3%	9.4%	12.8%	
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Very satisfied	68	18	29	6	7
		16.4%	17.2%	20.8%	9.8%	12.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	47	56	30	25
		41.7%	45.2%	40.0%	48.9%	49.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	87	21	29	16	10
		20.8%	19.7%	20.3%	26.9%	18.9%
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Very dissatisfied	48	8	21	5	9
		11.6%	8.0%	15.0%	8.4%	16.9%
	DK/NA	40	10	6	4	1
		9.6%	9.9%	3.9%	6.0%	1.6%
	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Very satisfied	20	9	6	3	2
		4.7%	8.9%	3.9%	4.1%	4.4%
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Somewhat satisfied	136	33	41	21	15
		32.7%	32.0%	29.2%	35.0%	29.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	114	35	40	14	10
		27.3%	34.0%	28.4%	22.2%	20.5%
	Very dissatisfied	84	10	34	18	15
		20.3%	9.2%	24.3%	28.8%	28.9%
	DK/NA	63	17	20	6	9
	15.1%	15.9%	14.2%	9.8%	17.2%	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Very satisfied	161	42	55	26	23
		38.7%	40.7%	39.1%	42.8%	44.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	159	44	57	22	13
		38.1%	41.8%	40.5%	36.6%	25.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	6	8	6	8
		7.3%	5.4%	5.9%	9.2%	15.2%

		Ballot Test - \$50	
		Not sure [DK/NA]	
3A. Police services	Total	59	
	Very satisfied	12	20.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	36	61.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	1.7%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0.0%
	DK/NA	10	17.2%
	3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Total	59
Very satisfied		9	15.0%
Somewhat satisfied		17	29.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied		7	12.3%
Very dissatisfied		0	0.0%
DK/NA	26	43.7%	
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Total	59	
	Very satisfied	8	14.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	15	25.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	19.1%
	Very dissatisfied	5	8.2%
DK/NA	20	33.3%	
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Total	59	
	Very satisfied	0	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	25	43.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14	24.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8	13.8%
DK/NA	11	19.2%	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Total	59	
	Very satisfied	15	24.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	23	38.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	5.2%

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
	Very dissatisfied	13	4	5	2	0
	DK/NA	54	8	15	5	7
		12.9%	8.0%	10.6%	7.6%	14.6%
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Very satisfied	40	14	12	6	6
	Somewhat satisfied	89	24	33	11	9
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	2	3	2	4
	Very dissatisfied	15	8	3	0	3
	DK/NA	258	56	90	42	29
		61.9%	54.0%	64.0%	68.0%	55.7%
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Very satisfied	79	20	29	10	10
	Somewhat satisfied	138	38	49	23	11
	Somewhat dissatisfied	88	16	24	20	6
	Very dissatisfied	58	22	17	2	13
	DK/NA	53	8	23	6	11
		12.8%	8.1%	16.2%	10.0%	20.8%
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Very satisfied	6	2	0	0	2
	Somewhat satisfied	52	21	16	4	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	109	26	33	17	10
	Very dissatisfied	247	56	92	40	33
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	3
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Very satisfied	38	12	12	2	6
	Somewhat satisfied	89	19	34	10	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	104	27	32	24	11
	Very dissatisfied	180	47	62	23	26
	DK/NA	6	0	0	2	3
		1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	5.1%
	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Very satisfied	161	44	61	17	25
		38.6%	42.5%	43.2%	27.3%	48.0%

		Ballot Test - \$50	
		Not sure [DK/NA]	
	Very dissatisfied	0	0.8%
	DK/NA	18	30.9%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>59</b>	
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied	2	3.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	11	19.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	7.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0.0%
	DK/NA	41	70.2%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>59</b>	
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Very satisfied	11	18.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	18	30.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	21	36.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	5.4%
	DK/NA	5	9.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>59</b>		
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very satisfied	2	3.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	13.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23	38.2%
	Very dissatisfied	26	44.6%
	DK/NA	0	0.3%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>59</b>	
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Very satisfied	6	10.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	19	33.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9	15.5%
	Very dissatisfied	22	37.7%
	DK/NA	2	3.1%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>59</b>	
	Very satisfied	15	24.7%

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat satisfied	181	42	56	29	16
		43.4%	40.5%	39.7%	47.0%	32.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	13	15	12	6
		12.1%	12.8%	10.6%	19.9%	11.3%
	Very dissatisfied	23	4	9	4	4
	5.4%	4.3%	6.5%	5.7%	8.6%	
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>51</b>
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied	119	30	43	17	18
		28.5%	28.9%	30.8%	27.7%	34.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	169	47	61	21	15
		40.6%	45.3%	43.1%	33.9%	29.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	44	10	18	7	6
		10.5%	9.3%	12.5%	11.5%	10.8%
	Very dissatisfied	17	4	6	2	4
		4.1%	4.3%	4.4%	4.0%	7.9%
	DK/NA	68	13	13	14	9
		16.2%	12.3%	9.3%	22.9%	17.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>51</b>
3L. Library facilities and services	Very satisfied	189	46	70	34	23
		45.4%	44.0%	49.5%	56.2%	44.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	115	31	46	16	5
		27.6%	29.5%	32.8%	26.9%	10.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	16	8	0	1
		6.1%	15.0%	5.8%	0.2%	2.6%
	Very dissatisfied	14	7	1	3	3
		3.4%	6.8%	0.7%	5.5%	5.1%
	DK/NA	73	5	16	7	19
		17.6%	4.7%	11.1%	11.3%	37.4%

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat satisfied	37 63.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 6.8%
	Very dissatisfied	1 1.8%
	DK/NA	2 3.1%
	<b>Total</b>	59
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied	10 17.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	25 42.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 6.8%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.3%
	DK/NA	19 32.2%
<b>Total</b>	59	
3L. Library facilities and services	Very satisfied	16 27.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	16 27.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	27 45.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3A. Police services	Very satisfied	E			E	A C
	Somewhat satisfied					. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat dissatisfied					.
	Very dissatisfied					.
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	DK/NA				E	
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					. <sup>a</sup>
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Very dissatisfied					A B C D
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Somewhat dissatisfied					A B C D . <sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA					
	Very dissatisfied		A	A	A	
	Very satisfied					
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					A B C
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					. <sup>a</sup>
	Very dissatisfied					
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	C				C E A B D
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat satisfied					
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied				E	
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					. <sup>a</sup>
3J. Providing clean, well	Somewhat satisfied					A B D



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					A B
3L. Library facilities and services	Very satisfied		E	E		
	Somewhat satisfied		D			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	C				a
	Very dissatisfied	B			A B C	a
	DK/NA					A B C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
3A. Police services	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Very satisfied	167	54	41	16	41
		42.6%	50.9%	38.6%	52.7%	33.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	148	31	38	11	60
		37.7%	29.4%	36.4%	35.3%	49.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24	2	11	1	9
		6.2%	1.6%	10.7%	2.7%	7.7%
	Very dissatisfied	30	10	9	2	7
	7.8%	9.6%	8.9%	7.4%	5.8%	
DK/NA	23	9	6	1	5	
	5.8%	8.5%	5.5%	1.9%	4.1%	
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Very satisfied	123	39	36	7	34
		31.4%	37.0%	33.9%	23.3%	27.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	141	32	28	16	52
		36.0%	30.3%	26.1%	52.3%	42.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	10	18	2	21
		13.3%	9.4%	17.1%	8.1%	16.8%
	Very dissatisfied	40	14	10	2	10
	10.2%	13.2%	9.1%	7.4%	8.0%	
DK/NA	36	11	15	3	6	
	9.1%	10.2%	13.8%	9.0%	5.0%	
3C. Communication between the City and residents	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Very satisfied	75	32	17	7	16
		19.1%	30.5%	16.0%	21.7%	12.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	158	36	57	10	39
		40.2%	34.0%	54.4%	33.8%	32.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	65	11	16	8	27
		16.5%	10.8%	14.9%	25.6%	21.9%
	Very dissatisfied	58	18	4	6	27
	14.9%	16.7%	3.6%	19.0%	22.2%	
DK/NA	36	8	12	0	13	
	9.2%	7.9%	11.1%	0.0%	10.8%	
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Very satisfied	42	16	17	2	6
		10.7%	15.0%	15.8%	6.7%	4.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	99	31	31	8	23
		25.4%	29.1%	29.3%	25.5%	19.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	104	32	24	6	36
		26.5%	30.7%	23.1%	21.1%	29.2%
	Very dissatisfied	101	18	20	7	48
	25.7%	17.0%	18.9%	24.5%	39.2%	
DK/NA	46	9	14	7	9	
	11.7%	8.2%	12.9%	22.1%	7.7%	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Very satisfied	145	55	32	13	33
		37.0%	52.5%	30.4%	43.2%	27.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	142	24	55	15	45
		36.4%	22.9%	52.0%	50.0%	36.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	31	6	7	0	13	
	7.8%	5.8%	6.9%	0.0%	11.0%	

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>3A. Police services</b>	<b>Total</b>	29
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	16 54.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	7 26.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1 4.1%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	2 6.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2 8.3%
	<b>Total</b>	29
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	8 26.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	14 48.5%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1 4.1%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	4 15.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2 5.5%
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	<b>Total</b>	29
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	4 13.3%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	15 52.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	3 11.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	4 13.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	3 9.8%	
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	<b>Total</b>	29
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	2 6.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	7 23.5%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	5 17.3%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8 27.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>	7 25.4%	
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	<b>Total</b>	29
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	11 39.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	4 12.8%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	4 13.4%

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	30 7.7%	7 6.9%	3 2.9%	0 0.0%	18 14.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	43 11.0%	12 11.9%	8 7.8%	2 6.9%	12 10.2%
	<b>Total</b>	392	105	106	30	122
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>	<b>Very satisfied</b>	49 12.5%	18 17.2%	9 8.6%	2 5.9%	18 14.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	93 23.8%	28 27.0%	21 20.3%	8 28.1%	28 23.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	21 5.3%	4 4.1%	0 0.1%	0 1.6%	16 13.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13 3.3%	4 3.7%	3 3.0%	1 4.5%	4 3.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	216 55.1%	51 48.1%	72 67.9%	18 59.9%	56 45.7%
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>	<b>Total</b>	392	105	106	30	122
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	99 25.4%	45 43.2%	18 17.5%	5 17.9%	20 16.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	130 33.3%	22 20.9%	46 43.4%	13 43.8%	44 36.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	91 23.4%	18 16.9%	28 26.7%	8 26.8%	33 26.9%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	43 10.9%	12 11.8%	6 5.7%	1 4.5%	20 16.1%
<b>DK/NA</b>	28 7.0%	8 7.3%	7 6.7%	2 7.1%	6 4.6%	
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>	<b>Total</b>	392	105	106	30	122
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	13 3.2%	7 6.5%	2 2.3%	0 0.0%	2 1.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	45 11.6%	12 11.1%	19 17.9%	7 21.6%	7 5.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	98 25.0%	34 32.7%	30 28.1%	8 25.1%	21 17.3%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	233 59.6%	50 47.4%	55 51.8%	16 53.3%	92 75.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	2 0.6%	2 2.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>	<b>Total</b>	392	105	106	30	122
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	32 8.1%	11 10.7%	8 7.5%	4 11.6%	7 5.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	85 21.7%	24 23.0%	33 30.9%	8 25.9%	13 10.5%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	82 21.0%	26 24.7%	26 24.4%	7 22.4%	21 17.1%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	185 47.3%	44 41.6%	35 33.0%	11 36.7%	81 66.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>	8 1.9%	0 0.0%	4 4.0%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	
<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	392	105	106	30	122
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	164 41.8%	51 48.8%	47 44.6%	8 25.0%	46 37.4%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
	Very dissatisfied	2 6.9%
	DK/NA	8 27.6%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	Very satisfied	2 7.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	7 23.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	20 69.1%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	Very satisfied	11 36.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	5 18.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5 16.2%
	Very dissatisfied	3 10.9%
	DK/NA	5 17.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>	
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	Very satisfied	1 5.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	1 5.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5 17.9%
	Very dissatisfied	21 71.9%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>	
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	Very satisfied	2 7.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	7 26.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 9.3%
	Very dissatisfied	14 48.9%
	DK/NA	2 8.3%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>
	Very satisfied	12 42.0%

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat satisfied	145 37.1%	37 34.8%	47 44.9%	15 49.8%	33 27.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	47 12.1%	9 8.3%	7 7.0%	5 15.0%	26 21.0%
	Very dissatisfied	35 9.0%	8 8.1%	4 3.5%	3 10.2%	18 14.4%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>122</b>
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied	104 26.7%	36 34.0%	30 28.2%	8 26.6%	21 17.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	156 39.8%	38 35.8%	38 36.3%	17 56.2%	52 42.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	49 12.5%	8 7.5%	14 13.3%	1 3.7%	21 16.8%
	Very dissatisfied	27 7.0%	12 11.1%	7 6.4%	0 0.0%	9 7.5%
	DK/NA	55 14.0%	12 11.6%	17 15.9%	4 13.6%	19 15.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>122</b>	
3L. Library facilities and services	Very satisfied	186 47.6%	57 54.5%	54 51.4%	14 46.4%	48 39.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	108 27.6%	27 25.8%	24 22.7%	11 36.6%	40 32.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 8.7%	5 5.0%	9 8.2%	0 0.0%	17 13.8%
	Very dissatisfied	21 5.4%	6 5.7%	5 5.1%	0 0.0%	8 6.4%
	DK/NA	42 10.7%	9 9.0%	13 12.6%	5 17.0%	9 7.5%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks</b>	Somewhat satisfied	13 45.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1 4.1%
	Very dissatisfied	2 8.3%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events</b>	Very satisfied	10 35.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	11 38.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5 18.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 8.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>	
<b>3L. Library facilities and services</b>	Very satisfied	12 43.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	6 21.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 10.9%
	Very dissatisfied	2 6.9%
	DK/NA	5 17.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>3A. Police services</b>	Very satisfied				A	
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
<b>3B. Neighborhood police patrols</b>	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
<b>3C. Communication between the City and residents</b>	Very satisfied	D				
	Somewhat satisfied		A D			
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied	B		B	B	
	DK/NA					
<b>3D. Land use, planning and zoning</b>	Very satisfied		D			
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					A B
	DK/NA					
<b>3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events</b>	Very satisfied	B D				
	Somewhat satisfied		A E	A E <sup>a</sup>		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			<sup>a</sup>		
	Very dissatisfied			<sup>a</sup>	B	
	DK/NA					B
<b>3F. Providing programs for senior citizens</b>	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied				B	<sup>a</sup>
	Very dissatisfied					<sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA					<sup>a</sup>
<b>3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel</b>	Very satisfied	B D				
	Somewhat satisfied		A			
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
<b>3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours</b>	Very satisfied			<sup>a</sup>		
	Somewhat satisfied		D			
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA		<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	A B	<sup>a</sup>
<b>3I. Neighborhood traffic flow</b>	Very satisfied		D			
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied				A B C	
	DK/NA	<sup>a</sup>			<sup>a</sup>	
<b>3J. Providing clean, well</b>	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
maintained streets and sidewalks	Somewhat dissatisfied				B	
	Very dissatisfied				B	
	DK/NA	a	a	a		a
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	Very satisfied	D				
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a		a
3L. Library facilities and services	Very dissatisfied			a		a
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
3L. Library facilities and services	Somewhat satisfied			a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
3L. Library facilities and services	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	808	808
	Daily	253	253
	Once a week	195	195
	Weekly	125	125
	A few times a month	131	131
	Once a month	47	47
	A few times a year	27	27
	Once a year	7	7
	Less than once a year	6	6
	Never	15	15
	DK/NA	2	2

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Total
		Total
		(A)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily	.
	Once a week	.
	Weekly	.
	A few times a month	.
	Once a month	.
	A few times a year	.
	Once a year	.
	Less than once a year	.
	Never	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	808	377	418	13
	Daily	253	119	130	4
	Once a week	195	96	95	4
	Weekly	125	50	73	2
	A few times a month	131	59	70	2
	Once a month	47	30	18	0
	A few times a year	27	12	15	0
	Once a year	7	5	2	0
	Less than once a year	6	2	4	0
	Never	15	5	10	0
	DK/NA	2	0	2	0

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily			
	Once a week			
	Weekly			
	A few times a month			a
	Once a month	B		a
	A few times a year			a
	Once a year			a
	Less than once a year			a
	Never			a
	DK/NA	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	Daily	253	10	23	55	50	40	68	7
		31.3%	15.0%	18.0%	35.5%	33.4%	36.8%	36.5%	52.0%
	Once a week	195	10	26	33	40	32	55	0
		24.1%	14.7%	20.1%	21.0%	26.7%	29.0%	29.3%	2.0%
	Weekly	125	18	22	12	26	16	27	5
		15.5%	26.2%	17.4%	7.5%	17.6%	14.5%	14.4%	37.1%
	A few times a month	131	17	32	30	23	13	16	0
		16.2%	25.0%	25.1%	19.0%	15.5%	12.3%	8.6%	0.0%
	Once a month	47	4	16	12	7	1	6	1
		5.9%	5.9%	12.8%	7.7%	4.6%	1.1%	3.2%	8.8%
	A few times a year	27	2	6	9	1	4	6	0
		3.3%	2.6%	5.0%	5.8%	0.4%	3.3%	3.1%	0.0%
	Once a year	7	0	1	2	0	1	2	0
	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	1.5%	0.0%	1.2%	1.2%	0.0%	
Less than once a year	6	2	1	1	0	0	2	0	
	0.8%	2.7%	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	
Never	15	5	0	2	3	2	3	0	
	1.8%	7.8%	0.0%	1.2%	1.9%	1.8%	1.4%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily			A B		A B	A B	
	Once a week							
	Weekly	C						C
	A few times a month	F	F					
	Once a month		E F					
	A few times a year							a
	Once a year	a			a			a
	Less than once a year				a			a
	Never		a					a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	710	470	240
	Daily	217	144	73
		30.5%	30.6%	30.4%
	Once a week	174	122	52
		24.5%	26.0%	21.6%
	Weekly	114	71	43
		16.1%	15.1%	17.9%
	A few times a month	121	70	52
		17.1%	14.9%	21.5%
	Once a month	34	30	4
		4.7%	6.4%	1.5%
	A few times a year	24	20	4
		3.4%	4.3%	1.7%
Once a year	7	4	3	
	1.0%	0.8%	1.2%	
Less than once a year	6	3	3	
	0.9%	0.7%	1.3%	
Never	11	6	5	
	1.5%	1.2%	2.2%	
DK/NA	2	0	2	
	0.3%	0.0%	0.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily		
	Once a week		
	Weekly		
	A few times a month		A
	Once a month	B	
	A few times a year		
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year		
	Never		
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party					
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS	
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	710	385	126	23	176	
	Daily	217	123	43	6	46	
	Once a week	174	96	28	3	47	
	Weekly	114	63	24	5	21	
	A few times a month	121	61	20	5	35	
	Once a month	34	14	5	3	11	
	A few times a year	24	10	1	0	13	
	Once a year	7	3	2	0	1	
	Less than once a year	6	6	1	0	0	
	Never	11	8	1	0	2	
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	
			0.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily				
	Once a week				
	Weekly				
	A few times a month				
	Once a month				
	A few times a year			a	A B
	Once a year			a	
	Less than once a year			a	a
	Never			a	
	DK/NA		a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Daily	217	60	39	12	20	46	40
	Once a week	174	61	27	8	8	30	39
	Weekly	114	31	17	8	8	32	18
	A few times a month	121	34	15	10	7	23	32
	Once a month	34	5	6	3	2	11	6
	A few times a year	24	5	3	0	1	5	10
	Once a year	7	3	0	1	0	1	1
	Less than once a year	6	4	1	1	0	0	0
	Never	11	2	3	0	0	6	0
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
			0.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily						
	Once a week						
	Weekly						
	A few times a month						
	Once a month						
	A few times a year						
	Once a year		a		a		
	Less than once a year				a	a	a
	Never				a		a
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	710	297	95	46	69
	Daily	217	71	40	11	25
	Once a week	174	69	22	6	18
	Weekly	114	55	8	10	6
	A few times a month	121	62	15	11	12
	Once a month	34	12	4	5	7
	A few times a year	24	13	3	2	0
	Once a year	7	3	1	0	0
	Less than once a year	6	2	2	1	0
	Never	11	7	0	0	0
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	57	44	67	35
	Daily	23	14	21	10
	Once a week	41.3%	31.4%	32.0%	29.2%
	Weekly	10	17	24	7
	A few times a month	17.3%	38.6%	35.6%	20.3%
	Once a month	16	5	8	7
	A few times a year	27.2%	12.1%	11.4%	20.1%
	Once a year	4	6	4	7
	Less than once a year	6.9%	13.7%	6.5%	19.5%
	Never	0	0	5	0
	DK/NA	0.0%	0.2%	7.3%	1.4%
		0	0	2	3
		0.5%	0.0%	3.3%	9.5%
		3	0	0	0
		4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		0	2	0	0
	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	1	0	3	0	
	1.8%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	
	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily		A			
	Once a week					
	Weekly					B
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					a
	A few times a year				a	
	Once a year			a	a	
	Less than once a year				a	a
	Never		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily			
	Once a week			
	Weekly			
	A few times a month			
	Once a month			
	A few times a year	a		
	Once a year	a	a	a
	Less than once a year		a	a
	Never	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a	a



Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>Total</b>	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>Daily</b>	253	57	133	15	17	14	11	7
	31.3%	36.6%	31.2%	36.7%	26.5%	31.1%	25.3%	19.1%
<b>Once a week</b>	195	48	99	10	10	9	9	10
	24.1%	31.0%	23.3%	23.2%	16.6%	18.4%	21.0%	29.9%
<b>Weekly</b>	125	21	65	6	14	3	9	7
	15.5%	13.3%	15.4%	14.3%	22.8%	7.2%	21.5%	19.0%
<b>A few times a month</b>	131	13	71	8	13	14	5	7
	16.2%	8.6%	16.7%	19.0%	20.3%	29.2%	11.7%	20.4%
<b>Once a month</b>	47	5	31	1	3	3	3	0
	5.9%	3.5%	7.2%	3.1%	5.1%	7.4%	7.9%	0.0%
<b>A few times a year</b>	27	8	15	2	2	0	1	0
	3.3%	4.9%	3.5%	3.8%	3.0%	0.9%	1.7%	0.0%
<b>Once a year</b>	7	1	3	0	0	1	3	0
	0.9%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	6.7%	0.0%
<b>Less than once a year</b>	6	1	4	0	0	0	2	0
	0.8%	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%
<b>Never</b>	15	2	5	0	4	2	0	2
	1.8%	1.3%	1.2%	0.0%	5.6%	4.1%	0.0%	5.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>Daily</b>								
<b>Once a week</b>								
<b>Weekly</b>								
<b>A few times a month</b>								
<b>Once a month</b>					A			a
<b>A few times a year</b>								a
<b>Once a year</b>			a	a		AB		a
<b>Less than once a year</b>			a	a				a
<b>Never</b>			a	a				a
<b>DK/NA</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Total	Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
<b>Daily</b>	217	155	62
	30.5%	30.4%	30.8%
<b>Once a week</b>	174	127	47
	24.5%	24.9%	23.6%
<b>Weekly</b>	114	75	39
	16.1%	14.7%	19.5%
<b>A few times a month</b>	121	94	28
	17.1%	18.4%	13.8%
<b>Once a month</b>	34	22	11
	4.7%	4.4%	5.7%
<b>A few times a year</b>	24	15	9
	3.4%	3.0%	4.4%
<b>Once a year</b>	7	4	3
	1.0%	0.8%	1.3%
<b>Less than once a year</b>	6	5	2
	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
<b>Never</b>	11	11	0
	1.5%	2.1%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	2	2	0
	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Total	Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>Daily</b>			
<b>Once a week</b>			
<b>Weekly</b>			
<b>A few times a month</b>			
<b>Once a month</b>			
<b>A few times a year</b>			
<b>Once a year</b>			
<b>Less than once a year</b>			
<b>Never</b>			a
<b>DK/NA</b>			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>Daily</b>		217 30.5%	114 32.8%	103 28.3%
<b>Once a week</b>		174 24.5%	102 29.3%	72 19.9%
<b>Weekly</b>		114 16.1%	47 13.5%	67 18.5%
<b>A few times a month</b>		121 17.1%	52 15.1%	69 19.0%
<b>Once a month</b>		34 4.7%	14 4.0%	20 5.4%
<b>A few times a year</b>		24 3.4%	10 2.8%	15 4.0%
<b>Once a year</b>		7 1.0%	1 0.2%	6 1.7%
<b>Less than once a year</b>		6 0.9%	2 0.7%	4 1.1%
<b>Never</b>		11 1.5%	4 1.0%	7 2.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>		2 0.3%	2 0.6%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?</b>			
Daily		B	
Once a week			
Weekly			
A few times a month			
Once a month			A
A few times a year			
Once a year			
Less than once a year			
Never			a
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		808	710	98
<b>Daily</b>		253 31.3%	217 30.5%	36 37.2%
<b>Once a week</b>		195 24.1%	174 24.5%	21 21.1%
<b>Weekly</b>		125 15.5%	114 16.1%	11 11.5%
<b>A few times a month</b>		131 16.2%	121 17.1%	9 9.5%
<b>Once a month</b>		47 5.9%	34 4.7%	14 14.0%
<b>A few times a year</b>		27 3.3%	24 3.4%	3 2.8%
<b>Once a year</b>		7 0.9%	7 1.0%	0 0.0%
<b>Less than once a year</b>		6 0.8%	6 0.9%	0 0.0%
<b>Never</b>		15 1.8%	11 1.5%	4 3.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>		2 0.3%	2 0.3%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?</b>			
Daily			
Once a week			
Weekly			
A few times a month			
Once a month			A
A few times a year			a
Once a year			a
Less than once a year			a
Never			a
DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
<b>Daily</b>	253	109	143	1
	31.3%	31.2%	31.5%	24.3%
<b>Once a week</b>	195	64	131	0
	24.1%	18.2%	28.7%	10.0%
<b>Weekly</b>	125	66	59	0
	15.5%	18.8%	13.0%	1.1%
<b>A few times a month</b>	131	68	61	2
	16.2%	19.5%	13.4%	64.6%
<b>Once a month</b>	47	21	26	0
	5.9%	6.0%	5.8%	0.0%
<b>A few times a year</b>	27	9	18	0
	3.3%	2.6%	3.9%	0.0%
<b>Once a year</b>	7	4	3	0
	0.9%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%
<b>Less than once a year</b>	6	2	4	0
	0.8%	0.6%	0.9%	0.0%
<b>Never</b>	15	7	7	0
	1.8%	2.0%	1.6%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	2	0	2	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>Daily</b>			
<b>Once a week</b>		A	
<b>Weekly</b>			
<b>A few times a month</b>			B
<b>Once a month</b>			.a
<b>A few times a year</b>			.a
<b>Once a year</b>			.a
<b>Less than once a year</b>			.a
<b>Never</b>			.a
<b>DK/NA</b>	.a		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ages of Children in Household				
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>Daily</b>	253	28	48	30	50
	31.3%	22.8%	25.1%	37.5%	46.0%
<b>Once a week</b>	195	23	36	19	20
	24.1%	18.8%	18.7%	23.6%	18.3%
<b>Weekly</b>	125	23	37	12	14
	15.5%	18.6%	19.6%	15.7%	12.4%
<b>A few times a month</b>	131	31	44	6	17
	16.2%	25.2%	23.2%	7.7%	15.3%
<b>Once a month</b>	47	2	14	7	8
	5.9%	1.8%	7.5%	9.0%	7.3%
<b>A few times a year</b>	27	7	8	2	0
	3.3%	5.4%	4.1%	2.9%	0.0%
<b>Once a year</b>	7	3	2	1	0
	0.9%	2.2%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%
<b>Less than once a year</b>	6	1	1	0	1
	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%
<b>Never</b>	15	5	0	2	0
	1.8%	4.2%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	2	0	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ages of Children in Household	
	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>Daily</b>	143	1
	31.5%	24.3%
<b>Once a week</b>	131	0
	28.7%	10.0%
<b>Weekly</b>	59	0
	13.0%	1.1%
<b>A few times a month</b>	61	2
	13.4%	64.6%
<b>Once a month</b>	26	0
	5.8%	0.0%
<b>A few times a year</b>	18	0
	3.9%	0.0%
<b>Once a year</b>	3	0
	0.7%	0.0%
<b>Less than once a year</b>	4	0
	0.9%	0.0%
<b>Never</b>	7	0
	1.6%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	2	0
	0.4%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household				
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily				A B	
	Once a week					
	Weekly					
	A few times a month	C E	C E			
	Once a month		A			
	A few times a year				a	
	Once a year				a	
	Less than once a year			a		
	Never		a		a	
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily	
	Once a week	
	Weekly	
	A few times a month	C
	Once a month	a
	A few times a year	a
	Once a year	a
	Less than once a year	a
Never	a	
DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	Daily	253	4	0	18	181	32	0
	Once a week	31.3%	9.5%	0.0%	18.8%	36.7%	26.5%	0.0%
	Weekly	195	4	0	21	139	25	0
	Once a week	24.1%	9.5%	0.0%	22.4%	28.3%	20.6%	0.0%
	Weekly	125	3	0	19	68	17	0
	A few times a month	15.5%	7.0%	0.0%	20.2%	13.9%	14.0%	0.0%
	Once a month	131	15	0	24	57	24	7
	A few times a month	16.2%	37.9%	0.0%	25.2%	11.5%	19.7%	100.0%
	Once a month	47	9	0	3	30	6	0
	A few times a year	5.9%	21.4%	0.0%	3.0%	6.0%	4.7%	0.0%
	Once a year	27	3	1	6	10	4	0
	A few times a year	3.3%	8.4%	100.0%	6.2%	2.0%	3.1%	0.0%
	Once a year	7	0	0	2	2	3	0
	Less than once a year	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Never	6	0	0	0	1	4	0	
DK/NA	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	3.2%	0.0%	
Never	15	3	0	2	3	7	0	
DK/NA	1.8%	6.4%	0.0%	2.0%	0.6%	5.9%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	
DK/NA	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	30	5	17
	Daily	9	2	8
	Once a week	28.2%	46.7%	47.5%
	Weekly	0	1	4
	Once a week	0.0%	29.6%	22.4%
	Weekly	14	0	4
	A few times a month	44.7%	10.5%	23.1%
	Once a month	3	0	1
	A few times a month	9.3%	3.4%	7.0%
	Once a month	0	0	0
	A few times a year	0.0%	9.8%	0.0%
	Once a year	4	0	0
	A few times a year	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	Once a year	0	0	0
	Less than once a year	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Never	2	0	0	
DK/NA	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Never	0	0	0	
DK/NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
DK/NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group					
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?						
Daily		a,b		A C		b
Once a week		a,b		G		b
Weekly		a,b				b
A few times a month	D	a,b	D			b
Once a month	C D E	a,b				b
A few times a year		a,b				b
Once a year	b	a,b				b
Less than once a year	b	a,b	b		D	b
Never	D	a,b			D	b
DK/NA	b	a,b	b		b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
	(G)	(H)	(I)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?			
Daily			A
Once a week		G	
Weekly	A D E		
A few times a month			b
Once a month		b	
A few times a year	D	b	b
Once a year	b	b	b
Less than once a year	D	b	b
Never	b	b	b
DK/NA	b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	Daily	217	4	1	25	10	3	0
	Once a week	174	1	10	10	13	5	0
	Weekly	114	0	4	17	3	6	0
	A few times a month	121	0	3	17	1	0	2
	Once a month	34	1	1	6	2	0	1
	A few times a year	24	0	0	4	0	2	0
	Once a year	7	0	1	3	0	0	0
	Less than once a year	6	2	0	5	0	0	0
	Never	11	0	0	3	0	0	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	14	530
	Daily	0	174
	Once a week	0	135
	Weekly	1	82
	A few times a month	10	89
	Once a month	0	22
	A few times a year	0	18
	Once a year	0	3
	Less than once a year	0	0
	Never	3	5
	DK/NA	0	2
		0.0%	0.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname						
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?							
Daily							
Once a week		C		C		a	
Weekly	a					a	
A few times a month	a				a	D	B C D H
Once a month							a
A few times a year	a	a		a		a	
Once a year	a			a	a	a	a
Less than once a year	C	a		a	a	a	a
Never	a	a		a	a	a	H
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic ...
	Not Coded
	(H)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	
Daily	
Once a week	
Weekly	
A few times a month	
Once a month	
A few times a year	
Once a year	
Less than once a year	a
Never	
DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	808	134	154	92	229
Total	253	40	84	40	45
Daily	31.3%	29.7%	54.3%	44.0%	19.9%
Once a week	195	47	32	23	63
Weekly	24.1%	34.8%	20.5%	25.5%	27.4%
A few times a month	125	19	25	14	29
Once a month	15.5%	14.4%	16.2%	15.4%	12.7%
A few times a year	131	18	13	7	50
Once a year	16.2%	13.4%	8.3%	7.7%	22.0%
Less than once a year	47	6	1	5	25
Never	5.9%	4.7%	0.6%	5.4%	11.1%
DK/NA	27	2	0	0	11
	3.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
	7	1	0	0	3
	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
	6	2	0	2	0
	0.8%	1.3%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
	15	0	0	0	2
	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
	2	0	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Area	
	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	77	123
Total	15	29
Daily	19.7%	23.5%
Once a week	13	17
Weekly	17.5%	13.8%
A few times a month	8	30
Once a month	10.5%	24.3%
A few times a year	15	27
Once a year	20.1%	22.0%
Less than once a year	6	3
Never	8.1%	2.8%
DK/NA	8	6
	10.6%	5.3%
	2	1
	2.8%	1.0%
	2	1
	2.5%	0.7%
	6	6
	8.3%	5.1%
	0	2
	0.0%	1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily		A D E F	D E F	
	Once a week	F			
	Weekly				
	A few times a month				B C
	Once a month				B
	A few times a year		.a	.a	
	Once a year		.a	.a	
	Less than once a year		.a		.a
	Never		.a	.a	
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily		
	Once a week		
	Weekly		
	A few times a month		B
	Once a month	B	
	A few times a year	A	
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year		
Never	A D	A	
DK/NA	.a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Daily	253	11	15	140	87
	Once a week	195	13	6	110	65
	Weekly	125	14	11	53	47
	A few times a month	131	17	8	39	67
	Once a month	47	1	5	26	15
	A few times a year	27	0	5	11	11
	Once a year	7	1	3	0	3
	Less than once a year	6	0	2	0	4
	Never	15	2	4	4	5
	DK/NA	2	0	0	2	0
		0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
		31.3%	18.4%	25.0%	36.4%	28.7%
		24.1%	22.9%	9.7%	28.6%	21.5%
		15.5%	23.6%	18.9%	13.7%	15.6%
		16.2%	28.6%	13.7%	10.1%	21.9%
	5.9%	1.8%	8.7%	6.8%	4.8%	
	3.3%	0.0%	8.4%	2.8%	3.7%	
	0.9%	1.5%	5.7%	0.0%	0.8%	
	0.8%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	1.3%	
	1.8%	3.2%	5.9%	1.0%	1.7%	
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily			A	
	Once a week			B	
	Weekly				
	A few times a month	C			C
	Once a month				
	A few times a year	.a			
	Once a year		D	.a	
	Less than once a year	.a		.a	
	Never		C		
	DK/NA	.a	.a		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>		710	105	142	150	92	221
<b>Daily</b>		217	21	45	44	39	67
		30.5%	20.4%	31.5%	29.6%	42.7%	30.3%
<b>Once a week</b>		174	24	24	36	17	73
		24.5%	22.7%	17.1%	24.0%	18.8%	32.8%
<b>Weekly</b>		114	19	25	27	9	35
		16.1%	17.7%	17.5%	17.9%	9.8%	15.7%
<b>A few times a month</b>		121	25	29	28	15	24
		17.1%	24.0%	20.2%	18.7%	16.3%	11.1%
<b>Once a month</b>		34	4	4	11	2	13
		4.7%	3.4%	3.1%	7.2%	2.4%	5.7%
<b>A few times a year</b>		24	6	6	2	5	5
		3.4%	5.5%	4.3%	1.6%	5.8%	2.2%
<b>Once a year</b>		7	1	3	2	0	1
		1.0%	1.3%	2.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.3%
<b>Less than once a year</b>		6	0	2	0	2	2
		0.9%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1.9%	1.1%
<b>Never</b>		11	5	4	0	0	2
		1.5%	4.9%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>		2	0	0	0	2	0
		0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>Daily</b>					A	
<b>Once a week</b>						B
<b>Weekly</b>						
<b>A few times a month</b>		E				
<b>Once a month</b>						
<b>A few times a year</b>					a	
<b>Once a year</b>				a		
<b>Less than once a year</b>		a		a		
<b>Never</b>				a		
<b>DK/NA</b>		a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
<b>Total</b>		699	228	150	47	78	83
<b>Daily</b>		213	71	48	15	28	21
		30.5%	31.3%	32.1%	31.2%	35.5%	24.9%
<b>Once a week</b>		170	47	45	10	18	22
		24.3%	20.6%	30.0%	21.6%	23.2%	26.9%
<b>Weekly</b>		113	42	20	8	16	11
		16.2%	18.5%	13.5%	17.3%	20.5%	13.1%
<b>A few times a month</b>		119	39	23	8	12	17
		17.0%	16.9%	15.0%	17.8%	15.1%	20.3%
<b>Once a month</b>		34	9	6	4	1	3
		4.8%	3.8%	3.8%	7.8%	1.4%	4.2%
<b>A few times a year</b>		24	5	5	1	0	9
		3.5%	2.2%	3.3%	1.9%	0.5%	10.5%
<b>Once a year</b>		7	1	2	1	1	0
		1.0%	0.4%	1.5%	2.5%	1.7%	0.0%
<b>Less than once a year</b>		6	4	1	0	1	0
		0.9%	1.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
<b>Never</b>		11	8	0	0	1	0
		1.5%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>		2	2	0	0	0	0
		0.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
<b>Total</b>		91	12	11
<b>Daily</b>		25	3	3
		27.9%	26.2%	24.3%
<b>Once a week</b>		24	3	0
		26.9%	25.7%	0.0%
<b>Weekly</b>		10	4	1
		11.3%	35.6%	9.7%
<b>A few times a month</b>		16	1	4
		17.5%	12.5%	34.1%
<b>Once a month</b>		7	0	3
		8.2%	0.0%	31.9%
<b>A few times a year</b>		4	0	0
		4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Once a year</b>		1	0	0
		1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Less than once a year</b>		0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Never</b>		2	0	0
		2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>		0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily						
	Once a week						
	Weekly						
	A few times a month						
	Once a month						
	A few times a year					A	
	Once a year					a	
	Less than once a year			a		a	a
	Never		a	a		a	
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily		
	Once a week		a
	Weekly		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month	a	A B D E
	A few times a year	a	
	Once a year	a	a
	Less than once a year	a	a
	Never	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Daily	117	29	36	17	23
		28.0%	27.6%	25.8%	27.0%	44.8%
	Once a week	105	27	35	16	14
		25.1%	25.6%	24.6%	25.8%	27.0%
	Weekly	69	20	29	9	6
		16.7%	19.1%	20.6%	14.6%	10.9%
	A few times a month	70	20	26	13	3
		16.7%	19.1%	18.8%	20.9%	6.2%
	Once a month	26	2	4	4	2
		6.1%	2.0%	3.2%	5.9%	4.7%
	A few times a year	15	6	5	2	1
		3.6%	5.4%	3.9%	2.6%	1.3%
	Once a year	2	1	1	0	0
		0.6%	1.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Less than once a year	2	0	1	0	1	
	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.2%	
Never	9	0	2	2	2	
	2.2%	0.0%	1.4%	3.1%	3.8%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	59
	Daily	12
		20.4%
	Once a week	14
		23.0%
	Weekly	6
		10.1%
	A few times a month	7
		12.4%
	Once a month	13
	22.2%	
A few times a year	2	
	2.9%	
Once a year	0	
	0.0%	
Less than once a year	0	
	0.0%	
Never	3	
	5.6%	
DK/NA	2	
	3.5%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily					
	Once a week					
	Weekly					
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					A B
	A few times a year					
	Once a year			a	a	a
	Less than once a year	a		a		a
	Never	a				
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Daily	137	29	33	14	54
	Once a week	90	27	30	9	19
	Weekly	56	13	12	2	21
	A few times a month	61	17	16	3	21
	Once a month	22	10	8	0	2
	A few times a year	12	4	2	0	3
	Once a year	4	0	1	1	1
	Less than once a year	4	3	2	0	0
	Never	5	2	2	0	2
	DK/NA	1.4%	1.8%	1.5%	0.0%	1.6%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Total	29
	Daily	7
	Once a week	5
	Weekly	7
	A few times a month	4
	Once a month	1
	A few times a year	2
	Once a year	2
	Less than once a year	0
	Never	0
	DK/NA	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. How frequently do you visit downtown Menlo Park?	Daily					
	Once a week					
	Weekly					
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year			a		
	Once a year	a				
	Never			a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Total		791	791
Always		173	173
Most of the time		365	365
Some of the time		200	200
None of the time		31	31
DK/NA		22	22
		2.8%	2.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		(A)
Always		.
Most of the time		.
Some of the time		.
None of the time		.
DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total		791	372	407	13
Always		173	89	80	4
Most of the time		365	174	185	5
Some of the time		200	84	114	1
None of the time		31	17	12	2
DK/NA		22	7	15	0
		2.8%	2.0%	3.6%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Always				
Most of the time				
Some of the time				B
None of the time				a
DK/NA				.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		791	62	127	153	146	107	183	13
Always		173	18	17	37	31	27	42	1
Most of the time		21.9%	29.9%	13.6%	24.3%	21.0%	24.9%	22.9%	7.2%
Some of the time		365	25	65	68	72	39	92	5
None of the time		46.1%	40.5%	50.9%	44.2%	49.0%	36.4%	50.3%	38.9%
DK/NA		200	14	34	47	33	27	40	6
		25.3%	22.1%	26.8%	30.9%	22.3%	25.2%	21.7%	44.3%
		31	5	4	0	7	9	6	0
		3.9%	7.5%	3.3%	0.0%	4.6%	8.9%	3.2%	0.8%
		22	0	7	1	5	5	3	1
		2.8%	0.0%	5.3%	0.7%	3.2%	4.7%	1.9%	8.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Always								
Most of the time								
Some of the time				a				
None of the time								
DK/NA		a						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	697	465	233
	Always	154 22.1%	118 25.3%	36 15.7%
	Most of the time	318 45.5%	225 48.4%	93 39.8%
	Some of the time	174 25.0%	100 21.4%	75 32.1%
	None of the time	31 4.4%	17 3.7%	14 5.8%
	DK/NA	21 3.0%	5 1.2%	15 6.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always	B	
	Most of the time	B	
	Some of the time		A
	None of the time		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	697	375	125	23	174
	Always	154 22.1%	86 23.0%	28 22.6%	2 7.9%	38 21.6%
	Most of the time	318 45.5%	169 45.1%	55 44.3%	14 62.2%	79 45.2%
	Some of the time	174 25.0%	91 24.3%	32 25.9%	7 29.9%	44 25.2%
	None of the time	31 4.4%	13 3.4%	6 5.0%	0 0.0%	12 6.6%
	DK/NA	21 3.0%	16 4.2%	3 2.2%	0 0.0%	2 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always				
	Most of the time				
	Some of the time			a	
	None of the time			a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	697	204	109	44	46	149	146
	Always	154 22.1%	49 24.0%	26 23.7%	10 23.2%	13 27.0%	30 20.1%	27 18.4%
	Most of the time	318 45.5%	89 43.6%	49 45.2%	15 34.0%	20 43.2%	77 52.0%	68 46.2%
	Some of the time	174 25.0%	54 26.5%	27 24.5%	18 40.4%	9 19.0%	27 18.1%	40 27.6%
	None of the time	31 4.4%	3 1.6%	3 2.5%	1 1.4%	3 5.7%	12 8.1%	9 6.4%
	DK/NA	21 3.0%	9 4.4%	4 4.0%	0 1.0%	2 5.1%	3 1.7%	2 1.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always						
	Most of the time						
	Some of the time			E			
	None of the time					A	
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	697	287	95	46	69	56
	Always	154	53	27	12	17	13
	Most of the time	318	138	39	20	32	23
	Some of the time	174	69	24	10	20	20
	None of the time	31	14	6	4	0	0
	DK/NA	21	14	0	0	0	0
			3.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	44	64	35
	Always	8	18	5
	Most of the time	19	29	18
	Some of the time	13	10	9
	None of the time	2	4	1
	DK/NA	2	3	2
		4.5%	5.3%	4.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always					
	Most of the time					
	Some of the time					
	None of the time					a
	DK/NA			a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always			
	Most of the time			
	Some of the time			
	None of the time			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	791	153	420	42	60	45	42	30
	Always	173	24	95	9	14	11	8	13
	Most of the time	365	86	193	23	26	19	11	6
	Some of the time	200	29	105	9	17	12	19	9
	None of the time	31	11	15	0	1	3	0	0
	DK/NA	22	4	11	0	1	0	4	2
		2.8%	2.3%	2.6%	1.1%	2.0%	0.0%	8.8%	7.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always							A
	Most of the time	F G		G				
	Some of the time			a			A	
	None of the time					a		
	DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	697	497	200
	Always	154 22.1%	108 21.7%	46 23.1%
	Most of the time	318 45.5%	232 46.7%	85 42.6%
	Some of the time	174 25.0%	111 22.3%	63 31.6%
	None of the time	31 4.4%	25 5.0%	6 2.8%
	DK/NA	21 3.0%	21 4.2%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always		
	Most of the time		A
	Some of the time		
	None of the time		
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	697	341	356
	Always	154 22.1%	96 28.1%	58 16.4%
	Most of the time	318 45.5%	162 47.6%	155 43.6%
	Some of the time	174 25.0%	60 17.6%	114 32.0%
	None of the time	31 4.4%	14 4.0%	17 4.8%
	DK/NA	21 3.0%	9 2.7%	12 3.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always	B	
	Most of the time		A
	Some of the time		
	None of the time		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	791	697	94
	Always	173 21.9%	154 22.1%	19 20.1%
	Most of the time	365 46.1%	318 45.5%	48 50.5%
	Some of the time	200 25.3%	174 25.0%	26 27.6%
	None of the time	31 3.9%	31 4.4%	0 0.4%
	DK/NA	22 2.8%	21 3.0%	1 1.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always		
	Most of the time		
	Some of the time		
	None of the time		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	791	343	446	3
	Always	173	76	97	0
		21.9%	22.1%	21.8%	1.1%
	Most of the time	365	158	205	3
		46.1%	46.1%	45.9%	98.9%
	Some of the time	200	95	105	0
		25.3%	27.7%	23.6%	0.0%
None of the time	31	8	23	0	
	3.9%	2.3%	5.2%	0.0%	
DK/NA	22	6	16	0	
	2.8%	1.9%	3.5%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always			
	Most of the time			
	Some of the time			a
	None of the time		A	a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	791	118	191	77	110
	Always	173	25	34	17	25
		21.9%	20.9%	18.1%	22.6%	23.1%
	Most of the time	365	45	88	47	52
		46.1%	37.9%	46.3%	61.3%	47.7%
	Some of the time	200	42	62	12	30
		25.3%	35.5%	32.6%	16.1%	27.7%
None of the time	31	4	4	0	0	
	3.9%	3.6%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	22	3	2	0	2	
	2.8%	2.1%	1.2%	0.0%	1.4%	

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	446	3
	Always	97	0
		21.8%	1.1%
	Most of the time	205	3
		45.9%	98.9%
	Some of the time	105	0
		23.6%	0.0%
None of the time	23	0	
	5.2%	0.0%	
DK/NA	16	0	
	3.5%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household				
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always					
	Most of the time			A		
	Some of the time	C	C			
	None of the time			a	a	
	DK/NA			a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always	
	Most of the time	
	Some of the time	
	None of the time	a
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	791	37	1	94	488	114	7
	Always	173	7	0	22	125	13	0
	Most of the time	21.9%	18.0%	0.0%	23.8%	25.6%	11.6%	0.0%
		365	11	1	41	246	45	0
	Some of the time	46.1%	30.9%	100.0%	44.0%	50.3%	39.4%	0.0%
		200	16	0	25	85	47	7
	None of the time	25.3%	43.0%	0.0%	27.0%	17.4%	41.0%	100.0%
31		3	0	1	17	6	0	
DK/NA	22	0	0	3	15	3	0	
		2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	3.1%	3.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	30	5	17
	Always	4	0	1
	Most of the time	12.6%	9.8%	8.9%
		10	1	10
	Some of the time	33.1%	23.4%	61.4%
		16	2	2
	None of the time	54.3%	42.0%	14.1%
0		1	3	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group						
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always		a,b		E		b	
	Most of the time		a,b				b	
	Some of the time	D	a,b				b	D
	None of the time		a,b				b	b
	DK/NA	b	a,b				b	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group	
		Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always		
	Most of the time		
	Some of the time		
	None of the time	C	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	697	8	20	86	30	16	3
	Always	154	3	3	10	12	2	0
	Most of the time	22.1%	39.8%	13.5%	11.9%	39.5%	9.6%	0.0%
		318	0	9	22	14	12	1
	Some of the time	45.5%	5.4%	45.0%	25.6%	47.9%	75.1%	16.1%
		174	3	7	44	4	2	2
	None of the time	25.0%	37.7%	35.4%	50.9%	12.2%	12.6%	69.7%
31		1	0	6	0	0	0	
DK/NA	4.4%	17.1%	0.0%	7.5%	0.3%	0.0%	14.2%	
	21	0	1	3	0	0	0	
		3.0%	0.0%	6.1%	4.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	12	524
	Always	0	125
	Most of the time	0.0%	23.8%
		1	258
	Some of the time	10.6%	49.3%
		10	102
	None of the time	89.4%	19.6%
0		22	
DK/NA	0.0%	4.3%	
	0	16	
		0.0%	3.0%



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ethnic Surname						
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always				C		<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>
	Most of the time					A C G		
	Some of the time			D H				D E H
	None of the time DK/NA	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>		<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
		(H)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always	
	Most of the time	C
	Some of the time	
	None of the time DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	791	134	154	92	227
	Always	173	23	40	26	53
	Most of the time	365	75	64	36	126
	Some of the time	200	32	34	24	38
	None of the time	31	3	5	3	8
	DK/NA	22	1	9	2	1
		2.8%	1.0%	6.2%	2.2%	0.5%

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	70	115
	Always	8	23
	Most of the time	10.7%	20.4%
	Some of the time	23	39
	None of the time	33.3%	34.4%
	DK/NA	28	43
		39.8%	37.6%
	10	2	
	14.2%	1.8%	
	1	7	
	2.0%	5.8%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always					
	Most of the time	E F			E F	D
	Some of the time					A D F
	None of the time DK/NA		D			

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always	
	Most of the time	
	Some of the time	D
	None of the time DK/NA	D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	791	57	57	379	298
	Always	173	16	11	85	61
	Most of the time	365	19	17	200	129
	Some of the time	200	16	19	78	87
	None of the time	31	2	5	10	13
	DK/NA	22	3	4	6	9
			2.8%	6.0%	7.8%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always				
	Most of the time			A B	
	Some of the time				
	None of the time				
	DK/NA		C		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	697	100	138	150	90	219
	Always	154	13	27	37	19	59
	Most of the time	318	43	61	56	43	114
	Some of the time	174	30	44	50	19	31
	None of the time	31	9	3	3	4	11
	DK/NA	21	5	3	4	5	5
			3.0%	4.9%	2.1%	2.5%	5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always					
	Most of the time					
	Some of the time	E	E	E		
	None of the time					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	686	218	150	47	77	83	89
	Always	151	46	40	11	17	15	20
	Most of the time	312	94	70	23	32	38	41
	Some of the time	174	59	32	10	22	26	18
	None of the time	28	10	1	0	6	2	10
	DK/NA	21	8	7	3	0	2	0
			3.0%	3.9%	4.8%	5.9%	0.0%	2.5%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	12	11
	Always	1	1
	Most of the time	7	7
	Some of the time	4	3
	None of the time	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always							
	Most of the time							
	Some of the time							
	None of the time						B	a
	DK/NA				a			a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by
		Male Oth
		(H)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always	
	Most of the time	
	Some of the time	
	None of the time	a
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	405	104	139	59	49	54
	Always	86	16	26	13	11	20
	Most of the time	189	42	72	30	19	26
	Some of the time	103	33	37	14	15	5
	None of the time	13	7	4	1	1	0
	DK/NA	14	5	1	1	4	3
		3.5%	4.7%	0.4%	2.5%	7.9%	6.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always					A
	Most of the time					
	Some of the time	E				
	None of the time					a
	DK/NA				B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	386	103	104	30	120	29
	Always	87	27	24	3	30	3
	Most of the time	226.6%	26.5%	23.1%	10.0%	25.1%	8.9%
	Some of the time	176	46	52	20	46	11
	None of the time	45.6%	45.0%	50.0%	65.5%	38.7%	39.9%
	DK/NA	97	27	18	4	38	10
		25.1%	26.3%	17.3%	13.1%	31.6%	34.0%
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	None of the time	18	1	6	0	5	5
		4.7%	1.1%	6.1%	0.3%	4.5%	17.2%
	DK/NA	8	1	4	3	0	0
	2.1%	1.0%	3.5%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. When you visit downtown Menlo Park how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always					
	Most of the time					
	Some of the time					
	None of the time				a	A
	DK/NA			A		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>Total</b>		808	808
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	182 22.5%	182 22.5%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	226 27.9%	226 27.9%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	141 17.4%	141 17.4%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	208 25.7%	208 25.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	52 6.4%	52 6.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		(A)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	.
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	.
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>		808	377	418	13
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	182 22.5%	101 26.8%	80 19.1%	2 11.8%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	226 27.9%	113 30.1%	110 26.2%	2 19.3%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	141 17.4%	49 12.9%	90 21.5%	2 16.8%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	208 25.7%	92 24.4%	109 26.1%	7 51.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	52 6.4%	22 5.8%	30 7.2%	0 0.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	B		
	<b>Somewhat support</b>			
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		A	
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>			
	<b>DK/NA</b>			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	182 22.5%	15 22.3%	30 23.2%	47 30.3%	36 24.2%	24 21.7%	28 15.0%	3 22.3%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	226 27.9%	26 39.0%	38 29.9%	42 26.9%	39 26.1%	27 25.1%	51 27.4%	2 14.8%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	141 17.4%	4 5.9%	37 29.1%	24 15.6%	24 15.8%	22 20.6%	27 14.6%	2 16.5%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	208 25.7%	16 24.4%	16 12.8%	38 24.6%	34 23.1%	31 29.0%	65 34.5%	6 46.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	52 6.4%	6 8.4%	6 5.0%	4 2.6%	16 10.8%	4 3.6%	16 8.5%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>			F				
	<b>Somewhat support</b>							
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	A F						
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>					B	B	B <sup>a</sup>
	<b>DK/NA</b>							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
Total		710	470	240
6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?	Strongly support	163 22.9%	105 22.3%	58 24.1%
	Somewhat support	206 29.0%	130 27.6%	76 31.8%
	Somewhat oppose	113 16.0%	73 15.6%	40 16.7%
	Strongly oppose	181 25.5%	129 27.4%	52 21.8%
	DK/NA	47 6.6%	34 7.2%	13 5.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		710	385	126	23	176
6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?	Strongly support	163 22.9%	84 21.9%	37 29.0%	7 30.2%	35 19.8%
	Somewhat support	206 29.0%	118 30.6%	33 26.6%	4 18.2%	50 28.5%
	Somewhat oppose	113 16.0%	62 16.0%	18 14.3%	3 15.1%	30 17.1%
	Strongly oppose	181 25.5%	97 25.3%	26 20.4%	8 33.8%	50 28.6%
	DK/NA	47 6.6%	24 6.2%	12 9.7%	1 2.8%	10 5.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		710	208	111	44	46	155	146
6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?	Strongly support	163 22.9%	40 19.1%	30 26.8%	7 16.6%	12 26.3%	43 28.0%	30 20.9%
	Somewhat support	206 29.0%	76 36.4%	26 23.5%	9 21.0%	16 34.7%	42 27.0%	37 25.3%
	Somewhat oppose	113 16.0%	43 20.5%	13 11.4%	10 23.0%	7 15.7%	13 8.3%	28 19.0%
	Strongly oppose	181 25.5%	40 19.3%	37 33.6%	12 27.4%	6 11.9%	44 28.3%	42 28.9%
	DK/NA	47 6.6%	10 4.7%	5 4.8%	5 12.0%	5 11.3%	13 8.4%	9 5.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?	Strongly support						
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
	<b>Strongly support</b>	163 22.9%	72 24.4%	24 25.6%	10 22.4%	9 13.5%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	206 29.0%	97 32.8%	27 27.9%	12 26.6%	23 33.1%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	113 16.0%	46 15.6%	9 9.9%	12 26.2%	12 17.3%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	181 25.5%	65 21.8%	29 30.8%	10 22.2%	20 29.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	47 6.6%	16 5.4%	6 5.8%	1 2.6%	5 6.7%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35
	<b>Strongly support</b>	20 35.0%	3 7.2%	15 22.2%	8 23.3%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	12 20.8%	13 30.6%	9 13.7%	13 35.5%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	7 11.8%	6 14.0%	15 22.7%	5 15.4%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	12 21.9%	17 38.7%	21 30.7%	7 18.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	6 10.5%	4 9.5%	7 10.6%	3 7.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>					F
	<b>Somewhat support</b>					
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>					
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>					
	<b>DK/NA</b>					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996 (F)	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>			
	<b>Somewhat support</b>			
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>			
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>			
	<b>DK/NA</b>			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	<b>Strongly support</b>	182 22.5%	31 20.1%	104 24.4%	8 19.2%	16 25.3%	5 10.3%	10 24.4%	8 23.9%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	226 27.9%	46 29.8%	117 27.6%	11 27.1%	16 25.0%	14 30.3%	13 31.9%	7 21.5%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	141 17.4%	30 19.5%	76 17.8%	5 11.1%	10 15.7%	10 20.8%	7 17.0%	3 10.1%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	208 25.7%	39 25.4%	101 23.8%	14 34.0%	19 29.9%	12 26.9%	9 21.7%	13 36.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	52 6.4%	8 5.2%	28 6.5%	4 8.6%	3 4.0%	5 11.7%	2 5.0%	3 7.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>							
	<b>Somewhat support</b>							
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>							
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>							
	<b>DK/NA</b>							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
	<b>Strongly support</b>	163 22.9%	114 22.3%	49 24.5%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	206 29.0%	148 29.0%	58 29.0%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	113 16.0%	92 18.0%	22 10.8%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	181 25.5%	127 24.9%	54 27.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	47 6.6%	30 5.9%	17 8.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	B	
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	163 22.9%	80 23.1%	82 22.7%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	206 29.0%	99 28.4%	107 29.5%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	113 16.0%	67 19.3%	46 12.8%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	181 25.5%	82 23.7%	99 27.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	47 6.6%	19 5.4%	28 7.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	B	
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		808	710	98
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	182 22.5%	163 22.9%	20 20.0%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	226 27.9%	206 29.0%	20 20.1%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	141 17.4%	113 16.0%	27 27.9%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	208 25.7%	181 25.5%	27 27.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	52 6.4%	47 6.6%	5 4.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>		A
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		808	350	455	3
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	182 22.5%	96 27.3%	87 19.0%	0 1.1%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	226 27.9%	100 28.6%	125 27.4%	1 34.3%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	141 17.4%	60 17.1%	81 17.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	208 25.7%	76 21.6%	130 28.6%	2 64.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	52 6.4%	19 5.3%	33 7.3%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	Strongly support	B		
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			a
	Strongly oppose			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	182 22.5%	20 15.8%	50 26.3%	24 30.7%	37 33.4%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	226 27.9%	44 35.8%	66 34.7%	19 24.2%	22 19.9%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	141 17.4%	26 21.4%	29 15.0%	14 18.3%	22 20.5%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	208 25.7%	26 20.8%	39 20.7%	19 23.6%	24 21.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	52 6.4%	8 6.2%	6 3.3%	3 3.2%	5 4.3%

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
	<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	87 19.0%	0 1.1%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	125 27.4%	1 34.3%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	81 17.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	130 28.6%	2 64.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	33 7.3%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household				
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	Strongly support				A E	
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	Strongly support	
	Somewhat support	
	Somewhat oppose	a
	Strongly oppose	
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	182 22.5%	11 28.6%	0 0.0%	23 23.9%	110 22.3%	23 19.4%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	226 27.9%	12 29.9%	0 0.0%	38 40.3%	130 26.4%	32 26.1%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	141 17.4%	7 17.8%	1 100.0%	15 15.5%	93 19.0%	15 12.3%	7 100.0%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	208 25.7%	6 15.9%	0 0.0%	15 15.5%	128 26.0%	42 35.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	52 6.4%	3 7.8%	0 0.0%	5 4.8%	31 6.3%	9 7.2%	0 0.0%



		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	Total	30	5	17
	Strongly support	9	2	4
		28.5%	44.2%	23.3%
	Somewhat support	8	1	5
		25.5%	29.6%	26.8%
	Somewhat oppose	1	0	2
		3.4%	9.8%	9.4%
Strongly oppose	9	1	7	
	28.5%	16.4%	40.3%	
DK/NA	4	0	0	
	14.1%	0.0%	0.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group						Two or more races (G)
		Black (A)	American Indian (B)	Asian (C)	White (D)	Hispanic (E)	Hawaiian (F)	
		<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	Strongly support		a,b			
	Somewhat support		a,b				b	
	Somewhat oppose		a,b				b	
	Strongly oppose		a,b		C		b	
	DK/NA		a,b				b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group	
		Some other race (H)	Not sure/DK/NA (I)
		<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	Strongly support
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	Strongly support	163	1	5	19	12	2	0
		22.9%	9.2%	26.9%	21.5%	41.4%	13.9%	14.2%
	Somewhat support	206	0	8	21	6	6	0
		29.0%	5.4%	43.0%	23.6%	21.4%	37.2%	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	113	4	4	7	0	3	0
		16.0%	47.7%	19.1%	8.0%	1.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Strongly oppose	181	2	2	36	6	5	2	
	25.5%	24.0%	11.0%	40.0%	20.6%	28.4%	49.0%	
DK/NA	47	1	0	6	5	1	1	
	6.6%	13.7%	0.0%	6.9%	15.5%	3.8%	36.8%	

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	Total	14	530
	Strongly support	1	121
		8.2%	22.9%
	Somewhat support	4	160
		26.2%	30.1%
	Somewhat oppose	8	88
		58.7%	16.5%
Strongly oppose	1	128	
	6.9%	24.2%	
DK/NA	0	34	
	0.0%	6.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname						
		Japanese (A)	Chinese (B)	Hispanic (C)	Jewish (D)	Italian (E)	Korean (F)	African American (G)
		<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					a		
	Somewhat oppose	C D				a	C D H	
	Strongly oppose			H				
	DK/NA		a				a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
		(H)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	Strongly support	
	Somewhat support	
	Somewhat oppose	
	Strongly oppose	
	DK/NA	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>					
Strongly support	182 22.5%	25 18.6%	37 24.3%	26 28.4%	43 18.6%
Somewhat support	226 27.9%	34 25.1%	36 23.5%	20 21.5%	69 30.1%
Somewhat oppose	141 17.4%	23 16.9%	19 12.5%	15 16.8%	62 27.1%
Strongly oppose	208 25.7%	45 33.3%	50 32.7%	27 29.9%	44 19.4%
DK/NA	52 6.4%	8 6.2%	11 7.0%	3 3.4%	11 4.8%

	Area	
	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	77	123
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>		
Strongly support	21 27.8%	30 24.4%
Somewhat support	28 36.8%	39 31.6%
Somewhat oppose	11 14.6%	10 8.3%
Strongly oppose	6 7.7%	35 28.6%
DK/NA	10 13.1%	9 7.1%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas (A)	Between Alameda and El Camino (B)	Between El Camino and Middlefield (C)	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101 (D)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	Strongly support Somewhat support Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose DK/NA	DE	DE	E	BF

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101 (E)	Not sure/DK/NA (F)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	Strongly support Somewhat support Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose DK/NA		E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>		808	59	61	385	304
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>						
Strongly support	182 22.5%	13 22.1%	14 22.8%	72 18.8%	83 27.3%	
Somewhat support	226 27.9%	23 39.9%	13 21.7%	105 27.2%	84 27.7%	
Somewhat oppose	141 17.4%	3 4.9%	7 11.1%	81 20.9%	51 16.7%	
Strongly oppose	208 25.7%	12 20.5%	24 39.4%	104 26.9%	68 22.4%	
DK/NA	52 6.4%	7 12.5%	3 5.1%	24 6.2%	18 5.9%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>				C
	<b>Somewhat support</b>				
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>			A	
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		D		
	<b>DK/NA</b>				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
	<b>Strongly support</b>	163	26	38	31	22	46
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	206	36	38	48	21	64
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	113	18	23	12	18	42
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	181	17	36	48	27	54
	<b>DK/NA</b>	47	9	7	12	5	15
		6.6%	8.4%	5.1%	7.8%	5.0%	6.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>					
	<b>Somewhat support</b>					C
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>			A		
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>					
	<b>DK/NA</b>					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83	91
	<b>Strongly support</b>	161	41	41	7	30	14	21
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	203	65	51	12	21	24	27
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	29.1%	28.4%	34.1%	25.6%	27.1%	28.5%	29.3%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	111	49	11	14	4	16	14
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	15.9%	21.4%	7.3%	29.0%	5.4%	19.2%	15.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>	47	15	9	7	5	5	5	
		6.7%	6.7%	5.7%	15.4%	6.2%	6.1%	5.9%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Total</b>	12	11
	<b>Strongly support</b>	4	3
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	1	3
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	2	2
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	4	3
	<b>DK/NA</b>	1	0
		5.2%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>				A		
	<b>Somewhat support</b>						
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	B D		B D			
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>						
	<b>DK/NA</b>						

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		a
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		416	104	141	61	51
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	97 23.2%	40 38.0%	24 16.9%	10 17.0%	12 22.9%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	117 28.1%	28 26.9%	55 39.0%	17 28.3%	9 16.7%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	75 17.9%	13 12.4%	26 18.7%	10 16.7%	8 14.9%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	102 24.4%	20 19.6%	31 22.0%	21 33.6%	20 38.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	26 6.4%	3 3.1%	5 3.4%	3 4.3%	3 6.7%

		Ballot Test - \$50	
		Total	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		59	
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	11 19.2%	
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	8 13.7%	
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	18 29.8%	
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	10 16.3%	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	12 21.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>					
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	B C				
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		D E			
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>					
	<b>DK/NA</b>					A B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		392	105	106	30	122
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	85 21.8%	33 31.6%	22 20.5%	6 18.6%	22 17.8%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	108 27.7%	28 26.6%	35 33.5%	7 21.5%	29 23.7%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	66 16.9%	7 6.5%	27 25.3%	7 24.7%	22 17.8%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	106 27.1%	29 27.4%	17 15.8%	11 35.2%	44 36.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	25 6.5%	8 7.9%	5 4.9%	0 0.0%	5 4.4%

		Ballot Test - ...	
		Total	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		29	
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	3 11.0%	
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	10 34.3%	
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	3 11.8%	
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	6 20.0%	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	7 23.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>6. Would you support or oppose building a seven story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>					
	<b>Somewhat support</b>					
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		A	A		
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>				B	
	<b>DK/NA</b>			a		B D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Total		808	808
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	254 31.4%	254 31.4%
	Somewhat support	230 28.4%	230 28.4%
	Somewhat oppose	130 16.1%	130 16.1%
	Strongly oppose	142 17.6%	142 17.6%
	DK/NA	52 6.4%	52 6.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		Total
		(A)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	.
	Somewhat support	.
	Somewhat oppose	.
	Strongly oppose	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total		808	377	418	13
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	254 31.4%	136 36.2%	114 27.2%	4 29.0%
	Somewhat support	230 28.4%	104 27.7%	125 29.9%	0 2.2%
	Somewhat oppose	130 16.1%	58 15.5%	71 16.9%	1 10.6%
	Strongly oppose	142 17.6%	58 15.4%	77 18.4%	7 58.0%
	DK/NA	52 6.4%	20 5.2%	32 7.6%	0 0.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	B		
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			A B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Total	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	Strongly support	254 31.4%	33 48.6%	42 32.8%	55 35.8%	46 30.9%	30 27.3%	45 24.1%	3 22.3%
	Somewhat support	230 28.4%	18 27.3%	37 29.3%	46 29.4%	39 26.5%	34 31.7%	50 26.8%	5 33.9%
	Somewhat oppose	130 16.1%	2 2.6%	30 23.4%	22 14.5%	30 19.9%	16 14.5%	27 14.3%	4 31.8%
	Strongly oppose	142 17.6%	11 16.6%	8 6.2%	25 15.8%	26 17.6%	22 20.5%	49 26.0%	2 12.0%
	DK/NA	52 6.4%	3 4.9%	11 8.3%	7 4.5%	8 5.2%	7 6.0%	17 8.8%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	F						
	Somewhat support							
	Somewhat oppose		A		A	B	B	A
	Strongly oppose							a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
Total		710	470	240
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	228 32.1%	139 29.5%	90 37.3%
	Somewhat support	206 29.0%	139 29.5%	67 28.0%
	Somewhat oppose	105 14.7%	74 15.8%	30 12.6%
	Strongly oppose	125 17.5%	92 19.5%	33 13.6%
	DK/NA	47 6.6%	27 5.6%	20 8.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		A
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		710	385	126	23	176
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	228 32.1%	121 31.4%	47 37.3%	7 30.2%	53 30.3%
	Somewhat support	206 29.0%	114 29.7%	37 29.2%	6 27.6%	48 27.5%
	Somewhat oppose	105 14.7%	62 16.1%	11 8.7%	4 19.4%	27 15.5%
	Strongly oppose	125 17.5%	61 15.9%	20 16.2%	5 22.8%	38 21.4%
	DK/NA	47 6.6%	27 6.9%	11 8.6%	0 0.0%	9 5.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		710	208	111	44	46	155	146
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	228 32.1%	66 31.5%	37 33.1%	11 24.1%	18 38.1%	60 38.8%	38 25.7%
	Somewhat support	206 29.0%	70 33.9%	25 22.7%	13 30.6%	15 32.0%	43 28.1%	38 26.2%
	Somewhat oppose	105 14.7%	33 15.8%	18 15.9%	4 10.0%	3 6.8%	17 10.9%	30 20.3%
	Strongly oppose	125 17.5%	23 11.3%	26 23.7%	12 26.7%	6 13.2%	24 15.8%	33 22.3%
	DK/NA	47 6.6%	16 7.5%	5 4.5%	4 8.6%	5 9.9%	10 6.5%	8 5.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support						
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total		710	297	95	46	69
Strongly support		228	106	29	13	21
Somewhat support		32.1%	35.9%	30.9%	28.4%	29.7%
Somewhat oppose		206	90	27	9	23
Strongly oppose		29.0%	30.5%	28.2%	20.1%	33.5%
DK/NA		105	28	9	16	13
		14.7%	9.3%	9.0%	35.7%	19.3%
		125	52	23	4	11
		17.5%	17.4%	24.5%	9.6%	15.7%
		47	20	7	3	1
		6.6%	6.9%	7.5%	6.2%	1.8%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		57	44	67	35
Strongly support		24	7	19	9
Somewhat support		42.4%	15.5%	28.6%	24.7%
Somewhat oppose		12	19	11	14
Strongly oppose		20.9%	43.0%	16.4%	41.0%
DK/NA		7	11	18	3
		12.3%	24.1%	27.1%	8.0%
		10	5	13	7
		17.8%	11.0%	18.8%	19.2%
		4	3	6	3
		6.6%	6.5%	9.1%	7.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Strongly support						
Somewhat support						
Somewhat oppose				A B		
Strongly oppose						
DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
Strongly support				
Somewhat support				
Somewhat oppose			A	
Strongly oppose				
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
Total		808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
Strongly support		254	48	143	11	21	8	14	9
Somewhat support		31.4%	31.2%	33.5%	27.1%	32.7%	16.3%	33.1%	27.3%
Somewhat oppose		230	42	114	12	20	23	12	8
Strongly oppose		28.4%	26.8%	26.7%	28.8%	31.2%	49.2%	29.6%	22.6%
DK/NA		130	19	72	6	12	9	8	4
		16.1%	12.5%	16.8%	14.8%	19.5%	19.1%	19.1%	12.3%
		142	37	66	10	10	3	6	10
		17.6%	23.7%	15.5%	24.3%	16.6%	7.0%	13.2%	30.1%
		52	9	32	2	0	4	2	3
		6.4%	5.9%	7.5%	5.0%	0.1%	8.4%	5.0%	7.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Strongly support								
Somewhat support						B		
Somewhat oppose								
Strongly oppose								
DK/NA								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	510	200
Strongly support		228	161	67
Somewhat support		32.1%	31.6%	33.4%
Somewhat oppose		206	142	64
Strongly oppose		29.0%	27.8%	31.9%
DK/NA		105	74	31
		14.7%	14.5%	15.3%
		125	95	29
		17.5%	18.7%	14.7%
		47	38	9
		6.6%	7.4%	4.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Total	710	347	363
	Strongly support	228	104	124
	Somewhat support	206	112	94
	Somewhat oppose	105	52	53
	Strongly oppose	125	58	67
	DK/NA	47	20	27
		6.6%	5.9%	7.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Total	808	710	98
	Strongly support	254	228	26
	Somewhat support	31.4%	32.1%	26.0%
	Somewhat oppose	230	206	24
	Somewhat oppose	28.4%	29.0%	24.5%
	Strongly oppose	130	105	26
	DK/NA	16.1%	14.7%	26.4%
	142	125	18	
	17.6%	17.5%	18.2%	
	52	47	5	
	6.4%	6.6%	4.9%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		A
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Total	808	350	455	3
	Strongly support	254	129	122	2
	Somewhat support	31.4%	36.9%	26.8%	89.9%
	Somewhat oppose	230	93	137	0
	Somewhat oppose	28.4%	26.5%	30.1%	10.0%
	Strongly oppose	130	60	71	0
	DK/NA	16.1%	17.1%	15.5%	0.0%
		142	52	90	0
	17.6%	15.0%	19.8%	0.0%	
	52	16	36	0	
	6.4%	4.5%	7.9%	0.0%	



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	B		B
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			a
	Strongly oppose			a
	DK/NA	A		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Total	808	124	191	79	110
	Strongly support	254	33	70	27	45
		31.4%	26.6%	36.8%	34.5%	41.0%
	Somewhat support	230	38	52	19	23
		28.4%	30.9%	27.3%	24.0%	21.0%
	Somewhat oppose	130	22	37	20	25
		16.1%	17.4%	19.5%	25.1%	23.1%
Strongly oppose	142	22	23	9	14	
	17.6%	17.8%	12.2%	11.9%	12.7%	
DK/NA	52	9	8	4	2	
	6.4%	7.3%	4.3%	4.6%	2.2%	

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Total	455	3
	Strongly support	122	2
		26.8%	89.9%
	Somewhat support	137	0
		30.1%	10.0%
	Somewhat oppose	71	0
		15.5%	0.0%
Strongly oppose	90	0	
	19.8%	0.0%	
DK/NA	36	0	
	7.9%	0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household				
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	
	Somewhat support	
	Somewhat oppose	a
	Strongly oppose	
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Total	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	Strongly support	254	18	0	27	147	37	0
		31.4%	46.2%	0.0%	28.3%	29.9%	30.9%	0.0%
	Somewhat support	230	7	0	45	132	36	0
		28.4%	17.1%	0.0%	46.7%	26.7%	29.6%	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	130	6	0	6	86	19	7
		16.1%	14.1%	0.0%	6.2%	17.5%	16.1%	100.0%
	Strongly oppose	142	6	1	10	96	19	0
	17.6%	15.4%	100.0%	10.7%	19.5%	16.1%	0.0%	
DK/NA	52	3	0	8	31	9	0	
	6.4%	7.2%	0.0%	8.1%	6.3%	7.2%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		30	5	17
Strongly support		15 48.7%	2 49.0%	6 37.7%
Somewhat support		4 13.6%	2 47.5%	5 27.4%
Somewhat oppose		4 12.6%	0 2.3%	3 15.5%
Strongly oppose		6 20.8%	0 1.2%	3 19.3%
DK/NA		1 4.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group						
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Strongly support			a,b					b
Somewhat support			a,b	A D G				b
Somewhat oppose			a,b					b
Strongly oppose			a,b					b
DK/NA			a,b					b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group	
		Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)
Strongly support			
Somewhat support			
Somewhat oppose			
Strongly oppose			
DK/NA		b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
Total		710	8	20	89	30	16	3
Strongly support		228 32.1%	1 9.2%	5 26.9%	25 28.5%	13 42.4%	2 13.9%	0 14.2%
Somewhat support		206 29.0%	4 49.6%	12 62.1%	20 22.8%	12 41.8%	5 31.1%	1 20.7%
Somewhat oppose		105 14.7%	1 17.1%	1 6.1%	13 15.1%	2 7.2%	3 19.1%	0 0.0%
Strongly oppose		125 17.5%	2 24.0%	1 4.9%	24 26.8%	0 1.5%	4 21.8%	2 49.0%
DK/NA		47 6.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 6.9%	2 7.2%	2 14.2%	1 16.1%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
Total		14	530
Strongly support		5 34.4%	177 33.3%
Somewhat support		0 0.0%	151 28.6%
Somewhat oppose		8 58.7%	75 14.2%
Strongly oppose		1 6.9%	91 17.2%
DK/NA		0 0.0%	36 6.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname						
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Strongly support								a
Somewhat support			C H					B C D H
Somewhat oppose							a	
Strongly oppose							D	
DK/NA		a	a					a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
		(H)
Strongly support		
Somewhat support		
Somewhat oppose		
Strongly oppose		
DK/NA		

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
<b>Strongly support</b>	254 31.4%	34 25.2%	54 34.8%	34 37.1%	57 24.9%
<b>Somewhat support</b>	230 28.4%	56 41.5%	37 24.2%	15 16.2%	74 32.4%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	130 16.1%	16 11.8%	25 16.2%	15 16.5%	55 24.0%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	142 17.6%	22 16.5%	32 20.6%	23 25.0%	33 14.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>	52 6.4%	7 5.0%	6 4.2%	5 5.2%	10 4.2%

	Area	
	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	77	123
<b>Strongly support</b>	28 36.9%	47 38.1%
<b>Somewhat support</b>	24 30.8%	24 19.9%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	9 11.1%	11 9.1%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	6 8.2%	26 21.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	10 13.1%	14 11.5%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas (A)	Between Alameda and El Camino (B)	Between El Camino and Middlefield (C)	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101 (D)
<b>7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?</b>	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support	B C F			F
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101 (E)	Not sure/DK/NA (F)
<b>7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?</b>	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
<b>Strongly support</b>	254 31.4%	18 30.3%	20 32.1%	105 27.3%	111 36.7%
<b>Somewhat support</b>	230 28.4%	20 34.0%	16 26.1%	108 28.2%	85 28.2%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	130 16.1%	7 12.0%	8 13.5%	67 17.5%	48 15.8%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	142 17.6%	8 13.8%	15 24.1%	82 21.3%	38 12.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	52 6.4%	6 9.9%	3 4.2%	22 5.8%	21 6.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support				C
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose			D	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Strongly support	228	39	55	44	31	59
	Somewhat support	206	27	44	45	21	70
	Somewhat oppose	105	13	11	20	18	43
	Strongly oppose	125	15	26	28	17	37
	DK/NA	47	11	5	13	6	12
		6.6%	10.4%	3.9%	8.6%	6.2%	5.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					B
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91
	Strongly support	224	57	60	12	35	23	30
	Somewhat support	206	74	40	15	22	23	25
	Somewhat oppose	29.4%	32.6%	26.8%	31.1%	28.1%	27.9%	27.6%
	Strongly oppose	104	46	16	7	3	11	16
	DK/NA	47	18	9	6	5	6	3
		6.7%	7.9%	5.7%	12.6%	6.2%	7.7%	3.4%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Total	12	11
	Strongly support	4	3
	Somewhat support	2	4
	Somewhat oppose	1	3
	Strongly oppose	5	0
	DK/NA	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support				A		
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose	D					
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		D
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA	.a	.a

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		416	104	141	61	51
<b>7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	136 32.6%	52 49.4%	37 26.5%	16 26.9%	15 28.8%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	118 28.4%	29 27.6%	48 34.1%	19 31.1%	9 17.6%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	73 17.5%	8 7.8%	29 20.7%	12 19.8%	7 14.4%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	59 14.2%	9 8.8%	20 14.3%	11 17.9%	17 32.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	30 7.2%	7 6.3%	6 4.4%	3 4.3%	3 6.7%

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		59
<b>7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	16 26.5%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	13 22.9%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	16 27.6%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	2 4.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	11 19.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>					
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	B C E				
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>					A
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>				A B E	
	<b>DK/NA</b>					B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		392	105	106	30	122
<b>7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	118 30.1%	43 40.7%	31 29.2%	6 21.4%	31 25.8%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	112 28.5%	24 22.9%	46 43.2%	13 43.5%	24 19.6%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	57 14.7%	11 10.5%	15 14.3%	5 16.9%	23 19.2%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	83 21.2%	22 21.0%	11 10.1%	5 18.2%	36 29.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	21 5.5%	5 4.9%	3 3.2%	0 0.0%	7 5.9%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		29
<b>7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	7 22.9%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	5 16.8%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	3 9.5%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	9 30.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	6 20.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>7. Instead of a seven-story structure, would you support or oppose a five-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>					
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		A D			
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>					
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>				B	
	<b>DK/NA</b>			<sup>a</sup>		A B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Total		808	808
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	356 44.0%	356 44.0%
	Somewhat support	247 30.6%	247 30.6%
	Somewhat oppose	79 9.8%	79 9.8%
	Strongly oppose	77 9.6%	77 9.6%
	DK/NA	48 5.9%	48 5.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		(A)
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	.
	Somewhat support	.
	Somewhat oppose	.
	Strongly oppose	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total		808	377	418	13
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	356 44.0%	172 45.7%	179 42.8%	4 34.2%
	Somewhat support	247 30.6%	122 32.3%	125 29.8%	1 7.6%
	Somewhat oppose	79 9.8%	28 7.5%	48 11.5%	3 21.8%
	Strongly oppose	77 9.6%	33 8.7%	40 9.6%	5 36.2%
	DK/NA	48 5.9%	21 5.7%	26 6.3%	0 0.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			A B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	356 44.0%	40 60.0%	57 45.0%	83 53.3%	63 42.0%	37 34.2%	70 37.2%	6 46.3%
	Somewhat support	247 30.6%	19 27.9%	48 37.6%	39 25.4%	49 32.8%	38 34.9%	51 27.2%	4 28.7%
	Somewhat oppose	79 9.8%	2 2.8%	7 5.7%	17 11.1%	17 11.5%	11 10.5%	22 11.8%	2 17.7%
	Strongly oppose	77 9.6%	6 9.2%	6 4.6%	10 6.5%	13 8.7%	16 15.0%	25 13.4%	1 7.2%
	DK/NA	48 5.9%	0 0.0%	9 7.2%	6 3.7%	7 5.0%	6 5.3%	20 10.5%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24 (A)	25-34 (B)	35-44 (C)	45-54 (D)	55-64 (E)	65+ (F)	Not sure/DK/NA (G)
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	E F		E				
	Somewhat support							
	Somewhat oppose							
	Strongly oppose							a
	DK/NA	a						a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	322 45.3%	202 43.0%	120 50.0%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	207 29.2%	137 29.2%	70 29.3%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	73 10.3%	59 12.5%	15 6.0%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	65 9.1%	47 10.0%	18 7.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	43 6.0%	25 5.3%	18 7.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	B	
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	322 45.3%	172 44.8%	62 49.5%	13 57.2%	74 42.1%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	207 29.2%	121 31.4%	26 20.8%	4 17.0%	56 31.9%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	73 10.3%	40 10.3%	18 13.9%	3 12.2%	13 7.5%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	65 9.1%	29 7.6%	12 9.6%	3 13.5%	20 11.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	43 6.0%	22 5.8%	8 6.2%	0 0.0%	12 7.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>				a
	<b>Somewhat support</b>				
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>				
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>				
	<b>DK/NA</b>				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	<b>Total</b>	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	322 45.3%	104 49.9%	45 40.0%	17 39.8%	24 50.8%	76 48.9%	57 39.2%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	207 29.2%	62 29.7%	35 31.1%	7 16.1%	12 26.3%	42 27.3%	50 34.0%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	73 10.3%	13 6.3%	14 12.9%	9 21.0%	5 11.7%	16 10.4%	15 10.4%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	65 9.1%	13 6.2%	15 13.5%	6 14.5%	3 6.6%	11 7.1%	16 11.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	43 6.0%	17 8.0%	3 2.5%	4 8.6%	2 4.6%	10 6.4%	8 5.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	A					
	<b>Somewhat support</b>						
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>						
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>Total</b>		710	297	95	46	69
<b>Strongly support</b>		322	141	44	19	39
		45.3%	47.6%	46.4%	40.8%	56.0%
<b>Somewhat support</b>		207	89	24	15	12
		29.2%	30.0%	25.0%	33.6%	17.6%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		73	18	9	7	10
		10.3%	6.1%	9.2%	15.1%	15.1%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>		65	33	12	2	3
		9.1%	11.0%	12.8%	4.4%	4.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>		43	16	6	3	5
		6.0%	5.3%	6.6%	6.2%	6.8%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>Total</b>		57	44	67	35
<b>Strongly support</b>		29	13	21	16
		50.6%	30.0%	31.7%	45.0%
<b>Somewhat support</b>		14	20	22	11
		24.5%	45.9%	32.4%	31.0%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		5	7	11	6
		8.7%	16.0%	17.0%	16.3%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>		8	1	5	0
		14.4%	3.1%	7.7%	0.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>		1	2	8	3
		1.8%	4.9%	11.3%	7.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>Strongly support</b>						
<b>Somewhat support</b>						
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>						
<b>Strongly oppose</b>						
<b>DK/NA</b>						

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>Strongly support</b>				
<b>Somewhat support</b>		D		
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>				
<b>Strongly oppose</b>				
<b>DK/NA</b>				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>Total</b>		808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>Strongly support</b>		356	65	192	17	28	18	26	10
		44.0%	42.0%	45.1%	40.5%	43.9%	39.2%	61.1%	30.0%
<b>Somewhat support</b>		247	38	131	14	25	16	6	16
		30.6%	24.8%	30.9%	34.0%	39.4%	34.6%	14.7%	47.8%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		79	22	44	5	2	2	3	1
		9.8%	14.5%	10.4%	11.8%	3.5%	4.1%	7.3%	2.0%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>		77	16	35	4	8	4	5	5
		9.6%	10.6%	8.2%	10.5%	13.2%	8.3%	11.9%	13.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>		48	13	23	1	0	6	2	2
		5.9%	8.1%	5.4%	3.2%	0.1%	13.7%	5.0%	6.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>Strongly support</b>								
<b>Somewhat support</b>								F
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>								
<b>Strongly oppose</b>								
<b>DK/NA</b>								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		710	510	200
<b>Strongly support</b>		322	224	97
		45.3%	44.0%	48.6%
<b>Somewhat support</b>		207	144	63
		29.2%	28.3%	31.4%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		73	59	15
		10.3%	11.5%	7.4%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>		65	47	18
		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%
<b>DK/NA</b>		43	36	7
		6.0%	7.0%	3.5%



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 <sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	Total	710	347	363
	Strongly support	322	149	173
		45.3%	43.0%	47.6%
	Somewhat support	207	107	100
		29.2%	31.0%	27.5%
	Somewhat oppose	73	40	33
	10.3%	11.6%	9.1%	
Strongly oppose	65	31	33	
	9.1%	9.0%	9.2%	
DK/NA	43	19	24	
	6.0%	5.4%	6.6%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 <sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	Total	808	710	98
	Strongly support	356	322	34
		44.0%	45.3%	34.7%
	Somewhat support	247	207	40
		30.6%	29.2%	40.9%
	Somewhat oppose	79	73	6
	9.8%	10.3%	6.1%	
Strongly oppose	77	65	13	
	9.6%	9.1%	13.0%	
DK/NA	48	43	5	
	5.9%	6.0%	5.3%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	Strongly support	B	
	Somewhat support		A
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 <sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	Total	808	350	455	3
	Strongly support	356	171	183	3
		44.0%	48.8%	40.1%	99.9%
	Somewhat support	247	112	136	0
		30.6%	31.9%	29.8%	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	79	28	52	0
		9.8%	7.9%	11.3%	0.0%
Strongly oppose	77	27	50	0	
	9.6%	7.9%	11.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	48	12	35	0	
	5.9%	3.5%	7.8%	0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	B		
	Somewhat support			a
	Somewhat oppose			a
	Strongly oppose			a
	DK/NA	A		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
	<b>Strongly support</b>	356 44.0%	44 35.8%	97 50.8%	39 48.9%	57 52.0%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	247 30.6%	51 41.0%	58 30.5%	26 32.3%	27 24.9%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	79 9.8%	12 9.5%	13 6.7%	7 8.9%	16 14.7%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	77 9.6%	13 10.1%	14 7.3%	5 6.4%	8 7.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	48 5.9%	4 3.6%	9 4.7%	3 3.4%	2 1.5%

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	<b>Total</b>	455	3
	<b>Strongly support</b>	183 40.1%	3 99.9%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	136 29.8%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	52 11.3%	0 0.0%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	50 11.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	35 7.8%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household				
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		A			
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	
	Somewhat support	
	Somewhat oppose	a
	Strongly oppose	
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	<b>Strongly support</b>	356 44.0%	18 46.2%	1 100.0%	52 54.9%	202 41.0%	56 46.5%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	247 30.6%	11 26.9%	0 0.0%	27 28.4%	156 31.6%	31 25.5%	7 100.0%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	79 9.8%	2 4.0%	0 0.0%	5 5.6%	59 12.0%	12 9.9%	0 0.0%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	77 9.6%	3 6.4%	0 0.0%	2 1.7%	50 10.2%	16 13.6%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	48 5.9%	7 16.4%	0 0.0%	9 9.4%	25 5.2%	5 4.5%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		30	5	17
<b>Strongly support</b>		15 48.7%	3 71.7%	8 47.5%
<b>Somewhat support</b>		10 34.1%	1 25.9%	5 29.2%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		0 0.0%	0 2.3%	1 7.6%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>		4 12.9%	0 0.0%	3 15.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>		1 4.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group						
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>		a,b				b	
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		a,b				b	
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		a,b				b	b
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		a,b		C		b	
	<b>DK/NA</b>		a,b				b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group	
		Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
<b>Total</b>		710	8	20	89	30	16	3
<b>Strongly support</b>		322 45.3%	2 28.3%	12 63.0%	34 38.7%	24 79.9%	6 34.8%	0 14.2%
<b>Somewhat support</b>		207 29.2%	2 30.6%	6 30.5%	26 29.4%	4 12.5%	5 28.2%	1 20.7%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		73 10.3%	1 17.1%	0 0.0%	11 12.4%	0 0.9%	2 12.4%	0 0.0%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>		65 9.1%	2 24.0%	0 0.0%	15 16.3%	0 0.6%	2 10.5%	2 49.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>		43 6.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.5%	3 3.2%	2 6.2%	2 14.2%	1 16.1%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
<b>Total</b>		14	530
<b>Strongly support</b>		5 34.4%	238 44.9%
<b>Somewhat support</b>		7 47.6%	157 29.6%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		0 0.0%	59 11.1%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>		3 18.0%	42 8.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>		0 0.0%	34 6.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname						
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>				C H			
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		a				a	a
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		a				D	
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>							a
	<b>DK/NA</b>	a						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
		(H)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>					
Strongly support	356 44.0%	63 47.2%	71 46.3%	37 40.7%	92 40.4%
Somewhat support	247 30.6%	40 30.2%	51 33.4%	23 24.6%	85 37.3%
Somewhat oppose	79 9.8%	11 8.5%	15 9.6%	12 13.5%	21 9.1%
Strongly oppose	77 9.6%	12 9.1%	14 8.8%	16 17.8%	18 7.9%
DK/NA	48 5.9%	7 5.0%	3 1.9%	3 3.3%	12 5.3%

	Area	
	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	77	123
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>		
Strongly support	31 40.3%	61 49.4%
Somewhat support	23 29.5%	25 20.4%
Somewhat oppose	6 8.3%	14 11.1%
Strongly oppose	7 8.8%	11 8.7%
DK/NA	10 13.1%	13 10.4%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas (A)	Between Alameda and El Camino (B)	Between El Camino and Middlefield (C)	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101 (D)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	Strongly support Somewhat support Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose DK/NA				F

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101 (E)	Not sure/DK/NA (F)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	Strongly support Somewhat support Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose DK/NA	B	B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>					
Strongly support	356 44.0%	24 40.2%	27 44.4%	151 39.3%	154 50.7%
Somewhat support	247 30.6%	22 38.2%	14 22.6%	117 30.5%	94 30.9%
Somewhat oppose	79 9.8%	3 4.3%	5 8.0%	50 13.0%	22 7.3%
Strongly oppose	77 9.6%	4 7.4%	13 20.7%	42 10.8%	19 6.2%
DK/NA	48 5.9%	6 9.9%	3 4.2%	25 6.4%	15 4.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support				C
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose		D		
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Strongly support	322	51	77	66	42	86
	Somewhat support	207	31	31	43	30	72
	Somewhat oppose	73	7	8	16	12	30
	Strongly oppose	65	8	19	10	7	20
	DK/NA	43	8	7	14	1	13
		6.0%	7.2%	4.8%	9.2%	1.2%	6.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		E			
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91
	Strongly support	317	95	74	22	41	36	38
	Somewhat support	207	80	41	10	16	23	33
	Somewhat oppose	71	25	12	7	10	7	6
	Strongly oppose	61	16	12	6	6	7	11
	DK/NA	43	12	10	3	5	9	3
			6.1%	5.3%	6.9%	6.0%	6.2%	11.4%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Total	12	11
	Strongly support	4	9
	Somewhat support	2	2
	Somewhat oppose	3	0
	Strongly oppose	3	0
	DK/NA	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)	Male NPP (F)
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support						
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
DK/NA							

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		a
	Strongly oppose		a
DK/NA	a	a	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		416	104	141	61	51
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	180 43.3%	69 66.4%	51 36.3%	23 37.7%	18 34.4%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	135 32.4%	21 19.7%	56 39.7%	21 34.0%	7 14.5%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	39 9.4%	5 4.4%	17 12.0%	10 16.7%	7 13.2%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	35 8.5%	5 4.7%	10 7.4%	5 8.0%	14 26.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	27 6.4%	5 4.9%	6 4.5%	2 3.6%	6 11.5%

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		59
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	19 32.5%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	30 51.3%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	1 1.3%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	2 2.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	7 12.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	B C D E	A D	E	A B E	A D
	<b>Somewhat support</b>					
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>					
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>					
<b>DK/NA</b>						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		392	105	106	30	122
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	176 44.9%	56 53.3%	54 50.8%	13 43.9%	42 34.8%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	113 28.7%	27 25.9%	32 30.5%	13 41.6%	36 29.9%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	40 10.3%	7 7.0%	10 9.5%	4 13.9%	14 11.2%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	42 10.8%	8 7.9%	8 7.5%	0 0.6%	22 18.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	21 5.3%	6 5.9%	2 1.7%	0 0.0%	7 5.9%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		29
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	10 35.6%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	4 14.3%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	5 17.2%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	4 12.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	6 20.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>8. Instead of a five-story structure, would you support or oppose a three-story mixed-use structure?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	D				
	<b>Somewhat support</b>					
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>					
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>					
<b>DK/NA</b>				<sup>a</sup>		B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	378	378
	Very satisfied	58	58
	Somewhat satisfied	112	112
	Somewhat dissatisfied	69	69
	Very dissatisfied	18	18
	DK/NA	122	122
		15.3%	15.3%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Total	378	378
	Very satisfied	119	119
	Somewhat satisfied	140	140
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	30
	Very dissatisfied	8	8
	DK/NA	81	81
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Total	378	378
	Very satisfied	136	136
	Somewhat satisfied	152	152
	Somewhat dissatisfied	59	59
	Very dissatisfied	11	11
	DK/NA	21	21
9D. Children's play areas.	Total	430	430
	Very satisfied	130	130
	Somewhat satisfied	150	150
	Somewhat dissatisfied	40	40
	Very dissatisfied	19	19
	DK/NA	91	91
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Total	430	430
	Very satisfied	66	66
	Somewhat satisfied	105	105
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28	28
	Very dissatisfied	14	14
	DK/NA	214	214

		Total	
		Total	Total
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very dissatisfied	3.2%	3.2%
	DK/NA	216	216
		50.3%	50.3%
9F. Recreation centers.	Total	430	430
	Very satisfied	159	159
	Somewhat satisfied	147	147
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	30
	Very dissatisfied	13	13
	DK/NA	80	80
		18.6%	18.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Total
		(A)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	DK/NA	.
	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
9D. Children's play areas.	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
	Very satisfied	.
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
9F. Recreation centers.	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	378	177	196	5
	Very satisfied	58	25	32	0
	Somewhat satisfied	112	48	61	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	69	27	41	1
	Very dissatisfied	18	8	9	0
	DK/NA	122	68	53	1
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Total	378	177	196	5
	Very satisfied	119	52	67	0
	Somewhat satisfied	140	63	73	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	9	21	0
	Very dissatisfied	8	3	5	0
	DK/NA	81	49	31	1
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Total	378	177	196	5
	Very satisfied	136	59	76	1
	Somewhat satisfied	152	70	81	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	59	30	27	2
	Very dissatisfied	11	4	7	0
	DK/NA	21	15	6	0
9D. Children's play areas.	Total	430	200	222	8
	Very satisfied	130	62	67	1
	Somewhat satisfied	150	61	86	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	40	21	18	0
	Very dissatisfied	19	9	10	0
		4.5%	4.6%	4.6%	0.0%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	DK/NA	91	46	41	4
		21.1%	23.2%	18.3%	46.3%
	Total	430	200	222	8
	Very satisfied	66	33	33	0
	Somewhat satisfied	105	47	53	5
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28	14	14	0
	Very dissatisfied	14	12	2	0
9F. Recreation centers.	DK/NA	216	94	120	2
		50.3%	46.8%	54.2%	30.4%
	Total	430	200	222	8
	Very satisfied	159	69	90	1
	Somewhat satisfied	147	75	68	5
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	17	13	0
	Very dissatisfied	13	2	11	0
	3.0%	0.9%	5.0%	0.0%	
	80	37	40	2	
	18.6%	18.8%	18.0%	30.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	DK/NA	B		
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA	B		
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
9D. Children's play areas.	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			A B



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>	Somewhat dissatisfied			. <sup>a</sup>
	Very dissatisfied	B		. <sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>	Somewhat satisfied			. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A	. <sup>a</sup>
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>	Total	378	27	49	68	72	53	101	8
	Very satisfied	58	0	4	17	9	5	21	0
		15.3%	0.0%	9.0%	25.5%	12.6%	10.4%	21.1%	3.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	112	20	10	14	22	19	24	2
		29.5%	74.3%	20.3%	20.4%	31.2%	36.3%	24.0%	19.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	69	5	8	21	14	6	11	3
	18.3%	18.5%	16.2%	31.6%	19.5%	11.4%	11.2%	42.1%	
Very dissatisfied	18	2	0	2	3	4	5	0	
	4.7%	7.2%	0.6%	3.3%	4.7%	8.5%	5.2%	0.0%	
DK/NA	122	0	27	13	23	18	39	3	
	32.3%	0.0%	53.9%	19.3%	32.0%	33.4%	38.4%	34.9%	
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>	Total	378	27	49	68	72	53	101	8
	Very satisfied	119	11	15	27	21	9	34	3
		31.5%	40.5%	30.4%	39.9%	28.7%	17.1%	33.3%	31.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	140	16	15	22	33	27	24	3
		36.9%	59.5%	30.0%	32.2%	46.0%	51.2%	24.0%	31.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	0	3	13	3	2	8	2
	8.0%	0.0%	5.5%	19.1%	4.4%	3.4%	7.5%	25.6%	
Very dissatisfied	8	0	0	0	3	1	5	0	
	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	1.3%	4.6%	0.0%	
DK/NA	81	0	17	6	12	14	31	1	
	21.3%	0.0%	34.1%	8.8%	16.6%	26.9%	30.5%	11.2%	
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>	Total	378	27	49	68	72	53	101	8
	Very satisfied	136	7	13	22	32	17	41	4
		36.0%	27.5%	26.9%	32.9%	44.0%	32.2%	40.5%	43.5%
Somewhat satisfied	152	17	13	29	31	21	37	3	
	40.1%	64.1%	26.0%	42.9%	43.2%	40.3%	36.9%	30.8%	

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>	Somewhat dissatisfied	59	0	20	15	5	8	9	2
		15.6%	0.0%	40.8%	21.8%	7.2%	15.8%	8.5%	25.6%
	Very dissatisfied	11	2	3	1	0	1	4	0
		2.8%	8.3%	5.3%	0.9%	0.5%	1.3%	4.2%	0.0%
DK/NA	21	0	1	1	4	5	10	0	
	5.5%	0.0%	1.1%	1.4%	5.1%	10.4%	9.9%	0.0%	
<b>9D. Children's play areas.</b>	Total	430	40	78	87	77	56	86	5
	Very satisfied	130	8	26	29	30	16	20	1
		30.2%	20.3%	32.9%	33.1%	38.7%	29.4%	23.0%	18.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	150	21	25	27	29	15	29	4
		34.9%	52.1%	31.5%	30.5%	37.9%	27.5%	34.1%	79.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	40	2	7	17	7	2	4	0
	9.3%	4.4%	9.2%	19.9%	9.0%	4.3%	4.8%	0.0%	
Very dissatisfied	19	5	3	7	0	2	2	0	
	4.5%	11.4%	4.0%	8.5%	0.6%	3.4%	2.2%	0.0%	
DK/NA	91	5	18	7	11	20	31	0	
	21.1%	11.8%	22.5%	8.0%	13.7%	35.5%	36.0%	2.0%	
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>	Total	430	40	78	87	77	56	86	5
	Very satisfied	66	9	13	11	14	5	16	0
		15.4%	21.4%	16.4%	12.2%	17.7%	8.5%	18.2%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	105	13	21	15	20	11	22	2
		24.5%	33.7%	27.4%	16.8%	26.4%	19.5%	26.1%	40.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28	0	0	16	3	7	2	0
	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	18.6%	4.2%	11.8%	2.5%	0.0%	
Very dissatisfied	14	0	3	4	4	2	0	0	
	3.2%	0.0%	3.8%	4.5%	5.6%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	216	18	41	42	36	31	46	3	
	50.3%	44.8%	52.5%	47.9%	46.0%	55.8%	53.2%	59.0%	
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>	Total	430	40	78	87	77	56	86	5
	Very satisfied	159	13	25	42	34	20	24	1
		37.1%	33.5%	32.0%	48.1%	43.8%	35.3%	28.4%	18.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	147	12	34	23	30	15	29	4
		34.3%	30.0%	43.7%	26.3%	38.9%	26.4%	34.1%	81.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	2	2	10	5	5	5	0
	7.0%	4.1%	3.1%	11.7%	6.6%	9.8%	6.0%	0.0%	
Very dissatisfied	13	2	2	7	0	1	2	0	
	3.0%	4.8%	1.9%	7.9%	0.1%	1.3%	2.2%	0.0%	
DK/NA	80	11	15	5	8	15	25	0	
	18.6%	27.5%	19.3%	6.0%	10.6%	27.2%	29.4%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very satisfied	a						
	Somewhat satisfied	B C D E F						
	Somewhat dissatisfied			F				a
	Very dissatisfied							
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	DK/NA	a	C					
	Very satisfied					F		
	Somewhat satisfied	F						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		a				a
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very dissatisfied	a						
	DK/NA	a	C				C	
	Very satisfied	B						
	Somewhat satisfied	a	D F					
9D. Children's play areas.	Very dissatisfied	a						a
	DK/NA							
	Very satisfied			F				a
	Somewhat satisfied							a
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	DK/NA					C	C D	
	Very satisfied							a
	Somewhat satisfied	a						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	D F				a
9F. Recreation centers.	DK/NA							
	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							a
	Very dissatisfied							a
	DK/NA	C				C	C D	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	313	220	93
	Very satisfied	45	30	15
	Somewhat satisfied	14.3%	13.5%	16.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	93	65	28
	Very dissatisfied	29.8%	29.6%	30.2%
	DK/NA	58	42	16
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very dissatisfied	18.4%	18.9%	17.3%
	DK/NA	13	12	1
	Total	4.3%	5.4%	1.5%
	Very satisfied	104	72	33
	Somewhat satisfied	33.3%	32.6%	34.8%
	DK/NA	313	220	93
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very satisfied	101	65	36
	Somewhat satisfied	32.2%	29.6%	38.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	119	85	34
	Very dissatisfied	38.0%	38.8%	35.9%
	DK/NA	25	20	4
	Total	7.9%	9.3%	4.8%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very dissatisfied	8	5	3
	DK/NA	2.6%	2.2%	3.4%
	Very satisfied	60	44	16
	Somewhat satisfied	19.3%	20.1%	17.5%
	DK/NA	313	220	93
	Total	116	82	35
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very satisfied	37.1%	37.1%	37.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	129	90	38
	Somewhat dissatisfied	41.1%	41.1%	41.0%
	Very dissatisfied	42	30	12
	DK/NA	13.4%	13.8%	12.4%
	Total	10	5	5
9D. Children's play areas.	Very dissatisfied	3.2%	2.3%	5.2%
	DK/NA	16	13	4
	Very satisfied	5.3%	5.7%	4.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	397	250	146
	Very satisfied	122	77	45
	Somewhat satisfied	30.7%	30.6%	30.8%
9D. Children's play areas.	Somewhat dissatisfied	133	89	45
	Very dissatisfied	33.6%	35.4%	30.6%
	DK/NA	36	20	16
	Very dissatisfied	9.1%	7.9%	11.1%
	DK/NA	19	10	9
	Total	4.7%	3.9%	6.2%
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	DK/NA	87	55	31
	Very dissatisfied	21.9%	22.2%	21.3%
	Total	397	250	146
	Very satisfied	64	43	21
	Somewhat satisfied	16.1%	17.0%	14.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	97	62	35
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very dissatisfied	24.6%	24.9%	23.9%
	DK/NA	24	19	6
	Very dissatisfied	6.1%	7.4%	3.9%
	DK/NA	14	9	4
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Very dissatisfied	3.4%	3.8%	2.8%
DK/NA	198	117	81
	49.9%	46.9%	55.0%
<b>Total</b>	397	250	146
<b>Very satisfied</b>	146	99	47
	36.7%	39.5%	31.9%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	140	87	53
	35.4%	34.8%	36.4%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	25	15	10
	6.3%	5.9%	7.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	12	7	5
	3.1%	3.0%	3.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>	73	42	31
	18.5%	16.8%	21.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
9D. Children's play areas.	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
9F. Recreation centers.	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	<b>Total</b>	313	164	65	8	76
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	45	19	13	2	11
		14.3%	11.4%	20.0%	29.2%	14.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	93	57	17	2	18
		29.8%	34.6%	25.3%	29.0%	23.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	58	25	13	3	16
		18.4%	15.5%	19.9%	41.8%	20.8%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13	4	3	0	7
		4.3%	2.4%	4.0%	0.0%	9.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	104	59	20	0	25
		33.3%	36.1%	30.9%	0.0%	32.7%
	<b>Total</b>	313	164	65	8	76
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	101	51	22	6	21
		32.2%	31.3%	33.8%	72.9%	28.3%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	119	66	26	2	25
		38.0%	40.2%	39.4%	27.1%	33.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	25	15	7	0	3
		7.9%	8.9%	10.3%	0.0%	4.6%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8	0	1	0	7
		2.6%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	9.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	60	32	10	0	18
	19.3%	19.6%	15.4%	0.0%	24.2%	
9D. Children's play areas.	<b>Total</b>	313	164	65	8	76
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	116	73	18	5	20
		37.1%	44.4%	28.1%	58.2%	26.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	129	69	30	3	27
		41.1%	41.7%	45.4%	41.8%	35.8%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	42	12	10	0	20
		13.4%	7.0%	15.7%	0.0%	26.5%
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	10	5	1	0	4
		3.2%	3.0%	1.4%	0.0%	5.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	16	6	6	0	4
		5.3%	3.9%	9.4%	0.0%	5.2%
	<b>Total</b>	397	221	60	14	101
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	122	58	29	5	30
		30.7%	26.3%	47.4%	32.9%	30.1%
9F. Recreation centers.	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	133	67	18	7	41
		33.6%	30.4%	30.2%	47.9%	40.6%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	36	27	5	1	4
		9.1%	12.3%	7.6%	4.7%	3.5%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	19	10	2	0	7
		4.7%	4.3%	3.7%	0.0%	7.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	87	59	7	2	19
	21.9%	26.7%	11.1%	14.5%	18.8%	

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Total	397	221	60	14	101
	Very satisfied	64	34	10	1	18
	Somewhat satisfied	97	55	14	4	24
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24	17	5	2	0
	Very dissatisfied	14	6	8	0	0
	DK/NA	198	109	23	7	59
		49.9%	49.3%	37.7%	49.8%	58.6%
9F. Recreation centers.	Total	397	221	60	14	101
	Very satisfied	146	68	28	3	47
	Somewhat satisfied	140	75	20	10	36
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	18	4	0	3
	Very dissatisfied	12	9	1	0	3
	DK/NA	73	52	8	1	13
		18.5%	23.3%	13.2%	6.0%	12.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.a	
	DK/NA				
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied			.a	
	Very dissatisfied			.a	A
	DK/NA				
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.a	A
	DK/NA			.a	
9D. Children's play areas.	Very satisfied		A		
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Very dissatisfied			.a	
	DK/NA				
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	D	D	D	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9F. Recreation centers.	Very dissatisfied		A		.a
	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied				A
	Somewhat satisfied			A	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	DK/NA			.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	313	82	45	30	16	81	60
	Very satisfied	45	7	7	7	4	11	8
	Somewhat satisfied	93	24	19	3	3	34	12
	Somewhat dissatisfied	58	10	7	7	3	12	18
	Very dissatisfied	13	3	0	1	0	7	2
	DK/NA	104	38	12	12	6	17	20
		33.3%	45.7%	25.7%	40.5%	39.6%	21.0%	33.3%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Total	313	82	45	30	16	81	60
	Very satisfied	101	27	12	12	5	24	22
	Somewhat satisfied	119	33	15	9	3	42	17
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	5	7	4	3	4	3
	Very dissatisfied	8	0	0	0	1	4	3
	DK/NA	60	17	11	5	4	7	15
		19.3%	20.9%	25.2%	18.2%	25.9%	9.1%	25.3%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Total	313	82	45	30	16	81	60
	Very satisfied	116	34	20	9	4	30	18
	Somewhat satisfied	129	36	19	12	5	34	23
	Somewhat dissatisfied	42	5	4	5	4	9	15
	Very dissatisfied	10	5	0	0	1	4	0
	DK/NA	141	48	26	14	7	24	15
		45.0%	58.5%	57.8%	46.7%	43.8%	29.6%	41.7%

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	3.2%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	5.5%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	16	2	2	3	2	3	4
	5.3%	2.2%	4.9%	10.9%	13.1%	4.0%	6.7%
<b>Total</b>	397	125	66	14	31	74	86
<b>9D. Children's play areas.</b>							
<b>Total</b>	122	37	15	7	19	21	23
<b>Very satisfied</b>	30.7%	29.2%	23.0%	49.7%	60.4%	28.5%	27.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	133	37	21	4	5	24	42
	33.6%	29.4%	31.7%	28.5%	17.7%	32.1%	49.1%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	36	17	6	0	4	4	4
	9.1%	13.5%	9.6%	1.3%	14.3%	6.0%	4.3%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	19	0	6	0	2	8	3
	4.7%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	7.3%	10.6%	2.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	87	35	17	3	0	17	14
	21.9%	28.0%	26.3%	20.5%	0.3%	22.8%	16.7%
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>							
<b>Total</b>	397	125	66	14	31	74	86
<b>Very satisfied</b>	64	20	12	5	5	9	13
	16.1%	16.0%	18.4%	35.3%	17.7%	11.6%	14.5%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	97	27	20	3	6	18	24
	24.6%	21.4%	29.5%	18.1%	20.7%	24.3%	28.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	24	8	3	0	5	6	2
	6.1%	6.6%	4.9%	1.3%	15.3%	8.0%	2.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	14	4	2	0	6	2	0
	3.4%	3.4%	2.3%	1.7%	18.8%	2.4%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	198	66	30	6	8	40	48
	49.9%	52.7%	44.9%	43.6%	27.5%	53.7%	55.4%
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>							
<b>Total</b>	397	125	66	14	31	74	86
<b>Very satisfied</b>	146	35	23	5	20	28	36
	36.7%	27.7%	34.0%	35.7%	65.1%	37.4%	41.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	140	38	27	5	7	26	37
	35.4%	30.1%	41.0%	39.6%	23.2%	35.4%	42.4%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	25	13	3	0	3	4	2
	6.3%	10.6%	4.2%	0.0%	9.5%	5.0%	2.8%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	12	6	3	0	1	0	3
	3.1%	4.5%	5.1%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	2.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	73	34	10	3	0	16	9
	18.5%	27.1%	15.8%	24.7%	0.0%	22.3%	10.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Household Party					
	Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>		C			C	
<b>Very satisfied</b>						
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>						
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>				a		
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>						
<b>DK/NA</b>	E					
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>						
<b>Very satisfied</b>						
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>						
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	a		a			
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>						
<b>DK/NA</b>						
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>						
<b>Very satisfied</b>						
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>						
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>						A a
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>						
<b>DK/NA</b>						
<b>9D. Children's play areas.</b>						
<b>Very satisfied</b>					ABEF	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>						D
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>						
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	a		a			
<b>DK/NA</b>	D	D				
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>						
<b>Very satisfied</b>						
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>						
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>					AEF	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>						
<b>DK/NA</b>						
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>						
<b>Very satisfied</b>					A	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>						
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>			a			
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>			a			a
<b>DK/NA</b>	D					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>	<b>Total</b>	313	115	46	13	34
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	45	13	6	0	5
		14.3%	11.5%	13.5%	0.0%	15.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	93	32	9	6	9
		29.8%	27.5%	19.0%	44.1%	27.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	58	26	13	2	4
		18.4%	22.7%	27.8%	14.2%	10.3%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13	3	2	2	3	
	4.3%	2.3%	3.4%	12.8%	10.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	104	42	17	4	13	
	33.3%	36.1%	36.3%	28.9%	37.4%	
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>	<b>Total</b>	313	115	46	13	34
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	101	34	20	3	12
		32.2%	29.1%	43.6%	24.8%	33.9%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	119	43	12	9	9
		38.0%	36.9%	25.5%	67.3%	26.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	25	10	2	1	6
		7.9%	8.5%	5.2%	4.6%	18.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8	4	0	0	3	
	2.6%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	10.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	60	26	12	0	4	
	19.3%	22.2%	25.7%	3.3%	11.2%	
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>	<b>Total</b>	313	115	46	13	34
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	116	30	19	4	11
		37.1%	25.9%	41.1%	29.8%	32.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	129	49	18	9	15
		41.1%	42.2%	38.7%	65.6%	43.5%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	42	23	7	1	4
		13.4%	19.5%	15.4%	4.6%	10.3%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	10	6	0	0	3	
	3.2%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	16	9	2	0	1	
	5.3%	7.4%	4.8%	0.0%	3.5%	
<b>9D. Children's play areas.</b>	<b>Total</b>	397	181	49	33	35
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	122	50	24	11	11
		30.7%	27.4%	48.3%	33.7%	32.9%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	133	60	16	13	16
		33.6%	33.0%	31.9%	40.0%	47.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	36	15	3	3	2
		9.1%	8.2%	6.4%	8.5%	5.9%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	19	12	3	1	1	
	4.7%	6.4%	6.7%	2.0%	4.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	87	45	3	5	3	
	21.9%	24.9%	6.7%	15.8%	9.8%	
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>	<b>Total</b>	397	181	49	33	35
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	64	28	6	5	9
		16.1%	15.7%	12.8%	16.1%	26.2%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	97	49	7	6	5
		24.6%	26.8%	14.2%	17.2%	14.8%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	24	9	7	1	0
		6.1%	4.8%	14.1%	2.1%	1.3%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	14	6	0	0	0	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>	<b>Total</b>	34	23	26	21
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	2	6	3	9
		6.1%	27.1%	12.9%	40.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	24	6	8	1
		68.6%	25.2%	29.4%	3.5%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	2	5	2	5
		4.6%	22.1%	7.0%	22.8%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	3	0	0	1	
	9.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	4	6	13	6	
	11.5%	25.5%	50.7%	29.3%	
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>	<b>Total</b>	34	23	26	21
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	6	4	11	11
		18.1%	16.9%	42.1%	53.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	23	12	8	3
		68.5%	52.0%	30.3%	16.1%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1	0	2	3
		3.2%	0.0%	7.4%	12.8%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0	0	0	1	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	3	7	5	3	
	10.2%	31.1%	20.1%	13.6%	
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>	<b>Total</b>	34	23	26	21
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	15	13	12	12
		43.9%	56.7%	46.3%	58.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	15	7	12	4
		45.2%	32.7%	45.2%	17.8%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	3	0	1	4
		7.7%	0.0%	4.5%	20.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0	0	0	1	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	1	2	1	0	
	3.1%	10.6%	4.0%	0.0%	
<b>9D. Children's play areas.</b>	<b>Total</b>	23	21	41	14
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	8	4	9	5
		34.9%	19.7%	22.5%	32.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	7	4	15	2
		30.4%	20.0%	36.1%	16.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	3	3	4	3
		14.3%	16.3%	9.2%	19.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0	0	0	2	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	5	9	13	3	
	20.4%	44.0%	32.2%	17.9%	
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>	<b>Total</b>	23	21	41	14
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	3	3	7	2
		12.1%	13.2%	16.3%	16.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	8	3	12	8
		33.8%	15.1%	29.9%	55.8%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	4	2	2
		0.7%	17.4%	3.9%	13.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	3	2	2	0	

	Registration Date				
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
very dissatisfied	3.4%	3.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	198 49.9%	89 49.1%	28 58.1%	21 64.7%	20 57.7%
<b>Total</b>	397	181	49	33	35
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>					
Very satisfied	146 36.7%	54 29.7%	30 60.9%	10 31.7%	19 55.8%
Somewhat satisfied	140 35.4%	68 37.5%	10 21.1%	19 58.1%	9 24.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	25 6.3%	12 6.4%	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	1 2.8%
Very dissatisfied	12 3.1%	11 6.1%	0 0.0%	1 2.0%	0 1.1%
DK/NA	73 18.5%	37 20.3%	7 14.7%	3 8.2%	5 15.7%

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
very dissatisfied	12.2%	9.9%	4.9%	0.0%
DK/NA	9 41.2%	9 44.4%	18 44.9%	2 14.7%
<b>Total</b>	23	21	41	14
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>				
Very satisfied	9 38.1%	8 37.3%	14 34.6%	2 10.8%
Somewhat satisfied	9 39.2%	8 36.5%	10 25.1%	8 52.8%
Somewhat dissatisfied	3 13.9%	2 9.9%	2 4.9%	4 26.3%
Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	2 8.9%	3 16.3%	14 35.4%	1 10.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					A B D F H
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					A B D H
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		a			a
	DK/NA					
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a			
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					a
<b>9D. Children's play areas.</b>	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					a
	DK/NA					
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			a		a
	DK/NA					H
	Very satisfied		A H			
	Somewhat satisfied					B
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		a			a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very satisfied			A E
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied		a	
	DK/NA		E	
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			
9D. Children's play areas.	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA	B		
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very satisfied			B
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			
9F. Recreation centers.	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	378	76	201	19	26	23	22	11
	Very satisfied	58	9	39	1	4	2	3	1
	Somewhat satisfied	15.3%	11.3%	19.5%	5.8%	14.2%	8.6%	11.2%	8.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	112	19	54	7	10	6	8	8
	Very dissatisfied	29.5%	24.3%	26.9%	38.3%	39.0%	25.4%	35.0%	73.1%
	DK/NA	69	16	34	2	4	11	2	0
	Very dissatisfied	18.3%	20.6%	16.9%	10.5%	13.5%	48.4%	9.9%	4.3%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very satisfied	18	7	7	1	2	0	0	0
	Somewhat satisfied	4.7%	8.9%	3.6%	5.7%	8.6%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	122	27	66	8	6	4	10	1
	Very dissatisfied	32.3%	34.8%	33.1%	39.7%	24.7%	16.0%	43.9%	13.7%
	DK/NA								
	Very satisfied	119	19	66	0	9	14	5	7
	Somewhat satisfied	31.5%	24.5%	32.9%	2.5%	33.9%	60.6%	20.2%	61.3%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	29	70	10	15	3	12	1
	Very dissatisfied	36.9%	38.4%	34.9%	53.5%	57.7%	11.2%	51.8%	9.7%
	DK/NA	30	5	18	1	1	3	2	0
	Very satisfied	8.0%	6.9%	9.0%	5.7%	3.7%	12.1%	9.5%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	7	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	2.2%	9.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	81	16	45	7	1	3	4	3
Very dissatisfied	21.3%	21.3%	22.6%	38.2%	4.8%	14.4%	18.6%	29.0%	
9D. Children's play areas.	Total	378	76	201	19	26	23	22	11
	Very satisfied	136	27	68	5	7	12	13	4
	Somewhat satisfied	36.0%	36.1%	34.1%	27.9%	26.1%	50.0%	58.1%	34.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	152	23	83	9	17	7	6	7
	Very dissatisfied	40.1%	30.8%	41.1%	46.0%	63.6%	31.7%	26.2%	65.5%
	DK/NA	59	15	34	2	2	4	2	0
	Very satisfied	15.6%	20.1%	16.9%	9.1%	7.8%	16.7%	9.5%	0.0%
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Somewhat satisfied	11	4	6	0	0	0	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	2.8%	5.4%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	21	6	10	3	1	0	1	0
	Very satisfied	5.5%	7.7%	4.7%	17.0%	2.6%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	430	79	225	22	37	23	20	23
	Very satisfied	130	26	59	8	12	6	13	6
	Somewhat satisfied	30.2%	32.4%	26.0%	37.5%	33.1%	25.9%	67.5%	24.2%
9D. Children's play areas.	Somewhat dissatisfied	150	27	80	5	13	10	5	10
	Very dissatisfied	34.9%	34.2%	35.6%	23.8%	36.2%	41.6%	24.3%	41.7%
	DK/NA	40	5	19	3	5	5	0	3
	Very satisfied	9.3%	6.5%	8.5%	13.7%	12.9%	21.3%	0.0%	12.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	19	5	14	0	0	0	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	4.5%	6.5%	6.1%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	91	16	53	6	6	3	2	5
Very dissatisfied	21.1%	20.4%	23.7%	25.0%	16.8%	11.2%	8.2%	22.0%	
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Total	430	79	225	22	37	23	20	23
	Very satisfied	66	7	24	6	8	6	12	3
	Somewhat satisfied	15.4%	9.3%	10.7%	25.0%	21.6%	26.6%	60.1%	13.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	105	12	50	7	14	4	5	11
	Very dissatisfied	24.5%	15.8%	22.4%	32.9%	38.7%	18.5%	27.5%	46.9%
	DK/NA	28	3	15	0	3	7	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	6.6%	4.1%	6.5%	0.0%	8.4%	29.0%	1.5%	0.7%



	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>very dissatisfied</b>	3.2%	1.8%	4.6%	1.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	216	54	126	9	10	6	2	9
	50.3%	69.0%	55.8%	41.0%	26.8%	25.8%	10.9%	38.5%
<b>Total</b>	430	79	225	22	37	23	20	23
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>								
<b>Total</b>	159	31	66	11	14	12	16	9
<b>Very satisfied</b>	37.1%	39.2%	29.3%	47.3%	38.3%	53.5%	81.7%	39.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	147	33	77	8	15	3	4	9
	34.3%	42.0%	34.1%	33.6%	40.2%	11.4%	18.2%	38.1%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	1	18	2	4	6	0	0
	7.0%	1.8%	7.8%	7.1%	9.7%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13	2	9	0	1	2	0	0
	3.0%	2.4%	3.8%	0.0%	2.0%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	80	12	56	3	4	0	0	5
	18.6%	14.6%	24.9%	12.0%	9.9%	1.9%	0.2%	22.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied					B		AB
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied						a	a
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>	DK/NA					AC		C
	Very satisfied				E			
	Somewhat satisfied							a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a			a
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>	Very dissatisfied	B						a
	DK/NA							a
	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							a
<b>9D. Children's play areas.</b>	Somewhat dissatisfied							a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a			a
	DK/NA						a	a
	Very satisfied						B	
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							A
	Very dissatisfied					AB		a
	DK/NA	DEF	DF			a		a
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>	Very satisfied						ABD	
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied				A			a
	Very dissatisfied							a
DK/NA								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>			
<b>Total</b>	313	231	82
<b>Very satisfied</b>	45	29	15
	14.3%	12.7%	18.8%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	93	70	23
	29.8%	30.3%	28.4%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	58	52	6
	18.4%	22.3%	7.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13	11	3
	4.3%	4.7%	3.2%
<b>DK/NA</b>	104	70	35
	33.3%	30.1%	42.2%
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>			
<b>Total</b>	313	231	82
<b>Very satisfied</b>	101	80	21
	32.2%	34.5%	25.6%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	119	79	40
	38.0%	34.1%	48.9%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	25	18	7
	7.9%	7.8%	8.3%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8	8	0
	2.6%	3.5%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	60	46	14
	19.3%	20.0%	17.2%
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>			
<b>Total</b>	313	231	82
<b>Very satisfied</b>	116	83	33
	37.1%	36.1%	40.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	129	94	35
	41.1%	40.5%	42.7%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	42	36	6
	13.4%	15.6%	7.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	10	7	3
	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%
<b>DK/NA</b>	16	11	6
	5.3%	4.6%	7.2%
<b>Total</b>	397	278	118

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
9D. Children's play areas.	Very satisfied	122 30.7%	90 32.3%	32 26.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	133 33.6%	88 31.5%	46 38.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 9.1%	26 9.3%	10 8.7%
	Very dissatisfied	19 4.7%	11 4.0%	8 6.5%
	DK/NA	87 21.9%	64 22.9%	23 19.5%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>118</b>
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very satisfied	64 16.1%	36 13.0%	27 23.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	97 24.6%	66 23.6%	32 26.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24 6.1%	13 4.6%	11 9.5%
	Very dissatisfied	14 3.4%	12 4.4%	1 1.1%
	DK/NA	198 49.9%	151 54.3%	47 39.4%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>118</b>
9F. Recreation centers.	Very satisfied	146 36.7%	103 37.0%	43 36.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 35.4%	105 37.6%	36 30.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 6.3%	17 6.1%	8 6.8%
	Very dissatisfied	12 3.1%	6 2.1%	6 5.3%
	DK/NA	73 18.5%	48 17.2%	26 21.6%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>118</b>

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very satisfied	B	A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very satisfied	B	A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very satisfied	B	A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
9D. Children's play areas.	Very satisfied	B	A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very satisfied	B	A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
9F. Recreation centers.	Very satisfied	B	A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	313	154	159
	Very satisfied	45	19	26
	Somewhat satisfied	93	45	48
	Somewhat dissatisfied	58	26	32
	Very dissatisfied	13	6	7
	DK/NA	104	57	47
		33.3%	37.3%	29.4%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Total	313	154	159
	Very satisfied	101	56	45
	Somewhat satisfied	119	51	68
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	4	21
	Very dissatisfied	8	1	7
	DK/NA	60	41	19
		19.3%	26.8%	12.0%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Total	313	154	159
	Very satisfied	116	70	46
	Somewhat satisfied	129	60	69
	Somewhat dissatisfied	42	10	32
	Very dissatisfied	10	4	6
	DK/NA	16	10	6
		5.3%	6.5%	4.1%
9D. Children's play areas.	Total	397	193	204
	Very satisfied	122	56	66
	Somewhat satisfied	133	66	67
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36	17	19
	Very dissatisfied	19	4	14
	DK/NA	87	49	38
		21.9%	25.5%	18.4%
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Total	397	193	204
	Very satisfied	64	23	40
	Somewhat satisfied	97	51	47
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24	8	16
	Very dissatisfied	14	7	7
	DK/NA	209	102	107
		52.9%	52.8%	52.5%

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Very dissatisfied		3.4%	3.5%	3.4%
DK/NA		198	104	94
		49.9%	53.7%	46.2%
9F. Recreation centers.	Total	397	193	204
	Very satisfied	146	74	72
	Somewhat satisfied	140	65	75
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	16	9
	Very dissatisfied	12	6	7
	DK/NA	73	32	42
		18.5%	16.5%	20.4%

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	378	313	65
	Very satisfied	58	45	13
	Somewhat satisfied	112	93	18
	Somewhat dissatisfied	69	58	11
	Very dissatisfied	18	13	4
	DK/NA	122	104	18
		32.3%	33.3%	27.4%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Total	378	313	65
	Very satisfied	119	101	18
	Somewhat satisfied	140	119	21
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	25	5
	Very dissatisfied	8	8	0
	DK/NA	81	60	20
		21.3%	19.3%	31.2%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Total	378	313	65
	Very satisfied	136	116	20
	Somewhat satisfied	152	129	23
	Somewhat dissatisfied	59	42	17
	Very dissatisfied	11	10	1
	DK/NA	21	16	4
		5.5%	5.3%	6.4%
Total		430	397	33

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
9D. Children's play areas.	Very satisfied	130 30.2%	122 30.7%	8 24.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	150 34.9%	133 33.6%	17 51.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	40 9.3%	36 9.1%	4 11.3%
	Very dissatisfied	19 4.5%	19 4.7%	0 1.5%
	DK/NA	91 21.1%	87 21.9%	4 11.9%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>33</b>
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very satisfied	66 15.4%	64 16.1%	2 7.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	105 24.5%	97 24.6%	8 24.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28 6.6%	24 6.1%	4 12.6%
	Very dissatisfied	14 3.2%	14 3.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	216 50.3%	198 49.9%	18 55.9%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>33</b>
9F. Recreation centers.	Very satisfied	159 37.1%	146 36.7%	14 41.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	147 34.3%	140 35.4%	7 21.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 7.0%	25 6.3%	5 14.6%
	Very dissatisfied	13 3.0%	12 3.1%	1 2.5%
	DK/NA	80 18.6%	73 18.5%	6 19.4%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>33</b>

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	<b>Total</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>2</b>
	Very satisfied	58 15.3%	22 14.6%	34 15.1%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	112 29.5%	54 35.3%	58 25.8%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	69 18.3%	41 26.9%	28 12.6%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	18 4.7%	9 5.8%	9 4.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	122 32.3%	27 17.5%	95 42.6%	0 0.0%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	<b>Total</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>2</b>
	Very satisfied	119 31.5%	48 31.5%	69 30.9%	2 86.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 36.9%	68 44.9%	71 31.9%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 8.0%	17 11.4%	13 5.8%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8 2.2%	7 4.3%	2 0.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	81 21.3%	12 7.9%	68 30.5%	0 13.2%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	<b>Total</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>2</b>
	Very satisfied	136 36.0%	54 35.2%	81 36.1%	2 86.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	152 40.1%	65 42.8%	86 38.4%	0 13.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	59 15.6%	26 17.1%	33 14.8%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.8%	7 4.6%	4 1.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	21 5.5%	0 0.2%	20 9.1%	0 0.0%
9D. Children's play areas.	<b>Total</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>1</b>
	Very satisfied	130 30.2%	73 36.8%	57 24.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	150 34.9%	71 35.8%	79 34.3%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	40 9.3%	27 13.4%	13 5.7%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	19 4.5%	13 6.5%	7 2.8%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	91 21.1%	15 7.5%	75 32.5%	1 100.0%
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	<b>Total</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>1</b>
	Very satisfied	66 15.4%	25 12.4%	42 17.9%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	105 24.5%	55 28.0%	50 21.6%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28 6.6%	17 8.7%	11 4.8%	0 0.0%

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	14 3.2%	8 4.2%	5 2.3%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	216 50.3%	92 46.7%	123 53.3%	1 100.0%
<b>Total</b>	430	198	231	1
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>				
<b>Very satisfied</b>	159 37.1%	84 42.3%	76 32.8%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	147 34.3%	72 36.7%	75 32.4%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30 7.0%	18 9.1%	12 5.2%	0 0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13 3.0%	9 4.6%	4 1.7%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	80 18.6%	15 7.3%	65 27.9%	1 100.0%

	Ages of Children in Household				
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>Total</b>	378	62	85	31	50
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>					
<b>Very satisfied</b>	58 15.3%	10 17.0%	11 12.6%	2 6.0%	6 12.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	112 29.5%	20 33.0%	25 29.7%	9 28.2%	23 46.8%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	69 18.3%	22 35.2%	30 35.6%	7 24.3%	9 17.6%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	18 4.7%	0 0.6%	5 5.8%	3 10.0%	3 6.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	122 32.3%	9 14.3%	14 16.3%	10 31.5%	8 16.3%
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>					
<b>Total</b>	378	62	85	31	50
<b>Very satisfied</b>	119 31.5%	18 29.6%	22 25.5%	10 34.2%	18 36.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	140 36.9%	29 46.7%	38 45.3%	13 43.7%	24 47.4%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30 8.0%	8 13.5%	12 14.3%	5 14.7%	4 8.6%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8 2.2%	0 0.0%	6 7.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>	81 21.3%	6 10.3%	7 7.8%	2 7.3%	3 7.0%
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>					
<b>Total</b>	378	62	85	31	50
<b>Very satisfied</b>	136 36.0%	16 26.6%	20 24.0%	12 39.6%	22 43.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	152 40.1%	24 39.1%	41 48.2%	16 52.5%	24 48.2%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	59 15.6%	18 30.1%	17 20.4%	2 6.7%	3 6.2%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	11 2.8%	3 4.2%	6 7.4%	0 0.0%	1 1.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>	21 5.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 1.2%	0 0.8%

	Ages of Children in Household	
	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>		
<b>Total</b>	224	2
<b>Very satisfied</b>	34 15.1%	2 100.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	58 25.8%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	28 12.6%	0 0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	9 4.0%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	95 42.6%	0 0.0%
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>		
<b>Total</b>	224	2
<b>Very satisfied</b>	69 30.9%	2 86.7%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	71 31.9%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	13 5.8%	0 0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	2 0.9%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	68 30.5%	0 13.2%
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>		
<b>Total</b>	224	2
<b>Very satisfied</b>	81 36.1%	2 86.8%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	86 38.4%	0 13.2%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	33 14.8%	0 0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	4 1.7%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	20 9.1%	0 0.0%

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
9D. Children's play areas.	<b>Total</b>	430	62	106	48	60
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	130	20	42	19	22
		30.2%	32.4%	39.6%	38.4%	37.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	150	23	49	19	13
		34.9%	36.2%	46.5%	38.5%	21.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	40	11	9	4	6
		9.3%	18.3%	8.6%	7.6%	10.7%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	19	8	6	4	6	
	4.5%	12.6%	5.2%	7.5%	9.7%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	91	0	0	4	12	
	21.1%	0.4%	0.0%	8.0%	20.6%	
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	<b>Total</b>	430	62	106	48	60
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	66	3	13	8	10
		15.4%	4.1%	12.5%	16.9%	16.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	105	24	32	9	13
		24.5%	37.8%	30.5%	19.5%	22.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	28	12	5	7	4
		6.6%	18.5%	5.2%	13.9%	7.5%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	14	4	3	2	4	
	3.2%	6.4%	3.0%	4.6%	7.3%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	216	21	52	22	28	
	50.3%	33.2%	48.8%	45.0%	46.5%	
9F. Recreation centers.	<b>Total</b>	430	62	106	48	60
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	159	29	48	28	27
		37.1%	45.9%	45.6%	58.6%	46.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	147	22	41	14	17
		34.3%	35.2%	38.4%	29.8%	28.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	8	5	1	7
		7.0%	13.5%	5.1%	1.9%	12.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13	1	6	0	3	
	3.0%	1.8%	5.3%	0.0%	4.2%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	80	2	6	5	6	
	18.6%	3.6%	5.6%	9.7%	9.2%	

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
9D. Children's play areas.	<b>Total</b>	231	1
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	57	0
		24.7%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	79	0
		34.3%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	13	0
		5.7%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	7	0	
	2.8%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	75	1	
	32.5%	100.0%	
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	<b>Total</b>	231	1
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	42	0
		17.9%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	50	0
		21.6%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	0
		4.8%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	5	0	
	2.3%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	123	1	
	53.3%	100.0%	
9F. Recreation centers.	<b>Total</b>	231	1
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	76	0
		32.8%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	75	0
		32.4%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	12	0
		5.2%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	4	0	
	1.7%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	65	1	
	27.9%	100.0%	

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	21	1	36	230	64	0
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	58	3	0	5	35	11	0
		15.3%	15.5%	0.0%	15.1%	15.2%	17.7%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	112	12	0	8	52	30	0
		29.5%	54.1%	0.0%	21.5%	22.8%	47.8%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	69	2	1	5	41	13	0
		18.3%	8.1%	100.0%	13.7%	17.8%	19.9%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	18	5	0	0	9	1	0	
	4.7%	22.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	1.6%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	122	0	0	18	92	8	0	
	32.3%	0.0%	0.0%	49.6%	40.1%	13.1%	0.0%	
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	21	1	36	230	64	0
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	119	5	0	9	76	22	0
		31.5%	23.9%	0.0%	25.0%	33.2%	34.9%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	140	13	0	10	67	30	0
		36.9%	59.8%	0.0%	27.8%	29.3%	47.3%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	0	0	6	19	5	0
		8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%	8.3%	7.7%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8	3	1	0	4	0	0	
	2.2%	16.3%	100.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	81	0	0	10	63	6	0	
	21.3%	0.0%	0.0%	29.3%	27.3%	10.1%	0.0%	
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	21	1	36	230	64	0
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	136	3	0	17	91	20	0
		36.0%	16.4%	0.0%	46.5%	39.8%	31.3%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	152	13	0	7	87	29	0
		40.1%	60.6%	0.0%	19.1%	38.1%	45.6%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	59	1	0	10	32	12	0
		15.6%	6.7%	0.0%	27.7%	13.8%	19.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	11	3	1	0	2	3	0	
	2.8%	16.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.8%	4.1%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	21	0	0	2	17	0	0	
	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>9D. Children's play areas.</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	18	0	60	263	57	7
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	130	0	0	16	86	18	0
		30.2%	0.0%	0.0%	26.2%	32.6%	32.3%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	150	10	0	25	82	20	7
		34.9%	51.7%	0.0%	42.4%	31.2%	34.7%	100.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	40	2	0	8	17	12	0
		9.3%	10.3%	0.0%	14.0%	6.5%	21.5%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	19	2	0	1	9	3	0	
	4.5%	10.2%	0.0%	1.1%	3.5%	4.4%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	91	5	0	10	69	4	0	
	21.1%	27.7%	0.0%	16.3%	26.1%	7.1%	0.0%	
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	18	0	60	263	57	7
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	66	6	0	5	34	17	0
		15.4%	30.9%	0.0%	8.0%	12.9%	30.4%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	105	5	0	12	55	23	7
		24.5%	26.0%	0.0%	19.6%	21.1%	40.8%	100.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	28	3	0	6	15	3	0	
	6.6%	15.6%	0.0%	9.9%	5.6%	5.7%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	4	10
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	0	0	3
		0.0%	5.8%	29.9%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	6	1	3
		42.2%	29.7%	26.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	6	0	2
		42.5%	12.5%	20.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	2	0	0	
	15.3%	0.0%	5.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	2	2	
	0.0%	52.0%	18.9%	
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	4	10
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	2	2	2
		17.0%	52.8%	22.3%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	11	1	7
		83.0%	35.5%	69.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	0	1	
	0.0%	11.7%	8.3%	
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	4	10
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	1	0	3
		10.8%	0.0%	35.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	9	2	4
		69.2%	46.2%	41.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	2	2
		0.0%	42.1%	22.3%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	2	0	0	
	16.5%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	0	0	
	3.4%	11.7%	0.3%	
<b>9D. Children's play areas.</b>	<b>Total</b>	17	1	7
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	8	1	2
		45.6%	79.0%	22.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	3	0	4
		16.1%	0.1%	54.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	0	0
		0.0%	7.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	5	0	0	
	27.4%	13.9%	5.5%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	2	0	1	
	10.8%	0.0%	17.7%	
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>	<b>Total</b>	17	1	7
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	4	0	0
		24.6%	0.0%	3.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0	0	3
		0.0%	13.8%	47.2%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1	0	0	
	7.9%	7.0%	0.0%	

	Ethnic Group						
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	14	0	0	2	8	3	0
	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	3.0%	4.5%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	216	5	0	36	151	11	0
	50.3%	27.5%	0.0%	59.8%	57.4%	18.6%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	430	18	0	60	263	57	7
<b>Very satisfied</b>	159	6	0	26	99	18	0
	37.1%	32.6%	0.0%	44.3%	37.6%	31.6%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	147	2	0	15	90	23	7
	34.3%	8.8%	0.0%	25.9%	34.2%	39.7%	100.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	4	0	8	10	6	0
	7.0%	20.5%	0.0%	14.0%	4.0%	10.4%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13	4	0	1	6	3	0
	3.0%	20.4%	0.0%	1.1%	2.1%	4.4%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	80	3	0	9	58	8	0
	18.6%	17.6%	0.0%	14.8%	22.0%	13.9%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0	0	1
	0.0%	0.0%	20.2%
<b>DK/NA</b>	11	1	2
	67.5%	79.2%	29.1%
<b>Total</b>	17	1	7
<b>Very satisfied</b>	8	1	2
	45.6%	79.0%	22.8%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	7	0	4
	43.6%	7.2%	51.5%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	0	1
	0.0%	0.0%	20.2%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0	0	0
	0.0%	13.8%	5.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>	2	0	0
	10.8%	0.0%	0.1%

	Ethnic Surname						
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
<b>Total</b>	313	2	7	48	14	7	1
<b>Very satisfied</b>	45	1	2	5	0	0	0
	14.3%	59.7%	23.1%	10.9%	1.9%	6.6%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	93	0	1	27	2	3	1
	29.8%	0.0%	12.5%	56.4%	13.8%	37.6%	53.1%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	58	1	0	13	0	1	0
	18.4%	40.3%	0.0%	27.9%	0.0%	17.8%	46.9%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13	0	0	1	0	1	0
	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	14.9%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	104	0	4	1	12	2	0
	33.3%	0.0%	64.4%	2.7%	84.3%	23.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	313	2	7	48	14	7	1
<b>Very satisfied</b>	101	1	1	15	5	4	0
	32.2%	59.7%	12.5%	32.2%	37.9%	59.1%	46.9%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	119	1	2	26	0	2	0
	38.0%	40.3%	28.8%	53.5%	0.0%	22.6%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	25	0	3	6	0	1	0
	7.9%	0.0%	38.7%	11.6%	0.0%	17.9%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	60	0	1	1	9	0	1
	19.3%	0.0%	19.9%	2.8%	62.1%	0.4%	53.1%
<b>Total</b>	313	2	7	48	14	7	1
<b>Very satisfied</b>	116	0	2	14	7	4	1
	37.1%	0.0%	32.4%	28.4%	52.7%	52.4%	53.1%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	129	2	2	25	6	2	0
	41.1%	100.0%	28.8%	52.3%	46.1%	29.7%	46.9%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	42	0	3	9	0	1	0
	13.4%	0.0%	38.7%	19.3%	0.0%	14.9%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	397	6	13	41	16	9	2
<b>Very satisfied</b>	122	3	2	7	1	2	2
	30.7%	46.7%	17.7%	18.0%	7.0%	19.2%	70.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	133	3	8	16	3	5	0
	33.6%	53.3%	60.7%	38.0%	19.6%	58.3%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	36	0	1	10	2	0	0
	9.1%	0.0%	6.8%	23.6%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	19	0	0	3	0	0	1
	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	29.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>	87	0	2	6	10	2	0
	21.9%	0.0%	14.8%	14.3%	63.9%	22.5%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	397	6	13	41	16	9	2
<b>Very satisfied</b>	64	0	0	12	3	1	2
	16.1%	0.0%	0.0%	30.2%	16.2%	9.7%	70.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	97	0	1	17	4	5	0
	24.6%	0.0%	9.4%	41.3%	26.5%	50.1%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	24	1	0	1	2	0	1
	6.1%	22.2%	0.0%	3.3%	9.4%	0.0%	29.7%



		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>	<b>Total</b>	4	231
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	0 0.0%	36 15.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	4 100.0%	56 24.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0 0.0%	42 18.2%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0 0.0%	11 4.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%	85 36.9%
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>	<b>Total</b>	4	231
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	0 0.0%	74 32.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	4 95.9%	85 36.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0 4.1%	15 6.6%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0 0.0%	8 3.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%	49 21.1%
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>	<b>Total</b>	4	231
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	0 0.0%	89 38.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	4 100.0%	87 37.6%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0 0.0%	29 12.6%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0 0.0%	10 4.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%	16 7.1%
<b>9D. Children's play areas.</b>	<b>Total</b>	10	300
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	0 2.5%	105 34.9%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	9 94.6%	89 29.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0 0.5%	24 8.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0 0.0%	16 5.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 2.4%	66 22.1%
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>	<b>Total</b>	10	300
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	0 2.5%	46 15.3%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	8 84.6%	62 20.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0 0.5%	19 6.4%

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>		14 3.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 6.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	198 49.9%	5 77.8%	11 90.6%	8 19.0%	8 47.9%	4 40.2%	0 0.0%
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>	<b>Total</b>	397	6	13	41	16	9	2
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	146 36.7%	4 68.9%	6 45.4%	10 24.8%	3 16.8%	4 48.9%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	140 35.4%	2 31.1%	4 33.0%	19 45.2%	7 45.4%	5 51.1%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	25 6.3%	0 0.0%	1 6.8%	2 5.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	12 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 6.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 29.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>	73 18.5%	0 0.0%	2 14.8%	7 18.1%	6 37.9%	0 0.0%	2 70.3%	

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>		0 2.4%	11 3.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	1 10.0%	162 53.9%
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>	<b>Total</b>	10	300
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	0 2.5%	118 39.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	10 97.5%	94 31.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0 0.0%	22 7.3%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0 0.0%	9 3.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%	56 18.8%

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	378	65	75	41	98
	Very satisfied	58	4	10	10	13
	Somewhat satisfied	112	13	22	8	27
	Somewhat dissatisfied	69	20	15	5	14
	Very dissatisfied	18	2	4	0	5
	DK/NA	122	26	25	17	39
			15.3%	5.5%	12.8%	25.1%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Total	378	65	75	41	98
	Very satisfied	119	13	26	16	33
	Somewhat satisfied	140	19	28	12	33
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	11	6	3	6
	Very dissatisfied	8	2	3	0	0
	DK/NA	81	20	11	11	27
			31.5%	19.6%	35.4%	38.6%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Total	378	65	75	41	98
	Very satisfied	136	13	33	18	42
	Somewhat satisfied	152	32	26	19	35
	Somewhat dissatisfied	59	11	12	0	18
	Very dissatisfied	11	1	0	0	0
	DK/NA	21	7	3	4	3
			40.1%	49.5%	34.4%	46.2%
9D. Children's play areas.	Total	430	69	79	51	131
	Very satisfied	130	13	40	16	40
	Somewhat satisfied	150	33	19	11	50
	Somewhat dissatisfied	40	3	4	7	7
	Very dissatisfied	19	0	3	3	3
	DK/NA	91	20	13	14	31
			30.2%	18.4%	51.0%	31.9%
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Total	430	69	79	51	131
	Very satisfied	66	17	11	2	17
	Somewhat satisfied	105	11	10	4	46
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28	5	0	5	7
	Very dissatisfied	19	0	3	3	3
	DK/NA	91	20	13	14	31
			15.4%	24.7%	14.0%	4.5%

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	35	65
	Very satisfied	7	15
	Somewhat satisfied	16	26
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	12
	Very dissatisfied	2	5
	DK/NA	8	7
			19.1%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Total	35	65
	Very satisfied	10	22
	Somewhat satisfied	15	32
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	3
	Very dissatisfied	1	3
	DK/NA	7	5
			27.8%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Total	35	65
	Very satisfied	11	19
	Somewhat satisfied	12	28
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	10
	Very dissatisfied	3	6
	DK/NA	1	2
			30.7%
9D. Children's play areas.	Total	42	58
	Very satisfied	6	15
	Somewhat satisfied	14	23
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	4
	Very dissatisfied	5	5
	DK/NA	2	12
			14.7%
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Total	42	58
	Very satisfied	12	8
	Somewhat satisfied	15	19
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	1
	Very dissatisfied	5	5
	DK/NA	2	12
			27.3%

	Area				
	Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
<del>Somewhat dissatisfied</del>	6.6%	6.6%	0.6%	10.3%	5.4%
<del>Very dissatisfied</del>	14	1	4	4	5
<del>DK/NA</del>	216	35	53	36	56
<del>Total</del>	50.3%	51.5%	67.4%	69.8%	43.2%
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>					
<b>Total</b>	430	69	79	51	131
<b>Very satisfied</b>	159	32	36	22	45
	37.1%	46.0%	45.3%	43.4%	34.7%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	147	20	23	18	54
	34.3%	29.6%	28.4%	35.2%	41.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	3	4	0	6
	7.0%	5.0%	5.3%	0.0%	4.6%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13	0	2	0	2
	3.0%	0.7%	2.3%	0.0%	1.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	80	13	15	11	24
	18.6%	18.7%	18.6%	21.4%	18.3%

	Area	
	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<del>Somewhat dissatisfied</del>	22.8%	2.3%
<del>Very dissatisfied</del>	0	0.0%
<del>DK/NA</del>	6	30
<del>Total</del>	13.3%	51.8%
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>		
<b>Total</b>	42	58
<b>Very satisfied</b>	8	16
	20.0%	27.4%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	9	24
	21.6%	41.5%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	15	2
	34.6%	3.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	7	2
	16.4%	3.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>	3	14
	7.4%	24.7%

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	24	34	197	123
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	58	2	10	32	14
		15.3%	8.3%	28.7%	16.1%	11.7%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	112	13	13	41	45
		29.5%	53.2%	38.5%	20.7%	36.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	69	3	3	42	21
		18.3%	12.0%	8.7%	21.3%	17.2%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	18	0	1	11	5	
	4.7%	0.0%	3.6%	5.5%	4.4%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	122	6	7	72	37	
	32.3%	26.4%	20.5%	36.3%	30.2%	
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	24	34	197	123
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	119	9	14	54	42
		31.5%	39.3%	40.0%	27.2%	34.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	140	12	14	62	52
		36.9%	49.5%	40.4%	31.5%	42.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	1	2	19	9
		8.0%	3.0%	5.7%	9.7%	6.9%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8	0	1	7	1	
	2.2%	0.0%	2.0%	3.3%	1.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	81	2	4	56	19	
	21.3%	8.2%	11.9%	28.2%	15.4%	
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	24	34	197	123
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	136	10	20	66	41
		36.0%	40.1%	58.0%	33.3%	33.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	152	12	10	70	59
		40.1%	51.6%	29.5%	35.4%	48.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	59	2	3	40	15
		15.6%	7.5%	7.4%	20.2%	12.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	11	0	1	4	6	
	2.8%	0.0%	2.0%	2.1%	4.8%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	21	0	1	18	2	
	5.5%	0.7%	3.1%	8.9%	1.5%	
<b>9D. Children's play areas.</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	35	27	188	181
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	130	14	7	51	58
		30.2%	40.3%	25.5%	27.2%	32.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	150	17	10	70	53
		34.9%	48.9%	36.6%	37.5%	29.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	40	3	5	15	17
		9.3%	8.2%	19.1%	8.0%	9.3%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	19	0	0	10	9	
	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	5.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	91	1	5	41	44	
	21.1%	2.6%	18.9%	21.8%	24.2%	
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	35	27	188	181
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	66	12	9	22	23
		15.4%	33.7%	34.9%	11.7%	12.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	105	15	8	42	40
		24.5%	42.3%	31.3%	22.3%	22.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	28	0	2	16	10
		6.6%	0.5%	8.3%	8.4%	5.7%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	14	0	2	3	9	

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	3.2%	0.0%	6.3%	1.8%	4.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>	216	8	5	105	98
	50.3%	23.4%	19.2%	55.8%	54.4%
<b>Total</b>	430	35	27	188	181
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>					
<b>Very satisfied</b>	159	20	12	63	64
	37.1%	58.4%	44.7%	33.6%	35.5%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	147	10	9	74	55
	34.3%	27.6%	32.7%	39.4%	30.6%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	0	4	14	12
	7.0%	0.0%	13.4%	7.4%	6.9%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13	2	0	6	5
	3.0%	5.5%	0.0%	3.4%	2.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	80	3	2	30	44
	18.6%	8.5%	9.2%	16.2%	24.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	C			C
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	a			
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA			D	
	Very satisfied		C		
	Somewhat satisfied				
<b>9D. Children's play areas.</b>	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		
	DK/NA			A	A
	Very satisfied	C D	C D		
<b>9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.</b>	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			
	Very dissatisfied			A B	A B
	DK/NA				
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>	Very satisfied	C			
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied		a		
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>	<b>Total</b>	313	39	59	63	45	107
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	45	7	6	7	11	15
		14.3%	16.7%	10.1%	11.4%	23.3%	13.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	93	7	24	23	12	28
		29.8%	16.9%	40.1%	35.5%	26.9%	26.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	58	11	14	11	8	14
		18.4%	27.4%	23.8%	16.7%	17.7%	13.5%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	13	3	0	5	1	5	
	4.3%	6.7%	0.0%	7.9%	1.7%	4.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	104	13	15	18	14	44	
	33.3%	32.4%	26.0%	28.4%	30.5%	41.6%	
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>	<b>Total</b>	313	39	59	63	45	107
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	101	12	10	27	16	35
		32.2%	30.8%	17.4%	43.0%	35.2%	33.2%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	119	17	28	21	17	36
		38.0%	43.3%	46.7%	33.3%	38.2%	33.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	25	3	13	1	3	4
		7.9%	8.3%	21.6%	2.1%	7.2%	4.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8	3	0	3	1	1	
	2.6%	8.1%	0.0%	5.5%	1.7%	0.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	60	4	8	10	8	30	
	19.3%	9.5%	14.3%	16.1%	17.7%	28.2%	
<b>9C. Neighborhood parks.</b>	<b>Total</b>	313	39	59	63	45	107
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	116	11	15	24	18	49
		37.1%	26.8%	25.9%	37.3%	39.7%	45.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	129	16	21	30	21	41
		41.1%	39.7%	36.0%	47.0%	47.4%	38.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	42	12	16	2	3	9
		13.4%	31.6%	27.1%	2.9%	6.3%	8.3%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	10	0	5	3	1	1	
	3.2%	0.6%	8.3%	5.5%	1.7%	0.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	16	1	2	5	2	7	
	5.3%	1.4%	2.8%	7.3%	4.9%	7.0%	
<b>Total</b>	397	66	83	86	47	115	

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
9D. Children's play areas.	Very satisfied	122 30.7%	15 22.6%	23 27.9%	35 40.7%	15 31.7%	34 29.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	133 33.6%	27 40.2%	23 27.6%	30 34.9%	11 24.4%	42 36.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 9.1%	0 0.0%	18 21.8%	6 7.4%	3 6.5%	9 7.5%
	Very dissatisfied	19 4.7%	8 12.4%	7 8.9%	0 0.0%	2 4.8%	1 0.9%
	DK/NA	87 21.9%	16 24.9%	11 13.8%	15 17.0%	15 32.7%	29 25.1%
	Total	397	66	83	86	47	115
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very satisfied	64 16.1%	8 12.4%	16 19.3%	17 20.2%	5 11.7%	17 14.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	97 24.6%	20 30.4%	19 23.1%	21 24.6%	7 15.4%	30 25.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24 6.1%	3 5.0%	12 14.2%	4 4.8%	2 3.9%	3 2.7%
	Very dissatisfied	14 3.4%	1 1.7%	6 7.0%	0 0.3%	1 2.0%	6 4.8%
	DK/NA	198 49.9%	33 50.5%	30 36.4%	43 50.1%	31 67.0%	60 52.1%
	Total	397	66	83	86	47	115
9F. Recreation centers.	Very satisfied	146 36.7%	15 22.3%	39 47.4%	31 35.5%	16 33.2%	45 39.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 35.4%	33 49.6%	20 24.1%	33 37.8%	16 34.5%	39 33.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 6.3%	0 0.0%	14 16.4%	1 1.1%	1 2.0%	10 8.4%
	Very dissatisfied	12 3.1%	4 5.5%	3 3.1%	0 0.0%	2 4.8%	4 3.3%
	DK/NA	73 18.5%	15 22.7%	7 9.0%	22 25.6%	12 25.6%	17 14.8%
	Total	397	66	83	86	47	115

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		a			
	DK/NA					
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very satisfied			B		
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		C E			
	Very dissatisfied		a			
	DK/NA					
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	C D E	C E			
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
9D. Children's play areas.	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied		A E			
	Very dissatisfied	E	E	a		
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		E			
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA				B	
	Very satisfied		A			
9F. Recreation centers.	Somewhat satisfied	B a	C			
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			a		
	DK/NA			B		
	Very satisfied					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	309	102	59	28	38	32
	Very satisfied	44	17	2	2	11	6
	Somewhat satisfied	91	33	22	8	8	8
	Somewhat dissatisfied	57	16	10	9	4	8
	Very dissatisfied	13	2	2	0	3	3
	DK/NA	104	35	24	8	12	6
			33.5%	34.4%	40.0%	29.5%	31.9%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Total	309	102	59	28	38	32
	Very satisfied	101	36	15	9	13	8
	Somewhat satisfied	116	36	28	12	14	13
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	12	3	3	3	1
	Very dissatisfied	8	0	0	1	0	4
	DK/NA	59	19	13	4	7	6
			19.2%	18.2%	21.9%	12.5%	17.5%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Total	309	102	59	28	38	32
	Very satisfied	116	49	24	6	13	7
	Somewhat satisfied	127	41	26	16	14	11
	Somewhat dissatisfied	40	6	4	6	4	9
	Very dissatisfied	10	2	3	1	0	3
	DK/NA	16	4	3	0	6	1
			5.3%	3.8%	4.2%	0.0%	16.4%
9D. Children's play areas.	Total	390	126	91	20	41	51
	Very satisfied	122	29	29	12	17	20
	Somewhat satisfied	130	44	20	3	15	25
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36	13	14	0	4	2
	Very dissatisfied	19	7	3	1	2	3
	DK/NA	83	33	25	3	3	2
			21.3%	26.1%	27.4%	17.5%	8.1%
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Total	390	126	91	20	41	51
	Very satisfied	64	19	15	4	6	7
	Somewhat satisfied	93	24	27	3	11	21
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24	12	5	1	4	0
	Very dissatisfied	14	2	4	0	8	0
	DK/NA						

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	43	4	4
	Very satisfied	5	2	0
	Somewhat satisfied	9	2	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	0	3
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	0
	DK/NA	19	0	0
		42.9%	0.0%	0.0%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Total	43	4	4
	Very satisfied	13	2	4
	Somewhat satisfied	12	2	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	0
	DK/NA	12	0	0
		27.8%	0.0%	0.0%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Total	43	4	4
	Very satisfied	12	4	1
	Somewhat satisfied	16	0	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0
	DK/NA	3	0	0
		8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
9D. Children's play areas.	Total	47	8	7
	Very satisfied	11	2	3
	Somewhat satisfied	16	5	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	1	0
	Very dissatisfied	5	0	0
	DK/NA	14	0	2
		30.5%	0.0%	30.7%
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Total	47	8	7
	Very satisfied	11	1	0
	Somewhat satisfied	3	0	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	2	0
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
	DK/NA			

	Party by Gender					
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
Very dissatisfied	3.5%	1.3%	4.5%	0.0%	19.1%	0.0%
DK/NA	195	69	40	11	12	23
	50.1%	54.9%	43.9%	55.4%	29.2%	44.8%
<b>Total</b>	390	126	91	20	41	51
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>						
Very satisfied	146	40	28	11	16	29
	37.4%	31.6%	30.7%	57.9%	40.3%	57.5%
Somewhat satisfied	136	45	26	2	17	15
	34.8%	35.4%	28.4%	11.0%	42.7%	29.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied	25	9	9	0	4	1
	6.4%	7.3%	9.8%	0.0%	10.6%	2.3%
Very dissatisfied	12	8	1	1	0	3
	3.1%	6.3%	1.2%	3.4%	0.0%	4.9%
DK/NA	71	24	27	5	3	3
	18.2%	19.4%	29.9%	27.6%	6.3%	5.8%

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Very dissatisfied	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	34	5	3
	71.3%	59.9%	38.4%
<b>Total</b>	47	8	7
<b>9F. Recreation centers.</b>			
Very satisfied	17	2	2
	36.9%	24.9%	22.3%
Somewhat satisfied	21	5	5
	43.5%	63.7%	77.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	0
	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	8	1	0
	16.3%	11.3%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party by Gender					
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.</b>				B		
Very satisfied						
Somewhat satisfied						
Somewhat dissatisfied			a			
Very dissatisfied						
DK/NA						
<b>9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.</b>						
Very satisfied						
Somewhat satisfied						
Somewhat dissatisfied		a		a	A	A
Very dissatisfied						
DK/NA						
Very satisfied						
Somewhat satisfied						
Somewhat dissatisfied					A B	A
Very dissatisfied						
DK/NA			a			
Very satisfied			A			
Somewhat satisfied					B	
Somewhat dissatisfied						
Very dissatisfied	E	E				E
DK/NA						
Very satisfied		F			A F	
Somewhat satisfied						
Somewhat dissatisfied						
Very dissatisfied			a	A B	a	a
DK/NA						D
Very satisfied					A	
Somewhat satisfied						
Somewhat dissatisfied			a			a
Very dissatisfied				a		a
DK/NA		E				

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very satisfied	B	. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat satisfied		. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat dissatisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	A B D F
	Very dissatisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA		. <sup>a</sup>
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very satisfied		. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat satisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat dissatisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	Very dissatisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA		. <sup>a</sup>
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very satisfied	C	
	Somewhat satisfied		. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat dissatisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	Very dissatisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA		. <sup>a</sup>
9D. Children's play areas.	Very satisfied		. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat satisfied		. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat dissatisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	Very dissatisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA		. <sup>a</sup>
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very satisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	F
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	E F	
	Very dissatisfied		. <sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA		. <sup>a</sup>
9F. Recreation centers.	Very satisfied		C
	Somewhat satisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	Very dissatisfied	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA		. <sup>a</sup>

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	198	38	68	29	32
	Very satisfied	24	10	6	4	3
		12.2%	27.7%	9.3%	14.1%	9.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	52	9	21	8	11
		26.1%	24.7%	30.5%	27.7%	34.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	48	9	12	9	11
		24.0%	24.7%	17.9%	30.0%	32.5%
Very dissatisfied	5	0	4	0	1	
	2.3%	0.0%	5.2%	0.0%	3.1%	
DK/NA	70	9	25	8	6	
	35.4%	23.0%	37.1%	28.2%	19.7%	
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Total	198	38	68	29	32
	Very satisfied	54	17	21	5	8
		27.1%	44.9%	30.4%	16.6%	24.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	69	11	24	11	13
		34.7%	27.8%	35.6%	36.9%	40.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23	3	7	3	7
		11.4%	7.5%	9.8%	9.6%	22.2%
Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	0	1	
	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	2.1%	
DK/NA	52	8	16	11	3	
	26.3%	19.8%	23.6%	36.8%	10.5%	
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Total	198	38	68	29	32
	Very satisfied	68	14	27	11	11
		34.4%	37.7%	38.9%	38.8%	34.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	76	12	27	10	17
		38.3%	30.5%	39.6%	35.5%	52.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	12	11	3	3
		22.4%	31.3%	16.0%	10.4%	10.7%
Very dissatisfied	4	0	0	2	1	
	1.8%	0.0%	0.6%	7.7%	2.8%	
DK/NA	6	0	3	2	0	
	3.0%	0.5%	4.9%	7.7%	0.1%	
9D. Children's play areas.	Total	218	66	73	32	19
	Very satisfied	71	23	20	12	8
		32.5%	34.9%	28.2%	38.6%	43.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	64	19	22	11	5
		29.2%	29.2%	29.7%	34.3%	24.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24	8	9	2	1
		10.8%	11.5%	12.4%	6.5%	7.8%
Very dissatisfied	6	4	1	0	0	
	2.5%	6.2%	1.4%	0.0%	2.0%	
DK/NA	54	12	21	7	4	
	24.9%	18.2%	28.4%	20.7%	21.8%	
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Total	218	66	73	32	19
	Very satisfied	32	11	8	7	1
		14.8%	17.0%	11.5%	21.5%	7.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	50	18	16	7	4
		23.0%	26.9%	22.2%	21.2%	20.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	11	4	4	0	1	
	5.2%	5.8%	6.1%	1.5%	3.9%	



		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	31
	Very satisfied	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	2 7.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 21.7%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	22 70.6%
	9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Total
Very satisfied		3 10.7%
Somewhat satisfied		10 32.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied		3 10.0%
Very dissatisfied		0 0.0%
DK/NA		14 46.8%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Total	31
	Very satisfied	5 16.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	10 33.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 50.1%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.5%
9D. Children's play areas.	Total	28
	Very satisfied	7 24.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	7 24.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 12.2%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	11 39.0%
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Total	28
	Very satisfied	4 15.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	6 19.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2 6.2%

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
9F. Recreation centers.	Very dissatisfied	3 1.3%	1 1.4%	2 2.3%	0 1.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	121 55.7%	32 48.9%	42 57.9%	17 54.7%	13 68.0%
	Total	218	66	73	32	19
9F. Recreation centers.	Very satisfied	79 36.4%	22 32.7%	32 43.9%	11 33.6%	6 31.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	71 32.5%	19 28.6%	18 24.2%	14 43.8%	9 45.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16 7.5%	10 15.0%	4 5.7%	2 5.0%	1 3.9%
	Very dissatisfied	3 1.4%	2 3.4%	0 0.0%	1 2.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	48 22.2%	13 20.3%	19 26.2%	5 15.3%	4 19.1%

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
9F. Recreation centers.	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	17 58.8%
9F. Recreation centers.	Total	28
	Very satisfied	9 32.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	12 42.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	7 26.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very satisfied					a
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			a		
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	DK/NA					A B C D
	Very satisfied	E				
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			a		a
	DK/NA					D
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					B C D
	Very dissatisfied					a
9D. Children's play areas.	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a		a
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very dissatisfied					a
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied				a	a
9F. Recreation centers.	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a			a
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	180	47	46	14	56
	Very satisfied	34	7	11	0	15
		18.8%	15.5%	23.0%	2.5%	27.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	60	17	18	5	13
		33.1%	36.4%	40.3%	37.3%	23.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22	6	5	2	7
		12.0%	13.0%	10.8%	11.1%	12.9%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very dissatisfied	13	5	1	0	6
		7.3%	10.3%	1.3%	0.0%	10.1%
	DK/NA	52	12	11	7	15
		28.8%	24.7%	24.6%	49.1%	26.4%
	Total	180	47	46	14	56
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very satisfied	65	18	17	4	26
		36.2%	38.8%	36.7%	31.9%	46.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	71	20	17	4	18
		39.4%	42.7%	36.4%	30.9%	31.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	2	5	0	1
		4.3%	4.1%	10.2%	0.0%	2.0%
	Very dissatisfied	7	4	0	0	3
	4.1%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%	
9C. Neighborhood parks.	DK/NA	29	3	8	5	8
		15.9%	6.1%	16.7%	37.3%	13.8%
	Total	180	47	46	14	56
	Very satisfied	68	19	22	5	21
		37.8%	40.9%	47.0%	33.1%	37.1%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Somewhat satisfied	75	23	17	9	20
		42.0%	49.5%	37.4%	60.6%	35.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	0	4	0	6
		8.1%	1.0%	9.0%	0.0%	10.9%
	Very dissatisfied	7	3	0	0	4
		4.0%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%
	DK/NA	15	1	3	1	5
	8.2%	1.2%	6.6%	6.2%	9.8%	
9D. Children's play areas.	Total	212	58	60	16	66
	Very satisfied	59	19	13	5	15
		27.8%	33.3%	21.2%	30.7%	23.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	87	18	31	7	26
		40.9%	30.9%	51.8%	43.1%	39.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16	3	2	1	11
		7.6%	4.8%	3.8%	3.8%	15.9%
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very dissatisfied	14	6	0	0	8
		6.5%	9.5%	0.2%	0.0%	12.3%
	DK/NA	36	12	14	4	6
		17.1%	21.5%	23.0%	22.4%	9.6%
	Total	212	58	60	16	66
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very satisfied	34	10	13	2	8
		15.9%	16.9%	21.0%	10.4%	12.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	55	5	15	5	26
		26.1%	8.8%	24.5%	32.2%	38.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17	7	0	0	10
	8.1%	11.7%	0.1%	0.0%	15.4%	

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Total	17
	Very satisfied	0
		2.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	6
		33.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2
		10.4%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very dissatisfied	2
		11.4%
	DK/NA	7
		42.1%
	Total	17
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very satisfied	0
		0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	12
		70.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
9D. Children's play areas.	Very dissatisfied	0
		0.0%
	DK/NA	5
		30.0%
	Total	17
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very satisfied	2
		11.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	7
		39.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4
		22.1%
9F. Recreation centers.	Very dissatisfied	0
		0.0%
	DK/NA	5
		27.5%
	Total	11
9G. Recreation centers.	Very satisfied	7
		57.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	5
		42.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
9H. Recreation centers.	Very dissatisfied	0
		0.0%
	DK/NA	0
		0.0%
9I. Recreation centers.	Very satisfied	2
		15.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	5
		42.6%
9J. Recreation centers.	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
		0.0%

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very dissatisfied	11	2	0	1	7
		5.0%	3.5%	0.4%	8.2%	10.7%
	DK/NA	95	34	32	8	16
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	Very dissatisfied	44.8%	59.2%	54.1%	49.1%	23.4%
	DK/NA	212	58	60	16	66
	Total	80	28	21	7	15
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Very satisfied	37.8%	47.4%	35.2%	45.7%	22.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	76	13	22	8	31
		36.1%	22.9%	37.4%	50.5%	46.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14	1	2	0	10
		6.4%	2.2%	3.8%	0.0%	15.1%
	Very dissatisfied	10	3	4	0	4
9D. Children's play areas.	DK/NA	4.8%	4.4%	6.5%	0.0%	5.5%
	Total	31	13	10	1	7
	Very satisfied	14.9%	23.2%	17.2%	3.8%	10.8%
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very dissatisfied	0
		0.0%
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	DK/NA	5
		41.6%
9C. Neighborhood parks.	Total	11
	Very satisfied	9
		81.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	2
		18.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
		0.0%
9D. Children's play areas.	Very dissatisfied	0
		0.0%
	DK/NA	0
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	Very dissatisfied	0
		0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a		
	Very dissatisfied					
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	DK/NA					a
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied			a		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied					
9C. Neighborhood parks.	DK/NA			A		
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied			a		A
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a		a
9D. Children's play areas.	DK/NA					A
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied			a	B	a
	Very dissatisfied					
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	DK/NA					a
	Very satisfied	B		a	B	A
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
9F. Recreation centers.	DK/NA	D	D			a
	Very satisfied	D				B D
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied			a		a
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Total	808	808
	Excellent	261	32.3%
	Good	243	30.1%
	Fair	69	8.6%
	Poor	22	2.8%
	Very poor	9	1.1%
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services	183	22.7%
	DK/NA	20	2.4%
		261	32.3%
		243	30.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		(A)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent	.
	Good	.
	Fair	.
	Poor	.
	Very poor	.
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services	.
DK/NA	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total		808	377	418	13
Excellent		261	108	151	3
Good		243	115	120	8
Fair		69	37	32	0
Poor		22	3	19	0
Very poor		9	5	3	1
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		183	101	81	1
DK/NA		20	8	11	0
		2.4%	2.2%	2.7%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Excellent				B
Good				B
Fair			A	a
Poor				B
Very poor				a
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		B		
DK/NA				a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Total		808	67	127	155	149	109	187
Excellent		261	15	31	48	52	39	74
Good		243	31	30	46	52	29	53
Fair		69	2	7	22	11	10	11
Poor		22	2	8	10	0	2	0
Very poor		9	6	0	1	0	1	0
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		183	9	45	25	32	26	47
DK/NA		20	3	6	4	3	2	3
		2.4%	4.4%	4.3%	2.3%	2.0%	1.8%	1.4%

		Age
		Not sure/DK/NA
Total		13
Excellent		2
Good		2
Fair		7
Poor		0
Very poor		1
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		1
DK/NA		0
		0.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent	B						ABCDEF
	Good							
	Fair							
	Poor	CDE	D <sup>a</sup>	D				D
	Very poor							
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services		AC					
	DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
<b>Total</b>		710	470	240
<b>Excellent</b>		234	166	68
		33.0%	35.3%	28.4%
<b>Good</b>		217	142	75
		30.5%	30.2%	31.2%
<b>Fair</b>		58	40	18
		8.1%	8.5%	7.4%
<b>Poor</b>		22	11	11
		3.2%	2.4%	4.7%
<b>Very poor</b>		8	3	5
		1.1%	0.7%	2.0%
<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>		154	96	58
		21.7%	20.3%	24.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>		17	12	5
		2.4%	2.6%	2.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent		
	Good		
	Fair		
	Poor		
	Very poor		
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>Total</b>		710	385	126	23	176
<b>Excellent</b>		234	133	39	9	53
		33.0%	34.5%	30.8%	39.8%	30.1%
<b>Good</b>		217	129	36	5	46
		30.5%	33.5%	28.7%	23.2%	26.3%
<b>Fair</b>		58	26	13	1	17
		8.1%	6.7%	10.7%	3.8%	9.9%
<b>Poor</b>		22	6	2	0	14
		3.2%	1.4%	1.9%	0.0%	8.2%
<b>Very poor</b>		8	2	2	0	5
		1.1%	0.5%	1.4%	0.0%	2.6%
<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>		154	82	32	5	34
		21.7%	21.3%	25.8%	22.3%	19.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>		17	8	1	2	6
		2.4%	2.1%	0.6%	10.9%	3.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent				
	Good				
	Fair			a	A
	Poor			a	
	Very poor				
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services			B	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party							
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other	
	<b>Total</b>	710	208	111	44	46	155	146	
	<b>Excellent</b>	234	66	39	12	20	55	41	
	<b>Good</b>	217	64	40	8	15	48	43	
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	<b>Fair</b>	58	18	7	10	2	8	13	
	<b>Poor</b>	22	4	2	0	0	2	14	
	<b>Very poor</b>	8	2	0	0	1	5	0	
	<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>	154	48	22	13	8	35	28	
		DK/NA	17	7	1	0	0	2	7
			2.4%	3.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.2%	1.3%	4.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent						
	Good						
	Fair			B E	a		
	Poor		a	a	a		A B E
	Very poor						a
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services				a		
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	
	<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69	
	<b>Excellent</b>	234	82	38	14	29	
	<b>Good</b>	217	81	33	11	19	
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	<b>Fair</b>	58	29	3	7	5	
	<b>Poor</b>	22	20	0	0	0	
	<b>Very poor</b>	8	6	2	1	0	
	<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>	154	71	17	10	13	
		DK/NA	17	8	2	3	3
			2.4%	2.7%	2.1%	7.4%	4.2%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		57	44	67	35
Excellent		20	11	29	11
Good		34.8%	24.8%	43.2%	32.2%
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?		21	14	25	13
Fair		36.3%	31.2%	36.9%	37.2%
Poor		2	6	4	2
Very poor		2.7%	14.5%	5.9%	5.9%
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		0	2	0	0
DK/NA		0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
		0	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		15	11	9	8
		26.2%	25.6%	13.7%	22.9%
		0	0	0	1
		0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?					a
Excellent					
Good					
Fair					
Poor					
Very poor					
Not used Menlo Park public library or services					
DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?					
Excellent					
Good					
Fair					
Poor		a		a	a
Very poor		a	a	a	a
Not used Menlo Park public library or services					
DK/NA		a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
Total		808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
Excellent		261	50	130	16	24	10	13	19
Good		32.3%	32.5%	30.5%	37.7%	38.1%	20.9%	29.9%	55.7%
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?		243	45	118	13	22	21	17	7
Fair		30.1%	29.3%	27.8%	31.0%	34.3%	44.5%	39.5%	21.9%
Poor		69	14	32	5	7	7	5	0
Very poor		8.6%	9.1%	7.5%	11.7%	10.4%	14.8%	11.4%	0.5%
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		22	4	19	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA		2.8%	2.3%	4.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		9	1	8	0	0	0	0	0
		1.1%	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		183	38	104	7	10	9	8	7
		22.7%	24.2%	24.4%	17.4%	16.4%	18.4%	19.3%	22.0%
		20	3	14	1	0	1	0	0
		2.4%	2.2%	3.4%	1.6%	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?								E
Excellent								
Good								
Fair								
Poor					a	a	a	a
Very poor				a	a	a	a	a
Not used Menlo Park public library or services								
DK/NA						a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	510	200
Excellent		234	185	49
Good		217	155	62
Fair		58	39	19
Poor		22	12	10
Very poor		8	8	0
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		154	98	56
DK/NA		17	13	4
		2.4%	2.6%	2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent	B	
	Good		
	Fair		
	Poor		A <sup>a</sup>
	Very poor		.
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services		A
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	347	363
Excellent		234	143	91
Good		217	98	119
Fair		58	23	35
Poor		22	4	18
Very poor		8	3	5
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		154	69	84
DK/NA		17	7	10
		2.4%	2.0%	2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent	B	
	Good		
	Fair		
	Poor		A
	Very poor		
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		808	710	98
Excellent		261	234	27
Good		243	217	26
Fair		69	58	12
Poor		22	22	0
Very poor		9	8	1
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		183	154	29
DK/NA		20	17	2
		2.4%	2.4%	2.4%

10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent		
	Good		
	Fair		
	Poor		
	Very poor		
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total		808	350	455	3
Excellent		261	119	142	0
Good		243	112	129	2
Fair		69	38	32	0
Poor		22	18	4	0
Very poor		9	2	7	0
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		183	55	128	1
DK/NA		20	6	13	0
		2.4%	1.8%	2.9%	0.0%

10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent			
	Good			
	Fair			a
	Poor	B		a
	Very poor			a
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services		A	
DK/NA				a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>Total</b>		808	124	191	79	110
<b>Excellent</b>		261	38	66	36	33
32.3%		31.0%	34.8%	46.2%	29.9%	
<b>Good</b>		243	46	62	29	35
30.1%		36.9%	32.5%	37.3%	32.3%	
<b>Fair</b>		69	8	20	1	12
8.6%		6.4%	10.6%	1.1%	11.1%	
<b>Poor</b>		22	11	14	0	3
2.8%		9.2%	7.5%	0.0%	2.3%	
<b>Very poor</b>		9	1	2	0	0
1.1%		1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>		183	17	22	9	26
22.7%		13.9%	11.4%	11.7%	23.3%	
<b>DK/NA</b>		20	2	4	3	1
2.4%		1.7%	2.2%	3.8%	1.0%	

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		455	3
<b>Excellent</b>		142	0
31.3%		0.0%	
<b>Good</b>		129	2
28.2%		74.6%	
<b>Fair</b>		32	0
7.0%		0.0%	
<b>Poor</b>		4	0
1.0%		0.0%	
<b>Very poor</b>		7	0
1.6%		0.0%	
<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>		128	1
28.0%		25.3%	
<b>DK/NA</b>		13	0
2.9%		0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household			
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?</b>	<b>Excellent</b>				
	<b>Good</b>				
	<b>Fair</b>	C E	C		C
	<b>Poor</b>		C E	a	a
	<b>Very poor</b>				
	<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>				B
<b>DK/NA</b>					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
<b>10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?</b>	<b>Excellent</b>		
	<b>Good</b>		
	<b>Fair</b>		a
	<b>Poor</b>		a
	<b>Very poor</b>		a
	<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>	A B C	a
<b>DK/NA</b>			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
<b>Total</b>		808	40	1	96	493	121	7
<b>Excellent</b>		261	14	0	30	179	23	0
32.3%		34.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31.7%	36.3%	19.1%	0.0%
<b>Good</b>		243	11	0	16	148	50	0
30.1%		27.5%	0.0%	0.0%	16.8%	30.1%	41.6%	0.0%
<b>Fair</b>		69	8	0	20	25	13	0
8.6%		18.9%	0.0%	0.0%	20.9%	5.1%	10.4%	0.0%
<b>Poor</b>		22	4	0	4	4	4	7
2.8%		9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.8%	3.5%	100.0%
<b>Very poor</b>		9	0	1	1	0	0	0
1.1%		0.0%	100.0%	0.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>		183	4	0	20	126	26	0
22.7%		10.1%	0.0%	21.4%	25.5%	21.8%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>		20	0	0	5	11	4	0
2.4%		0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	2.1%	3.6%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		30	5	17
Excellent		9	2	5
		30.4%	37.7%	27.5%
Good		6	2	9
		20.6%	52.4%	53.3%
Fair		4	0	1
		11.6%	0.0%	3.1%
Poor		0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
Very poor		6	0	1
		21.0%	0.0%	5.7%
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		5	0	1
		16.4%	9.8%	8.1%
DK/NA		0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group					
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?			a,b		E		b
Excellent			a,b				b
Good			a,b		C		b
Fair		D	a,b	D			b
Poor		D	a,b				b
Very poor		b	a,b		b		b
Not used Menlo Park public library or services			a,b				b
DK/NA		b	a,b				b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?				C
Excellent				
Good				
Fair				
Poor			b	
Very poor			b	
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		C D		D
DK/NA		b	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
Total		710	8	20	89	30	16	3
Excellent		234	0	8	16	10	4	1
		33.0%	5.4%	42.2%	18.3%	32.7%	26.3%	16.1%
Good		217	1	3	35	12	4	2
		30.5%	17.1%	13.1%	39.7%	40.8%	25.9%	63.2%
Fair		58	1	7	8	2	1	0
		8.1%	13.7%	33.4%	8.8%	5.8%	5.8%	0.0%
Poor		22	0	0	4	0	0	0
		3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Very poor		8	2	0	0	0	0	1
		1.1%	24.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.7%
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		154	1	2	21	6	5	0
		21.7%	9.2%	11.3%	23.6%	20.3%	27.7%	0.0%
DK/NA		17	2	0	4	0	2	0
		2.4%	30.6%	0.0%	4.8%	0.3%	14.3%	0.0%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
Total		14	530
Excellent		0	194
		0.0%	36.7%
Good		5	154
		36.4%	29.0%
Fair		0	40
		0.0%	7.5%
Poor		7	11
		47.2%	2.2%
Very poor		0	5
		1.2%	1.0%
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		1	118
		8.2%	22.3%
DK/NA		1	7
		6.9%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname					
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent						
	Good						
	Fair		H				a
	Poor	a	a		a	a	a
	Very poor	H		a	a	a	H
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services						a
DK/NA	D H	a			H	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
		(G)	(H)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent	a	C
	Good		
	Fair	a	
	Poor	C H	
	Very poor		
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area			
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Total	808	134	154	92
	Excellent	261	42	65	32
	Good	32.3%	31.5%	42.5%	35.1%
	Fair	243	38	41	31
	Poor	30.1%	28.7%	26.4%	34.0%
	Very poor	69	7	17	8
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services	8.6%	5.2%	10.9%	8.8%
	DK/NA	22	1	0	0
		2.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
		9	0	0	2
		1.1%	0.2%	0.0%	2.0%
	183	44	29	16	
	22.7%	32.9%	18.8%	17.0%	
	20	1	2	3	
	2.4%	0.9%	1.4%	3.0%	

		Area		
		Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Total	229	77	123
	Excellent	75	12	35
	Good	32.6%	16.1%	28.2%
	Fair	66	24	42
	Poor	29.0%	31.3%	34.5%
	Very poor	18	13	7
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services	7.7%	17.0%	5.7%
	DK/NA	10	8	4
		4.3%	10.7%	2.9%
		0	1	6
		0.0%	1.7%	4.6%
	55	18	22	
	24.0%	23.2%	17.8%	
	5	0	8	
	2.4%	0.0%	6.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent		E		
	Good				
	Fair				
	Poor		a		a
	Very poor				
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services				
DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent		
	Good		
	Fair		
	Poor	A B C	
	Very poor		
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services		
DK/NA	a		

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>		808	59	61	385	304
<b>Excellent</b>		261	19	13	135	93
<b>Good</b>		32.3%	33.2%	21.5%	35.2%	30.8%
<b>Fair</b>		243	21	22	110	91
<b>Poor</b>		30.1%	35.3%	35.4%	28.6%	29.9%
<b>Very poor</b>		69	1	9	32	27
<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>		8.6%	2.1%	15.3%	8.3%	8.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>		22	0	0	7	15
		2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	5.0%
		9	0	1	6	3
		1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
		183	17	16	88	62
		22.7%	28.6%	26.4%	22.8%	20.5%
		20	0	0	6	13
		2.4%	0.8%	0.2%	1.7%	4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>Excellent</b>					
<b>Good</b>					
<b>Fair</b>					
<b>Poor</b>		a	a		C
<b>Very poor</b>		a			
<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>					
<b>DK/NA</b>					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>		710	105	142	150	92	221
<b>Excellent</b>		234	20	39	50	26	98
<b>Good</b>		33.0%	19.0%	27.8%	33.6%	28.2%	44.4%
<b>Fair</b>		217	22	53	49	31	62
<b>Poor</b>		30.5%	21.2%	37.5%	32.5%	33.4%	28.0%
<b>Very poor</b>		58	9	20	12	4	13
<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>		8.1%	8.2%	13.8%	7.9%	4.5%	6.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>		22	16	0	0	2	4
		3.2%	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.0%
		8	5	1	0	0	2
		1.1%	4.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
		154	30	25	32	27	40
		21.7%	28.2%	17.8%	21.7%	29.1%	17.9%
		17	4	3	6	2	1
		2.4%	4.0%	2.3%	4.3%	2.4%	0.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>Excellent</b>						A B
<b>Good</b>						
<b>Fair</b>						
<b>Poor</b>		D E	a	a	a	
<b>Very poor</b>						
<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>						
<b>DK/NA</b>						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
Total		699	228	150	47	78	83
Excellent		231	85	44	13	26	29
33.0%		37.5%	29.6%	27.1%	33.1%	35.3%	
Good		209	74	51	11	25	22
29.9%		32.3%	33.9%	23.5%	31.9%	26.6%	
Fair		58	15	11	3	10	6
8.2%		6.6%	7.3%	6.5%	13.3%	7.0%	
Poor		22	4	1	0	2	14
3.2%		1.9%	0.7%	1.0%	2.5%	17.5%	
Very poor		8	2	0	2	0	0
1.2%		0.8%	0.0%	3.2%	0.3%	0.0%	
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		154	44	38	18	15	7
22.0%		19.4%	25.3%	37.4%	18.8%	8.1%	
DK/NA		17	3	5	1	0	5
2.5%		1.5%	3.0%	1.4%	0.1%	5.6%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total		91	12	11
Excellent		24	7	2
26.5%		58.6%	18.9%	
Good		21	1	4
23.6%		10.4%	37.4%	
Fair		12	1	0
12.9%		7.3%	0.0%	
Poor		0	0	0
0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Very poor		5	0	0
5.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		28	0	5
30.5%		2.9%	43.8%	
DK/NA		1	2	0
1.5%		20.8%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?						
Excellent						
Good						
Fair						
Poor						A B C D
Very poor		a				a
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		E	E			
DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?				
Excellent				
Good				
Fair				a
Poor		a		a
Very poor		a		a
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		E		E
DK/NA			A D F	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
Total		416	104	141	61	51
Excellent		132	35	48	20	19
31.6%		33.8%	33.9%	32.7%	36.9%	
Good		122	34	43	19	10
29.2%		32.7%	30.7%	31.4%	19.7%	
Fair		36	7	17	5	5
8.6%		6.9%	12.1%	7.5%	9.3%	
Poor		6	4	2	0	0
1.5%		4.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.9%	
Very poor		2	0	1	0	1
0.4%		0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	1.3%	
Not used Menlo Park public library or services		110	21	27	17	16
26.3%		20.3%	19.3%	28.1%	31.0%	
DK/NA		9	2	3	0	0
2.3%		2.2%	2.1%	0.4%	1.0%	

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		59
<b>Excellent</b>		10 16.8%
<b>Good</b>		15 25.3%
<b>Fair</b>		2 4.0%
<b>Poor</b>		0 0.0%
<b>Very poor</b>		0 0.0%
<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>		28 47.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>		4 6.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue			
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent				
	Good				
	Fair				
	Poor			a	
	Very poor			a	
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services				
<b>DK/NA</b>					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
		(E)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent	
	Good	
	Fair	
	Poor	a
	Very poor	a
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services	A B
<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		392	105	106	30	122
<b>Excellent</b>		130 33.1%	36 34.7%	36 33.8%	9 30.1%	39 32.3%
<b>Good</b>		122 31.1%	44 41.7%	28 26.5%	11 35.6%	31 25.0%
<b>Fair</b>		33 8.5%	4 3.7%	14 13.2%	0 0.7%	15 11.9%
<b>Poor</b>		16 4.1%	0 0.0%	4 3.6%	0 0.0%	10 8.5%
<b>Very poor</b>		7 1.9%	2 2.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 3.9%
<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>		73 18.8%	16 15.0%	24 22.9%	10 33.3%	18 14.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>		10 2.6%	3 2.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.3%	5 4.0%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		29
<b>Excellent</b>		9 31.4%
<b>Good</b>		9 29.8%
<b>Fair</b>		1 3.0%
<b>Poor</b>		2 6.9%
<b>Very poor</b>		0 0.0%
<b>Not used Menlo Park public library or services</b>		6 20.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>		2 8.3%



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax			
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent				
	Good				
	Fair	a			
	Poor				
	Very poor		a	a	
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
		(E)
10. In the past 12 months, if you or anyone in your household have used one of the Menlo Park public libraries or library services, would you say that Menlo Park public libraries services are excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?	Excellent	
	Good	
	Fair	
	Poor	
	Very poor	a
	Not used Menlo Park public library or services	
	DK/NA	B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
	Total	808	808
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	384	384
		47.5%	47.5%
	Somewhat support	233	233
		28.8%	28.8%
	Somewhat oppose	65	65
		8.1%	8.1%
Strongly oppose	49	49	
	6.0%	6.0%	
DK/NA	77	77	
	9.5%	9.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Total
		Total
		(A)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	.
	Somewhat support	.
	Somewhat oppose	.
	Strongly oppose	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Total	808	377	418	13
	Strongly support	384	159	219	6
		47.5%	42.2%	52.4%	45.9%
	Somewhat support	233	120	107	6
		28.8%	31.9%	25.5%	46.1%
	Somewhat oppose	65	31	34	1
		8.1%	8.2%	8.1%	5.1%
Strongly oppose	49	25	23	0	
	6.0%	6.7%	5.5%	3.0%	
DK/NA	77	41	36	0	
	9.5%	11.0%	8.5%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support		A	
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	<b>Strongly support</b>	384	30	61	90	73	46	77	8
		47.5%	44.5%	47.5%	57.8%	48.8%	42.4%	41.3%	59.3%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	233	24	48	32	41	32	53	4
		28.8%	35.7%	37.7%	20.4%	27.7%	29.0%	28.0%	29.5%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	65	0	10	10	12	10	23	1
	8.1%	0.0%	7.7%	6.3%	7.8%	9.4%	12.1%	8.3%	
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	49	5	0	10	8	7	20	0	
	6.0%	6.8%	0.0%	6.2%	5.3%	6.1%	10.5%	2.8%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	77	9	9	14	16	14	15	0	
	9.5%	13.0%	7.1%	9.2%	10.5%	13.1%	8.1%	0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>			F				
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	. <sup>a</sup>	C					
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>							
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		. <sup>a</sup>					
	<b>DK/NA</b>							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
	<b>Strongly support</b>	349	224	125
		49.2%	47.7%	52.1%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	194	135	59
		27.4%	28.7%	24.8%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	57	39	18
	8.0%	8.3%	7.5%	
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	40	26	14	
	5.6%	5.5%	5.8%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	69	46	24	
	9.8%	9.8%	9.8%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Strongly support</b>	349	204	54	12	79
		49.2%	53.1%	42.7%	54.5%	44.6%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	194	108	33	3	51
		27.4%	28.0%	26.3%	13.4%	28.7%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	57	28	11	4	15
	8.0%	7.3%	8.6%	15.5%	8.3%	
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	40	15	10	1	15	
	5.6%	3.8%	7.6%	2.4%	8.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	69	30	19	3	17	
	9.8%	7.9%	14.8%	14.3%	9.8%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>				
	<b>Somewhat support</b>				
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>				
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>				
	<b>DK/NA</b>				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Strongly support	349	115	60	18	26	55	76
		49.2%	55.1%	53.7%	41.6%	55.4%	35.3%	52.3%
	Somewhat support	194	59	25	17	7	55	32
		27.4%	28.4%	22.3%	38.2%	16.0%	35.3%	21.8%
	Somewhat oppose	57	17	9	4	4	10	13
	8.0%	8.0%	8.1%	9.3%	7.9%	6.7%	9.1%	
Strongly oppose	40	4	6	3	3	14	9	
	5.6%	2.1%	5.5%	6.0%	7.0%	9.2%	6.4%	
DK/NA	69	13	12	2	6	21	15	
	9.8%	6.4%	10.4%	4.9%	13.7%	13.5%	10.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	E	E				E
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose					A	
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Total	710	297	95	46	69
	Strongly support	349	155	44	22	39
		49.2%	52.4%	46.3%	47.9%	57.1%
	Somewhat support	194	70	31	14	15
		27.4%	23.6%	32.2%	30.4%	21.9%
	Somewhat oppose	57	23	9	3	6
	8.0%	7.8%	9.3%	5.8%	9.4%	
Strongly oppose	40	18	4	1	2	
	5.6%	6.1%	4.0%	3.0%	3.0%	
DK/NA	69	30	8	6	6	
	9.8%	10.1%	8.2%	12.9%	8.6%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Total	57	44	67	35
	Strongly support	18	19	32	19
		31.9%	43.7%	48.0%	53.2%
	Somewhat support	16	14	22	11
		28.5%	32.8%	33.6%	32.5%
	Somewhat oppose	9	2	4	1
	15.1%	5.0%	6.3%	2.3%	
Strongly oppose	4	6	3	2	
	7.3%	12.7%	3.9%	6.4%	
DK/NA	10	3	5	2	
	17.2%	5.8%	8.2%	5.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996 (F)	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>Total</b>		808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	384 47.5%	66 42.7%	204 47.8%	14 33.9%	28 44.1%	23 48.5%	24 56.9%	26 75.9%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	233 28.8%	39 25.4%	124 29.2%	14 34.9%	20 32.2%	13 28.7%	13 30.9%	8 24.1%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	65 8.1%	16 10.1%	31 7.3%	9 20.7%	6 10.0%	2 4.7%	1 3.1%	0 0.0%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	49 6.0%	8 5.3%	31 7.2%	3 6.1%	2 3.9%	3 6.1%	2 4.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	77 9.5%	25 16.4%	36 8.5%	2 4.4%	6 9.9%	6 12.0%	2 4.5%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>							A B C
	<b>Somewhat support</b>							
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>							
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>							a
	<b>DK/NA</b>							a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		710	510	200
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	349 49.2%	244 48.0%	105 52.2%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	194 27.4%	134 26.4%	60 29.9%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	57 8.0%	51 10.0%	6 3.1%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	40 5.6%	32 6.2%	8 4.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	69 9.8%	48 9.4%	21 10.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	B	
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	349 49.2%	150 43.4%	199 54.7%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	194 27.4%	106 30.6%	88 24.3%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	57 8.0%	36 10.3%	21 5.9%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	40 5.6%	19 5.4%	21 5.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	69 9.8%	36 10.2%	34 9.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>		A
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	B	
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		808	710	98
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	384 47.5%	349 49.2%	35 35.5%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	233 28.8%	194 27.4%	39 39.4%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	65 8.1%	57 8.0%	8 8.4%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	49 6.0%	40 5.6%	9 8.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	77 9.5%	69 9.8%	8 7.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	B	
	<b>Somewhat support</b>		A
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		808	350	455	3
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	384 47.5%	185 52.8%	197 43.3%	2 75.7%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	233 28.8%	94 26.9%	138 30.4%	1 24.3%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	65 8.1%	28 7.9%	38 8.3%	0 0.0%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	49 6.0%	14 3.9%	35 7.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	77 9.5%	30 8.5%	47 10.4%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes (A)	No (B)	Not sure [DK/NA] (C)
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	B		
	<b>Somewhat support</b>			a
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>		A	a
	<b>DK/NA</b>			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>Total</b>		808	124	191	79	110
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	384 47.5%	73 59.0%	105 55.0%	37 46.4%	54 49.1%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	233 28.8%	31 25.3%	45 23.7%	19 24.2%	36 32.7%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	65 8.1%	7 5.9%	22 11.4%	9 10.9%	8 7.1%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	49 6.0%	1 0.5%	8 4.2%	5 6.2%	6 5.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	77 9.5%	12 9.3%	11 5.7%	10 12.2%	6 5.9%

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		455	3
<b>11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?</b>	<b>Strongly support</b>	197 43.3%	2 75.7%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	138 30.4%	1 24.3%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	38 8.3%	0 0.0%
	<b>Strongly oppose</b>	35 7.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	47 10.4%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household				
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	E				
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose DK/NA					A

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	
	Somewhat support	
	Somewhat oppose	.a
	Strongly oppose DK/NA	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Total	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	Strongly support	384	29	0	65	205	57	7
		47.5%	72.3%	0.0%	68.4%	41.6%	47.1%	100.0%
	Somewhat support	233	8	1	24	153	35	0
		28.8%	21.4%	100.0%	25.1%	31.1%	29.2%	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	65	0	0	0	56	8	0
	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%	6.6%	0.0%	
Strongly oppose	49	0	0	0	31	5	0	
	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	4.5%	0.0%	
DK/NA	77	3	0	6	47	15	0	
	9.5%	6.3%	0.0%	6.5%	9.6%	12.6%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Total	30	5	17
	Strongly support	13	1	7
		43.3%	28.3%	41.8%
	Somewhat support	5	0	6
		16.0%	3.4%	38.2%
	Somewhat oppose	0	0	1
	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	
Strongly oppose	10	1	1	
	32.4%	24.8%	7.8%	
DK/NA	3	2	1	
	8.3%	43.5%	7.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group						
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	D	.a,b	D E			.b	
	Somewhat support		.a,b				.b	
	Somewhat oppose	.b	.a,b	.b			.b	
	Strongly oppose DK/NA	.b	.a,b	.b			.b	D E

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group	
		Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Surname						
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
<b>Strongly support</b>	349	7	10	47	8	5	3
	49.2%	90.8%	53.0%	53.2%	27.3%	32.6%	83.9%
<b>Somewhat support</b>	194	1	9	19	16	6	0
	27.4%	9.2%	47.0%	20.9%	53.9%	36.3%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	57	0	0	4	2	2	0
	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	6.9%	12.5%	0.0%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	40	0	0	5	0	0	0
	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	69	0	0	13	4	3	1
	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%	15.1%	12.0%	17.3%	16.1%

	Ethnic Surname	
	African American	Not Coded
<b>Total</b>	14	530
<b>Strongly support</b>	11	257
	79.9%	48.5%
<b>Somewhat support</b>	2	142
	13.6%	26.8%
<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	0	49
	0.0%	9.2%
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	0	34
	0.0%	6.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>	1	48
	6.5%	9.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname						
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	D						D
	Somewhat support				CH			
	Somewhat oppose	.a	.a					.a
	Strongly oppose	.a	.a		.a			.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a					.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
		(H)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	
	Somewhat support	
	Somewhat oppose	
	Strongly oppose	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
	<b>Strongly support</b>	384	62	66	49	97
		47.5%	46.4%	43.1%	53.8%	42.3%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	233	39	48	11	81
		28.8%	28.7%	31.5%	12.4%	35.4%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	65	10	14	12	23
	8.1%	7.3%	9.4%	13.6%	9.9%	
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	49	9	15	10	7	
	6.0%	6.6%	9.6%	10.5%	3.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	77	15	10	9	21	
	9.5%	11.1%	6.4%	9.7%	9.3%	

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	<b>Total</b>	77	123
	<b>Strongly support</b>	41	68
		53.2%	55.6%
	<b>Somewhat support</b>	25	29
		32.4%	23.5%
	<b>Somewhat oppose</b>	3	3
	3.8%	2.5%	
<b>Strongly oppose</b>	3	6	
	3.3%	4.8%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	6	17	
	7.3%	13.6%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support		C		C
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose			F	
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	C	
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Strongly support	384	34	35	161	155
		47.5%	58.0%	56.8%	41.7%	51.0%
	Somewhat support	233	18	15	107	93
		28.8%	31.1%	23.9%	27.9%	30.6%
	Somewhat oppose	65	3	3	44	16
		8.1%	5.6%	4.6%	11.3%	5.1%
Strongly oppose	49	1	3	31	14	
	6.0%	1.2%	4.5%	8.1%	4.6%	
DK/NA	77	2	6	42	26	
	9.5%	4.1%	10.1%	11.0%	8.7%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose			D	
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Strongly support	349	52	95	64	46	93
		49.2%	49.2%	66.8%	42.7%	49.8%	42.0%
	Somewhat support	194	21	32	46	27	68
		27.4%	20.4%	22.6%	31.0%	29.0%	30.7%
	Somewhat oppose	57	6	3	15	8	24
		8.0%	6.1%	2.3%	10.4%	8.7%	10.8%
Strongly oppose	40	10	5	7	4	15	
	5.6%	9.2%	3.3%	4.5%	3.9%	6.9%	
DK/NA	69	16	7	17	8	21	
	9.8%	15.2%	5.0%	11.4%	8.6%	9.7%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support		C E			
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose			B		B
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91
	Strongly support	344	131	69	18	36	42	37
		49.2%	57.6%	45.8%	38.0%	45.5%	50.7%	40.3%
	Somewhat support	189	52	53	15	18	24	25
		27.1%	22.9%	35.4%	32.3%	22.7%	28.4%	27.2%
	Somewhat oppose	56	15	13	6	5	6	9
	8.1%	6.6%	8.4%	12.4%	6.2%	7.0%	9.8%	
Strongly oppose	40	9	5	4	6	6	9	
	5.7%	4.1%	3.5%	7.7%	7.5%	7.1%	9.9%	
DK/NA	69	20	10	5	14	6	12	
	9.9%	8.8%	6.8%	9.5%	18.0%	6.9%	12.8%	

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Total	12	11
	Strongly support	7	5
		58.0%	50.7%
	Somewhat support	1	2
		7.4%	20.0%
	Somewhat oppose	4	0
	29.4%	0.0%	
Strongly oppose	0	1	
	0.0%	5.0%	
DK/NA	1	3	
	5.2%	24.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support						
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		a
	Somewhat oppose		a
	Strongly oppose	a	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Strongly support	193	82	75	15	7
		46.4%	78.4%	53.2%	24.8%	13.9%
	Somewhat support	127	18	54	16	11
		30.6%	17.2%	38.1%	25.4%	21.2%
	Somewhat oppose	37	0	3	18	13
	8.9%	0.0%	2.1%	29.9%	25.9%	
Strongly oppose	14	0	3	0	10	
	3.4%	0.0%	2.3%	0.5%	19.9%	
DK/NA	44	4	6	12	10	
	10.7%	4.3%	4.2%	19.4%	19.1%	

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Total	59
	Strongly support	14
		24.3%
	Somewhat support	29
		49.6%
	Somewhat oppose	2
	4.2%	
Strongly oppose	0	
	0.8%	
DK/NA	12	
	21.1%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	BCDE	CDE			AD
	Somewhat support		A			
	Somewhat oppose			ABE	ABE	
	Strongly oppose	. <sup>a</sup>			BCE	
DK/NA				AB	AB	AB

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
Total		392	105	106	30	122
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	191 48.7%	85 80.8%	59 55.5%	5 15.7%	36 29.7%
	Somewhat support	106 27.0%	19 17.7%	36 33.9%	19 62.8%	25 20.9%
	Somewhat oppose	28 7.2%	1 1.0%	1 1.2%	4 12.7%	22 18.0%
	Strongly oppose	34 8.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.8%	30 24.7%
	DK/NA	33 8.3%	1 0.5%	10 9.3%	2 6.0%	8 6.7%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
Total		29
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	6 21.1%
	Somewhat support	7 23.9%
	Somewhat oppose	0 0.0%
	Strongly oppose	4 12.4%
	DK/NA	12 42.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. Do you support or oppose improving the library system in Menlo Park?	Strongly support	BCDE	CDE			
	Somewhat support			ABDE		
	Somewhat oppose			AB	AB	
	Strongly oppose	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>		C	
DK/NA			A			ABCD

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Total		416	416
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes	104 25.0%	104 25.0%
	Probably Yes	141 33.8%	141 33.8%
	Probably No	61 14.7%	61 14.7%
	Definitely No	51 12.3%	51 12.3%
	DK/NA	59 14.2%	59 14.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		(A)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes	.
	Probably Yes	.
	Probably No	.
	Definitely No	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	416	199	212	5
	Definitely Yes	104 25.0%	43 21.5%	59 27.9%	2 43.1%
	Probably Yes	141 33.8%	64 32.0%	76 35.6%	2 31.6%
	Probably No	61 14.7%	36 17.9%	25 12.0%	0 0.6%
	Definitely No	51 12.3%	30 15.1%	21 9.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	59 14.2%	27 13.4%	31 14.6%	1 24.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes			
	Probably Yes			
	Probably No			
	Definitely No			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	416	28	68	76	81	59	98	6
	Definitely Yes	104 25.0%	8 28.3%	13 19.8%	22 29.2%	22 26.6%	16 27.9%	20 20.1%	3 47.8%
	Probably Yes	141 33.8%	12 43.4%	20 29.4%	30 38.9%	32 39.5%	24 40.4%	22 22.2%	2 25.7%
	Probably No	61 14.7%	2 8.1%	13 18.8%	7 9.1%	10 11.7%	14 23.1%	15 15.1%	1 18.9%
	Definitely No	51 12.3%	0 0.0%	4 5.5%	8 10.0%	12 14.6%	4 7.2%	23 23.7%	0 7.6%
	DK/NA	59 14.2%	6 20.1%	18 26.5%	10 12.8%	6 7.6%	1 1.4%	19 18.9%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24 (A)	25-34 (B)	35-44 (C)	45-54 (D)	55-64 (E)	65+ (F)	Not sure/DK/NA (G)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes							
	Probably Yes							
	Probably No						B	
	Definitely No	a					E	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	365	252	113
	Definitely Yes	99 27.1%	62 24.7%	37 32.6%
	Probably Yes	125 34.2%	95 37.6%	30 26.5%
	Probably No	54 14.8%	35 13.7%	20 17.4%
	Definitely No	44 12.1%	33 13.2%	11 9.7%
	DK/NA	43 11.7%	27 10.7%	16 13.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes		
	Probably Yes		
	Probably No	B	
	Definitely No		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	365	206	64	9	85
	Definitely Yes	99	61	12	3	23
	Probably Yes	125	74	17	1	33
	Probably No	54	24	14	0	16
	Definitely No	44	11.8%	21.6%	0.0%	18.9%
	DK/NA	43	29	11	1	2
		11.7%	13.9%	17.6%	6.6%	2.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes				
	Probably Yes				
	Probably No			a	
	Definitely No			A B D	
	DK/NA	D	D		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	365	95	71	22	22	82	72
	Definitely Yes	99	33	18	5	6	17	20
	Probably Yes	125	31	26	9	3	28	27
	Probably No	54	13	9	4	7	8	13
	Definitely No	44	6	9	1	3	14	11
	DK/NA	43	12	9	3	3	14	1
		11.7%	12.5%	13.4%	13.4%	13.6%	16.9%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes						
	Probably Yes						
	Probably No						
	Definitely No						
	DK/NA					F	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	365	144	54	25	35	24
	Definitely Yes	99	41	10	8	9	6
	Probably Yes	125	47	26	7	11	5
	Probably No	54	20	11	5	2	3
	Definitely No	44	14	7	4	6	5
	DK/NA	43	23	0	1	7	5
		11.7%	15.7%	0.3%	4.5%	19.3%	21.8%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	29	33	22
	Definitely Yes	7	7	12
	Probably Yes	13	10	5
	Probably No	6	6	1
	Definitely No	3	5	1
	DK/NA	1	4	2

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes					
	Probably Yes					
	Probably No					
	Definitely No				B	
	DK/NA					B

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes			
	Probably Yes			
	Probably No			
	Definitely No			B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	416	79	219	22	37	17	27	16
	Definitely Yes	104	25	58	5	4	0	8	3
		25.0%	31.3%	26.7%	24.8%	11.3%	2.2%	28.7%	21.1%
	Probably Yes	141	24	69	5	16	11	9	7
		33.8%	30.6%	31.5%	21.0%	43.8%	64.0%	33.1%	45.1%
	Probably No	61	10	33	3	6	1	6	3
	14.7%	12.4%	15.2%	14.0%	15.6%	5.3%	20.2%	18.3%	
Definitely No	51	12	22	7	3	2	5	0	
	12.3%	15.1%	9.9%	32.4%	8.8%	14.5%	17.9%	0.0%	
DK/NA	59	8	36	2	8	2	0	2	
	14.2%	10.7%	16.7%	7.8%	20.4%	14.0%	0.0%	15.6%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes							
	Probably Yes							
	Probably No							
	Definitely No			B				a
	DK/NA						a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	365	259	106
	Definitely Yes	99	68	31
		27.1%	26.4%	29.1%
	Probably Yes	125	77	48
		34.2%	29.6%	45.4%
	Probably No	54	46	8
	14.8%	17.7%	7.9%	
Definitely No	44	35	10	
	12.1%	13.4%	9.2%	
DK/NA	43	34	9	
	11.7%	13.0%	8.4%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes		
	Probably Yes		
	Probably No	B	
	Definitely No		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	365	181	184
	Definitely Yes	99 27.1%	42 23.2%	57 31.0%
	Probably Yes	125 34.2%	54 30.1%	70 38.2%
	Probably No	54 14.8%	42 23.1%	12 6.8%
	Definitely No	44 12.1%	27 14.8%	17 9.5%
	DK/NA	43 11.7%	16 8.8%	27 14.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes	B	
	Probably Yes		
	Probably No		
	Definitely No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	416	365	52
	Definitely Yes	104 25.0%	99 27.1%	5 10.2%
	Probably Yes	141 33.8%	125 34.2%	16 31.4%
	Probably No	61 14.7%	54 14.8%	7 13.5%
	Definitely No	51 12.3%	44 12.1%	7 13.3%
	DK/NA	59 14.2%	43 11.7%	16 31.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes	B	
	Probably Yes		
	Probably No		
	Definitely No		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	416	176	241	0
	Definitely Yes	104 25.0%	53 30.3%	51 21.2%	0 0.0%
	Probably Yes	141 33.8%	63 36.1%	78 32.2%	0 0.0%
	Probably No	61 14.7%	28 15.7%	34 14.0%	0 0.0%
	Definitely No	51 12.3%	15 8.3%	37 15.2%	0 100.0%
	DK/NA	59 14.2%	17 9.6%	42 17.5%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	Definitely Yes	B		a,b
	Probably Yes			a,b
	Probably No			a,b
	Definitely No		A	a,b
	DK/NA		A	a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household					
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	<b>Total</b>	416	69	98	34	48	241
	<b>Definitely Yes</b>	104	27	30	4	12	51
		25.0%	39.0%	31.1%	10.7%	25.9%	21.2%
	<b>Probably Yes</b>	141	25	32	16	21	78
		33.8%	36.3%	32.9%	47.4%	44.6%	32.2%
	<b>Probably No</b>	61	12	16	4	7	34
		14.7%	17.4%	16.5%	11.5%	13.7%	14.0%
<b>Definitely No</b>	51	0	11	6	6	37	
	12.3%	0.6%	10.9%	16.5%	13.1%	15.2%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	59	5	8	5	1	42	
	14.2%	6.8%	8.6%	13.8%	2.6%	17.5%	

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	<b>Total</b>	0
	<b>Definitely Yes</b>	0
		0.0%
	<b>Probably Yes</b>	0
		0.0%
	<b>Probably No</b>	0
		0.0%
<b>Definitely No</b>	0	
	100.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	
	0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household				
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	Definitely Yes	C E				
	Probably Yes					
	Probably No		A	A	A	A
	Definitely No					
	DK/NA					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	Definitely Yes	a,b
	Probably Yes	a,b
	Probably No	a,b
	Definitely No	a,b
	DK/NA	a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	<b>Total</b>	416	19	1	55	254	63	
	<b>Definitely Yes</b>	104	10	0	18	53	18	
		25.0%	54.5%	0.0%	32.9%	20.9%	27.9%	
	<b>Probably Yes</b>	141	6	0	23	83	22	
		33.8%	30.2%	0.0%	41.4%	32.6%	35.2%	
	<b>Probably No</b>	61	0	0	3	37	16	
		14.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	14.6%	25.6%	
<b>Definitely No</b>	51	1	1	2	43	4		
	12.3%	4.9%	100.0%	3.8%	16.9%	6.0%		
<b>DK/NA</b>	59	2	0	10	38	3		
	14.2%	10.4%	0.0%	17.2%	15.0%	5.3%		

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	15	1	9
	Definitely Yes	2 10.4%	1 100.0%	3 28.6%
	Probably Yes	5 32.3%	0 0.0%	3 28.3%
	Probably No	4 24.9%	0 0.0%	2 18.8%
	Definitely No	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 10.3%
	DK/NA	5 32.3%	0 0.0%	1 13.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group						
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Two or more races	Some other race
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes	D	a,b					a,b
	Probably Yes		a,b					a,b
	Probably No	b	a,b			C		a,b
	Definitely No		a,b					a,b
	DK/NA		a,b				E	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes	
	Probably Yes	
	Probably No	
	Definitely No	
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname							
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	365	5	12	47	15	5	1	1
	Definitely Yes	99 27.1%	1 13.1%	4 35.0%	9 18.8%	7 44.4%	0 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 16.1%
	Probably Yes	125 34.2%	2 43.7%	5 44.1%	20 43.3%	5 29.4%	4 83.8%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%
	Probably No	54 14.8%	0 0.0%	3 20.9%	10 21.6%	1 8.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Definitely No	44 12.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 9.3%	1 6.0%	0 4.8%	0 0.0%	1 83.9%
	DK/NA	43 11.7%	2 43.3%	0 0.0%	3 7.0%	2 12.0%	0 10.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	278
	Definitely Yes	78 28.1%
	Probably Yes	87 31.4%
	Probably No	40 14.5%
	Definitely No	38 13.6%
	DK/NA	35 12.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Surname						
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes						a,b	a
	Probably Yes						a,b	a,b
	Probably No	b				b	a,b	a,b
	Definitely No	b	b				a,b	a
DK/NA		b				a,b	a,b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
		(H)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes	
	Probably Yes	
	Probably No	
	Definitely No	
DK/NA		



Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
<b>Total</b>	416	74	83	44	120
<b>Definitely Yes</b>	104 25.0%	19 25.9%	19 22.5%	13 30.2%	17 13.9%
<b>Probably Yes</b>	141 33.8%	19 25.3%	33 40.1%	7 15.9%	49 41.3%
<b>Probably No</b>	61 14.7%	14 18.8%	9 10.4%	7 17.0%	20 16.8%
<b>Definitely No</b>	51 12.3%	9 12.3%	16 18.9%	8 18.2%	14 11.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>	59 14.2%	13 17.6%	7 8.2%	8 18.7%	20 16.4%

	Total	Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	43	53	
<b>Definitely Yes</b>	19 45.3%	17 32.3%	
<b>Probably Yes</b>	15 34.8%	18 33.1%	
<b>Probably No</b>	4 10.4%	7 12.3%	
<b>Definitely No</b>	4 8.5%	1 1.9%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0%	11 20.3%	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	Definitely Yes Probably Yes Probably No Definitely No DK/NA				C	D

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	Definitely Yes Probably Yes Probably No Definitely No DK/NA		E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Interview Type				
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text	
<b>Total</b>	416	30	37	194	155	
<b>Definitely Yes</b>	104 25.0%	8 26.6%	6 16.8%	44 22.9%	46 29.4%	
<b>Probably Yes</b>	141 33.8%	15 51.4%	11 28.8%	58 29.7%	57 36.9%	
<b>Probably No</b>	61 14.7%	5 17.0%	4 11.3%	33 16.8%	19 12.4%	
<b>Definitely No</b>	51 12.3%	1 3.6%	14 37.4%	25 12.7%	12 7.4%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	59 14.2%	0 1.4%	2 5.7%	35 17.9%	22 13.9%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	Definitely Yes				
	Probably Yes				
	Probably No				
	Definitely No		A C D		
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	Total	365	40	76	77	62	110
	Definitely Yes	99	8	30	22	16	23
	Probably Yes	125	17	27	25	22	35
	Probably No	54	1	9	14	12	17
	Definitely No	44	4	3	7	4	25
	DK/NA	43	10	7	9	7	9
			11.7%	24.3%	9.3%	11.7%	11.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	Definitely Yes					
	Probably Yes					
	Probably No					
	Definitely No					B
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	Total	361	115	87	26	38	47
	Definitely Yes	97	37	22	3	10	11
	Probably Yes	124	39	34	7	10	20
	Probably No	54	9	15	5	8	5
	Definitely No	44	11	7	3	7	2
	DK/NA	41	19	8	7	4	0
			11.5%	16.5%	9.6%	29.3%	9.7%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	Total	6	3
	Definitely Yes	3	0
	Probably Yes	1	0
	Probably No	0	0
	Definitely No	2	3
	DK/NA	1	0
		9.9%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	Definitely Yes							
	Probably Yes							
	Probably No							a
	Definitely No							
	DK/NA			E F				

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by ..
		Male Oth
		(H)
<b>12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue</b>	Definitely Yes	a
	Probably Yes	
	Probably No	a
	Definitely No	A B C D E
	DK/NA	a

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					Not sure [DK/NA]
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Total	416	104	141	61	51	59
	Definitely Yes	104	104	0	0	0	0
	Probably Yes	141	0	141	0	0	0
	Probably No	61	0	0	61	0	0
	Definitely No	51	0	0	0	51	0
	DK/NA	59	0	0	0	0	59
			14.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. Ballot Test - \$50 million Bond Issue	Definitely Yes	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	Probably Yes	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	Probably No	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	Definitely No	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Total	
		Total	Total
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	392	392
	Strongly support	105	105
	Somewhat support	106	106
	Somewhat oppose	30	30
	Strongly oppose	122	122
	DK/NA	29	29
		26.8%	26.8%
		27.0%	27.0%
		7.7%	7.7%
		31.1%	31.1%
		7.3%	7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Total
		(A)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support	.
	Somewhat support	.
	Somewhat oppose	.
	Strongly oppose	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	392	178	206	8
	Strongly support	105	48	57	0
	Somewhat support	106	41	61	4
	Somewhat oppose	30	11	19	0
	Strongly oppose	122	64	57	1
	DK/NA	29	14	13	2
			26.8%	27.2%	27.5%
		27.0%	23.2%	29.4%	49.7%
		7.7%	6.1%	9.2%	4.9%
		31.1%	35.8%	27.7%	17.6%
		7.3%	7.8%	6.2%	27.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Strongly support			a
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Total	392	39	59	79	68	50	89	7
	Strongly support	105	11	20	16	19	12	27	0
	Somewhat support	26.8%	27.8%	33.6%	20.9%	27.4%	24.9%	29.9%	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	106	16	10	26	21	11	16	6
	Strongly oppose	30	0	3	5	8	5	9	0
	DK/NA	29	5	2	6	2	5	8	0
		7.3%	13.0%	3.6%	7.8%	3.5%	9.7%	0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Strongly support							
	Somewhat support	a						B E F
	Somewhat oppose							
	Strongly oppose							
	DK/NA							a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Total	345	219	127
	Strongly support	100	62	38
	Somewhat support	28.9%	28.2%	30.1%
	Somewhat oppose	84	54	31
	Strongly oppose	24.5%	24.6%	24.2%
	DK/NA	26	22	5
		7.6%	10.0%	3.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Total	345	179	62	13	91
	Strongly support	100	64	16	5	15
	Somewhat support	28.9%	36.0%	25.1%	37.1%	16.5%
	Somewhat oppose	84	43	2	6	33
	Strongly oppose	24.5%	23.8%	4.0%	45.9%	36.6%
	DK/NA	26	14	8	0	4
		7.6%	8.0%	13.1%	0.0%	4.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Strongly support	D			
	Somewhat support	B		B	B
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose		A C		
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Total	345	113	41	21	24	72	74
	Strongly support	100	37	17	4	5	23	14
	Somewhat support	84	26	11	1	0	20	26
	Somewhat oppose	27	13	0	2	2	4	6
	Strongly oppose	108	29	8	14	14	18	24
	DK/NA	26	7	5	0	3	8	4
		7.6%	6.1%	11.7%	2.0%	10.8%	10.6%	5.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Strongly support						D
	Somewhat support		a				
	Somewhat oppose			A B E	A B E		
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Total	345	153	41	22	34
	Strongly support	100	40	19	3	9
	Somewhat support	84	35	12	10	13
	Somewhat oppose	27	14	3	0	4
	Strongly oppose	108	57	6	7	6
	DK/NA	26	7	2	2	3
		7.6%	4.8%	4.0%	10.1%	7.5%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Total	33	15	34	14
	Strongly support	9	3	13	4
	Somewhat support	5	4	5	1
	Somewhat oppose	4	1	2	1
	Strongly oppose	12.2%	4.8%	4.6%	5.1%
	DK/NA	5	2	5	0
		14.9%	15.8%	14.4%	3.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	DK/NA					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996 (F)	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	392	76	207	20	26	29	15	18
	Strongly support	105	15	61	3	6	8	6	6
		26.8%	19.2%	29.4%	17.4%	20.9%	28.2%	43.2%	33.0%
	Somewhat support	106	26	51	6	9	5	4	4
		27.0%	34.7%	24.8%	30.0%	33.6%	17.9%	24.6%	23.1%
	Somewhat oppose	30	3	17	6	2	0	0	3
		7.7%	4.0%	8.1%	29.0%	6.8%	0.0%	1.2%	14.1%
Strongly oppose	122	20	70	3	8	13	3	5	
	31.1%	26.9%	33.6%	12.9%	31.3%	43.5%	19.2%	29.8%	
DK/NA	29	12	8	2	2	3	2	0	
	7.3%	15.1%	4.0%	10.7%	7.4%	10.4%	11.8%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support							
	Somewhat support							
	Somewhat oppose			A B		a		
	Strongly oppose							
	DK/NA	B						a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	345	251	95
	Strongly support	100	74	26
		28.9%	29.4%	27.5%
	Somewhat support	84	52	32
		24.5%	20.8%	34.2%
	Somewhat oppose	27	22	5
	7.8%	8.7%	5.2%	
Strongly oppose	108	89	19	
	31.2%	35.3%	20.5%	
DK/NA	26	14	12	
	7.6%	5.7%	12.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		A
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	345	166	179
	Strongly support	100	41	59
		28.9%	24.7%	32.8%
	Somewhat support	84	46	38
		24.5%	27.8%	21.4%
	Somewhat oppose	27	18	8
	7.8%	11.1%	4.7%	
Strongly oppose	108	47	61	
	31.2%	28.4%	33.9%	
DK/NA	26	13	13	
	7.6%	8.1%	7.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose	B	
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	392	345	46
	Strongly support	105 26.8%	100 28.9%	5 11.5%
	Somewhat support	106 27.0%	84 24.5%	21 45.6%
	Somewhat oppose	30 7.7%	27 7.8%	3 7.4%
	Strongly oppose	122 31.1%	108 31.2%	14 30.4%
	DK/NA	29 7.3%	26 7.6%	2 5.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support	B	
	Somewhat support		A
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	392	174	215	3
	Strongly support	105 26.8%	46 26.4%	58 27.2%	1 25.4%
	Somewhat support	106 27.0%	57 32.5%	49 22.7%	0 10.0%
	Somewhat oppose	30 7.7%	10 5.7%	20 9.5%	0 0.0%
	Strongly oppose	122 31.1%	52 29.7%	69 31.9%	2 64.6%
	DK/NA	29 7.3%	10 5.7%	19 8.7%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes (A)	No (B)	Not sure [DK/NA] (C)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support			a
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	392	54	93	46	62
	Strongly support	105 26.8%	16 29.4%	22 23.2%	12 26.8%	25 39.7%
	Somewhat support	106 27.0%	6 11.7%	30 32.4%	17 37.8%	22 36.2%
	Somewhat oppose	30 7.7%	5 8.9%	4 3.8%	5 10.1%	2 2.4%
	Strongly oppose	122 31.1%	25 46.2%	29 30.9%	12 25.3%	13 21.7%
	DK/NA	29 7.3%	2 3.9%	9 9.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	215	3
	Strongly support	58 27.2%	1 25.4%
	Somewhat support	49 22.7%	0 10.0%
	Somewhat oppose	20 9.5%	0 0.0%
	Strongly oppose	69 31.9%	2 64.6%
	DK/NA	19 8.7%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household				
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support		A	A	A	
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose	D				
	DK/NA		C		. <sup>a</sup>	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support	
	Somewhat support	
	Somewhat oppose	. <sup>a</sup>
	Strongly oppose	
	DK/NA	. <sup>a</sup>

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	392	21		40	239	58	7
	Strongly support	105	13		9	67	14	0
		26.8%	61.1%		21.7%	28.0%	24.9%	0.0%
	Somewhat support	106	7		16	63	10	0
		27.0%	32.4%		40.0%	26.5%	17.4%	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	30	0		1	23	5	0
		7.7%	0.0%		2.4%	9.7%	7.9%	0.0%
Strongly oppose	122	1		10	69	22	7	
	31.1%	6.5%		24.7%	28.8%	38.8%	100.0%	
DK/NA	29	0		5	17	6	0	
	7.3%	0.0%		11.2%	6.9%	11.1%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	15	4	8
	Strongly support	2	0	0
		14.9%	0.1%	0.0%
	Somewhat support	4	1	4
		26.9%	23.5%	54.9%
	Somewhat oppose	1	0	1
		5.5%	0.0%	7.9%
Strongly oppose	8	2	3	
	52.6%	44.1%	37.2%	
DK/NA	0	1	0	
	0.0%	32.3%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Group						
		Black	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support	B C				. <sup>a</sup>		
	Somewhat support					. <sup>a</sup>		
	Somewhat oppose	. <sup>a</sup>				. <sup>a</sup>		
	Strongly oppose					. <sup>a</sup>	A	
	DK/NA	. <sup>a</sup>				. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support	
	Somewhat support	
	Somewhat oppose	
	Strongly oppose	
	DK/NA	. <sup>a</sup>

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	345	2	7	42	14	12	2
	Strongly support	100	2	0	10	4	3	2
		28.9%	100.0%	0.0%	24.4%	24.6%	23.3%	100.0%
	Somewhat support	84	0	6	8	5	2	0
		24.5%	0.0%	86.8%	18.8%	36.8%	16.4%	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	27	0	1	0	6	1	0
		7.8%	0.0%	13.2%	0.0%	38.6%	9.2%	0.0%
Strongly oppose	108	0	0	17	0	4	0	
	31.2%	0.0%	0.0%	41.3%	0.0%	37.1%	0.0%	
DK/NA	26	0	0	6	0	2	0	
	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%	14.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	13	252
	Strongly support	4	75
		32.2%	29.6%
	Somewhat support	2	61
		14.7%	24.2%
	Somewhat oppose	0	19
		1.9%	7.5%
Strongly oppose	7	80	
	51.2%	31.5%	
DK/NA	0	18	
	0.0%	7.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname						
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support	.a	.a				.a	
	Somewhat support	.a	C E G H				.a	
	Somewhat oppose	.a		.a	H		.a	
	Strongly oppose	.a	.a	D			.a	D
	DK/NA	.a	.a		.a		.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
		(H)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support	
	Somewhat support	
	Somewhat oppose	
	Strongly oppose	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	392	60	71	48	109
	Strongly support	105	19	21	13	23
		26.8%	31.0%	29.0%	27.5%	20.8%
	Somewhat support	106	16	22	15	28
		27.0%	26.9%	30.9%	31.0%	26.2%
	Somewhat oppose	30	8	6	4	8
	7.7%	13.2%	8.4%	8.7%	7.4%	
Strongly oppose	122	16	19	14	37	
	31.1%	26.9%	26.2%	29.4%	33.7%	
DK/NA	29	1	4	2	13	
	7.3%	1.9%	5.5%	3.5%	12.0%	

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	34	70
	Strongly support	6	24
		17.5%	34.7%
	Somewhat support	11	13
		33.3%	18.3%
	Somewhat oppose	2	3
	4.7%	3.6%	
Strongly oppose	13	23	
	39.2%	33.1%	
DK/NA	2	7	
	5.3%	10.2%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	392	29	24	191	148
	Strongly support	105	13	4	39	49
		26.8%	46.0%	17.3%	20.5%	32.8%
	Somewhat support	106	4	8	54	39
		27.0%	15.1%	32.6%	28.5%	26.4%
	Somewhat oppose	30	0	1	17	12
		7.7%	0.6%	4.5%	8.7%	8.3%
Strongly oppose	122	8	9	67	39	
	31.1%	27.0%	37.4%	35.0%	26.0%	
DK/NA	29	3	2	14	10	
	7.3%	11.4%	8.3%	7.3%	6.5%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support	C			
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	345	65	66	72	30	111
	Strongly support	100	15	24	22	8	32
		28.9%	22.8%	35.7%	30.6%	25.2%	28.4%
	Somewhat support	84	10	21	13	10	30
		24.5%	15.4%	31.6%	18.1%	34.2%	27.0%
	Somewhat oppose	27	3	4	7	5	8
		7.8%	3.9%	6.8%	9.7%	16.2%	7.1%
Strongly oppose	108	32	14	25	7	30	
	31.2%	49.0%	21.2%	34.2%	24.4%	26.8%	
DK/NA	26	6	3	5	0	12	
	7.6%	9.0%	4.7%	7.5%	0.0%	10.7%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose	B E			a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	339	112	63	22	40	44	44
	Strongly support	100	39	25	9	7	8	7
		29.5%	34.6%	40.5%	40.3%	16.8%	18.3%	15.8%
	Somewhat support	81	29	13	0	2	14	16
		23.8%	25.5%	20.4%	0.0%	6.1%	32.1%	37.5%
	Somewhat oppose	26	11	4	2	3	4	1
	7.8%	10.1%	7.1%	7.8%	7.1%	8.2%	3.2%	
Strongly oppose	108	25	16	8	23	17	16	
	31.8%	22.2%	26.1%	38.1%	57.3%	38.5%	37.4%	
DK/NA	24	8	4	3	5	1	3	
	7.1%	7.5%	5.8%	13.7%	12.7%	2.9%	6.1%	

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	6	8
	Strongly support	1	4
		17.5%	51.7%
	Somewhat support	2	4
		42.6%	48.3%
	Somewhat oppose	1	0
	17.1%	0.0%	
Strongly oppose	1	0	
	22.8%	0.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support						
	Somewhat support						C D
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose				A B		
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support	C	C D
	Somewhat oppose		a
	Strongly oppose		a
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Strongly support	105	105	0	0	0
		26.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Somewhat support	106	0	106	0	0
		27.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	30	0	0	30	0
	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	
Strongly oppose	122	0	0	0	122	
	31.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
DK/NA	29	0	0	0	0	
	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax	Total	29
	Strongly support	0
		0.0%
	Somewhat support	0
		0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	0
	0.0%	
Strongly oppose	0	
	0.0%	
DK/NA	29	
	100.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>13. Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax</b>	Strongly support	a	a	a	a	a
	Somewhat support	.	a	.	.	a
	Somewhat oppose	.	a	.	a	.
	Strongly oppose	.	a	.	a	.
	DK/NA	.	a	.	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	Total	808	808
	Much more likely	334	334
	Somewhat more likely	295	295
	Somewhat Less Likely	38	38
	Much Less Likely	60	60
	DK/NA	81	81
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	Total	808	808
	Much more likely	301	301
	Somewhat more likely	241	241
	Somewhat Less Likely	64	64
	Much Less Likely	59	59
	DK/NA	143	143
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	Total	808	808
	Much more likely	303	303
	Somewhat more likely	281	281
	Somewhat Less Likely	63	63
	Much Less Likely	58	58
	DK/NA	103	103

		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	Total	808	808
	Much more likely	238	238
	Somewhat more likely	294	294
	Somewhat Less Likely	71	71
	Much Less Likely	77	77
	DK/NA	128	128
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	Total	808	808
	Much more likely	275	275
	Somewhat more likely	280	280
	Somewhat Less Likely	45	45
	Much Less Likely	67	67
	DK/NA	140	140
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	Total	808	808
	Much more likely	238	238
	Somewhat more likely	295	295
	Somewhat Less Likely	76	76
	Much Less Likely	74	74
	DK/NA	124	124
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>	Total	808	808
	Much more likely	297	297
	Somewhat more likely	271	271
	Somewhat Less Likely	51	51
	Much Less Likely	59	59
	DK/NA	130	130
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>	Total	808	808
	Much more likely	222	222
	Somewhat more likely	313	313
	Somewhat Less Likely	64	64
	Much Less Likely	69	69
	DK/NA	130	130

		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		8.5%	8.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>		141	141
		17.4%	17.4%
<b>Total</b>		808	808
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	<b>Much more likely</b>	259	259
		32.0%	32.0%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	289	289
		35.8%	35.8%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	70	70
		8.7%	8.7%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67	67
	8.3%	8.3%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	122	122	
	15.2%	15.2%	
<b>Total</b>		808	808
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	<b>Much more likely</b>	317	317
		39.2%	39.2%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	267	267
		33.0%	33.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	45	45
		5.5%	5.5%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	48	48
	5.9%	5.9%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	132	132	
	16.3%	16.3%	
<b>Total</b>		808	808
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	<b>Much more likely</b>	209	209
		25.8%	25.8%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	200	200
		24.8%	24.8%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	103	103
		12.7%	12.7%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	193	193
	23.9%	23.9%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	103	103	
	12.7%	12.7%	
<b>Total</b>		808	808
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	<b>Much more likely</b>	266	266
		33.0%	33.0%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	293	293
		36.3%	36.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	61	61
		7.5%	7.5%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67	67
	8.3%	8.3%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	121	121	
	14.9%	14.9%	
<b>Total</b>		808	808
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for	<b>Much more likely</b>	267	267
		33.1%	33.1%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	293	293
	36.2%	36.2%	

		Total	
		Total	Total
homework centers with computer workstations for students	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	56	56
		6.9%	6.9%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	75	75
		9.3%	9.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>	117	117	
	14.5%	14.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Total
		(A)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	<b>Much more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	<b>Much more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	<b>Much more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	<b>Much more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	<b>Much more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	<b>Much more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	<b>Much more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	.
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.
14H. Updated libraries would	<b>Much more likely</b>	.
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Total
		Total
		(A)
provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Somewhat Less Likely	.
	Much Less Likely	.
	DK/NA	.
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely	.
	Somewhat more likely	.
	Somewhat Less Likely	.
	Much Less Likely	.
	DK/NA	.
	Much more likely	.
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat more likely	.
	Somewhat Less Likely	.
	Much Less Likely	.
	DK/NA	.
	Much more likely	.
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Somewhat more likely	.
	Somewhat Less Likely	.
	Much Less Likely	.
	DK/NA	.
	Much more likely	.
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Somewhat more likely	.
	Somewhat Less Likely	.
	Much Less Likely	.
	DK/NA	.
	Much more likely	.
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Somewhat more likely	.
	Somewhat Less Likely	.
	Much Less Likely	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Total	808	377	418	13
	Much more likely	334	146	185	3
		41.4%	38.9%	44.1%	26.9%
	Somewhat more likely	295	147	142	6
		36.5%	39.1%	33.9%	45.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	38	18	19	0
	4.7%	4.8%	4.7%	3.2%	
	60	30	29	0	
	7.4%	8.0%	6.9%	3.0%	
	81	35	44	3	
	10.0%	9.2%	10.4%	21.8%	
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Total	808	377	418	13
	Much more likely	301	123	176	3
		37.3%	32.7%	41.9%	20.3%
	Somewhat more likely	241	112	124	5
		29.8%	29.7%	29.5%	40.4%
	Somewhat Less Likely	64	28	35	1
	7.9%	7.5%	8.3%	7.6%	
	59	38	21	0	
	7.4%	10.1%	5.1%	0.0%	
	143	76	63	4	
	17.7%	20.1%	15.1%	31.6%	
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Total	808	377	418	13
	Much more likely	303	125	174	4
		37.5%	33.1%	41.6%	33.7%
	Somewhat more likely	281	135	143	3
		34.7%	35.8%	34.2%	22.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	63	39	22	2
	7.8%	10.4%	5.3%	12.0%	
	58	35	23	0	
	7.2%	9.2%	5.6%	3.0%	
	103	43	55	4	
	12.7%	11.5%	13.2%	29.4%	
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Total	808	377	418	13
	Much more likely	238	107	124	7
		29.5%	28.5%	29.6%	52.5%
	Somewhat more likely	294	139	154	1
		36.4%	36.9%	36.9%	5.3%
	Somewhat Less Likely	71	28	42	1
	8.8%	7.5%	10.0%	9.8%	
	77	43	33	0	
	9.5%	11.5%	7.9%	3.0%	
	128	59	65	4	
	15.8%	15.7%	15.6%	29.4%	
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Total	808	377	418	13
	Much more likely	275	115	157	4
		34.1%	30.4%	37.6%	29.3%
	Somewhat more likely	280	135	139	6
		34.6%	35.8%	33.2%	48.2%
	Somewhat Less Likely	45	24	21	0
	5.6%	6.4%	5.0%	0.0%	
	67	36	31	0	

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		8.3%	9.5%	7.4%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>		17.4%	17.8%	16.8%	22.5%
<b>Total</b>		808	377	418	13
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	238	104	131	3
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	295	136	156	4
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	36.6%	36.0%	37.3%	28.8%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	76	31	41	4
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	9.4%	8.2%	9.8%	29.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	124	63	59	2
<b>Total</b>		808	377	418	13
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	297	114	180	4
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	271	137	129	5
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	33.6%	36.3%	30.9%	39.4%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	51	23	28	0
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	6.3%	6.1%	6.7%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	130	63	63	4
<b>Total</b>		808	377	418	13
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	222	100	119	3
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	313	143	165	4
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	38.7%	38.0%	39.5%	31.7%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	64	29	35	0
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	7.9%	7.6%	8.3%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	141	67	69	5
<b>Total</b>		808	377	418	13
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	259	109	147	3
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	289	133	153	4
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	35.8%	35.2%	36.6%	28.8%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	70	35	34	2
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	8.7%	9.2%	8.2%	12.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	122	66	53	4
<b>Total</b>		808	377	418	13
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	317	135	177	5
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	267	121	140	5
<b>Total</b>		33.0%	32.2%	33.5%	38.5%

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>continue to work closely with local schools</b>	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	45	21	22	2
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	5.5%	5.6%	5.3%	12.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	48	35	13	0
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5.9%	9.2%	3.1%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>		132	64	66	2
<b>Total</b>		16.3%	17.1%	15.8%	12.6%
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>Much more likely</b>	209	84	122	2
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	25.8%	22.3%	29.3%	19.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	200	94	104	2
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	24.8%	24.9%	24.8%	16.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	103	48	48	7
<b>Total</b>		12.7%	12.8%	11.4%	53.2%
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	193	103	89	1
	<b>DK/NA</b>	23.9%	27.4%	21.3%	5.9%
	<b>Total</b>	103	47	55	1
	<b>Much more likely</b>	12.7%	12.6%	13.1%	5.0%
	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	266	99	163
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>		33.0%	26.3%	38.9%	33.7%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>		293	153	136	3
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		36.3%	40.7%	32.6%	23.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>		61	26	34	1
<b>DK/NA</b>		7.5%	6.9%	8.1%	9.8%
<b>Total</b>		67	39	28	0
<b>14N. The updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	8.3%	10.4%	6.6%	3.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	121	59	58	4
	<b>Total</b>	14.9%	15.7%	13.8%	29.6%
	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
	<b>Much more likely</b>	267	110	150	7
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	33.1%	29.3%	35.9%	52.5%
<b>14O. The updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	293	140	151	1
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	36.2%	37.3%	36.2%	5.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	56	30	25	1
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	6.9%	8.0%	5.9%	9.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	75	38	37	0
	<b>DK/NA</b>	9.3%	10.1%	8.8%	3.0%
<b>Total</b>		117	58	55	4
<b>Total</b>		14.5%	15.4%	13.2%	29.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Much more likely		A	
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely	B		
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Much more likely		A	
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely	B		
	Much Less Likely			
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			A
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much more likely		A	
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			a
	Much Less Likely	B		
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			a
	Much Less Likely			
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	Much more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
continue to work closely with local schools	Much Less Likely	B		
	DK/NA			
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			A B
	Much Less Likely			
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely		A	
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	<b>Much more likely</b>	334	28	56	66	71	37	71	5
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	41.4%	42.4%	43.8%	42.9%	47.6%	34.3%	37.8%	34.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	295	28	57	58	46	40	58	7
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	36.5%	42.0%	44.9%	37.6%	30.8%	37.1%	30.7%	54.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	38	0	7	9	3	8	11	0
		4.7%	0.0%	5.4%	5.8%	2.2%	7.2%	5.7%	3.5%
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	<b>Much more likely</b>	301	29	53	52	48	53	64	2
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	37.3%	43.7%	41.8%	33.7%	31.9%	49.0%	34.2%	12.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	241	31	32	37	47	32	53	9
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	29.8%	46.4%	25.0%	24.2%	31.2%	29.5%	28.4%	63.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	64	3	7	16	13	8	16	1
		7.9%	4.6%	5.2%	10.2%	8.7%	7.3%	8.7%	7.2%
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	<b>Much more likely</b>	303	33	47	59	57	37	65	5
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	37.5%	49.2%	37.1%	38.1%	38.1%	33.9%	34.9%	34.8%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	281	27	40	66	45	39	58	6
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	34.7%	40.4%	31.4%	42.4%	29.9%	36.2%	31.0%	44.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	63	0	22	6	9	10	15	1
		7.8%	0.0%	17.5%	4.1%	5.9%	9.1%	8.0%	6.4%
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	<b>Much more likely</b>	238	34	36	39	39	36	51	3
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	29.5%	50.9%	27.9%	25.4%	26.1%	33.5%	27.2%	19.9%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	294	21	50	59	58	37	61	8
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	36.4%	30.7%	39.2%	38.3%	39.0%	34.1%	32.4%	61.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	71	6	14	16	10	4	20	0
		8.8%	8.4%	11.0%	10.4%	6.9%	4.1%	10.9%	3.5%
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	<b>Much more likely</b>	275	32	34	51	49	41	67	2
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	34.1%	47.8%	26.4%	32.7%	32.6%	37.6%	35.7%	18.4%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	280	26	47	45	49	39	66	9
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	34.6%	38.9%	37.0%	28.8%	32.6%	35.6%	35.0%	69.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	45	0	14	8	12	4	8	0
		5.6%	0.0%	10.8%	4.9%	8.1%	3.9%	4.2%	0.0%

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67	0	12	17	13	10	15	0
	<b>DK/NA</b>	8.3%	0.0%	9.3%	11.0%	8.5%	9.2%	8.2%	0.0%
		140	9	21	35	27	15	32	2
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	<b>Much more likely</b>	238	25	29	51	46	26	58	4
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	29.5%	37.8%	22.9%	32.7%	30.6%	23.6%	31.0%	27.6%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	295	29	46	51	51	51	60	8
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	36.6%	43.8%	36.3%	32.7%	34.2%	46.6%	32.0%	56.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	76	6	16	12	11	8	22	0
		9.4%	8.6%	12.2%	7.9%	7.7%	7.6%	11.9%	0.8%
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	<b>Much more likely</b>	297	30	44	65	61	32	60	5
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	36.8%	44.3%	34.6%	41.9%	41.0%	29.9%	32.0%	35.8%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	271	25	49	50	45	41	56	6
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	33.6%	37.0%	38.2%	32.1%	29.9%	38.2%	29.8%	45.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	51	3	8	12	8	4	16	0
		6.3%	4.9%	6.1%	7.5%	5.6%	4.0%	8.4%	0.8%
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	<b>Much more likely</b>	222	21	29	48	43	25	53	4
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	27.5%	31.5%	22.5%	30.7%	28.9%	22.9%	28.4%	28.4%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	313	39	52	48	52	53	61	8
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	38.7%	58.6%	41.0%	30.8%	35.2%	48.7%	32.4%	56.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	64	3	12	8	14	5	20	0
		7.9%	4.6%	9.8%	5.3%	9.5%	4.8%	10.8%	0.8%

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools</b>	Somewhat more likely	267 33.0%	17 25.1%	41 32.4%	47 30.0%	54 36.0%	37 33.9%	63 33.7%	8 62.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	45 5.5%	0 0.0%	9 7.0%	16 10.3%	5 3.5%	6 5.1%	9 4.8%	0 0.0%
	Much Less Likely	48 5.9%	0 0.0%	8 5.9%	12 7.6%	8 5.4%	6 5.2%	15 7.8%	0 0.8%
	DK/NA	132 16.3%	9 13.3%	23 18.4%	27 17.5%	24 16.1%	15 14.2%	31 16.4%	2 15.5%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>	Much more likely	209 25.8%	31 45.9%	53 41.9%	36 23.5%	36 23.9%	16 14.6%	35 18.6%	2 14.0%
	Somewhat more likely	200 24.8%	23 34.6%	31 24.5%	37 23.7%	37 24.5%	28 26.2%	36 19.3%	8 58.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	103 12.7%	7 10.6%	15 11.5%	27 17.6%	16 10.6%	10 8.8%	26 13.9%	2 16.8%
	Much Less Likely	193 23.9%	0 0.0%	8 6.6%	37 23.9%	37 24.9%	42 38.5%	68 36.4%	1 6.4%
	DK/NA	103 12.7%	6 8.8%	20 15.5%	17 11.3%	24 16.1%	13 11.9%	22 11.8%	1 4.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>13</b>	
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>	Much more likely	266 33.0%	30 44.8%	45 35.2%	55 35.3%	58 38.9%	31 28.2%	44 23.2%	5 34.8%
	Somewhat more likely	293 36.3%	30 44.7%	42 32.6%	61 39.2%	48 32.1%	41 37.8%	65 34.9%	6 46.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	61 7.5%	3 4.6%	7 5.8%	11 6.8%	9 5.7%	7 6.8%	24 12.7%	0 0.0%
	Much Less Likely	67 8.3%	0 0.0%	13 10.1%	11 7.1%	11 7.1%	11 10.0%	21 11.2%	1 7.2%
	DK/NA	121 14.9%	4 5.9%	21 16.3%	18 11.6%	24 16.2%	19 17.0%	34 17.9%	2 12.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>13</b>	
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>	Much more likely	267 33.1%	30 45.3%	32 25.4%	52 33.5%	55 36.7%	36 32.8%	58 30.8%	5 34.8%
	Somewhat more likely	293 36.2%	26 39.3%	49 38.6%	62 40.1%	52 34.9%	36 33.0%	60 32.1%	7 49.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	56 6.9%	0 0.0%	10 7.5%	9 5.9%	6 4.1%	10 9.6%	21 11.0%	0 0.8%
	Much Less Likely	75 9.3%	6 9.5%	13 10.1%	11 7.1%	11 7.2%	10 8.9%	24 12.9%	0 2.8%
	DK/NA	117 14.5%	4 5.9%	23 18.4%	21 13.3%	26 17.2%	17 15.7%	25 13.1%	2 12.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	Much more likely							
	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely	.a						
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	Much Less Likely	.a						B
	DK/NA							
	Much more likely							
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely	.a						
	DK/NA							
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	Much more likely							
	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely	.a						
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							
	Much more likely							
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely							
	DK/NA							
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>	Much more likely							
	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely							
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							
	Much more likely							
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely	F						
	DK/NA	.a						
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven</b>	Much Less Likely	.a						
	DK/NA							
	Much more likely							

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat Less Likely	. <sup>a</sup>						. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely	. <sup>a</sup>						
	DK/NA							
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	C D E F	C D E F					F
	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely							
	Much Less Likely	. <sup>a</sup>		B	B	B	B	
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	DK/NA							
	Much more likely	F						
	Somewhat more likely				F			
	Somewhat Less Likely	. <sup>a</sup>						. <sup>a</sup>
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							
	Much more likely							
	Somewhat more likely	. <sup>a</sup>						
	Somewhat Less Likely							
	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Total	710	470	240
	Much more likely	298	183	115
	Somewhat more likely	42.0%	38.9%	48.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	256	167	88
	Much Less Likely	36.0%	35.6%	36.8%
	DK/NA	35	28	7
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Total	710	470	240
	Much more likely	4.9%	5.9%	3.1%
	Somewhat more likely	267	162	105
	Somewhat Less Likely	37.7%	34.5%	43.8%
	Much Less Likely	218	145	73
	DK/NA	30.7%	30.8%	30.5%
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Total	710	470	240
	Much more likely	53	36	17
	Somewhat more likely	7.5%	7.7%	7.2%
	Somewhat Less Likely	271	169	102
	Much Less Likely	38.2%	35.9%	42.7%
	DK/NA	252	175	77
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Total	710	470	240
	Much more likely	213	135	77
	Somewhat more likely	29.9%	28.8%	32.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	267	174	94
	Much Less Likely	37.7%	37.0%	39.0%
	DK/NA	61	42	19
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Total	710	470	240
	Much more likely	242	159	83
	Somewhat more likely	34.1%	33.9%	34.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	256	169	88
	Much Less Likely	36.1%	35.9%	36.6%
	DK/NA	37	26	11

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Owner	Renter	
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	8.3%	6.6%	11.7%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	115	85	30	
	16.2%	18.1%	12.4%	
<b>Total</b>	710	470	240	
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	Much more likely	212	126	87
		29.9%	26.7%	36.1%
	Somewhat more likely	266	184	82
		37.5%	39.2%	34.2%
	Somewhat Less Likely	67	41	25
		9.4%	8.8%	10.6%
	Much Less Likely	65	42	22
	9.1%	8.9%	9.4%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	100	77	23	
	14.1%	16.4%	9.7%	
<b>Total</b>	710	470	240	
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>	Much more likely	270	165	105
		38.0%	35.2%	43.6%
	Somewhat more likely	242	165	77
		34.1%	35.1%	32.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	43	31	12
		6.0%	6.6%	4.9%
	Much Less Likely	52	29	23
	7.3%	6.1%	9.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	104	80	23	
	14.6%	17.1%	9.8%	
<b>Total</b>	710	470	240	
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>	Much more likely	202	123	79
		28.5%	26.1%	33.1%
	Somewhat more likely	281	184	97
		39.6%	39.1%	40.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	56	39	17
		7.8%	8.2%	7.1%
	Much Less Likely	59	38	20
	8.3%	8.1%	8.5%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	113	87	26	
	15.8%	18.5%	10.7%	
<b>Total</b>	710	470	240	
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>	Much more likely	235	146	89
		33.1%	31.1%	37.0%
	Somewhat more likely	256	169	87
		36.1%	36.0%	36.2%
	Somewhat Less Likely	63	50	13
		8.9%	10.7%	5.3%
	Much Less Likely	58	34	24
	8.1%	7.2%	9.9%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	98	70	28	
	13.8%	14.9%	11.5%	
<b>Total</b>	710	470	240	
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would</b>	Much more likely	283	181	102
		39.9%	38.5%	42.6%
	Somewhat more likely	237	157	80
	33.4%	33.5%	33.3%	

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
<b>continue to work closely with local schools</b>	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	42	31	11
		5.9%	6.5%	4.6%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	42	24	18
		5.9%	5.2%	7.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	106	77	29
		14.9%	16.3%	12.1%
<b>Total</b>		710	470	240
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>	Much more likely	190	90	100
		26.8%	19.1%	41.8%
	Somewhat more likely	183	111	72
		25.8%	23.7%	30.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	92	73	19
		13.0%	15.5%	8.0%
	Much Less Likely	166	134	32
	23.4%	28.6%	13.3%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	78	62	16	
	11.0%	13.2%	6.9%	
<b>Total</b>		710	470	240
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>	Much more likely	235	140	95
		33.1%	29.8%	39.6%
	Somewhat more likely	267	188	79
		37.6%	40.0%	33.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	53	35	18
		7.4%	7.4%	7.4%
	Much Less Likely	58	32	26
	8.1%	6.7%	10.9%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	97	76	22	
	13.7%	16.1%	9.0%	
<b>Total</b>		710	470	240
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>	Much more likely	236	155	82
		33.2%	32.9%	34.0%
	Somewhat more likely	266	175	91
		37.4%	37.3%	37.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	47	38	9
		6.6%	8.0%	3.7%
	Much Less Likely	66	31	35
	9.3%	6.5%	14.7%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	95	72	23	
	13.4%	15.3%	9.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely		A
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely		
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Much Less Likely	B	
	DK/NA		
	Much more likely		A
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely		
	Much Less Likely	B	
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	DK/NA		
	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		A
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Somewhat Less Likely	B	
	Much Less Likely		A
	DK/NA		
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely		A
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely	B	
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much Less Likely		
	DK/NA		
	Much more likely	B	
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Somewhat more likely		A
	Somewhat Less Likely		
	Much Less Likely	B	
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	DK/NA		
	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely	B	
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	Somewhat Less Likely		
	Much more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely		

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
continue to work closely with local schools	Much Less Likely		
	DK/NA		
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely		A
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely	B	
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much Less Likely	B	
	DK/NA		
	Much more likely		A
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely		
	Much Less Likely	B	
	DK/NA		
	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely	B	
	Somewhat Less Likely		
	Much Less Likely		A
	DK/NA	B	
	Much more likely		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Much more likely</b>	298	169	50	10	69
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	42.0%	43.9%	39.3%	46.3%	39.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	256	136	40	9	70
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	36.0%	35.4%	31.9%	39.1%	39.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	35	13	14	1	8
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Much more likely</b>	267	170	32	10	55
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	37.7%	44.0%	25.7%	44.2%	31.5%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	218	112	34	5	66
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	53	23	16	3	11
	<b>DK/NA</b>	7.5%	6.0%	13.1%	13.0%	6.3%
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Much more likely</b>	271	162	43	8	58
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	38.2%	42.0%	33.8%	37.1%	33.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	252	137	35	6	74
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	45	23	13	3	5
	<b>DK/NA</b>	6.3%	6.0%	10.6%	15.4%	2.8%
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Much more likely</b>	213	131	31	8	43
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	29.9%	34.1%	24.6%	33.4%	24.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	267	141	42	10	74
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	61	33	16	2	11
	<b>DK/NA</b>	8.6%	8.6%	12.8%	6.6%	6.0%
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Much more likely</b>	242	147	34	13	49
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	34.1%	38.0%	26.6%	55.7%	28.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	256	144	44	4	64
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	36.1%	37.3%	35.1%	18.1%	36.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	37	16	11	2	9

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	8.3%	4.5%	12.6%	3.8%	14.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	115	62	22	3	29
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Much more likely</b>	212	123	33	10	47
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	29.9%	32.0%	26.1%	42.4%	26.5%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	266	147	41	6	73
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	37.5%	38.2%	32.4%	24.3%	41.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	67	34	18	1	14
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Much more likely</b>	270	159	26	10	75
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	38.0%	41.2%	21.0%	45.6%	42.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	242	135	47	5	55
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	34.1%	35.0%	37.2%	23.9%	31.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	43	20	17	1	6
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Much more likely</b>	202	115	32	6	49
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	28.5%	29.9%	25.3%	24.7%	28.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	281	153	46	11	70
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	39.6%	39.8%	36.5%	49.8%	40.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	56	29	13	1	12
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Much more likely</b>	235	145	33	8	49
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	33.1%	37.6%	26.2%	37.4%	27.6%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	256	146	36	6	68
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	36.1%	38.0%	28.3%	28.3%	38.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	63	27	20	1	17

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat Less Likely	42 5.9%	18 4.6%	12 9.7%	2 10.6%	10 5.5%
	Much Less Likely	42 5.9%	15 3.9%	14 11.3%	1 3.8%	12 6.7%
	DK/NA	106 14.9%	56 14.6%	19 15.1%	5 23.7%	25 14.2%
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	Much more likely	190 26.8%	128 33.1%	18 14.1%	2 10.7%	42 24.0%
	Somewhat more likely	183 25.8%	114 29.6%	20 15.6%	2 9.2%	48 27.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	92 13.0%	39 10.1%	19 15.2%	10 46.3%	23 13.2%
	Much Less Likely	166 23.4%	68 17.6%	49 39.2%	4 17.6%	45 25.6%
	DK/NA	78 11.0%	37 9.6%	20 15.9%	4 16.1%	18 10.0%
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	Much more likely	235 33.1%	150 39.0%	28 22.0%	7 32.0%	50 28.3%
	Somewhat more likely	267 37.6%	142 36.8%	40 31.9%	10 46.3%	75 42.4%
	Somewhat Less Likely	53 7.4%	28 7.4%	16 12.6%	2 6.6%	7 4.0%
	Much Less Likely	58 8.1%	21 5.3%	14 11.4%	0 0.0%	23 12.9%
	DK/NA	97 13.7%	44 11.5%	28 22.1%	3 15.1%	22 12.3%
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	Much more likely	236 33.2%	138 35.8%	30 23.7%	13 55.4%	56 31.7%
	Somewhat more likely	266 37.4%	148 38.5%	41 32.6%	3 11.2%	74 42.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	47 6.6%	17 4.5%	23 18.2%	0 0.0%	6 3.6%
	Much Less Likely	66 9.3%	28 7.2%	15 12.2%	1 2.4%	22 12.7%
	DK/NA	95 13.4%	54 14.0%	17 13.2%	7 31.0%	18 10.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely				
	Somewhat more likely		A		
	Somewhat Less Likely				
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Much Less Likely				
	DK/NA				
	Much more likely	B D			
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely		A		A
	DK/NA				
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Much more likely				
	Somewhat more likely			D	D
	Somewhat Less Likely				
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Much Less Likely				
	DK/NA				
	Much more likely			B D	
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely				
	DK/NA				
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much more likely	B			B
	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely		A D		
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Much Less Likely		A		A
	DK/NA				
	Much more likely				
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely		A		
	Much Less Likely		A		
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	DK/NA			A	
	Much more likely				
	Somewhat more likely				
Somewhat Less Likely					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
continue to work closely with local schools	Much Less Likely		A		
	DK/NA				
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	B			
	Somewhat more likely	B			
	Somewhat Less Likely			A B D	
	Much Less Likely		A		
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	DK/NA	B			
	Much more likely				
	Somewhat more likely		D		
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much Less Likely				A
	DK/NA		A		
	Much more likely			B	
	Somewhat more likely		A D	. <sup>a</sup>	C
	Much Less Likely			D	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Much more likely	298	101	42	12	21	60	61
	Somewhat more likely	42.0%	48.5%	38.0%	27.9%	46.0%	38.8%	42.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	256	71	38	19	15	55	57
		36.0%	34.3%	34.4%	43.3%	32.4%	35.6%	39.0%
	Much Less Likely	35	6	2	5	5	11	6
	DK/NA	4.9%	3.0%	2.0%	11.8%	9.9%	6.9%	4.2%
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Much Less Likely	49	7	11	4	2	14	11
		6.8%	3.2%	9.6%	9.9%	4.6%	8.8%	7.6%
	DK/NA	73	23	18	3	3	15	10
		10.3%	11.0%	16.0%	7.2%	7.1%	9.9%	7.1%
	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Much more likely	267	102	36	6	15	63	44
	Somewhat more likely	37.7%	49.0%	32.7%	14.4%	33.2%	41.0%	30.2%
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Somewhat Less Likely	218	62	32	15	8	52	49
		30.7%	29.9%	28.4%	34.0%	18.2%	33.6%	33.6%
	Much Less Likely	53	11	6	8	7	8	13
		7.5%	5.2%	5.6%	17.9%	14.9%	5.4%	9.1%
	DK/NA	51	8	7	7	4	9	15
		7.2%	3.9%	6.0%	16.9%	9.4%	6.1%	10.2%
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	DK/NA	120	25	30	7	11	22	25
		16.9%	11.9%	27.3%	16.8%	24.2%	14.0%	17.0%
	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Much more likely	271	87	40	8	18	65	53
	Somewhat more likely	38.2%	41.9%	36.1%	18.6%	38.6%	42.0%	36.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	252	71	45	17	12	58	49
	Much Less Likely	35.5%	34.0%	40.4%	39.4%	26.2%	37.5%	33.5%
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	DK/NA	45	17	4	5	6	5	8
		6.3%	8.4%	3.3%	11.3%	12.7%	3.4%	5.2%
	Much Less Likely	49	11	7	6	2	10	13
		6.9%	5.4%	6.4%	14.5%	3.8%	6.5%	8.7%
	DK/NA	93	22	15	7	9	16	24
		13.1%	10.3%	13.7%	16.2%	18.6%	10.6%	16.6%
	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Much more likely	213	74	32	7	14	53	32
	Somewhat more likely	29.9%	35.7%	29.1%	16.7%	30.2%	34.3%	21.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	267	75	45	15	15	45	73
	Much Less Likely	37.7%	36.0%	40.4%	35.2%	31.8%	28.9%	49.8%
	DK/NA	61	15	8	6	7	20	4
		8.6%	7.3%	7.0%	14.3%	15.9%	13.1%	3.0%
	14G. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Much Less Likely	67	15	7	5	5	18
		9.4%	7.0%	6.5%	12.2%	11.3%	12.0%	11.1%
DK/NA		102	29	19	9	5	18	21
		14.3%	13.9%	17.1%	21.6%	10.9%	11.7%	14.5%
Total		710	208	111	44	46	155	146
Much more likely		242	78	42	5	16	60	42
Somewhat more likely		34.1%	37.5%	37.5%	11.8%	34.6%	38.5%	28.5%
14H. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Somewhat Less Likely	256	81	37	16	16	61	46
	Much Less Likely	37	7	6	6	3	4	11
		5.3%	3.2%	5.6%	14.3%	7.0%	2.6%	7.5%
	DK/NA	59	10	6	7	4	9	22





Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely						
	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely						
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						
	Much more likely	C F				C	
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely						
	Much Less Likely						
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	DK/NA						
	Much more likely		A	A			
	Somewhat more likely						
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Somewhat Less Likely						
	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely						
	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely				B F		
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						
	Much more likely	C					C
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely						
	Much Less Likely			A			
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	DK/NA						
	Much more likely	C					
	Somewhat more likely						
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	Somewhat Less Likely						
	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely						
	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely						
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						
	Much more likely						
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely						
	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						
	Much more likely						
	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely						
	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
continue to work closely with local schools	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	B D F					
	Somewhat more likely	D	D				D
	Somewhat Less Likely						
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						
	Much more likely						
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely						
	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						
	Much more likely						
	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely						
	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
	<b>Much more likely</b>	298	121	47	20	31
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	42.0%	40.8%	49.9%	42.4%	44.9%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	256	110	29	19	21
		36.0%	37.2%	30.2%	41.7%	30.6%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	35	18	3	1	2
		4.9%	5.9%	2.8%	3.0%	3.2%
<b>DK/NA</b>	49	19	5	2	9	
	6.8%	6.6%	4.9%	3.7%	12.4%	
	73	28	12	4	6	
	10.3%	9.5%	12.2%	9.2%	8.9%	
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
	<b>Much more likely</b>	267	119	30	13	25
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	37.7%	40.0%	31.8%	28.1%	36.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	218	84	32	21	27
		30.7%	28.2%	33.6%	45.8%	38.9%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	53	19	11	4	3
		7.5%	6.3%	11.6%	9.5%	3.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>	51	25	3	4	6	
	7.2%	8.3%	3.4%	7.7%	9.4%	
	120	51	19	4	8	
	16.9%	17.2%	19.7%	9.0%	11.9%	
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
	<b>Much more likely</b>	271	115	39	16	24
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	38.2%	38.8%	40.5%	34.8%	34.8%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	252	101	37	15	34
		35.5%	34.1%	38.4%	33.5%	48.9%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	45	17	8	3	4
		6.3%	5.7%	8.2%	6.4%	5.2%
<b>DK/NA</b>	49	27	1	5	2	
	6.9%	9.3%	0.7%	11.1%	3.6%	
	93	36	12	7	5	
	13.1%	12.1%	12.2%	14.2%	7.5%	
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
	<b>Much more likely</b>	213	102	31	9	15
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	29.9%	34.4%	32.2%	18.9%	21.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	267	97	38	19	35
		37.7%	32.7%	39.7%	41.2%	51.3%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	61	33	7	5	2
		8.6%	11.0%	7.5%	11.9%	3.2%
<b>DK/NA</b>	67	29	8	4	8	
	9.4%	9.8%	8.7%	9.7%	11.4%	
	102	36	11	8	9	
	14.3%	12.1%	11.9%	18.3%	12.9%	
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
	<b>Much more likely</b>	242	97	28	15	26
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	34.1%	32.5%	28.9%	31.9%	37.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	256	102	37	20	30
		36.1%	34.3%	39.3%	44.0%	42.8%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	37	21	3	1	3
		5.3%	7.1%	3.6%	1.3%	4.9%
	59	32	4	6	5	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35
	<b>Much more likely</b>	20	17	31	11
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	34.9%	39.4%	45.8%	31.7%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	23	17	22	14
		40.5%	38.8%	33.1%	38.8%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	3	3	3	2
		5.9%	6.3%	4.3%	6.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	5	2	3	4	
	9.6%	3.7%	4.2%	12.1%	
	5	5	8	4	
	9.1%	11.8%	12.6%	11.0%	
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35
	<b>Much more likely</b>	22	16	28	15
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	39.2%	35.5%	41.3%	43.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	17	13	19	6
		29.1%	29.1%	28.2%	17.2%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	3	4	6	4
		5.0%	9.8%	8.4%	11.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>	3	3	4	3	
	5.8%	6.6%	5.7%	8.4%	
	12	8	11	7	
	20.8%	19.0%	16.4%	19.8%	
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35
	<b>Much more likely</b>	21	15	24	18
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	36.5%	33.9%	35.9%	49.8%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	18	14	24	9
		32.5%	31.7%	36.0%	24.9%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	3	3	3	4
		5.2%	7.6%	4.9%	10.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	5	3	3	2	
	8.5%	8.0%	4.1%	6.3%	
	10	8	13	3	
	17.3%	18.8%	19.2%	8.4%	
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35
	<b>Much more likely</b>	16	10	19	13
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	27.4%	21.7%	27.8%	36.5%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	16	16	32	14
		28.6%	36.9%	47.5%	39.4%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	3	7	0	4
		5.6%	15.8%	0.0%	10.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>	6	3	5	3	
	10.8%	7.5%	7.1%	8.8%	
	16	8	12	2	
	27.7%	18.1%	17.6%	4.4%	
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35
	<b>Much more likely</b>	20	14	30	14
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	34.8%	31.4%	45.0%	39.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	21	17	18	11
		36.9%	39.8%	26.7%	31.7%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	2	4	1	2
		4.1%	9.0%	1.5%	4.3%
	4	3	5	0	

	Total	Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	8.3%	10.7%	4.4%	13.8%	7.1%
<b>DK/NA</b>	115	46	23	4	5
	16.2%	15.4%	23.8%	9.0%	7.9%
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	212	95	35	7	20
	29.9%	32.2%	37.1%	15.1%	29.2%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	266	99	32	23	29
	37.5%	33.3%	33.7%	49.8%	42.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	67	34	8	3	3
	9.4%	11.4%	8.0%	5.5%	4.7%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	65	32	3	5	9
	9.1%	10.7%	3.4%	11.2%	13.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>	100	37	17	8	7
	14.1%	12.5%	17.8%	18.4%	10.7%
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	270	124	35	11	29
	38.0%	42.0%	37.1%	23.1%	41.4%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	242	91	34	20	27
	34.1%	30.6%	35.8%	43.3%	38.8%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	43	14	9	5	2
	6.0%	4.9%	9.4%	10.5%	3.2%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	52	32	0	2	4
	7.3%	10.7%	0.5%	4.3%	6.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	104	35	16	9	7
	14.6%	11.9%	17.1%	18.8%	10.3%
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	202	87	37	6	19
	28.5%	29.5%	38.7%	14.1%	27.9%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	281	115	26	20	28
	39.6%	38.9%	27.4%	43.7%	39.9%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	56	20	9	5	7
	7.8%	6.8%	9.9%	10.2%	10.3%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	59	29	6	5	5
	8.3%	9.8%	5.8%	11.2%	7.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>	113	44	17	10	10
	15.8%	15.0%	18.1%	20.8%	14.0%
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	235	104	34	9	20
	33.1%	35.0%	35.5%	20.3%	29.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	256	104	29	19	35
	36.1%	35.2%	30.6%	40.7%	50.8%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	63	23	14	3	4
	8.9%	7.7%	15.0%	7.3%	6.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	58	25	5	8	6
	8.1%	8.5%	4.8%	16.5%	8.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>	98	41	13	7	4
	13.8%	13.7%	14.1%	15.1%	5.2%
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	283	108	38	20	31
	39.9%	36.5%	39.5%	42.4%	44.5%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	237	100	38	15	21
	33.4%	33.7%	39.8%	33.1%	30.2%

	Total	Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	6.7%	7.5%	7.1%	0.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	10	5	13	9	
	17.6%	12.4%	19.7%	24.5%	
<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35	
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	12	8	24	10	
	21.4%	19.0%	36.1%	27.8%	
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	20	22	26	16	
	34.6%	49.2%	38.7%	45.8%	
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	8	4	3	5	
	13.3%	8.7%	5.0%	13.3%	
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	5	3	5	3	
	8.5%	6.7%	7.5%	7.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	13	7	8	2	
	22.2%	16.4%	12.6%	6.0%	
<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35	
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	16	17	27	11	
	27.3%	39.8%	40.7%	30.4%	
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	20	10	24	16	
	35.1%	22.4%	36.5%	45.9%	
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	4	6	0	2	
	7.5%	12.9%	0.7%	5.3%	
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	5	3	3	3	
	8.5%	6.6%	4.0%	7.2%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	12	8	12	4	
	21.6%	18.3%	18.2%	11.2%	
<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35	
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	14	9	21	8	
	23.9%	19.9%	31.9%	23.3%	
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	23	21	28	19	
	41.3%	48.2%	42.2%	53.5%	
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	3	4	2	4	
	6.0%	9.5%	3.5%	12.0%	
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	6	3	3	2	
	10.0%	5.9%	4.4%	6.7%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	11	7	12	2	
	18.8%	16.4%	18.0%	4.4%	
<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35	
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	17	18	23	9	
	29.7%	41.8%	34.5%	26.8%	
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	19	13	24	14	
	32.9%	29.2%	35.6%	38.9%	
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	3	4	5	6	
	6.0%	9.9%	7.2%	17.2%	
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	6	3	3	2	
	10.0%	6.6%	5.0%	6.7%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	12	6	12	4	
	21.3%	12.5%	17.7%	10.4%	
<b>Total</b>	57	44	67	35	
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	22	18	32	15	
	38.3%	39.9%	48.4%	43.8%	
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	21	16	17	9	
	36.9%	37.3%	24.7%	26.3%	

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat Less Likely	42 5.9%	19 6.4%	4 4.1%	2 3.4%	6 8.2%
	Much Less Likely	42 5.9%	24 8.1%	3 3.2%	2 4.5%	4 6.5%
	DK/NA	106 14.9%	45 15.3%	13 13.4%	8 16.7%	7 10.6%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>69</b>
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	190 26.8%	107 36.1%	22 23.1%	5 10.3%	14 20.9%
	Somewhat more likely	183 25.8%	77 25.8%	20 20.6%	18 39.1%	19 27.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	92 13.0%	28 9.6%	17 17.7%	2 4.3%	18 26.6%
	Much Less Likely	166 23.4%	56 18.8%	26 27.0%	19 41.5%	12 17.8%
	DK/NA	78 11.0%	29 9.6%	11 11.6%	2 4.9%	5 6.9%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>69</b>
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	235 33.1%	111 37.5%	32 33.6%	12 25.9%	22 31.9%
	Somewhat more likely	267 37.6%	100 33.6%	33 35.1%	20 44.3%	34 49.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	53 7.4%	22 7.4%	9 9.9%	3 5.8%	3 3.8%
	Much Less Likely	58 8.1%	32 10.7%	4 4.3%	5 11.2%	3 4.1%
	DK/NA	97 13.7%	32 10.8%	16 17.0%	6 12.8%	7 10.6%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>69</b>
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely	236 33.2%	98 32.9%	38 40.2%	7 15.9%	23 32.7%
	Somewhat more likely	266 37.4%	104 35.2%	34 36.1%	24 51.5%	34 49.9%
	Somewhat Less Likely	47 6.6%	22 7.3%	3 3.3%	4 9.0%	3 3.7%
	Much Less Likely	66 9.3%	37 12.5%	2 2.6%	7 15.0%	3 4.4%
	DK/NA	95 13.4%	36 12.1%	17 17.9%	4 8.6%	6 9.3%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>69</b>

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat Less Likely	3 6.0%	2 4.3%	3 4.4%	3 9.8%
	Much Less Likely	4 6.7%	3 6.8%	2 2.5%	0 0.3%
	DK/NA	7 12.1%	5 11.7%	13 19.9%	7 19.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>35</b>	
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	15 26.1%	9 20.1%	10 14.8%	8 22.8%
	Somewhat more likely	15 26.8%	10 22.7%	10 15.6%	14 40.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	7 11.8%	6 12.8%	14 20.5%	0 1.1%
	Much Less Likely	10 17.1%	10 21.8%	25 37.0%	9 25.9%
	DK/NA	10 18.2%	10 22.6%	8 12.1%	3 9.6%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>35</b>
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	14 24.2%	15 34.2%	20 29.6%	9 25.9%
	Somewhat more likely	23 40.8%	17 38.2%	26 38.5%	14 39.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	5 8.8%	4 10.0%	3 4.5%	4 10.3%
	Much Less Likely	4 7.7%	3 6.6%	3 4.7%	4 10.1%
	DK/NA	11 18.6%	5 10.9%	15 22.7%	5 14.6%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>35</b>
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely	19 32.6%	15 34.5%	25 37.5%	11 32.3%
	Somewhat more likely	16 27.3%	17 38.8%	22 33.5%	14 39.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	3 5.2%	4 9.1%	3 4.5%	5 14.5%
	Much Less Likely	6 10.1%	3 5.9%	6 8.6%	2 6.7%
	DK/NA	14 24.8%	5 11.7%	11 15.8%	2 6.9%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>35</b>

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely					
	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
	Much more likely					
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Much more likely					
	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
	Much more likely					
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
	Much more likely					
	Somewhat more likely					
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
	Much more likely					
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
	Much more likely					
	Somewhat more likely					
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Somewhat Less Likely	B				
	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
	Much more likely					
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely					
	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
	Much more likely					
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
	DK/NA			
	Much more likely			
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
	DK/NA			
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
	DK/NA			
	Much more likely			
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Somewhat more likely	G		
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
	DK/NA			
	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
	DK/NA			
	Much more likely			
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
	DK/NA			
	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
	DK/NA			
	Much more likely			
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
	DK/NA			
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely			
	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			
	Much Less Likely			
	DK/NA			
	Much more likely			
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	Somewhat more likely			
	Somewhat Less Likely			

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
continue to work closely with local schools	Much Less Likely DK/NA	C G				
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat Less Likely Much Less Likely DK/NA			A	A H	
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat Less Likely Much Less Likely DK/NA					
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat Less Likely Much Less Likely DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
continue to work closely with local schools	Much Less Likely DK/NA			
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat Less Likely Much Less Likely DK/NA		A	
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat Less Likely DK/NA			
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat Less Likely Much Less Likely DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Much more likely	334	67	171	19	20	20	20	18
	Somewhat more likely	41.4%	43.1%	40.3%	46.1%	30.9%	43.0%	47.3%	51.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	295	55	157	15	30	19	9	9
	Much Less Likely	36.5%	35.4%	36.9%	36.7%	48.0%	41.7%	21.0%	27.2%
	DK/NA	38	7	22	0	2	1	4	2
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Much more likely	301	46	164	8	21	28	23	10
	Somewhat more likely	37.3%	29.5%	38.6%	19.9%	33.9%	59.6%	55.9%	29.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	241	45	117	19	18	13	12	17
	Much Less Likely	29.8%	28.9%	27.4%	46.6%	29.2%	27.9%	28.7%	48.5%
	DK/NA	64	17	31	3	7	0	2	3
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Much more likely	303	53	170	2	19	24	22	12
	Somewhat more likely	37.5%	34.3%	40.0%	5.7%	30.5%	51.5%	53.1%	34.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	281	50	141	19	28	11	15	16
	Much Less Likely	34.7%	32.1%	33.1%	46.1%	44.8%	23.9%	36.2%	47.9%
	DK/NA	63	16	35	8	2	3	0	0
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Much more likely	238	27	141	12	15	16	15	13
	Somewhat more likely	29.5%	17.5%	33.0%	27.8%	23.0%	34.3%	35.7%	38.9%
	Somewhat Less Likely	294	62	150	14	26	15	16	13
	Much Less Likely	36.4%	39.7%	35.1%	33.5%	40.6%	31.7%	37.4%	38.0%
	DK/NA	71	15	41	3	4	7	2	0
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Much more likely	275	43	142	8	23	28	22	9
	Somewhat more likely	34.1%	27.9%	33.3%	18.6%	37.1%	59.6%	53.2%	26.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	280	55	146	18	15	13	13	20
	Much Less Likely	34.6%	35.7%	34.2%	43.3%	23.4%	27.9%	32.1%	57.7%
	DK/NA	45	10	21	3	7	0	3	0





Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Date						
		Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely							
	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely							
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							
	Much more likely					A C	A C	
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely							
	Much Less Likely							
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	DK/NA							
	Much more likely	C	C		C	C	C	C
	Somewhat more likely							a
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Somewhat Less Likely			F				
	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely		A					
	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely							
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							
	Much more likely					A B C	A C	D
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely							
	Much Less Likely							
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	DK/NA							
	Much more likely							
	Somewhat more likely							
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	Somewhat Less Likely							
	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely							
	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely							
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							
	Much more likely							
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely							
	Much Less Likely							
14N. Updated libraries would provide space for computer workstations for students	DK/NA							
	Much more likely							
	Somewhat more likely							
14O. Updated libraries would provide space for computer workstations for students	Somewhat Less Likely							
	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Date						
		Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
continue to work closely with local schools	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							
	Much more likely							
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Somewhat more likely		A					
	Somewhat Less Likely							
	Much Less Likely							
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	DK/NA							
	Much more likely	B F						
	Somewhat more likely							
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Somewhat Less Likely							
	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							
14N. Updated libraries would provide space for computer workstations for students	Much more likely							
	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely							
14O. Updated libraries would provide space for computer workstations for students	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							
	Much more likely							
14P. Updated libraries would provide space for computer workstations for students	Somewhat more likely							
	Somewhat Less Likely							
	Much Less Likely							
14Q. Updated libraries would provide space for computer workstations for students	DK/NA							
	Much more likely							
	Somewhat more likely							
14R. Updated libraries would provide space for computer workstations for students	Somewhat Less Likely							
	Much Less Likely							
	DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
	<b>Much more likely</b>	298	209	89
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	42.0%	41.1%	44.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	256	193	63
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	36.0%	37.8%	31.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	35	25	10
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
	<b>Much more likely</b>	267	195	72
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	37.7%	38.3%	36.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	218	151	68
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	30.7%	29.5%	33.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	53	38	15
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
	<b>Much more likely</b>	271	191	80
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	38.2%	37.6%	39.7%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	252	175	77
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	35.5%	34.3%	38.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	45	34	11
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
	<b>Much more likely</b>	213	142	70
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	29.9%	27.9%	35.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	267	194	73
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	37.7%	38.2%	36.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	61	45	16
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
	<b>Much more likely</b>	242	172	70
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	34.1%	33.8%	35.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	256	184	73
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	36.1%	36.1%	36.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	37	24	13

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	8.3%	10.0%	3.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	115	78	37
		16.2%	15.4%	18.3%
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
	<b>Much more likely</b>	212	151	61
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	29.9%	29.7%	30.5%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	266	177	89
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	37.5%	34.8%	44.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	67	56	10
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
	<b>Much more likely</b>	270	186	84
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	38.0%	36.6%	41.8%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	242	169	73
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	34.1%	33.2%	36.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	43	34	9
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
	<b>Much more likely</b>	202	137	65
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	28.5%	26.9%	32.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	281	202	79
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	39.6%	39.7%	39.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	56	40	16
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
	<b>Much more likely</b>	235	168	67
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	33.1%	32.9%	33.5%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	256	176	80
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	36.1%	34.6%	40.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	63	49	14

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat Less Likely	42 5.9%	32 6.3%	10 4.8%
	Much Less Likely	42 5.9%	33 6.5%	9 4.4%
	DK/NA	106 14.9%	70 13.7%	36 17.7%
<b>Total</b>		<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	190 26.8%	131 25.7%	59 29.4%
	Somewhat more likely	183 25.8%	130 25.5%	53 26.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	92 13.0%	69 13.5%	23 11.5%
	Much Less Likely	166 23.4%	134 26.2%	33 16.2%
	DK/NA	78 11.0%	46 9.0%	33 16.3%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	235 33.1%	168 33.0%	67 33.4%
	Somewhat more likely	267 37.6%	184 36.1%	83 41.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	53 7.4%	43 8.3%	10 5.1%
	Much Less Likely	58 8.1%	48 9.5%	9 4.7%
	DK/NA	97 13.7%	67 13.1%	30 15.2%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely	236 33.2%	160 31.4%	76 38.0%
	Somewhat more likely	266 37.4%	191 37.5%	75 37.2%
	Somewhat Less Likely	47 6.6%	37 7.3%	9 4.6%
	Much Less Likely	66 9.3%	58 11.4%	8 4.0%
	DK/NA	95 13.4%	63 12.4%	32 16.2%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>200</b>

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely		
<b>Total</b>			A
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely	B	
<b>Total</b>			
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely	B	
<b>Total</b>			
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely	B	
<b>Total</b>			
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely	B	
<b>Total</b>			
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		A
	Somewhat Less Likely	B	
<b>Total</b>			
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely	B	
<b>Total</b>			
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely	B	
<b>Total</b>			
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely	B	
<b>Total</b>			
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely		
<b>Total</b>			

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
continue to work closely with local schools	Much Less Likely DK/NA		
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat Less Likely Much Less Likely DK/NA	B	A
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat Less Likely Much Less Likely DK/NA	B	
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat Less Likely Much Less Likely DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	710	347	363
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely	298	134	164
	Somewhat more likely	42.0%	38.5%	45.3%
		256	126	130
	Somewhat Less Likely	36.0%	36.2%	35.8%
		35	16	19
	Much Less Likely	4.9%	4.8%	5.1%
	49	29	19	
	Much Less Likely	6.8%	8.5%	5.3%
	DK/NA	73	42	31
		10.3%	12.1%	8.5%
	Total	710	347	363
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Much more likely	267	131	137
	Somewhat more likely	37.7%	37.7%	37.7%
		218	102	116
	Somewhat Less Likely	30.7%	29.4%	32.0%
		53	26	27
	Much Less Likely	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%
	51	29	22	
	Much Less Likely	7.2%	8.3%	6.1%
	DK/NA	120	59	61
		16.9%	17.1%	16.7%
	Total	710	347	363
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Much more likely	271	114	157
	Somewhat more likely	38.2%	32.8%	43.3%
		252	114	138
	Somewhat Less Likely	35.5%	32.9%	38.0%
		45	31	14
	Much Less Likely	6.3%	8.9%	3.9%
	49	28	21	
	Much Less Likely	6.9%	8.0%	5.8%
	DK/NA	93	60	33
		13.1%	17.3%	9.1%
	Total	710	347	363
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Much more likely	213	89	124
	Somewhat more likely	29.9%	25.6%	34.1%
		267	121	147
	Somewhat Less Likely	37.7%	34.8%	40.4%
		61	36	26
	Much Less Likely	8.6%	10.2%	7.1%
	67	40	27	
	Much Less Likely	9.4%	11.5%	7.5%
	DK/NA	102	62	40
		14.3%	17.9%	10.9%
	Total	710	347	363
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Much more likely	242	121	121
	Somewhat more likely	34.1%	34.9%	33.3%
		256	128	128
	Somewhat Less Likely	36.1%	36.9%	35.4%
		37	11	26
	Much Less Likely	5.3%	3.2%	7.2%
	59	28	31	
	Much Less Likely			

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		8.3%	8.0%	8.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>		115	59	56
		16.2%	17.0%	15.4%
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>		212	78	134
		29.9%	22.5%	37.0%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>		266	127	139
		37.5%	36.7%	38.2%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>		67	47	19
		9.4%	13.6%	5.3%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		65	37	28
		9.1%	10.6%	7.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>		100	58	43
		14.1%	16.6%	11.8%
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>		270	109	161
		38.0%	31.4%	44.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>		242	122	119
		34.1%	35.3%	32.9%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>		43	25	18
		6.0%	7.1%	5.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		52	29	22
		7.3%	8.4%	6.2%
<b>DK/NA</b>		104	61	42
		14.6%	17.7%	11.6%
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>		202	89	113
		28.5%	25.5%	31.2%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>		281	123	158
		39.6%	35.6%	43.4%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>		56	35	21
		7.8%	10.0%	5.8%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		59	35	24
		8.3%	10.1%	6.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>		113	65	47
		15.8%	18.8%	13.0%
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>		235	106	129
		33.1%	30.4%	35.6%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>		256	113	143
		36.1%	32.7%	39.4%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>		63	36	27
		8.9%	10.5%	7.4%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		58	37	21
		8.1%	10.7%	5.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>		98	54	44
		13.8%	15.6%	12.0%
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>		283	134	149
		39.9%	38.7%	41.1%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>		237	110	127
		33.4%	31.7%	35.1%

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>continue to work closely with local schools</b>				
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>		42	24	18
		5.9%	6.9%	5.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		42	21	21
		5.9%	6.1%	5.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>		106	58	48
		14.9%	16.7%	13.1%
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>		190	80	110
		26.8%	23.2%	30.2%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>		183	80	104
		25.8%	23.0%	28.5%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>		92	45	47
		13.0%	13.0%	12.9%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		166	99	67
		23.4%	28.5%	18.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>		78	43	36
		11.0%	12.3%	9.8%
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>		235	99	136
		33.1%	28.4%	37.6%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>		267	120	147
		37.6%	34.5%	40.6%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>		53	35	18
		7.4%	10.0%	5.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		58	29	28
		8.1%	8.4%	7.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>		97	64	33
		13.7%	18.5%	9.1%
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>		236	102	134
		33.2%	29.3%	37.0%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>		266	128	138
		37.4%	36.8%	38.1%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>		47	26	21
		6.6%	7.5%	5.7%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		66	35	31
		9.3%	10.2%	8.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>		95	56	39
		13.4%	16.3%	10.7%

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Total	808	710	98
	Much more likely	334	298	36
	Somewhat more likely	41.4%	42.0%	37.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	295	256	39
	Much Less Likely	36.5%	36.0%	40.1%
	DK/NA	38	35	3
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Total	808	710	98
	Much more likely	301	267	34
	Somewhat more likely	37.3%	37.7%	34.4%
	Somewhat Less Likely	241	218	23
	Much Less Likely	29.8%	30.7%	23.2%
	DK/NA	64	53	10
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Total	808	710	98
	Much more likely	303	271	32
	Somewhat more likely	37.5%	38.2%	32.7%
	Somewhat Less Likely	281	252	29
	Much Less Likely	34.7%	35.5%	29.3%
	DK/NA	63	45	19
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Total	808	710	98
	Much more likely	238	213	26
	Somewhat more likely	29.5%	29.9%	26.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	294	267	27
	Much Less Likely	36.4%	37.7%	27.2%
	DK/NA	71	61	10
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Total	808	710	98
	Much more likely	275	242	33
	Somewhat more likely	34.1%	34.1%	34.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	280	256	23
	Much Less Likely	34.6%	36.1%	24.0%
	DK/NA	45	37	8
		67	59	8

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
	Much Less Likely	8.3%	8.3%	8.1%
	DK/NA	140	115	25
		17.4%	16.2%	25.8%
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Total	808	710	98
	Much more likely	238	212	26
	Somewhat more likely	29.5%	29.9%	26.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	295	266	29
	Much Less Likely	36.6%	37.5%	29.9%
	DK/NA	76	67	9
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Total	808	710	98
	Much more likely	297	270	27
	Somewhat more likely	36.8%	38.0%	27.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	271	242	29
	Much Less Likely	33.6%	34.1%	29.8%
	DK/NA	51	43	8
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Total	808	710	98
	Much more likely	222	202	20
	Somewhat more likely	27.5%	28.5%	20.9%
	Somewhat Less Likely	313	281	32
	Much Less Likely	38.7%	39.6%	32.2%
	DK/NA	64	56	8
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Total	808	710	98
	Much more likely	259	235	24
	Somewhat more likely	32.0%	33.1%	24.4%
	Somewhat Less Likely	289	256	33
	Much Less Likely	35.8%	36.1%	33.8%
	DK/NA	70	63	7
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	Total	808	710	98
	Much more likely	317	283	34
	Somewhat more likely	39.2%	39.9%	34.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	267	237	29
	Much Less Likely	33.0%	33.4%	30.1%
	DK/NA	122	98	25

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat Less Likely	45 5.5%	42 5.9%	3 2.8%
	Much Less Likely	48 5.9%	42 5.9%	6 5.8%
	DK/NA	132 16.3%	106 14.9%	26 26.8%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>98</b>
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	209 25.8%	190 26.8%	19 19.2%
	Somewhat more likely	200 24.8%	183 25.8%	17 17.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	103 12.7%	92 13.0%	11 11.0%
	Much Less Likely	193 23.9%	166 23.4%	27 27.8%
	DK/NA	103 12.7%	78 11.0%	24 25.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>98</b>
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	266 33.0%	235 33.1%	31 32.1%
	Somewhat more likely	293 36.3%	267 37.6%	26 26.3%
	Somewhat Less Likely	61 7.5%	53 7.4%	8 8.2%
	Much Less Likely	67 8.3%	58 8.1%	10 9.7%
	DK/NA	121 14.9%	97 13.7%	23 23.7%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>98</b>
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely	267 33.1%	236 33.2%	31 31.9%
	Somewhat more likely	293 36.2%	266 37.4%	27 27.2%
	Somewhat Less Likely	56 6.9%	47 6.6%	9 9.6%
	Much Less Likely	75 9.3%	66 9.3%	9 9.3%
	DK/NA	117 14.5%	95 13.4%	21 21.9%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>98</b>

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>3</b>
	Much more likely	334 41.4%	150 43.0%	181 39.8%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat more likely	295 36.5%	135 38.7%	159 35.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	38 4.7%	18 5.2%	20 4.4%	0 0.0%
	Much Less Likely	60 7.4%	17 4.7%	43 9.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	81 10.0%	29 8.4%	52 11.4%	0 0.0%
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>3</b>
	Much more likely	301 37.3%	139 39.7%	162 35.5%	1 25.3%
	Somewhat more likely	241 29.8%	98 28.0%	142 31.3%	0 10.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	64 7.9%	31 8.7%	33 7.3%	0 0.0%
	Much Less Likely	59 7.4%	20 5.8%	39 8.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	143 17.7%	62 17.7%	79 17.4%	2 64.6%
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>3</b>
	Much more likely	303 37.5%	139 39.8%	163 35.8%	1 25.3%
	Somewhat more likely	281 34.7%	140 39.9%	141 31.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	63 7.8%	14 4.0%	49 10.7%	0 10.0%
	Much Less Likely	58 7.2%	18 5.1%	39 8.5%	2 64.6%
	DK/NA	103 12.7%	39 11.1%	64 14.0%	0 0.0%
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>3</b>
	Much more likely	238 29.5%	89 25.5%	148 32.6%	1 25.4%
	Somewhat more likely	294 36.4%	142 40.6%	152 33.3%	0 10.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	71 8.8%	38 10.9%	33 7.2%	0 0.0%
	Much Less Likely	77 9.5%	29 8.3%	46 10.1%	2 64.6%
	DK/NA	128 15.8%	51 14.7%	77 16.8%	0 0.0%
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>3</b>
	Much more likely	275 34.1%	113 32.3%	162 35.5%	1 25.3%
	Somewhat more likely	280 34.6%	128 36.5%	152 33.4%	0 10.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	45 5.6%	24 6.7%	22 4.8%	0 0.0%

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67 8.3%	23 6.7%	42 9.2%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	140 17.4%	62 17.7%	78 17.2%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>	238 29.5%	107 30.5%	131 28.7%	1 25.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	295 36.6%	129 36.8%	166 36.5%	0 10.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	76 9.4%	35 10.0%	41 8.9%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	74 9.2%	30 8.5%	42 9.3%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	124 15.4%	49 14.1%	75 16.5%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>	297 36.8%	138 39.4%	158 34.8%	1 35.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	271 33.6%	130 37.2%	141 31.0%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	51 6.3%	25 7.1%	26 5.8%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	59 7.3%	16 4.5%	41 9.1%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	130 16.0%	41 11.8%	88 19.3%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>	222 27.5%	91 26.0%	131 28.7%	1 24.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	313 38.7%	144 41.1%	169 37.0%	0 10.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	64 7.9%	29 8.2%	35 7.6%	0 1.1%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	69 8.5%	30 8.4%	37 8.2%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	141 17.4%	57 16.2%	84 18.4%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>	259 32.0%	108 30.9%	150 33.0%	1 25.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	289 35.8%	148 42.3%	141 31.0%	0 10.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	70 8.7%	30 8.7%	40 8.8%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67 8.3%	21 6.0%	44 9.7%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	122 15.2%	42 12.1%	80 17.6%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
<b>Much more likely</b>	317 39.2%	140 40.0%	176 38.7%	1 34.2%

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools</b>				
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	267 33.0%	123 35.1%	144 31.6%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	45 5.5%	22 6.3%	23 5.0%	0 1.1%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	48 5.9%	14 4.1%	31 6.9%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	132 16.3%	50 14.4%	82 17.9%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>	209 25.8%	96 27.5%	112 24.5%	1 34.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	200 24.8%	92 26.2%	108 23.8%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	103 12.7%	46 13.1%	57 12.5%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	193 23.9%	75 21.4%	117 25.6%	2 65.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>	103 12.7%	41 11.9%	61 13.5%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>	266 33.0%	133 38.1%	132 29.1%	1 24.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	293 36.3%	125 35.8%	167 36.7%	0 11.1%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	61 7.5%	19 5.4%	42 9.2%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67 8.3%	27 7.6%	39 8.5%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	121 14.9%	45 13.0%	75 16.5%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>	267 33.1%	114 32.7%	152 33.4%	1 25.4%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	293 36.2%	141 40.3%	151 33.2%	0 10.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	56 6.9%	17 5.0%	39 8.5%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	75 9.3%	30 8.7%	43 9.4%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	117 14.5%	47 13.3%	70 15.4%	0 0.0%



		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
	<b>Much more likely</b>	334 41.4%	42 33.9%	79 41.7%	32 40.5%	51 46.9%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	295 36.5%	59 47.4%	75 39.1%	29 36.7%	40 36.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	38 4.7%	12 9.6%	9 5.0%	2 2.9%	3 3.1%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	60 7.4%	7 5.5%	8 4.3%	7 8.3%	8 7.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	81 10.0%	4 3.6%	19 9.9%	9 11.6%	7 6.3%
	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	301 37.3%	54 43.6%	78 40.8%	20 25.7%	44 40.5%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	241 29.8%	30 24.1%	50 26.0%	22 27.3%	38 34.6%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	64 7.9%	12 9.5%	16 8.6%	8 9.6%	8 7.2%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	59 7.4%	5 4.2%	10 5.2%	5 6.7%	6 5.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	143 17.7%	23 18.6%	37 19.4%	24 30.6%	14 12.6%
	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	303 37.5%	68 55.0%	74 39.1%	24 30.2%	38 34.6%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	281 34.7%	43 34.7%	81 42.6%	30 38.5%	47 43.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	63 7.8%	0 0.2%	10 5.0%	4 5.5%	3 3.2%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	58 7.2%	6 4.9%	11 5.6%	5 6.1%	4 3.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	103 12.7%	7 5.3%	15 7.7%	16 19.8%	17 15.2%
	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	238 29.5%	35 28.6%	41 21.7%	15 19.3%	40 36.5%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	294 36.4%	52 42.2%	90 47.4%	31 39.8%	39 35.4%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	71 8.8%	19 15.7%	18 9.4%	6 7.7%	4 3.8%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	77 9.5%	10 7.9%	15 8.1%	8 10.0%	7 6.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	128 15.8%	7 5.5%	25 13.3%	18 23.2%	20 18.0%
	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	275 34.1%	40 32.7%	59 30.9%	21 26.4%	42 38.0%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	280 34.6%	38 30.6%	70 36.9%	24 30.0%	41 37.6%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	45 5.6%	9 6.9%	10 5.4%	7 8.7%	7 6.1%
	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	<b>Total</b>	455	3
	<b>Much more likely</b>	181 39.8%	3 100.0%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	159 35.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	20 4.4%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	43 9.5%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	52 11.4%	0 0.0%
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	<b>Total</b>	455	3
	<b>Much more likely</b>	162 35.5%	1 25.3%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	142 31.3%	0 10.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	33 7.3%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	39 8.6%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	79 17.4%	2 64.6%
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	<b>Total</b>	455	3
	<b>Much more likely</b>	163 35.8%	1 25.3%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	141 31.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	49 10.7%	0 10.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	39 8.5%	2 64.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	64 14.0%	0 0.0%
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	<b>Total</b>	455	3
	<b>Much more likely</b>	148 32.6%	1 25.4%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	152 33.3%	0 10.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	33 7.2%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	46 10.1%	2 64.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	77 16.8%	0 0.0%
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	<b>Total</b>	455	3
	<b>Much more likely</b>	162 35.5%	1 25.3%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	152 33.4%	0 10.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	22 4.8%	0 0.0%

	Ages of Children in Household				
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67 8.3%	11 8.9%	16 8.5%	6 7.6%	3 3.1%
<b>DK/NA</b>	140 17.4%	26 20.9%	35 18.3%	22 27.3%	17 15.2%
<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>Much more likely</b>	238 29.5%	43 35.1%	60 31.5%	15 18.9%	38 34.5%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	295 36.6%	39 31.1%	71 37.0%	34 42.8%	37 34.1%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	76 9.4%	15 12.4%	18 9.4%	5 6.6%	8 7.7%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	74 9.2%	13 10.1%	15 8.1%	9 11.4%	6 5.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>	124 15.4%	14 11.3%	27 14.0%	16 20.3%	20 18.3%
<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>Much more likely</b>	297 36.8%	58 47.3%	78 40.8%	26 33.1%	45 41.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	271 33.6%	37 29.7%	72 37.7%	29 37.1%	45 41.3%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	51 6.3%	18 14.4%	10 5.0%	2 2.1%	3 3.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	59 7.3%	3 2.6%	7 3.7%	5 5.9%	4 4.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	130 16.0%	7 6.0%	24 12.7%	17 21.8%	11 10.3%
<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>Much more likely</b>	222 27.5%	35 28.1%	54 28.3%	22 28.0%	29 26.4%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	313 38.7%	47 37.9%	74 38.8%	24 30.8%	49 44.9%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	64 7.9%	13 10.7%	18 9.6%	6 7.6%	3 2.6%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	69 8.5%	10 8.4%	16 8.4%	10 13.0%	9 8.1%
<b>DK/NA</b>	141 17.4%	19 15.0%	28 14.9%	16 20.7%	20 18.1%
<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>Much more likely</b>	259 32.0%	33 26.9%	55 29.0%	22 28.1%	45 41.1%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	289 35.8%	57 46.1%	84 44.2%	24 30.6%	39 35.8%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	70 8.7%	18 14.4%	20 10.5%	7 8.5%	4 3.3%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67 8.3%	4 3.5%	12 6.5%	8 9.8%	7 6.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>	122 15.2%	11 9.1%	19 9.8%	18 22.9%	15 13.5%
<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>Much more likely</b>	317 39.2%	52 42.0%	73 38.5%	22 27.7%	50 46.0%

	Ages of Children in Household	
	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	42 9.2%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	78 17.2%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>Much more likely</b>	131 28.7%	1 25.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	166 36.5%	0 10.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	41 8.9%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	42 9.3%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	75 16.5%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>Much more likely</b>	158 34.8%	1 35.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	141 31.0%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	26 5.8%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	41 9.1%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	88 19.3%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>Much more likely</b>	131 28.7%	1 24.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	169 37.0%	0 10.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	35 7.6%	0 1.1%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	37 8.2%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	84 18.4%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>Much more likely</b>	150 33.0%	1 25.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	141 31.0%	0 10.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	40 8.8%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	44 9.7%	2 64.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	80 17.6%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>Much more likely</b>	176 38.7%	1 34.2%

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools</b>	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	267 33.0%	41 33.1%	64 33.7%	29 36.9%	41 37.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	45 5.5%	8 6.2%	14 7.3%	5 6.5%	2 2.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	48 5.9%	6 4.7%	9 4.7%	3 3.7%	3 2.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	132 16.3%	17 13.9%	30 15.8%	20 25.0%	13 12.2%
	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	209 25.8%	49 39.4%	48 25.3%	6 7.8%	29 26.1%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	200 24.8%	39 31.4%	51 26.6%	18 22.2%	27 24.4%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	103 12.7%	9 7.4%	29 15.4%	20 24.8%	19 17.1%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	193 23.9%	19 15.7%	40 21.0%	25 31.1%	21 19.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	103 12.7%	7 6.0%	22 11.7%	11 14.2%	14 13.2%
<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110	
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	266 33.0%	53 42.7%	62 32.4%	25 31.5%	50 45.2%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	293 36.3%	35 28.1%	74 38.7%	30 38.4%	39 35.6%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	61 7.5%	14 11.4%	12 6.5%	3 3.3%	2 1.7%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67 8.3%	13 10.2%	19 10.1%	6 8.0%	4 4.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	121 14.9%	9 7.6%	23 12.3%	15 18.7%	15 13.5%
<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110	
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	267 33.1%	40 32.2%	59 31.2%	19 24.4%	50 46.1%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	293 36.2%	49 39.7%	76 39.7%	34 42.9%	36 32.5%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	56 6.9%	11 9.1%	9 5.0%	4 5.4%	4 3.4%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	75 9.3%	16 12.8%	20 10.7%	6 7.7%	3 3.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	117 14.5%	8 6.2%	26 13.4%	16 19.6%	16 14.9%

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools</b>	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	144 31.6%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	23 5.0%	0 1.1%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	31 6.9%	2 64.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	82 17.9%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	112 24.5%	1 34.3%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	108 23.8%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	57 12.5%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	117 25.6%	2 65.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	61 13.5%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	455	3	
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	132 29.1%	1 24.3%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	167 36.7%	0 11.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	42 9.2%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	39 8.5%	2 64.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	75 16.5%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	455	3	
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	152 33.4%	1 25.4%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	151 33.2%	0 10.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	39 8.5%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	43 9.4%	2 64.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	70 15.4%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	<b>Much more likely</b>	334	14	1	43	212	43	0
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	41.4%	35.1%	100.0%	44.8%	43.1%	35.4%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	295	12	0	43	168	49	7
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	36.5%	31.0%	0.0%	44.7%	34.0%	40.7%	100.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	38	3	0	4	21	7	0
		4.7%	8.2%	0.0%	4.3%	4.2%	6.0%	0.0%
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	<b>Much more likely</b>	301	32	1	32	146	59	7
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	37.3%	80.9%	100.0%	33.8%	29.6%	49.0%	100.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	241	3	0	37	149	41	0
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	29.8%	6.4%	0.0%	39.0%	30.3%	34.2%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	64	3	0	8	45	6	0
		7.9%	7.8%	0.0%	8.3%	9.2%	5.0%	0.0%
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	<b>Much more likely</b>	303	26	0	35	165	55	0
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	37.5%	64.4%	0.0%	36.4%	33.5%	45.2%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	281	8	0	52	162	44	7
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	34.7%	18.9%	0.0%	53.9%	33.0%	36.3%	100.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	63	0	1	2	52	4	0
		7.8%	0.0%	100.0%	2.4%	10.5%	3.3%	0.0%
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	<b>Much more likely</b>	238	22	0	27	122	45	0
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	29.5%	56.2%	0.0%	28.1%	24.7%	37.1%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	294	8	0	46	184	39	7
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	36.4%	20.7%	0.0%	48.1%	37.4%	32.2%	100.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	71	0	1	11	41	12	0
		8.8%	0.0%	100.0%	11.4%	8.4%	10.3%	0.0%
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	<b>Much more likely</b>	275	30	0	29	148	47	0
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	34.1%	76.2%	0.0%	30.3%	29.9%	39.1%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	280	6	0	36	172	53	0
		34.6%	15.5%	0.0%	37.4%	34.9%	44.2%	0.0%
		45	0	0	12	27	5	0
		5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	12.1%	5.5%	3.7%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
	<b>Much more likely</b>	13	1	8
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	43.6%	17.8%	45.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	8	3	6
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	26.3%	55.1%	33.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2	0	0
		7.4%	0.0%	2.4%
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
	<b>Much more likely</b>	15	2	7
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	48.0%	51.6%	43.8%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	7	0	4
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	21.6%	4.9%	21.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0	0	2
		0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
	<b>Much more likely</b>	15	3	5
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	51.1%	56.4%	30.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	3	0	5
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	11.5%	0.0%	32.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	3	0	1
		10.4%	0.0%	7.4%
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
	<b>Much more likely</b>	16	1	5
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	52.6%	30.5%	30.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	7	0	3
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	21.8%	1.2%	19.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2	0	4
		5.8%	0.0%	24.3%
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
	<b>Much more likely</b>	11	3	7
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	37.9%	56.4%	43.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	7	0	5
		24.2%	0.0%	31.1%
		0	2	1
		0.0%	33.7%	3.4%

	Ethnic Group						
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67 8.3%	1 3.4%	0 0.0%	8 7.9%	37 7.6%	9 7.2%	7 100.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	140 17.4%	2 4.9%	1 100.0%	12 12.2%	109 22.1%	7 5.7%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>							
<b>Much more likely</b>	238 29.5%	25 62.5%	0 0.0%	33 34.8%	115 23.3%	46 37.9%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	295 36.6%	9 21.4%	1 100.0%	38 39.7%	184 37.4%	45 36.9%	7 100.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	76 9.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 10.1%	53 10.8%	9 7.1%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	74 9.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 4.3%	49 10.0%	15 12.8%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	124 15.4%	6 16.1%	0 0.0%	11 11.0%	91 18.5%	6 5.3%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>							
<b>Much more likely</b>	297 36.8%	28 70.4%	0 0.0%	50 51.9%	153 31.1%	42 35.1%	7 100.0%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	271 33.6%	6 15.2%	0 0.0%	34 35.9%	164 33.2%	54 44.3%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	51 6.3%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4 4.3%	37 7.4%	9 7.3%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	59 7.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	38 7.7%	10 8.5%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	130 16.0%	6 14.4%	0 0.0%	8 8.0%	101 20.6%	6 4.8%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>							
<b>Much more likely</b>	222 27.5%	26 65.2%	0 0.0%	35 36.1%	110 22.3%	35 28.9%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	313 38.7%	6 15.2%	0 0.0%	45 47.3%	184 37.3%	54 44.8%	7 100.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	64 7.9%	1 3.4%	1 100.0%	1 1.3%	46 9.4%	11 9.5%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	69 8.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 6.2%	48 9.7%	10 8.4%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	141 17.4%	6 16.1%	0 0.0%	9 9.1%	105 21.3%	10 8.4%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>							
<b>Much more likely</b>	259 32.0%	26 64.9%	0 0.0%	39 40.9%	134 27.2%	42 34.6%	0 0.0%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	289 35.8%	6 16.0%	1 100.0%	44 45.9%	165 33.6%	53 43.6%	7 100.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	70 8.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 7.0%	48 9.7%	10 8.6%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67 8.3%	2 4.8%	0 0.0%	2 1.7%	51 10.4%	7 6.0%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	122 15.2%	6 14.4%	0 0.0%	4 4.6%	94 19.1%	9 7.2%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
<b>Much more likely</b>	317 39.2%	28 71.6%	1 100.0%	31 32.6%	181 36.7%	50 41.2%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	5 17.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	6 20.1%	0 9.8%	4 22.5%
<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>			
<b>Much more likely</b>	14 47.4%	1 23.4%	4 24.9%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	7 22.0%	0 8.2%	6 35.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	2 7.7%	0 0.0%	2 9.7%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	3 11.5%	2 33.8%	0 2.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>	3 11.4%	2 34.6%	5 28.2%
<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>			
<b>Much more likely</b>	12 40.7%	1 31.6%	4 22.0%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	7 21.7%	0 0.0%	7 41.5%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	1 2.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	8 26.5%	2 33.7%	1 7.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>	3 8.3%	2 34.6%	5 28.7%
<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>			
<b>Much more likely</b>	12 38.6%	1 31.6%	4 24.4%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	8 26.5%	2 34.6%	7 43.8%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	2 7.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	4 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 2.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>	4 12.6%	2 33.7%	5 29.5%
<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>			
<b>Much more likely</b>	13 41.5%	1 31.6%	4 25.6%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	8 26.9%	1 24.8%	5 26.8%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	1 3.0%	2 33.7%	3 17.1%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	4 14.3%	0 0.0%	1 3.1%
<b>DK/NA</b>	4 14.2%	0 9.8%	5 27.4%
<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
<b>Much more likely</b>	17 57.2%	1 31.6%	8 45.2%

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools</b>	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	267 33.0%	4 10.6%	0 0.0%	39 40.7%	157 31.9%	49 40.4%	7 100.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	45 5.5%	4 9.5%	0 0.0%	13 13.3%	24 4.9%	3 2.1%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	48 5.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 3.5%	29 5.8%	11 9.3%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	132 16.3%	3 8.3%	0 0.0%	9 9.9%	102 20.7%	9 7.1%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	209 25.8%	20 49.1%	0 0.0%	21 22.2%	102 20.7%	39 32.0%	7 100.0%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	200 24.8%	7 18.7%	0 0.0%	35 36.6%	102 20.7%	48 39.9%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	103 12.7%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	15 15.9%	63 12.7%	17 14.3%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	193 23.9%	11 27.3%	0 0.0%	15 15.8%	142 28.9%	12 10.3%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	103 12.7%	2 4.9%	0 0.0%	9 9.4%	84 17.1%	4 3.5%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7	
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	266 33.0%	24 60.2%	0 0.0%	40 41.4%	125 25.3%	52 43.4%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	293 36.3%	10 25.5%	0 0.0%	40 42.0%	188 38.2%	44 36.8%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	61 7.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 8.7%	40 8.1%	10 8.5%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67 8.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	46 9.3%	10 8.1%	7 100.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	121 14.9%	6 14.4%	1 100.0%	7 7.8%	94 19.2%	4 3.2%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7	
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	267 33.1%	26 64.9%	0 0.0%	31 32.7%	142 28.9%	45 36.9%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	293 36.2%	7 18.4%	0 0.0%	50 52.0%	173 35.1%	49 40.2%	0 0.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	56 6.9%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	10 10.6%	39 7.9%	4 3.4%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	75 9.3%	1 2.3%	0 0.0%	3 3.0%	45 9.0%	16 13.4%	7 100.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	117 14.5%	6 14.4%	0 0.0%	2 1.7%	94 19.1%	7 6.1%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools</b>	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	4 12.7%	2 33.8%	5 31.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 9.6%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	4 14.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 15.8%	2 34.6%	2 14.2%
	<b>Total</b>	30	5	17
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	16 53.5%	1 29.4%	3 20.5%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	3 8.6%	0 9.8%	4 26.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	2 6.0%	0 0.0%	5 30.2%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	7 23.6%	3 60.8%	3 17.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	3 8.3%	0 0.0%	1 6.1%
<b>Total</b>	30	5	17	
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	18 59.5%	1 31.6%	7 38.5%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	5 14.9%	3 58.5%	3 17.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	1 2.8%	0 9.8%	1 7.4%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	4 14.6%	0 0.0%	0 2.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	3 8.3%	0 0.0%	6 34.4%
<b>Total</b>	30	5	17	
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	17 55.1%	1 30.5%	5 31.6%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	7 22.3%	3 59.7%	5 27.5%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	1 2.8%	0 0.0%	1 7.4%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	3 11.5%	0 0.0%	0 2.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	3 8.3%	0 9.8%	5 31.2%

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	<b>Much more likely</b>	298	6	8	31	10	4	1
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	256	1	10	33	12	9	2
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	35	0	0	7	0	0	0
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	49	0	0	9	0	1	0
	<b>DK/NA</b>	73	1	1	8	8	2	0
		10.3%	9.2%	7.0%	8.7%	25.4%	10.1%	0.0%
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	<b>Much more likely</b>	267	4	3	35	9	6	1
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	218	3	8	32	12	8	2
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	53	0	0	9	0	2	0
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	51	0	6	7	2	0	0
	<b>DK/NA</b>	120	0	2	6	6	1	1
		16.9%	0.0%	11.6%	7.0%	21.6%	3.8%	16.1%
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	<b>Much more likely</b>	271	5	1	42	7	5	1
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	252	1	14	35	11	7	0
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	45	1	1	0	4	1	0
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	49	0	0	9	0	3	2
	<b>DK/NA</b>	93	0	3	3	8	0	0
		13.1%	0.0%	16.6%	2.8%	25.4%	3.0%	0.0%
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	<b>Much more likely</b>	213	4	5	28	7	2	1
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	267	3	10	36	12	8	0
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	61	0	3	10	4	2	0
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67	0	0	9	0	1	0
	<b>DK/NA</b>	102	0	2	6	6	3	2
		14.3%	0.0%	9.0%	7.1%	21.6%	17.1%	49.0%
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	<b>Much more likely</b>	242	2	1	30	10	6	1
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	256	6	12	39	11	6	1
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	37	0	1	5	0	0	0
		5.3%	0.0%	4.9%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	530
	<b>Much more likely</b>	0	237
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	13	176
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0	28
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	1	37
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0	54
		0.0%	10.1%
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	530
	<b>Much more likely</b>	10	200
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	4	148
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0	43
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	0	35
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0	104
		0.0%	19.6%
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	530
	<b>Much more likely</b>	3	206
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	8	174
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0	37
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	1	35
	<b>DK/NA</b>	1	78
		8.7%	14.7%
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	530
	<b>Much more likely</b>	4	161
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	10	187
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0	43
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	0	57
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0	83
		0.0%	15.6%
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	<b>Total</b>	14	530
	<b>Much more likely</b>	4	189
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	4	177
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0	32
		0.0%	6.0%

	Ethnic Surname							
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	59	0	3	8	2	3	2	
	8.3%	0.0%	13.6%	8.9%	6.8%	15.7%	49.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	115	0	3	8	6	1	0	
	16.2%	0.0%	13.5%	8.5%	21.6%	9.2%	0.0%	
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	212	6	4	32	5	2	1
		29.9%	85.4%	18.0%	36.5%	15.5%	14.8%	20.7%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	266	1	11	35	14	7	3
		37.5%	14.6%	56.1%	38.9%	48.2%	40.2%	79.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	67	0	1	3	4	2	0
		9.4%	0.0%	4.9%	3.0%	11.8%	13.9%	0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	65	0	0	11	1	3	0
	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	12.3%	2.9%	15.7%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	100	0	4	8	6	3	0	
	14.1%	0.0%	21.0%	9.3%	21.6%	15.4%	0.0%	
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	270	5	8	28	9	6	1
		38.0%	68.2%	42.4%	30.9%	31.8%	35.6%	20.7%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	242	2	10	39	12	6	2
		34.1%	31.8%	50.6%	44.2%	40.1%	39.1%	63.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	43	0	0	9	0	1	0
		6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.6%	0.4%	6.7%	0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	52	0	0	8	0	0	0
	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	9.4%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	104	0	1	4	8	3	1	
	14.6%	0.0%	7.0%	4.8%	27.7%	17.1%	16.1%	
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	202	3	9	29	6	2	1
		28.5%	37.7%	45.6%	33.0%	19.9%	14.7%	20.7%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	281	5	8	36	11	8	3
		39.6%	62.3%	41.0%	40.9%	37.7%	50.4%	79.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	56	0	0	10	5	1	0
		7.8%	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%	17.8%	6.7%	0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	59	0	0	6	1	3	0
	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	2.9%	15.7%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	113	0	3	8	6	2	0	
	15.8%	0.0%	13.5%	9.0%	21.6%	12.6%	0.0%	
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	235	5	4	25	5	5	1
		33.1%	68.2%	22.4%	27.8%	17.8%	32.9%	34.9%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	256	1	13	39	14	6	1
		36.1%	14.6%	66.2%	44.3%	45.4%	39.1%	16.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	63	1	0	8	3	2	0
		8.9%	17.1%	0.0%	8.8%	11.0%	9.2%	0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	58	0	0	6	0	3	2
	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	0.3%	15.7%	49.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	98	0	2	11	8	1	0	
	13.8%	0.0%	11.4%	12.4%	25.5%	3.2%	0.0%	
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	
<b>Much more likely</b>	283	3	4	35	11	6	1	
	39.9%	41.1%	19.0%	39.2%	35.8%	34.0%	16.1%	

	Ethnic Surname		
	African American	Not Coded	
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	7	36	
	47.2%	6.7%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	97	
	0.0%	18.3%	
<b>Total</b>	14	530	
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	4	159
		25.7%	29.9%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	10	186
		72.6%	35.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0	57
		0.0%	10.8%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	0	50
	0.0%	9.5%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	79	
	1.7%	14.8%	
<b>Total</b>	14	530	
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	11	202
		80.2%	38.0%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	3	167
		19.8%	31.5%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0	32
		0.0%	6.1%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	0	43
	0.0%	8.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	86	
	0.0%	16.3%	
<b>Total</b>	14	530	
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	5	146
		38.3%	27.6%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	9	201
		60.0%	38.0%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0	40
		0.0%	7.5%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	0	50
	0.0%	9.3%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	93	
	1.7%	17.6%	
<b>Total</b>	14	530	
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	4	185
		26.1%	34.9%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	10	172
		72.2%	32.5%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0	49
		0.0%	9.3%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	0	48
	0.0%	9.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	76	
	1.7%	14.4%	
<b>Total</b>	14	530	
<b>Much more likely</b>	6	218	
	44.5%	41.2%	



		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools</b>	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	237 33.4%	4 58.9%	7 37.4%	36 40.1%	10 34.9%	6 34.5%	2 63.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	42 5.9%	0 0.0%	4 18.0%	4 4.4%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	42 5.9%	0 0.0%	3 13.6%	7 7.5%	2 7.2%	0 1.3%	1 20.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	106 14.9%	0 0.0%	2 11.9%	8 8.8%	7 22.2%	4 23.5%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	190 26.8%	2 30.6%	2 8.8%	24 26.5%	6 20.6%	0 3.0%	1 16.1%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	183 25.8%	2 28.3%	5 24.9%	41 46.1%	13 43.2%	4 22.2%	0 14.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	92 13.0%	2 24.0%	7 37.1%	14 15.9%	2 5.6%	0 2.7%	1 20.7%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	166 23.4%	1 17.1%	4 20.1%	6 6.6%	5 16.2%	10 60.0%	2 49.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	78 11.0%	0 0.0%	2 9.0%	4 4.9%	4 14.4%	2 12.1%	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	235 33.1%	4 54.5%	6 30.5%	34 37.8%	4 13.7%	4 27.1%	1 36.8%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	267 37.6%	2 28.3%	9 48.0%	39 44.1%	7 24.6%	7 40.3%	0 14.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	53 7.4%	1 17.1%	1 4.9%	8 9.4%	7 24.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	58 8.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 5.9%	1 3.3%	3 15.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	97 13.7%	0 0.0%	3 16.6%	3 2.8%	10 34.1%	2 10.3%	2 49.0%
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	236 33.2%	5 59.9%	6 28.9%	29 32.1%	7 24.5%	4 27.3%	1 36.8%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	266 37.4%	1 9.2%	12 62.1%	40 44.6%	12 41.0%	7 40.3%	0 14.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	47 6.6%	2 30.8%	0 0.0%	4 4.6%	0 0.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	66 9.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	12 13.8%	0 0.6%	3 15.7%	2 49.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	95 13.4%	0 0.0%	2 9.0%	4 4.8%	10 33.6%	2 10.1%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools</b>	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	8 53.7%	164 30.9%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0 0.0%	33 6.3%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	0 0.0%	30 5.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 1.7%	85 16.0%
	<b>Total</b>	14	530
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	10 72.2%	145 27.3%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	3 19.3%	116 21.8%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0 0.0%	66 12.4%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	0 1.6%	139 26.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	1 6.9%	65 12.3%
<b>Total</b>	14	530	
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	4 26.2%	178 33.6%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	4 26.6%	198 37.4%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0 0.0%	34 6.4%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	7 47.2%	42 7.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%	78 14.7%
<b>Total</b>	14	530	
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>	<b>Much more likely</b>	3 24.6%	181 34.1%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	2 14.8%	192 36.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	0 0.0%	39 7.4%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	8 53.7%	42 7.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	1 6.9%	77 14.5%

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Much more likely	334	56	71	46	83
	Somewhat more likely	295	37	48	24	113
	Somewhat Less Likely	38	10	3	2	8
	Much Less Likely	60	10	14	14	12
	DK/NA	81	21	19	6	12
		41.4%	41.7%	46.0%	50.3%	36.4%
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Much more likely	301	36	59	26	73
	Somewhat more likely	241	51	48	27	70
	Somewhat Less Likely	64	8	4	8	23
	Much Less Likely	59	17	12	10	16
	DK/NA	143	22	31	21	47
		37.3%	26.7%	38.3%	28.3%	31.8%
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Much more likely	303	48	55	35	64
	Somewhat more likely	281	47	49	31	89
	Somewhat Less Likely	63	10	8	7	31
	Much Less Likely	58	9	17	8	15
	DK/NA	103	20	24	11	30
		37.5%	35.6%	35.8%	38.4%	28.1%
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Much more likely	238	40	41	27	44
	Somewhat more likely	294	51	61	30	96
	Somewhat Less Likely	71	10	8	9	25
	Much Less Likely	77	13	17	18	12
	DK/NA	128	20	27	7	52
		29.5%	29.7%	26.4%	29.4%	19.3%
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Much more likely	275	39	52	28	56
	Somewhat more likely	280	52	54	37	84
	Somewhat Less Likely	45	9	3	5	12

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Total	77	123
	Much more likely	27	51
	Somewhat more likely	31	41
	Somewhat Less Likely	3	12
	Much Less Likely	6	5
	DK/NA	10	14
		35.6%	41.6%
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Total	77	123
	Much more likely	48	60
	Somewhat more likely	20	24
	Somewhat Less Likely	3	18
	Much Less Likely	3	1
	DK/NA	3	19
		61.8%	49.1%
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Total	77	123
	Much more likely	39	62
	Somewhat more likely	21	43
	Somewhat Less Likely	4	3
	Much Less Likely	7	3
	DK/NA	7	12
		50.8%	50.3%
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Total	77	123
	Much more likely	36	51
	Somewhat more likely	19	37
	Somewhat Less Likely	6	13
	Much Less Likely	8	8
	DK/NA	8	15
		46.8%	41.2%
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Total	77	123
	Much more likely	43	56
	Somewhat more likely	20	32
	Somewhat Less Likely	5	11

	Total	Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	5.6%	6.4%	2.1%	5.3%	5.4%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67	12	13	9	28
<b>DK/NA</b>	140	22	31	12	48
	17.4%	16.5%	20.3%	12.9%	21.1%
<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	238	38	35	23	46
	29.5%	28.4%	23.0%	25.0%	20.3%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	295	51	61	39	88
	36.6%	38.1%	39.4%	42.6%	38.6%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	76	15	9	5	37
	9.4%	11.0%	6.1%	5.1%	16.2%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	74	10	19	14	15
	9.2%	7.5%	12.3%	14.8%	6.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>	124	20	29	12	42
	15.4%	14.9%	19.1%	12.5%	18.2%
<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	297	45	54	31	66
	36.8%	33.7%	34.9%	33.9%	28.7%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	271	48	50	29	90
	33.6%	35.6%	32.2%	31.9%	39.4%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	51	13	3	7	13
	6.3%	9.5%	2.1%	7.6%	5.8%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	59	12	14	11	11
	7.3%	8.8%	9.2%	12.5%	5.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	130	17	33	13	48
	16.0%	12.5%	21.5%	14.1%	21.1%
<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	222	32	38	23	45
	27.5%	24.2%	24.9%	24.7%	19.7%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	313	59	59	38	90
	38.7%	43.8%	38.5%	41.3%	39.2%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	64	14	9	1	25
	7.9%	10.3%	6.0%	1.6%	11.1%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	69	11	21	13	12
	8.5%	7.8%	13.8%	14.0%	5.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	141	19	26	17	56
	17.4%	14.0%	16.9%	18.4%	24.6%
<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>					
<b>Much more likely</b>	259	42	45	31	57
	32.0%	31.5%	29.6%	33.9%	24.7%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	289	49	45	29	93
	35.8%	36.7%	29.4%	31.8%	40.6%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	70	11	9	10	24
	8.7%	8.3%	6.1%	10.5%	10.4%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	67	11	19	13	17
	8.3%	8.3%	12.3%	14.3%	7.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>	122	20	35	9	38
	15.2%	15.2%	22.6%	9.5%	16.7%
<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229

	Area	
	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	6.6%	9.2%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	2	3
<b>DK/NA</b>	6	21
	8.3%	16.8%
<b>Total</b>	77	123
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>		
<b>Much more likely</b>	33	63
	42.7%	51.1%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	23	33
	30.3%	26.9%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	5	5
	6.5%	3.9%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	5	11
	6.4%	8.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	11	11
	14.2%	9.1%
<b>Total</b>	77	123
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>		
<b>Much more likely</b>	43	58
	56.5%	47.4%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	21	33
	27.9%	26.8%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	2	13
	3.1%	10.3%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	2	8
	3.1%	6.2%
<b>DK/NA</b>	7	11
	9.4%	9.3%
<b>Total</b>	77	123
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>		
<b>Much more likely</b>	34	50
	43.9%	41.1%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	23	45
	29.4%	36.3%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	2	12
	2.3%	9.6%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	7	5
	8.9%	4.1%
<b>DK/NA</b>	12	11
	15.5%	8.8%
<b>Total</b>	77	123
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>		
<b>Much more likely</b>	34	50
	44.2%	40.4%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	22	51
	28.4%	41.6%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	7	10
	8.5%	7.9%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	5	2
	6.3%	1.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	10	11
	12.6%	8.7%
<b>Total</b>	77	123

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools</b>	Much more likely	317 39.2%	52 39.0%	60 39.2%	31 34.2%	73 31.8%
	Somewhat more likely	267 33.0%	42 31.1%	50 32.4%	37 39.8%	85 37.2%
	Somewhat Less Likely	45 5.5%	7 5.2%	4 2.5%	2 1.9%	14 6.0%
	Much Less Likely	48 5.9%	9 7.0%	9 6.1%	10 11.3%	12 5.2%
	DK/NA	132 16.3%	24 17.7%	30 19.8%	12 12.7%	45 19.7%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>229</b>
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>	Much more likely	209 25.8%	18 13.2%	35 23.1%	21 23.1%	52 22.8%
	Somewhat more likely	200 24.8%	35 26.1%	39 25.2%	11 12.4%	59 25.9%
	Somewhat Less Likely	103 12.7%	24 17.8%	15 9.5%	12 13.3%	31 13.6%
	Much Less Likely	193 23.9%	38 28.6%	44 28.9%	37 40.3%	52 22.6%
	DK/NA	103 12.7%	19 14.2%	21 13.4%	10 10.8%	35 15.2%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>229</b>
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>	Much more likely	266 33.0%	37 27.7%	45 29.5%	26 28.4%	55 24.2%
	Somewhat more likely	293 36.3%	51 38.2%	53 34.6%	34 37.2%	97 42.3%
	Somewhat Less Likely	61 7.5%	14 10.5%	9 5.7%	8 8.6%	16 7.1%
	Much Less Likely	67 8.3%	12 9.2%	17 10.9%	12 13.0%	20 8.9%
	DK/NA	121 14.9%	19 14.4%	30 19.3%	12 12.7%	40 17.5%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>229</b>
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>	Much more likely	267 33.1%	48 35.5%	46 29.9%	32 34.6%	49 21.2%
	Somewhat more likely	293 36.2%	43 31.9%	53 34.2%	32 35.0%	100 43.7%
	Somewhat Less Likely	56 6.9%	14 10.5%	8 5.5%	7 7.6%	18 7.8%
	Much Less Likely	75 9.3%	10 7.6%	16 10.3%	12 13.0%	21 9.1%
	DK/NA	117 14.5%	19 14.5%	31 20.2%	9 9.8%	41 18.1%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>229</b>

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools</b>	Much more likely	39 50.4%	62 50.1%
	Somewhat more likely	22 28.8%	31 25.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	7 9.6%	11 8.9%
	Much Less Likely	5 6.8%	1 1.2%
	DK/NA	3 4.4%	17 14.2%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>	Much more likely	31 41.0%	51 41.3%
	Somewhat more likely	21 27.6%	35 28.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	9 12.2%	12 9.6%
	Much Less Likely	11 14.8%	11 8.6%
	DK/NA	3 4.5%	15 12.3%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>	Much more likely	37 48.7%	65 53.0%
	Somewhat more likely	24 30.7%	34 27.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	2 2.7%	12 9.7%
	Much Less Likely	5 6.4%	1 0.6%
	DK/NA	9 11.3%	11 9.1%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>	Much more likely	36 46.6%	58 46.9%
	Somewhat more likely	24 31.4%	41 33.4%
	Somewhat Less Likely	3 3.6%	6 5.1%
	Much Less Likely	7 9.0%	9 7.6%
	DK/NA	7 9.4%	9 7.1%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>123</b>

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	<b>Much more likely</b>	334	29	18	146	141
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	41.4%	50.0%	30.0%	37.9%	46.5%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	295	17	22	148	108
		36.5%	29.5%	36.0%	38.3%	35.6%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	38	3	7	13	15
	<b>DK/NA</b>	4.7%	5.6%	11.9%	3.3%	4.9%
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	<b>Much more likely</b>	301	27	20	139	116
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	37.3%	45.6%	32.9%	36.1%	38.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	241	24	18	101	98
		29.8%	40.6%	29.0%	26.3%	32.3%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	64	3	7	33	21
	<b>DK/NA</b>	7.9%	5.5%	10.9%	8.6%	6.8%
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	<b>Much more likely</b>	303	27	22	128	126
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	37.5%	45.6%	36.0%	33.3%	41.6%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	281	24	20	123	114
		34.7%	40.8%	32.7%	32.1%	37.4%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	63	1	6	43	14
	<b>DK/NA</b>	7.8%	1.1%	9.3%	11.2%	4.5%
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	<b>Much more likely</b>	238	28	20	90	101
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	29.5%	46.9%	32.6%	23.3%	33.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	294	17	18	129	131
		36.4%	29.0%	28.8%	33.4%	43.1%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	71	2	5	43	21
	<b>DK/NA</b>	8.8%	2.9%	8.1%	11.2%	7.1%
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	<b>Much more likely</b>	275	25	21	132	97
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	34.1%	42.7%	35.0%	34.2%	32.1%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	280	25	20	123	112
		34.6%	43.5%	33.0%	31.8%	36.9%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	45	0	4	22	19
		5.6%	0.3%	7.4%	5.7%	6.2%

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		8.3%	3.8%	12.0%	7.3%	9.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	140	6	8	81	46
		17.4%	9.7%	12.6%	21.0%	15.2%
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	<b>Much more likely</b>	238	24	17	99	99
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	29.5%	40.5%	27.3%	25.7%	32.6%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	295	21	24	131	119
		36.6%	36.1%	39.4%	34.0%	39.3%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	76	5	3	43	24
	<b>DK/NA</b>	9.4%	8.9%	5.6%	11.1%	8.0%
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	<b>Much more likely</b>	297	27	19	122	130
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	36.8%	46.2%	30.7%	31.6%	42.8%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	271	24	21	113	114
		33.6%	41.3%	33.8%	29.2%	37.5%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	51	0	5	32	14
	<b>DK/NA</b>	6.3%	0.3%	8.7%	8.3%	4.6%
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	<b>Much more likely</b>	222	26	16	87	92
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	27.5%	44.6%	27.0%	22.7%	30.5%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	313	23	20	144	125
		38.7%	39.7%	32.2%	37.5%	41.3%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	64	5	6	31	22
	<b>DK/NA</b>	7.9%	8.2%	9.6%	8.1%	7.2%
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	<b>Much more likely</b>	259	23	16	118	102
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	32.0%	40.0%	25.6%	30.6%	33.6%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	289	24	19	117	129
		35.8%	41.1%	32.0%	30.4%	42.4%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	70	2	6	40	22
	<b>DK/NA</b>	8.7%	2.9%	10.6%	10.3%	7.4%
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	<b>Much more likely</b>	317	29	23	126	139
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	39.2%	49.5%	37.4%	32.7%	45.9%
		267	21	22	135	88
		33.0%	36.7%	36.3%	35.0%	29.1%

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat Less Likely	45	1	1	28	15
		5.5%	1.1%	2.4%	7.2%	4.9%
	Much Less Likely	48	2	6	21	19
		5.9%	3.0%	9.3%	5.5%	6.2%
DK/NA	132	6	9	75	42	
		16.3%	9.7%	14.5%	19.6%	13.8%
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Much more likely	209	21	14	64	110
		25.8%	35.7%	22.3%	16.6%	36.3%
	Somewhat more likely	200	30	15	76	80
		24.8%	50.5%	24.6%	19.6%	26.3%
	Somewhat Less Likely	103	1	8	57	37
		12.7%	1.9%	12.5%	14.7%	12.4%
Much Less Likely	193	3	19	120	51	
	23.9%	5.9%	30.6%	31.3%	16.8%	
DK/NA	103	4	6	69	25	
	12.7%	6.0%	10.0%	17.8%	8.2%	
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Much more likely	266	26	18	112	110
		33.0%	44.5%	29.3%	29.2%	36.3%
	Somewhat more likely	293	24	21	127	121
		36.3%	40.5%	34.9%	33.1%	39.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	61	5	7	37	12
		7.5%	8.6%	11.2%	9.5%	4.0%
Much Less Likely	67	2	10	32	23	
	8.3%	3.0%	16.2%	8.4%	7.7%	
DK/NA	121	2	5	76	37	
	14.9%	3.4%	8.4%	19.8%	12.3%	
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Much more likely	267	25	21	116	105
		33.1%	43.3%	34.5%	30.1%	34.6%
	Somewhat more likely	293	25	15	130	122
		36.2%	42.5%	24.8%	33.8%	40.3%
	Somewhat Less Likely	56	2	6	32	16
		6.9%	2.9%	10.6%	8.3%	5.2%
Much Less Likely	75	5	11	34	26	
	9.3%	9.0%	17.7%	8.7%	8.4%	
DK/NA	117	1	8	73	35	
	14.5%	2.3%	12.4%	19.0%	11.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely				
	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely		C		
	Much Less Likely		D	D	
DK/NA					
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Much more likely				
	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely				
	Much Less Likely			A	A
DK/NA					
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Much more likely				
	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely			D	
	Much Less Likely		D	A	
DK/NA					
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Much more likely	C			C
	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely				
	Much Less Likely		D		
DK/NA			D		
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Much more likely				
	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely				
	Much Less Likely				
DK/NA					
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely				
	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely		D		
	Much Less Likely				
DK/NA					
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much more likely				C
	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely				
	Much Less Likely		D	D	
DK/NA			A	D	
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Much more likely	C			
	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely				
	Much Less Likely		D	D	
DK/NA			A		
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely				
	Somewhat more likely				
	Somewhat Less Likely		D	D	
	Much Less Likely				C
DK/NA					
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	Much more likely				C
	Somewhat more likely				
Somewhat Less Likely					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
continue to work closely with local schools	Much Less Likely DK/NA				
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat Less Likely Much Less Likely DK/NA	C B C D		A A D D	C
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely Somewhat more likely Much Less Likely DK/NA			D A D	
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely Somewhat more likely Somewhat Less Likely Much Less Likely DK/NA			A D	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Much more likely	298	39	63	67	47	81
	Somewhat more likely	42.0%	37.3%	44.6%	44.8%	51.4%	36.7%
	Somewhat Less Likely	256	47	50	44	35	80
		36.0%	44.8%	35.0%	29.6%	37.8%	36.0%
	Much Less Likely	35	5	10	8	1	11
	DK/NA	4.9%	4.9%	7.3%	5.3%	0.8%	4.9%
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Much more likely	267	42	53	47	27	98
	Somewhat more likely	37.7%	39.4%	37.6%	31.7%	29.2%	44.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	218	31	48	46	35	57
		30.7%	29.2%	34.1%	31.0%	38.2%	25.9%
	Much Less Likely	53	5	13	18	9	9
	DK/NA	7.5%	4.3%	9.4%	11.8%	10.2%	3.9%
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Much more likely	271	40	65	56	39	71
	Somewhat more likely	38.2%	38.2%	45.6%	37.6%	41.9%	32.2%
	Somewhat Less Likely	252	48	49	48	27	80
		35.5%	45.1%	34.9%	32.2%	29.4%	36.1%
	Much Less Likely	45	3	4	15	7	16
	DK/NA	6.3%	2.5%	3.1%	10.0%	7.4%	7.2%
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Much more likely	213	33	59	38	30	53
	Somewhat more likely	29.9%	31.0%	41.7%	25.2%	32.7%	23.9%
	Somewhat Less Likely	267	44	49	51	35	89
		37.7%	41.4%	34.2%	34.3%	37.5%	40.5%
	Much Less Likely	61	10	10	16	8	17
	DK/NA	8.6%	9.2%	7.3%	11.0%	8.4%	7.7%
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Much more likely	242	29	54	39	29	91
	Somewhat more likely	34.1%	27.5%	38.2%	26.2%	31.1%	41.2%
	Somewhat Less Likely	256	34	46	58	42	76
		36.1%	32.5%	32.6%	38.6%	45.5%	34.5%
	Much Less Likely	37	9	11	9	5	4
	DK/NA	5.3%	8.2%	7.8%	6.3%	5.1%	1.6%

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Much Less Likely</b>		8.3%	15.9%	8.4%	7.9%	3.6%	6.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	115	17	18	31	14	35
		16.2%	15.9%	13.0%	21.0%	14.7%	15.8%
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
	<b>Much more likely</b>	212	41	50	39	29	54
		29.9%	38.5%	35.4%	26.1%	31.0%	24.4%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	266	38	52	55	34	87
		37.5%	36.4%	36.6%	36.9%	37.0%	39.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	67	3	15	21	9	20
		9.4%	2.4%	10.3%	14.1%	9.4%	8.9%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	65	12	11	17	2	23	
	9.1%	11.2%	7.6%	11.4%	2.4%	10.3%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	100	12	14	17	19	38	
	14.1%	11.5%	10.1%	11.4%	20.2%	17.2%	
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
	<b>Much more likely</b>	270	47	61	44	39	80
		38.0%	44.4%	42.7%	29.5%	42.1%	36.0%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	242	28	57	59	32	66
		34.1%	26.2%	40.3%	39.7%	34.6%	29.9%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	43	8	3	15	6	11
		6.0%	7.4%	2.0%	10.3%	6.4%	4.9%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	52	12	7	12	5	16	
	7.3%	11.3%	5.0%	7.9%	5.3%	7.2%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	104	11	14	19	11	49	
	14.6%	10.7%	10.0%	12.5%	11.7%	22.0%	
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
	<b>Much more likely</b>	202	26	46	43	27	60
		28.5%	24.3%	32.6%	28.6%	29.5%	27.3%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	281	54	62	45	35	86
		39.6%	51.0%	43.8%	29.8%	38.0%	38.7%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	56	1	5	24	10	16
		7.8%	1.1%	3.3%	16.0%	10.9%	7.2%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	59	12	10	14	2	20	
	8.3%	11.7%	7.3%	9.3%	1.7%	9.2%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	113	13	18	24	18	39	
	15.8%	11.9%	12.9%	16.4%	19.9%	17.5%	
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
	<b>Much more likely</b>	235	28	59	42	31	74
		33.1%	26.7%	41.8%	28.1%	34.1%	33.4%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	256	49	52	48	43	64
		36.1%	46.3%	36.6%	31.9%	47.2%	29.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	63	4	12	22	5	20
		8.9%	4.3%	8.5%	14.5%	5.9%	8.9%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	58	7	8	14	5	24	
	8.1%	7.0%	5.5%	9.6%	5.0%	10.7%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	98	17	11	24	7	40	
	13.8%	15.8%	7.6%	15.8%	7.8%	17.9%	
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
	<b>Much more likely</b>	283	36	64	53	36	94
		39.9%	34.5%	45.1%	35.6%	38.9%	42.4%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	237	37	41	57	43	60	
	33.4%	34.8%	28.7%	38.2%	46.5%	27.1%	

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>continue to work closely with local schools</b>	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	42	8	7	8	4	16
		5.9%	7.3%	4.6%	5.2%	4.0%	7.3%
	<b>Much Less Likely</b>	42	8	12	7	2	12
	5.9%	7.6%	8.8%	4.8%	2.3%	5.6%	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	106	17	18	24	8	39
		14.9%	15.8%	12.8%	16.2%	8.4%	17.6%
<b>14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
	<b>Much more likely</b>	190	40	52	37	24	38
		26.8%	37.5%	36.6%	25.0%	25.6%	17.1%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	183	23	45	41	29	45
		25.8%	21.7%	31.9%	27.7%	31.4%	20.3%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	92	12	14	14	14	39
		13.0%	11.1%	9.7%	9.4%	15.2%	17.4%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	166	19	21	39	14	73	
	23.4%	18.3%	14.9%	26.0%	15.2%	33.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	78	12	10	18	12	27	
	11.0%	11.4%	6.9%	11.9%	12.7%	12.2%	
<b>14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
	<b>Much more likely</b>	235	36	61	46	30	63
		33.1%	33.8%	42.9%	30.9%	32.4%	28.3%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	267	44	52	51	42	79
		37.6%	41.4%	36.5%	34.1%	45.2%	35.8%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	53	4	6	24	6	12
		7.4%	3.9%	4.4%	15.8%	7.0%	5.6%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	58	14	12	10	3	18	
	8.1%	13.3%	8.4%	7.0%	3.3%	8.2%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	97	8	11	18	11	49	
	13.7%	7.6%	7.8%	12.2%	12.1%	22.1%	
<b>14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students</b>	<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
	<b>Much more likely</b>	236	29	63	39	32	73
		33.2%	27.3%	44.7%	26.3%	34.4%	33.0%
	<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	266	38	48	66	45	69
		37.4%	36.0%	33.8%	44.4%	48.4%	31.2%
	<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	47	8	7	11	5	16
		6.6%	7.3%	5.0%	7.6%	5.0%	7.3%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	66	17	11	15	2	21	
	9.3%	16.5%	7.4%	9.9%	2.1%	9.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	95	14	13	18	9	42	
	13.4%	12.9%	9.1%	11.8%	10.2%	18.9%	



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely					
	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely					
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
	Much more likely			E		
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	DK/NA					
	Much more likely		C E			
	Somewhat more likely					
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely					
	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely			A		
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
	Much more likely			B		
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	DK/NA					
	Much more likely					
	Somewhat more likely					
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
continue to work closely with local schools	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	E	E			
	Somewhat more likely					
	Somewhat Less Likely					B D
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much Less Likely					
	DK/NA					
	Much more likely		E			
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Somewhat more likely			A B E		
	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely					A B
14N. The updated libraries would provide space for computer workstations for students	DK/NA					
	Much more likely		C			
	Somewhat more likely				E	
14O. The updated libraries would provide space for computer workstations for students	Somewhat Less Likely					
	Much Less Likely	D				
14P. The updated libraries would provide space for computer workstations for students	DK/NA					
	Much Less Likely					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>		<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
	Much more likely	296	110	57	12	37	47.8%	36
	Somewhat more likely	251	70	65	21	20	42.5%	35
	Somewhat Less Likely	35	10	3	3	11	4.3%	4
	Much Less Likely	48	12	10	5	5	2.6%	2
	DK/NA	70	27	15	6	6	7.1%	6
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>		<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
	Much more likely	265	107	60	12	20	26.1%	37
	Somewhat more likely	214	67	43	17	17	22.2%	21
	Somewhat Less Likely	53	15	8	4	12	7.0%	6
	Much Less Likely	51	4	11	5	12	8.5%	7
	DK/NA	116	34	27	10	17	14.2%	12
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>		<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
	Much more likely	268	108	50	12	30	38.6%	30
	Somewhat more likely	249	77	60	15	20	25.6%	34
	Somewhat Less Likely	43	9	13	6	8	2.5%	2
	Much Less Likely	49	9	12	3	8	10.8%	7
	DK/NA	90	25	14	11	12	11.5%	10
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>		<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
	Much more likely	207	81	47	8	23	29.8%	18
	Somewhat more likely	267	82	59	17	24	31.2%	36
	Somewhat Less Likely	60	21	11	6	10	6.7%	6
	Much Less Likely	67	12	14	3	12	16.1%	13
	DK/NA	99	32	19	13	9	11.7%	10
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>		<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
	Much more likely	238	98	45	11	22	28.6%	28
	Somewhat more likely	251	78	63	19	25	32.1%	22
	Somewhat Less Likely	37	7	8	3	7	4.1%	3
	Much Less Likely	59	7	10	5	11	14.2%	14

		Party by Gender			
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth	
<b>14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost</b>		<b>Total</b>	91	12	11
	Much more likely	33	5	5	
	Somewhat more likely	33	4	5	
	Somewhat Less Likely	4	0	1	
	Much Less Likely	13	2	0	
	DK/NA	8	1	0	
<b>14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?</b>		<b>Total</b>	91	12	11
	Much more likely	19	4	6	
	Somewhat more likely	42	4	2	
	Somewhat Less Likely	5	2	1	
	Much Less Likely	12	1	0	
	DK/NA	13	1	3	
<b>14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections</b>		<b>Total</b>	91	12	11
	Much more likely	28	4	4	
	Somewhat more likely	38	3	4	
	Somewhat Less Likely	3	0	3	
	Much Less Likely	9	0	0	
	DK/NA	14	4	0	
<b>14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training</b>		<b>Total</b>	91	12	11
	Much more likely	22	4	4	
	Somewhat more likely	38	4	6	
	Somewhat Less Likely	5	1	1	
	Much Less Likely	12	0	0	
	DK/NA	13	3	0	
<b>14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library</b>		<b>Total</b>	91	12	11
	Much more likely	21	4	9	
	Somewhat more likely	39	3	2	
	Somewhat Less Likely	5	2	1	
	Much Less Likely	11	1	0	

	Total	Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	8.4%	3.2%	6.8%	9.7%	14.4%	16.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>	113	37	23	9	12	15
	16.2%	16.4%	15.0%	19.6%	15.7%	17.9%
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>						
<b>Much more likely</b>	209	77	43	9	24	24
	29.9%	33.8%	28.8%	18.0%	30.9%	28.9%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	263	84	63	19	22	37
	37.7%	36.7%	42.3%	39.6%	28.0%	45.0%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	63	18	13	8	10	6
	9.0%	7.8%	8.4%	17.3%	12.2%	7.3%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	64	16	13	4	13	7
	9.2%	7.1%	9.0%	7.9%	17.2%	8.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	100	33	17	8	9	9
	14.2%	14.6%	11.5%	17.2%	11.7%	10.8%
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>						
<b>Much more likely</b>	266	106	49	9	18	43
	38.1%	46.6%	32.9%	18.0%	22.8%	52.6%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	238	68	66	17	29	23
	34.0%	29.7%	43.7%	36.7%	37.5%	27.5%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	43	14	5	6	11	3
	6.1%	6.2%	3.6%	12.3%	14.0%	4.1%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	51	6	11	6	9	4
	7.3%	2.7%	7.3%	12.8%	11.9%	5.1%
<b>DK/NA</b>	101	34	19	10	11	9
	14.4%	14.8%	12.5%	20.2%	13.9%	10.6%
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>						
<b>Much more likely</b>	199	68	44	9	23	30
	28.4%	29.8%	29.3%	19.2%	29.0%	35.7%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	278	93	60	20	26	31
	39.8%	40.8%	40.1%	41.1%	33.8%	37.8%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	56	17	12	6	7	5
	8.0%	7.6%	8.0%	13.0%	8.4%	6.6%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	58	10	13	3	13	10
	8.3%	4.5%	9.0%	6.2%	17.2%	12.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	108	39	20	10	9	7
	15.5%	17.2%	13.7%	20.4%	11.7%	7.9%
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>						
<b>Much more likely</b>	232	93	49	10	23	29
	33.1%	40.8%	32.6%	22.0%	28.7%	34.6%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	254	85	62	18	18	27
	36.3%	37.2%	41.2%	37.3%	22.8%	32.4%
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	62	13	12	5	14	8
	8.8%	5.9%	7.7%	11.0%	18.2%	10.2%
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	57	13	10	7	11	7
	8.2%	5.7%	6.9%	14.8%	14.3%	8.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	95	24	17	7	13	12
	13.6%	10.4%	11.6%	15.0%	16.0%	14.2%
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would</b>						
<b>Much more likely</b>	280	103	56	16	25	32
	40.0%	45.2%	37.1%	33.7%	32.4%	38.8%
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	232	76	56	18	21	26
	33.2%	33.6%	37.3%	38.6%	26.4%	31.7%

	Total	Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	12.3%	7.3%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	14	3	0	
	15.6%	23.1%	0.0%	
<b>Total</b>	91	12	11	
<b>14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>	23	6	4	
	25.2%	47.7%	36.6%	
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	33	2	4	
	36.5%	15.5%	34.1%	
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	8	1	0	
	8.8%	5.2%	0.0%	
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	10	0	1	
	10.9%	2.9%	5.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	17	3	3	
	18.7%	28.7%	24.3%	
<b>Total</b>	91	12	11	
<b>14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>	31	4	6	
	34.1%	35.7%	56.5%	
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	30	4	2	
	32.8%	32.7%	14.2%	
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	2	0	1	
	2.7%	0.0%	5.0%	
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	15	0	0	
	16.2%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	13	4	3	
	14.2%	31.6%	24.3%	
<b>Total</b>	91	12	11	
<b>14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>	20	2	4	
	22.1%	13.5%	37.1%	
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	37	5	6	
	40.3%	42.4%	58.0%	
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	7	1	1	
	7.4%	8.1%	5.0%	
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	8	0	0	
	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	19	4	0	
	21.1%	36.0%	0.0%	
<b>Total</b>	91	12	11	
<b>14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>	20	2	6	
	22.1%	20.2%	56.5%	
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	38	5	2	
	42.5%	40.9%	14.2%	
<b>Somewhat Less Likely</b>	8	0	1	
	9.0%	0.0%	5.0%	
<b>Much Less Likely</b>	9	0	0	
	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	15	5	3	
	17.0%	38.9%	24.3%	
<b>Total</b>	91	12	11	
<b>14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would</b>				
<b>Much more likely</b>	36	6	6	
	39.9%	46.5%	56.5%	
<b>Somewhat more likely</b>	32	0	2	
	35.6%	2.9%	19.1%	

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat Less Likely	40 5.8%	9 4.1%	7 4.4%	3 5.6%	10 12.2%	6 7.6%
	Much Less Likely	42 6.0%	3 1.4%	12 8.0%	3 6.7%	11 14.1%	4 4.2%
	DK/NA	105 15.0%	36 15.6%	20 13.2%	7 15.4%	12 14.9%	15 17.6%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>699</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>83</b>
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	188 26.8%	79 34.9%	46 30.7%	8 17.1%	10 12.3%	23 28.2%
	Somewhat more likely	182 26.1%	72 31.6%	41 27.2%	5 9.8%	15 19.0%	19 23.3%
	Somewhat Less Likely	86 12.3%	16 6.9%	20 13.3%	9 19.3%	10 12.8%	13 16.2%
	Much Less Likely	165 23.7%	38 16.7%	29 19.5%	13 27.7%	36 46.1%	19 23.3%
	DK/NA	78 11.1%	22 9.8%	14 9.3%	12 26.0%	8 9.8%	7 9.1%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>699</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>83</b>
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	232 33.1%	104 45.6%	43 28.7%	10 20.2%	18 23.1%	25 29.8%
	Somewhat more likely	264 37.8%	72 31.7%	69 46.2%	16 33.9%	24 30.6%	30 36.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	52 7.4%	17 7.6%	10 6.5%	3 6.2%	13 16.5%	6 7.7%
	Much Less Likely	57 8.2%	6 2.7%	15 9.7%	4 8.3%	10 13.2%	14 16.8%
	DK/NA	95 13.5%	28 12.4%	13 8.9%	15 31.4%	13 16.5%	8 9.8%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>699</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>83</b>
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely	230 32.9%	88 38.6%	47 31.1%	11 22.5%	19 24.5%	26 31.6%
	Somewhat more likely	265 37.9%	82 36.1%	66 43.9%	19 39.6%	22 28.4%	35 42.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	45 6.5%	11 4.9%	5 3.4%	6 13.1%	17 21.3%	2 2.2%
	Much Less Likely	66 9.4%	14 6.2%	14 9.1%	5 11.4%	10 12.7%	14 16.6%
	DK/NA	93 13.2%	32 14.3%	19 12.5%	6 13.4%	10 13.1%	6 6.8%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>699</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>83</b>

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat Less Likely	3 3.7%	2 20.2%	0 0.0%
	Much Less Likely	8 9.1%	1 7.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	11 11.6%	3 23.1%	3 24.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	19 20.7%	2 15.7%	1 5.2%
	Somewhat more likely	29 31.5%	0 0.0%	2 19.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	8 8.3%	2 20.2%	8 75.3%
	Much Less Likely	26 28.2%	4 33.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	10 11.2%	4 30.6%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	25 27.9%	3 27.5%	4 37.1%
	Somewhat more likely	42 46.8%	4 35.7%	6 58.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	1 0.8%	1 8.1%	1 5.0%
	Much Less Likely	9 9.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	14 15.0%	3 28.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely	27 30.2%	7 54.9%	6 56.0%
	Somewhat more likely	38 42.4%	1 8.1%	2 14.7%
	Somewhat Less Likely	4 4.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Much Less Likely	8 9.1%	0 0.0%	1 5.0%
	DK/NA	12 13.4%	4 37.0%	3 24.3%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely						
	Somewhat more likely				B		
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Somewhat Less Likely						
	Much Less Likely						
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	DK/NA						
	Much more likely	D F				F	
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Somewhat more likely						D
	Somewhat Less Likely				A		A
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely						
	Somewhat more likely						
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Somewhat Less Likely						
	Much Less Likely			A	A		A
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	DK/NA						
	Much more likely					C D	
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely						
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						
14K. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely						
	Somewhat more likely	F					
14L. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Somewhat Less Likely						
	Much Less Likely						
14M. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	DK/NA						
	Much more likely						
14N. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely	a	
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Somewhat Less Likely		a
	Much Less Likely		a
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	DK/NA		
	Much more likely		
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely		A E F
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Much Less Likely		a
	DK/NA		a
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		B C D F
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Somewhat Less Likely		
	Much Less Likely		
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	DK/NA		
	Much more likely		
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely	a	
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would	Much Less Likely		a
	DK/NA		
14K. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
14L. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Somewhat Less Likely		
	Much Less Likely		
14M. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	DK/NA		
	Much more likely		
14N. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely	a	
14O. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much Less Likely		a
	DK/NA		
14P. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
14Q. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Somewhat Less Likely		
	Much Less Likely		
14R. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	DK/NA		
	Much more likely		
14S. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely		

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
continue to work closely with local schools	Much Less Likely DK/NA		A		A		A
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	D					
	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely						
	Much Less Likely				AB		
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	BCD					
	Somewhat more likely						
	Somewhat Less Likely			A			
	Much Less Likely						
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely						
	Somewhat more likely				F		
	Somewhat Less Likely			ABE	A		
	Much Less Likely						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
continue to work closely with local schools	Much Less Likely DK/NA		
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	a	
	Somewhat more likely		ABCDEF
	Somewhat Less Likely		
	Much Less Likely		
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely		a
	Much Less Likely		a
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely		
	Somewhat more likely		
	Somewhat Less Likely	a	a
	Much Less Likely		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Much more likely	170	68	72	12	6
	Somewhat more likely	40.7%	65.3%	51.0%	19.2%	12.2%
		159	27	60	26	11
	Somewhat Less Likely	38.1%	26.1%	42.4%	41.9%	20.6%
		18	0	3	5	11
Much Less Likely	4.4%	0.0%	1.9%	7.8%	21.1%	
	26	0	0	8	17	
DK/NA	6.2%	0.0%	0.3%	12.4%	34.1%	
	44	9	6	11	6	
	10.7%	8.6%	4.3%	18.7%	12.0%	
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Much more likely	156	64	59	10	12
	Somewhat more likely	37.3%	60.9%	41.6%	15.7%	22.5%
		116	20	52	20	10
	Somewhat Less Likely	27.9%	19.6%	36.8%	32.1%	20.5%
		29	4	6	10	9
Much Less Likely	7.0%	4.1%	4.2%	16.8%	16.8%	
	28	2	4	8	14	
DK/NA	6.6%	1.9%	2.5%	13.8%	26.6%	
	88	14	21	13	7	
	21.1%	13.3%	14.9%	21.7%	13.5%	
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Much more likely	154	75	61	9	1
	Somewhat more likely	37.0%	71.6%	43.4%	14.4%	2.4%
		143	26	64	19	12
	Somewhat Less Likely	34.3%	25.1%	45.7%	31.4%	23.9%
		39	0	3	9	13
Much Less Likely	9.4%	0.2%	1.9%	14.8%	25.2%	
	21	0	0	5	15	
DK/NA	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.8%	29.5%	
	60	3	13	19	10	
	14.3%	3.1%	8.9%	30.6%	18.9%	
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Much more likely	122	68	40	7	0
	Somewhat more likely	29.2%	65.6%	28.5%	11.2%	0.0%
		161	31	78	19	14
	Somewhat Less Likely	38.6%	30.0%	55.0%	31.8%	27.4%
		35	2	6	11	10
Much Less Likely	8.4%	2.0%	4.4%	18.7%	19.5%	
	29	1	3	9	15	
DK/NA	7.0%	0.5%	2.3%	14.6%	30.3%	
	70	2	14	15	12	
	16.9%	2.0%	9.8%	23.7%	22.8%	
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Much more likely	136	65	48	4	12
	Somewhat more likely	32.6%	62.3%	34.0%	6.5%	22.5%
		154	24	60	30	17
Somewhat Less Likely	37.0%	23.2%	42.3%	49.2%	32.6%	
	19	0	10	4	4	
	4.6%	0.4%	7.1%	6.9%	8.8%	

		Ballot Test - \$50	
		Not sure [DK/NA]	
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Total	59	
	Much more likely	12	19.8%
	Somewhat more likely	35	60.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0	0.0%
	Much Less Likely	0	0.3%
	DK/NA	12	19.9%
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Total	59	
	Much more likely	12	20.8%
	Somewhat more likely	14	23.3%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0	0.0%
	Much Less Likely	0	0.0%
	DK/NA	33	55.9%
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Total	59	
	Much more likely	8	14.0%
	Somewhat more likely	21	35.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	14	24.3%
	Much Less Likely	0	0.3%
	DK/NA	15	25.9%
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Total	59	
	Much more likely	6	10.6%
	Somewhat more likely	18	31.3%
	Somewhat Less Likely	5	8.8%
	Much Less Likely	1	1.5%
	DK/NA	28	47.8%
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Total	59	
	Much more likely	7	12.6%
	Somewhat more likely	23	39.9%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0	0.0%

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much Less Likely	24	3	4	6	12
		5.7%	2.5%	2.5%	9.5%	22.9%
	DK/NA	84	12	20	17	7
		20.1%	11.7%	14.0%	27.8%	13.2%
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Much more likely	114	59	39	4	0
		27.3%	56.7%	27.4%	7.1%	0.0%
	Somewhat more likely	166	37	72	25	13
		39.8%	35.6%	51.4%	41.3%	24.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	34	4	8	12	9
	8.1%	3.4%	5.8%	19.7%	17.2%	
Much Less Likely	24	0	1	6	18	
	5.8%	0.0%	0.6%	9.4%	34.3%	
DK/NA	79	4	21	14	12	
	18.9%	4.3%	14.8%	22.4%	23.7%	
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Much more likely	143	78	56	1	1
		34.2%	74.6%	39.7%	1.9%	2.4%
	Somewhat more likely	151	23	67	29	11
		36.2%	22.0%	47.4%	46.9%	20.7%
	Somewhat Less Likely	25	0	2	10	10
	6.0%	0.0%	1.7%	15.6%	19.3%	
Much Less Likely	21	1	0	6	14	
	4.9%	0.9%	0.0%	9.3%	27.4%	
DK/NA	78	3	16	16	15	
	18.6%	2.5%	11.2%	26.2%	30.3%	
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Much more likely	110	58	41	3	2
		26.3%	56.1%	29.2%	5.6%	3.9%
	Somewhat more likely	172	41	68	31	8
		41.3%	38.9%	48.4%	51.0%	16.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	25	1	9	7	8
	6.0%	0.7%	6.3%	11.9%	15.9%	
Much Less Likely	24	0	1	6	17	
	5.7%	0.0%	0.6%	9.6%	33.5%	
DK/NA	86	4	22	13	16	
	20.6%	4.3%	15.5%	21.8%	30.6%	
14J. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Much more likely	123	68	42	5	2
		29.6%	65.4%	30.1%	7.8%	3.6%
	Somewhat more likely	163	29	75	25	6
		39.1%	27.9%	53.4%	40.4%	10.9%
	Somewhat Less Likely	35	4	8	10	12
	8.4%	4.0%	5.8%	15.7%	23.3%	
Much Less Likely	29	0	2	7	20	
	7.0%	0.0%	1.3%	11.2%	39.7%	
DK/NA	66	3	13	15	12	
	15.8%	2.8%	9.5%	24.9%	22.5%	
14K. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	Much more likely	162	69	62	8	12
	38.9%	66.4%	44.0%	13.9%	23.9%	

		Ballot Test - \$50	
		Not sure [DK/NA]	
	Much Less Likely	0	0.0%
	DK/NA	28	47.6%
<b>Total</b>		59	
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely	12	19.9%
	Somewhat more likely	18	31.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	1	2.1%
	Much Less Likely	0	0.0%
	DK/NA	28	46.9%
	<b>Total</b>		59
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much more likely	6	10.8%
	Somewhat more likely	22	36.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	3	5.6%
	Much Less Likely	0	0.0%
	DK/NA	28	46.8%
<b>Total</b>		59	
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Much more likely	4	7.6%
	Somewhat more likely	24	40.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0	0.0%
	DK/NA	31	51.8%
<b>Total</b>		59	
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely	6	10.6%
	Somewhat more likely	28	48.2%
	Somewhat Less Likely	1	2.1%
	Much Less Likely	0	0.0%
	DK/NA	23	39.1%
	<b>Total</b>		59
<b>Much more likely</b>		10	
		17.0%	

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat more likely	147	24	59	29	14
		35.2%	22.7%	42.1%	47.4%	27.7%
	Somewhat Less Likely	13	5	3	2	2
		3.2%	4.8%	2.1%	3.4%	3.5%
	Much Less Likely	18	0	4	5	9
	4.2%	0.0%	2.5%	8.2%	17.9%	
DK/NA	77	6	13	17	14	
	18.5%	6.2%	9.3%	27.1%	27.0%	
<b>Total</b>		416				
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	110	52	33	10	4
		26.3%	49.5%	23.1%	17.0%	7.6%
	Somewhat more likely	100	28	43	13	10
		23.9%	27.2%	30.3%	21.2%	19.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	51	3	21	11	7
		12.2%	3.0%	14.9%	18.4%	14.4%
Much Less Likely	87	15	23	18	26	
	20.9%	14.4%	16.1%	29.4%	51.6%	
DK/NA	69	6	22	9	3	
	16.6%	5.8%	15.6%	14.0%	6.8%	
<b>Total</b>		416				
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	131	63	45	9	2
		31.4%	60.3%	32.1%	15.4%	3.6%
	Somewhat more likely	167	37	71	23	17
		40.0%	35.7%	50.7%	36.9%	32.4%
	Somewhat Less Likely	30	0	9	12	8
		7.3%	0.0%	6.2%	18.8%	16.4%
Much Less Likely	24	1	2	5	15	
	5.7%	0.9%	1.7%	8.8%	29.0%	
DK/NA	65	3	13	12	9	
	15.6%	3.1%	9.3%	20.1%	18.5%	
<b>Total</b>		416				
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely	133	73	44	7	1
		31.9%	70.4%	30.9%	11.0%	1.5%
	Somewhat more likely	154	27	74	24	11
		37.0%	25.5%	52.5%	39.2%	20.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	31	3	8	8	10
		7.6%	2.5%	6.0%	13.3%	20.1%
Much Less Likely	26	0	0	6	16	
	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	31.1%	
DK/NA	72	2	15	16	14	
	17.3%	1.6%	10.6%	26.2%	26.5%	



		Ballot Test - \$50	
		Not sure [DK/NA]	
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat more likely	21	35.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	1	2.1%
	Much Less Likely	0	0.0%
	DK/NA	27	45.8%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>59</b>	
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	11	18.9%
	Somewhat more likely	6	9.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	8	13.6%
	Much Less Likely	5	8.2%
	DK/NA	29	49.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>59</b>		
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	11	19.3%
	Somewhat more likely	19	31.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	2	3.2%
	Much Less Likely	0	0.0%
	DK/NA	27	45.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>59</b>		
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely	8	14.2%
	Somewhat more likely	19	32.3%
	Somewhat Less Likely	2	3.3%
	Much Less Likely	4	6.6%
	DK/NA	26	43.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely	C D E	C D E			AD
	Somewhat more likely			A	ABE	
	Somewhat Less Likely	. <sup>a</sup>		BE	BCE	
	Much Less Likely			B		B
DK/NA						
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Much more likely	BCDE	C			
	Somewhat more likely		A			
	Somewhat Less Likely			BE	BE	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely			AB	AB	ABCD
DK/NA						
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Much more likely	BCDE	CDE			
	Somewhat more likely		A			
	Somewhat Less Likely			AB	AB	AB
	Much Less Likely	. <sup>a</sup>		B	BCE	
DK/NA			AB	A	AB	
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Much more likely	BCE	CE			
	Somewhat more likely		ACDE			
	Somewhat Less Likely			AB	AB	
	Much Less Likely			AB	ABE	
DK/NA			A	A	AB	
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Much more likely	BCDE	CE			
	Somewhat more likely		A	A		. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat Less Likely				A	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely				AB	ABD
DK/NA						
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely	BCE	C			. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat more likely		D			
	Somewhat Less Likely			ABE	A	
	Much Less Likely	. <sup>a</sup>		B	BC	. <sup>a</sup>
DK/NA			A	A	ABC	
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much more likely	BCDE	CDE			
	Somewhat more likely		AD	AD		
	Somewhat Less Likely	. <sup>a</sup>		B	B	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely		. <sup>a</sup>	A	AC	. <sup>a</sup>
DK/NA			A	AB	AB	
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Much more likely	BCDE	CDE			
	Somewhat more likely	D	D	D		D
	Somewhat Less Likely			A	A	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely	. <sup>a</sup>		B	BC	. <sup>a</sup>
DK/NA			A	A	ABC	
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely	BCDE	CDE			
	Somewhat more likely		AD	D		D
	Somewhat Less Likely				ABE	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely			AB	ABC	. <sup>a</sup>
DK/NA			AB	A	AB	
14J. The new Belle Haven	Much more likely	BCDE	CE			
	Somewhat more likely		A	A		

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat Less Likely			A	AB	<sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely			AB	AB	AB
	DK/NA					
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	B C D E				
	Somewhat more likely		E			
	Somewhat Less Likely		A	A		
	Much Less Likely			E	AB E	
	DK/NA					AB C D
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	B C D E	D			
	Somewhat more likely			A	A	
	Somewhat Less Likely				AB E	
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	DK/NA			A	A	AB C D
	Much more likely	B C D E	CD			
	Somewhat more likely		AD			
	Somewhat Less Likely				AB	
	Much Less Likely	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>		CE	
	DK/NA			AB	A	AB

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Much more likely	165	76	52	9	18
		42.1%	72.6%	49.1%	28.2%	14.5%
	Somewhat more likely	136	20	47	16	46
		34.8%	18.9%	44.6%	52.2%	37.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	20	3	0	4	13
		5.1%	2.5%	0.3%	12.6%	10.8%
Much Less Likely	34	4	0	1	30	
	8.7%	3.4%	0.1%	2.6%	24.2%	
DK/NA	37	3	6	1	15	
	9.4%	2.4%	5.9%	4.5%	12.7%	
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Much more likely	146	73	27	4	32
		37.2%	69.1%	25.9%	14.5%	26.2%
	Somewhat more likely	125	21	62	13	22
		31.8%	19.9%	59.1%	43.2%	18.4%
	Somewhat Less Likely	35	2	8	5	20
		8.8%	1.5%	7.1%	17.3%	16.7%
Much Less Likely	32	1	1	3	27	
	8.1%	0.7%	1.0%	9.9%	22.2%	
DK/NA	55	9	7	5	20	
	14.0%	8.8%	6.9%	15.1%	16.6%	
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Much more likely	149	86	35	2	19
		38.1%	81.5%	32.9%	5.9%	15.4%
	Somewhat more likely	138	12	57	18	38
		35.2%	11.3%	54.1%	59.5%	31.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	24	2	2	6	14
		6.1%	2.1%	1.8%	19.8%	11.4%
Much Less Likely	38	2	0	0	35	
	9.6%	2.4%	0.4%	0.0%	28.5%	
DK/NA	43	3	11	4	17	
	11.0%	2.7%	10.8%	14.8%	13.7%	
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Much more likely	116	71	27	2	13
		29.7%	67.2%	26.0%	6.3%	10.9%
	Somewhat more likely	133	22	55	18	29
		34.1%	20.8%	52.1%	60.7%	24.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	36	2	9	5	21
		9.3%	1.5%	8.7%	15.4%	17.2%
Much Less Likely	48	3	3	0	40	
	12.2%	3.3%	2.9%	0.0%	32.5%	
DK/NA	58	8	11	5	19	
	14.7%	7.2%	10.4%	17.6%	15.3%	
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Much more likely	140	74	31	3	23
		35.6%	70.0%	29.1%	9.2%	18.9%
	Somewhat more likely	126	18	60	15	22
		32.2%	17.4%	56.6%	49.9%	18.4%
Somewhat Less Likely	26	2	6	4	15	
	6.7%	1.5%	5.5%	13.0%	12.3%	

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Total	29
	Much more likely	10 35.9%
	Somewhat more likely	7 25.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0 0.0%
	Much Less Likely	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	11 38.5%
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Total	29
	Much more likely	9 32.8%
	Somewhat more likely	6 19.8%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0 0.0%
	Much Less Likely	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	14 47.4%
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Total	29
	Much more likely	8 28.3%
	Somewhat more likely	13 45.2%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0 0.0%
	Much Less Likely	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	8 26.5%
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Total	29
	Much more likely	3 11.1%
	Somewhat more likely	9 30.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0 0.0%
	Much Less Likely	2 5.5%
	DK/NA	15 52.8%
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Total	29
	Much more likely	9 32.9%
	Somewhat more likely	10 36.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0 0.0%

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much Less Likely	43 11.1%	4 3.6%	1 1.0%	2 6.7%	36 29.8%
	DK/NA	56 14.4%	8 7.5%	8 7.8%	6 21.1%	25 20.6%
	Total	392	105	106	30	122
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much more likely	125 31.9%	77 73.5%	24 22.8%	4 12.6%	14 11.8%
	Somewhat more likely	130 33.1%	19 18.4%	64 60.6%	11 35.6%	26 21.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	42 10.6%	5 4.7%	5 5.1%	11 35.4%	16 13.1%
	Much Less Likely	50 12.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 1.2%	48 39.3%
	DK/NA	46 11.7%	4 3.4%	12 11.5%	5 15.3%	18 14.7%
	Total	392	105	106	30	122
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Much more likely	155 39.5%	86 81.8%	44 41.5%	5 15.1%	18 15.0%
	Somewhat more likely	120 30.8%	13 12.6%	53 49.8%	17 57.7%	21 17.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	26 6.6%	2 1.5%	1 1.0%	3 11.4%	20 16.4%
	Much Less Likely	38 9.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	38 31.4%
	DK/NA	52 13.3%	4 4.0%	8 7.7%	5 15.8%	24 19.6%
	Total	392	105	106	30	122
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely	113 28.9%	68 64.8%	25 23.6%	4 13.3%	11 8.9%
	Somewhat more likely	140 35.9%	30 28.8%	57 54.3%	13 44.6%	29 24.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	38 9.8%	2 1.5%	5 4.3%	7 24.1%	22 18.4%
	Much Less Likely	45 11.5%	0 0.5%	5 4.5%	1 2.8%	39 31.8%
	DK/NA	55 14.0%	5 4.4%	14 13.4%	5 15.2%	20 16.7%
	Total	392	105	106	30	122
14J. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely	135 34.6%	80 76.5%	33 30.9%	4 13.3%	13 10.9%
	Somewhat more likely	126 32.3%	18 17.0%	57 54.0%	14 47.0%	25 20.6%
	Somewhat Less Likely	35 9.0%	2 1.5%	3 2.5%	4 14.0%	24 19.9%
	Much Less Likely	38 9.7%	2 1.5%	1 0.6%	1 3.1%	33 27.1%
	DK/NA	56 14.4%	4 3.4%	13 12.1%	7 22.6%	26 21.5%
	Total	392	105	106	30	122
14K. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely	155 39.6%	77 73.3%	48 45.7%	4 12.7%	20 16.1%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much Less Likely	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	9 31.0%
<b>Total</b>		29
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much more likely	5 17.9%
	Somewhat more likely	10 34.2%
	Somewhat Less Likely	5 16.4%
	Much Less Likely	2 5.5%
	DK/NA	7 26.0%
<b>Total</b>		29
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Much more likely	2 6.9%
	Somewhat more likely	16 55.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0 0.0%
	Much Less Likely	0 0.0%
<b>Total</b>		11 38.2%
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely	5 17.9%
	Somewhat more likely	12 43.0%
	Somewhat Less Likely	3 9.0%
	Much Less Likely	2 5.5%
	DK/NA	7 24.6%
<b>Total</b>		29
<b>Much more likely</b>		7 22.7%

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat more likely	120 30.6%	18 16.8%	43 40.5%	14 47.3%	38 30.9%
	Somewhat Less Likely	32 8.1%	3 3.1%	10 9.6%	5 15.2%	14 11.1%
	Much Less Likely	30 7.7%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	2 7.1%	27 22.3%
	DK/NA	55 14.0%	6 6.1%	4 4.2%	5 17.8%	24 19.7%
	<b>Total</b>		392	105	106	30
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	99 25.3%	46 43.8%	27 26.0%	6 19.3%	17 13.7%
	Somewhat more likely	100 25.6%	17 16.3%	40 37.5%	11 37.0%	26 20.9%
	Somewhat Less Likely	52 13.3%	16 15.6%	19 17.7%	4 13.4%	8 6.5%
	Much Less Likely	106 27.2%	17 16.1%	14 13.4%	8 27.2%	64 52.8%
	DK/NA	34 8.6%	9 8.2%	6 5.5%	1 3.2%	7 6.0%
<b>Total</b>		392	105	106	30	122
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	136 34.7%	75 71.7%	37 34.9%	0 1.5%	18 14.7%
	Somewhat more likely	126 32.3%	23 21.8%	56 52.7%	15 49.5%	24 19.3%
	Somewhat Less Likely	30 7.8%	2 2.2%	3 2.5%	9 29.3%	17 13.6%
	Much Less Likely	44 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.3%	43 35.2%
	DK/NA	55 14.2%	5 4.3%	10 9.9%	5 17.4%	21 17.2%
<b>Total</b>		392	105	106	30	122
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely	134 34.3%	79 74.9%	38 35.5%	0 1.5%	13 10.4%
	Somewhat more likely	138 35.3%	17 16.3%	54 51.1%	22 71.9%	31 25.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	25 6.3%	2 1.5%	2 1.7%	3 10.8%	18 14.7%
	Much Less Likely	49 12.5%	2 1.5%	2 1.8%	0 0.0%	44 36.1%
	DK/NA	45 11.5%	6 5.9%	10 9.8%	5 15.8%	16 13.3%

		Ballot Test - ...	
		Not sure [DK/NA]	
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat more likely	8	26.4%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0	0.0%
	Much Less Likely	0	0.0%
	DK/NA	15	50.9%
<b>Total</b>		29	
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	3	11.1%
	Somewhat more likely	7	24.1%
	Somewhat Less Likely	5	17.1%
	Much Less Likely	3	9.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>		11	37.8%
<b>Total</b>		29	
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	Much more likely	5	17.9%
	Somewhat more likely	9	32.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0	0.0%
	Much Less Likely	0	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>		14	49.5%
<b>Total</b>		29	
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much more likely	5	17.8%
	Somewhat more likely	15	50.5%
	Somewhat Less Likely	0	0.0%
	Much Less Likely	2	5.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>		8	26.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	Much more likely	B C D E	D			
	Somewhat more likely		A	A	A	. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat Less Likely			B	B	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely				A B C	. <sup>a</sup>
<b>DK/NA</b>					A	A B C D
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	Much more likely	B C D E				
	Somewhat more likely		A D E	D		. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat Less Likely			A	A	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely			A	A B	. <sup>a</sup>
<b>DK/NA</b>						A B D
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	Much more likely	B C D E	C D			
	Somewhat more likely		A D	A D	A	A
	Somewhat Less Likely			A B	A B	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely			. <sup>a</sup>	A B	. <sup>a</sup>
<b>DK/NA</b>					A	A
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	Much more likely	B C D E	D			
	Somewhat more likely		A D	A D		. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat Less Likely			A	A	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely			. <sup>a</sup>	A B E	. <sup>a</sup>
<b>DK/NA</b>						A B C D
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	Much more likely	B C D E				
	Somewhat more likely		A D	A D		. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat Less Likely			A	A	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely				A B	. <sup>a</sup>
<b>DK/NA</b>						A B
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	Much more likely	B C D E				
	Somewhat more likely		A D			. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat Less Likely			A B D		. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely		. <sup>a</sup>		A C E	. <sup>a</sup>
<b>DK/NA</b>					A	A
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	Much more likely	B C D E	D E			
	Somewhat more likely		A D	A D		A D
	Somewhat Less Likely			B	A B	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely		. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>	A	. <sup>a</sup>
<b>DK/NA</b>					A	A B
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	Much more likely	B C D E	D			
	Somewhat more likely		A D			. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat Less Likely			A B	A B	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely				A B C	. <sup>a</sup>
<b>DK/NA</b>					A	A B
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	Much more likely	B C D E	D			
	Somewhat more likely		A D	A D		A
	Somewhat Less Likely			A	A B	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely				A B C	. <sup>a</sup>
<b>DK/NA</b>					A	A
14J. The new Belle Haven	Much more likely	B C D E	C D			
	Somewhat more likely		A	A		. <sup>a</sup>

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	Somewhat Less Likely			B	AB	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely				AB	. <sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA					ABD
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	Much more likely	DE				
	Somewhat more likely		A			
	Somewhat Less Likely				ABE	
	Much Less Likely					ABCD
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	DK/NA	BCDE	CD			
	Much more likely		AD	AD		
	Somewhat more likely			AB	AB	. <sup>a</sup>
	Somewhat Less Likely	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>		C	. <sup>a</sup>
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	Much Less Likely				A	ABD
	DK/NA					
	Much more likely	BCDE	CD			
	Somewhat more likely		AD	AD		A
	Somewhat Less Likely				AB	. <sup>a</sup>
	Much Less Likely			. <sup>a</sup>	ABE	
	DK/NA					A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
<b>Total</b>	808	808
<b>Excellent</b>	217	217
	26.9%	26.9%
<b>Good</b>	327	327
	40.5%	40.5%
<b>Fair</b>	132	132
	16.4%	16.4%
<b>Poor</b>	52	52
	6.4%	6.4%
<b>Very poor</b>	23	23
	2.9%	2.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	56	56
	7.0%	7.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		Total
		(A)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent	.
	Good	.
	Fair	.
	Poor	.
	Very poor	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
<b>Excellent</b>	217	101	114	2
	26.9%	26.8%	27.2%	18.9%
<b>Good</b>	327	160	161	6
	40.5%	42.5%	38.4%	49.2%
<b>Fair</b>	132	58	73	1
	16.4%	15.4%	17.4%	10.1%
<b>Poor</b>	52	29	20	2
	6.4%	7.7%	4.9%	18.8%
<b>Very poor</b>	23	8	15	0
	2.9%	2.1%	3.7%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	56	21	35	0
	7.0%	5.5%	8.4%	3.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent			
	Good			
	Fair			
	Poor			a
	Very poor DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	Excellent	217	3	31	45	47	27	61	4
	Good	327	31	48	62	52	48	79	6
	Fair	132	10	30	26	31	8	24	3
	Poor	52	15	6	7	3	15	6	0
	Very poor	23	6	1	5	7	2	4	0
	DK/NA	56	2	11	11	10	9	14	0
		7.0%	2.5%	8.4%	7.0%	6.6%	8.5%	7.2%	3.6%
		26.9%	4.7%	24.4%	29.1%	31.2%	24.4%	32.4%	30.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent		A	A	A	A	A	
	Good							
	Fair		E					a
	Poor	B C D F				D F		a
	Very poor DK/NA							a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	710	470	240
	Excellent	195	125	70
	Good	290	196	95
	Fair	109	84	26
	Poor	49	26	24
	Very poor	19	11	8
	DK/NA	47	29	17
		6.6%	6.3%	7.3%
		27.5%	26.6%	29.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent	B	A
	Good		
	Fair		
	Poor		
	Very poor		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	Excellent	195	101	32	10	51
		27.5%	26.1%	25.8%	46.3%	29.1%
	Good	290	146	67	7	70
		40.9%	38.0%	53.1%	30.8%	39.9%
	Fair	109	68	20	4	18
		15.4%	17.6%	15.5%	18.5%	10.2%
	Poor	49	23	3	0	24
		7.0%	6.0%	2.1%	0.0%	13.5%
Very poor	19	15	4	0	0	
	2.7%	3.8%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	47	33	0	1	13	
	6.6%	8.6%	0.1%	4.4%	7.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent	B	A	.a .a	A B .a B
	Good				
	Fair				
	Poor				
	Very poor				
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Excellent	195	61	31	11	11	39	43
		27.5%	29.1%	27.4%	25.4%	23.8%	25.2%	29.2%
	Good	290	70	49	27	19	68	58
		40.9%	33.6%	43.8%	61.2%	41.1%	43.9%	39.8%
	Fair	109	36	18	5	12	19	19
		15.4%	17.2%	15.9%	11.9%	25.6%	12.5%	13.2%
	Poor	49	9	8	1	2	17	13
		7.0%	4.2%	7.6%	1.4%	4.2%	10.8%	8.8%
	Very poor	19	12	0	0	2	4	0
		2.7%	5.9%	0.0%	0.1%	5.1%	2.8%	0.0%
DK/NA	47	21	6	0	0	7	13	
	6.6%	9.9%	5.3%	0.0%	0.2%	4.7%	9.0%	



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent			A			
	Good						
	Fair						
	Poor		a				a
	Very poor DK/NA			a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	710	297	95	46	69	57
	Excellent	195	68	34	17	13	18
	Good	290	119	38	16	32	26
	Fair	109	45	15	6	12	5
	Poor	49	29	3	2	5	4
	Very poor	7.0%	9.8%	2.8%	5.0%	7.4%	6.8%
	DK/NA	19	17	0	0	0	0
		2.7%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	44	67	35
	Excellent	13	21	10
	Good	16	27	16
	Fair	11	11	5
	Poor	1	3	2
	Very poor	2	0	0
	DK/NA	0	5	3
		0.7%	7.3%	7.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent						
	Good						
	Fair						
	Poor		a		a	a	
	Very poor DK/NA						

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent		
	Good		
	Fair		
	Poor	a	a
	Very poor DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Excellent	217	46	123	13	16	10	5	4
	Good	327	58	159	14	20	25	31	21
	Fair	132	20	79	6	17	8	2	2
	Poor	52	15	27	4	2	2	0	2
	Very poor	6.4%	9.8%	6.4%	9.6%	2.6%	4.1%	0.0%	5.5%
	DK/NA	23	2	11	4	0	0	4	2
		2.9%	1.5%	2.6%	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%	9.3%	6.5%
		56	13	27	1	9	2	1	3
		7.0%	8.7%	6.3%	2.3%	15.0%	4.1%	1.3%	8.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent							
	Good						A B C D	
	Fair							
	Poor							a
	Very poor DK/NA				a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	510	200
Excellent		195	153	42
Good		290	192	99
Fair		109	85	24
Poor		49	32	17
Very poor		19	11	8
DK/NA		47	36	11
		6.6%	7.1%	5.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent	B	
	Good		A
	Fair		
	Poor		
	Very poor DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	347	363
Excellent		195	109	86
Good		290	125	165
Fair		109	61	48
Poor		49	12	37
Very poor		19	10	9
DK/NA		47	29	18
		6.6%	8.5%	4.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent	B	
	Good		A
	Fair		
	Poor		A
	Very poor DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
	<b>Total</b>	808	710	98
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	<b>Excellent</b>	217 26.9%	195 27.5%	22 22.8%
	<b>Good</b>	327 40.5%	290 40.9%	36 37.2%
	<b>Fair</b>	132 16.4%	109 15.4%	23 23.5%
	<b>Poor</b>	52 6.4%	49 7.0%	2 2.5%
	<b>Very poor</b>	23 2.9%	19 2.7%	4 4.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	56 7.0%	47 6.6%	9 9.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent		
	Good		A
	Fair		
	Poor		
	Very poor DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	<b>Excellent</b>	217 26.9%	98 28.0%	118 26.0%	1 34.3%
	<b>Good</b>	327 40.5%	135 38.5%	190 41.8%	2 65.7%
	<b>Fair</b>	132 16.4%	56 16.1%	76 16.7%	0 0.0%
	<b>Poor</b>	52 6.4%	30 8.4%	22 4.9%	0 0.0%
	<b>Very poor</b>	23 2.9%	9 2.6%	14 3.1%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	56 7.0%	22 6.3%	34 7.5%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent			
	Good			
	Fair			
	Poor	B		a
	Very poor			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household					
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
	<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110	455
	<b>Excellent</b>	217	28	52	18	32	118
	<b>Good</b>	327	49	72	31	50	190
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	<b>Fair</b>	40.5%	39.8%	38.0%	39.0%	45.6%	41.8%
	<b>Poor</b>	132	23	27	15	15	76
		16.4%	18.6%	14.1%	18.6%	13.7%	16.7%
	<b>Very poor</b>	52	14	19	4	7	22
		6.4%	11.5%	10.1%	5.6%	6.3%	4.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	23	0	8	1	1	14
		2.9%	0.0%	4.4%	0.9%	0.7%	3.1%
		56	9	12	10	5	34
		7.0%	7.4%	6.1%	13.0%	4.6%	7.5%

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
	<b>Total</b>	3
	<b>Excellent</b>	1
		34.3%
	<b>Good</b>	2
		65.7%
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	<b>Fair</b>	0
		0.0%
	<b>Poor</b>	0
		0.0%
	<b>Very poor</b>	0
		0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0
		0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household				
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent					
	Good					
	Fair					
	Poor	a				
	Very poor					
	DK/NA					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent	
	Good	
	Fair	
	Poor	a
	Very poor	a
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group							
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
	<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7	30
	<b>Excellent</b>	217	5	0	20	152	29	7	4
		26.9%	13.0%	0.0%	20.5%	30.8%	23.7%	100.0%	12.9%
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	<b>Good</b>	327	8	0	47	198	57	0	5
		40.5%	19.9%	0.0%	49.1%	40.3%	47.5%	0.0%	16.3%
	<b>Fair</b>	132	10	0	18	68	21	0	9
		16.4%	24.0%	0.0%	19.0%	13.8%	17.7%	0.0%	28.2%
	<b>Poor</b>	52	12	1	1	20	10	0	8
	6.4%	30.5%	100.0%	1.4%	4.0%	8.5%	0.0%	26.8%	
	<b>Very poor</b>	23	5	0	1	12	3	0	2
		2.9%	12.7%	0.0%	0.7%	2.5%	2.6%	0.0%	7.5%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	56	0	0	9	42	0	0	3
		7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%

		Ethnic Group	
		Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	5	17
	Excellent	0 0.0%	1 7.2%
	Good	2 52.4%	9 52.4%
	Fair	2 47.6%	4 25.1%
	Poor	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very poor	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	3 15.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group						
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent		a,b				b	
	Good		a,b	A G		A G	b	
	Fair		a,b				b	
	Poor	C D E	a,b				b	C D
	Very poor	C D	a,b				b	
	DK/NA	b	a,b			b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group	
		Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent	b	
	Good		
	Fair		
	Poor	b	
	Very poor	b	b
DK/NA	b		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname							
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14
	Excellent	195 27.5%	1 14.6%	4 21.0%	16 17.7%	12 40.0%	4 24.1%	0 0.0%	7 50.2%
	Good	290 40.9%	2 30.6%	10 50.5%	44 49.7%	11 37.1%	10 58.8%	3 100.0%	0 0.4%
	Fair	109 15.4%	3 37.7%	6 28.4%	18 20.4%	1 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.2%
	Poor	49 7.0%	1 17.1%	0 0.0%	8 8.6%	2 6.2%	2 14.2%	0 0.0%	5 34.3%
	Very poor	19 2.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 3.6%	2 5.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	47 6.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 9.0%	0 2.9%	0 0.0%	1 6.9%

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	530
	Excellent	151 28.5%
	Good	210 39.6%
	Fair	81 15.2%
	Poor	32 6.0%
	Very poor	14 2.7%
	DK/NA	43 8.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname							
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent						a		
	Good		G	G		G	a		
	Fair	D				a	a		
	Poor		a				a	H	
	Very poor	a	a			a	a		
DK/NA	a	a	a			a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229
<b>Excellent</b>	217 26.9%	41 30.3%	56 36.2%	26 28.8%	61 26.5%
<b>Good</b>	327 40.5%	60 44.4%	51 33.0%	46 49.7%	94 40.9%
<b>Fair</b>	132 16.4%	23 17.1%	15 9.9%	7 8.1%	52 22.5%
<b>Poor</b>	52 6.4%	4 2.7%	4 2.8%	2 1.9%	12 5.3%
<b>Very poor</b>	23 2.9%	0 0.0%	6 4.0%	0 0.0%	4 1.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	56 7.0%	7 5.5%	22 14.2%	11 11.5%	7 2.9%

	Area	
	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	77	123
<b>Excellent</b>	9 11.1%	25 20.7%
<b>Good</b>	32 41.4%	46 37.1%
<b>Fair</b>	20 26.3%	15 12.3%
<b>Poor</b>	10 13.4%	20 16.1%
<b>Very poor</b>	6 7.8%	7 5.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%	10 8.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent	E	E			
	Good					
	Fair				BC	BC
	Poor					AB
	Very poor			a		A
DK/NA		D	D		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Area	
		Not sure/DK/NA	(F)
		15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent Good Fair Poor Very poor DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
<b>Excellent</b>	217 26.9%	6 9.7%	13 20.8%	112 29.1%	87 28.6%
<b>Good</b>	327 40.5%	43 73.7%	26 43.3%	140 36.3%	117 38.7%
<b>Fair</b>	132 16.4%	4 6.4%	18 28.9%	64 16.6%	47 15.5%
<b>Poor</b>	52 6.4%	3 4.3%	2 3.8%	22 5.6%	26 8.4%
<b>Very poor</b>	23 2.9%	2 4.0%	0 0.0%	14 3.7%	7 2.2%
<b>DK/NA</b>	56 7.0%	1 1.8%	2 3.2%	33 8.7%	20 6.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent			A	A
	Good	B C D			
	Fair		A		
	Poor				
	Very poor DK/NA		. <sup>a</sup>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Excellent	195	24	29	37	30	74
	Good	27.5%	23.0%	20.6%	24.7%	32.7%	33.6%
	Fair	290	41	66	72	35	77
	Poor	40.9%	38.5%	46.5%	48.4%	37.8%	34.6%
	Very poor	109	14	21	17	14	43
	DK/NA	15.4%	13.5%	14.6%	11.6%	15.1%	19.5%
		49	12	16	15	2	4
		7.0%	11.8%	11.3%	10.2%	2.3%	1.6%
		19	2	6	5	2	4
	2.7%	1.9%	4.4%	3.2%	2.4%	1.7%	
	47	12	4	3	9	20	
	6.6%	11.2%	2.6%	1.8%	9.6%	9.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent					
	Good					
	Fair	E	E	E		
	Poor					
	Very poor DK/NA	C				C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91
	Excellent	192	56	43	10	22	28	23
	Good	27.5%	24.4%	28.6%	21.3%	28.5%	33.3%	25.9%
	Fair	286	82	60	25	42	33	38
	Poor	40.9%	36.0%	39.9%	52.3%	53.5%	39.8%	41.4%
	Very poor	108	43	23	9	11	12	6
	DK/NA	15.4%	18.9%	15.6%	18.6%	13.6%	14.2%	6.8%
		47	12	12	1	1	6	15
		6.7%	5.1%	7.7%	2.7%	1.7%	7.6%	16.7%
		19	11	4	2	2	0	0
	2.7%	4.7%	2.6%	5.1%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	
	47	25	8	0	0	4	8	
	6.7%	10.9%	5.5%	0.0%	0.1%	5.0%	9.2%	

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	12	11
	Excellent	7	4
	Good	55.1%	36.6%
	Fair	2	5
	Poor	18.9%	44.0%
	Very poor	2	2
	DK/NA	17.7%	19.5%
		0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	
	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	
	1	0	
	8.3%	0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent							
	Good							
	Fair						A D	a
	Poor			a		a	a	a
	Very poor DK/NA							

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent	
	Good	
	Fair	a
	Poor	a
	Very poor DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	416	104	141	61	51	59
	Excellent	99	32	27	16	17	7
	Good	174	43	75	17	19	20
	Fair	71	10	20	13	7	21
	Poor	23	5	4	9	1	3
	Very poor	12	5	2	3	1	0
	DK/NA	38	9	13	3	4	8
		9.1%	8.4%	9.3%	5.3%	8.2%	14.4%
		23.7%	30.7%	19.1%	25.5%	34.0%	11.8%
		41.8%	41.2%	53.3%	28.2%	37.6%	33.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent					
	Good		C			
	Fair			B		A B
	Poor					a
	Very poor DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Total	392	105	106	30	122	29
	Excellent	118	48	24	9	28	9
	Good	153	34	42	14	53	10
	Fair	61	9	20	5	23	4
	Poor	29	7	7	0	15	0
	Very poor	12	2	4	2	0	4
	DK/NA	18	5	9	0	3	1
		4.7%	5.1%	8.5%	0.3%	2.5%	3.0%
		39.0%	32.1%	39.6%	44.9%	43.7%	35.5%
		15.7%	8.6%	19.3%	16.2%	19.0%	13.8%



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. In general, would you say that Menlo Park's police department does an excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor job of addressing neighborhood concerns?	Excellent	B D				
	Good					
	Fair					
	Poor			D		<sup>a</sup>
	Very poor					A D
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
	<b>Total</b>	808	808
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group	164	164
	Blogs	145	145
	City Website	203	203
	County Almanac	257	257
	Emails	276	276
	Facebook	155	155
	Instagram	17	17
	Internet	151	151
	Next Door	322	322
	Palo Alto Daily Post	182	182
	Other	12	12
	Not sure/DK/NA	86	86

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Total
		Total
		(A)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group	.
	Blogs	.
	City Website	.
	County Almanac	.
	Emails	.
	Facebook	.
	Instagram	.
	Internet	.
	Next Door	.
	Palo Alto Daily Post	.
	Other	.
	Not sure/DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group	164	77	84	3
	Blogs	145	81	59	5
	City Website	203	100	101	2
	County Almanac	257	117	136	4
	Emails	276	105	164	6
	Facebook	155	71	81	3
	Instagram	17	9	6	2
	Internet	151	83	67	1
	Next Door	322	133	181	9
	Palo Alto Daily Post	182	94	83	5
	Other	12	8	4	0
	Not sure/DK/NA	86	49	38	0

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>16. Online source of local community news and information</b>			
Bay Area News Group			
Blogs	B		
City Website			
County Almanac			
Emails		A	
Facebook			A B
Instagram			
Internet			
Next Door			A
Palo Alto Daily Post			
Other			.a
Not sure/DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
<b>16. Online source of local community news and information</b>	Total	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	Bay Area News Group	164	16	16	26	24	25	49	7
	Blogs	20.2%	24.0%	12.2%	16.6%	16.4%	23.0%	26.4%	53.1%
	City Website	145	16	18	36	18	18	33	6
	County Almanac	17.9%	24.5%	13.8%	23.0%	11.9%	17.0%	17.4%	45.5%
	Emails	203	3	43	44	36	32	45	1
	City Website	25.1%	4.9%	33.6%	28.2%	23.8%	29.1%	24.0%	6.4%
	County Almanac	257	6	7	28	76	54	81	5
	Emails	31.9%	8.3%	5.6%	18.2%	50.8%	49.9%	43.4%	38.7%
	Facebook	276	9	40	57	63	38	66	2
	Instagram	34.1%	13.7%	31.5%	37.1%	42.1%	35.3%	35.1%	15.5%
	Internet	155	21	37	56	21	13	6	1
	Next Door	19.1%	30.8%	28.9%	36.4%	14.2%	11.8%	3.2%	7.2%
	Palo Alto Daily Post	17	8	5	0	3	0	0	0
	Other	2.1%	12.4%	4.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
	Not sure/DK/NA	151	16	32	26	26	15	28	8
		18.7%	23.3%	25.2%	16.8%	17.6%	14.2%	15.0%	56.0%
	322	18	48	77	58	43	73	5	
	39.9%	26.7%	38.0%	49.9%	39.2%	39.3%	38.7%	37.1%	
	182	14	9	20	31	36	67	5	
	22.5%	21.2%	6.7%	13.1%	20.6%	32.9%	35.6%	39.9%	
	12	0	0	2	0	1	9	0	
	1.5%	0.0%	0.1%	1.4%	0.0%	1.3%	4.6%	0.0%	
	86	12	20	15	15	9	16	0	
	10.7%	17.3%	15.8%	10.0%	9.8%	7.9%	8.3%	2.8%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						Not sure/DK/NA
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
<b>16. Online source of local community news and information</b>	Bay Area News Group							B C D
	Blogs							D
	City Website		A	A	A	A	A	
	County Almanac			B	A B C	A B C	A B C	B
	Emails			A	A	A	A	
	Facebook	E F	E F	D E F	F			
	Instagram	D F		.a		.a		.a
	Internet							C D E F
	Next Door			A				
	Palo Alto Daily Post				B	B C	B C	B
	Other	.a						.a
Not sure/DK/NA								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	710	470	240
	Bay Area News Group	145	111	34
	Blogs	131	90	40
	City Website	186	120	66
	County Almanac	218	194	24
	Emails	240	174	66
	Facebook	147	74	73
	Instagram	17	7	10
	Internet	133	79	54
	Next Door	268	196	72
	Palo Alto Daily Post	163	120	43
	Other	12	9	3
	Not sure/DK/NA	80	43	37
		11.3%	9.1%	15.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group	B	
	Blogs		
	City Website		
	County Almanac	B	
	Emails	B	
	Facebook		A
	Instagram		A
	Internet		
	Next Door	B	
	Palo Alto Daily Post	B	
	Other		
	Not sure/DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	Bay Area News Group	145	73	26	3	44
	Blogs	131	84	24	2	21
	City Website	186	115	34	5	32
	County Almanac	218	129	44	9	36
	Emails	240	138	45	13	44
	Facebook	147	93	22	6	27
	Instagram	17	13	4	0	0
	Internet	133	81	22	3	27
	Next Door	268	150	39	17	62
	Palo Alto Daily Post	163	90	35	1	37
	Other	12	4	2	0	6
	Not sure/DK/NA	80	36	16	0	27
		11.3%	9.4%	13.1%	0.0%	15.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group				
	Blogs	D			
	City Website	D			
	County Almanac	D	D		
	Emails			D	
	Facebook				
	Instagram			a	a
	Internet				
	Next Door			A B D	
	Palo Alto Daily Post				
	Other			a	
	Not sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Bay Area News Group	145	34	28	8	12	32	30
	Blogs	131	48	26	5	8	28	15
	City Website	186	72	28	16	12	26	32
	County Almanac	218	62	44	14	19	43	36
	Emails	240	86	33	11	19	48	43
	Facebook	147	58	16	11	5	28	29
	Instagram	17	11	0	1	0	5	0
	Internet	133	54	17	11	8	22	21
	Next Door	268	82	45	14	21	48	59
	Palo Alto Daily Post	163	44	30	11	12	45	22
	Other	12	1	4	1	0	5	2
	Not sure/DK/NA	80	23	7	2	8	17	22
		11.3%	10.8%	6.7%	5.4%	16.4%	11.3%	15.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group						
	Blogs	F					
	City Website	E					
	County Almanac						
	Emails						
	Facebook						
	Instagram		a		a		a
	Internet						
	Next Door						
	Palo Alto Daily Post					F	
	Other				a		
Not sure/DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	710	297	95	46	69
	Bay Area News Group	145	49	22	10	15
	Blogs	131	42	28	6	9
	City Website	186	78	24	12	10
	County Almanac	218	54	36	13	27
	Emails	240	92	37	11	25
	Facebook	147	82	24	3	9
	Instagram	17	11	0	0	3
	Internet	133	68	13	14	15
	Next Door	268	101	47	21	31
	Palo Alto Daily Post	163	55	24	7	20
	Other	12	6	0	1	0
	Not sure/DK/NA	80	42	5	9	4
		11.3%	14.1%	5.7%	19.3%	6.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	57	44	67	35
	Bay Area News Group	8	13	19	9
	Blogs	15	8	18	5
	City Website	9	14	21	17
	County Almanac	18	30	23	17
	Emails	31.9%	68.2%	34.2%	48.9%
	Facebook	22	16	24	13
	Instagram	10	7	7	4
	Internet	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Next Door	11	13	32	12
	Palo Alto Daily Post	19	12	17	9
	Other	4.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.1%
	Not sure/DK/NA	8	3	7	3
		13.2%	5.9%	9.9%	8.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group					
	Blogs		A			
	City Website				A	
	County Almanac		A			
	Emails					
	Facebook	C				
	Instagram			a		
	Internet			F		
	Next Door		E			
	Palo Alto Daily Post					
	Other		a			
Not sure/DK/NA						

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group			
	Blogs			
	City Website			DE
	County Almanac	A B C E G		A
	Emails			
	Facebook	a	a	a
	Instagram			
	Internet			
	Next Door		E	
	Palo Alto Daily Post			
	Other			
Not sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Bay Area News Group	164	25	104	4	13	10	2	6
	Blogs	145	19	77	10	14	11	8	7
	City Website	203	30	124	10	10	13	8	7
	County Almanac	257	68	120	16	20	15	11	8
	Emails	276	67	137	16	24	13	6	12
	Facebook	34.1%	43.1%	32.1%	39.6%	38.1%	28.2%	15.4%	36.0%
	Instagram	19.1%	12.5%	25.3%	10.5%	9.3%	9.6%	17.1%	16.6%
	Internet	17	3	11	0	0	1	2	0
	Next Door	2.1%	2.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	5.8%	0.0%
	Palo Alto Daily Post	151	25	88	5	11	7	9	7
	Other	18.7%	15.8%	20.6%	11.3%	17.6%	14.5%	22.4%	20.7%
	Not sure/DK/NA	322	85	169	22	21	15	2	8
		39.9%	54.6%	39.7%	51.9%	33.8%	33.3%	5.6%	23.6%
		182	33	79	14	16	13	18	8
		22.5%	21.4%	18.5%	34.7%	25.2%	28.9%	43.0%	23.3%
	12	4	4	0	2	0	2	0	
	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%	0.1%	3.8%	0.1%	5.3%	0.0%	
	86	19	48	3	7	6	4	0	
	10.7%	12.0%	11.4%	7.5%	10.6%	12.4%	8.8%	0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group							
	Blogs							
	City Website							
	County Almanac	B						
	Emails	F						
	Facebook		A					
	Instagram			a	a			a
	Internet							
	Next Door	B F G	F	F	F	F		
	Palo Alto Daily Post						B	
	Other							a
Not sure/DK/NA								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	710	510	200
	Bay Area News Group	145	100	46
		20.5%	19.5%	22.9%
	Blogs	131	94	37
		18.4%	18.5%	18.2%
	City Website	186	137	49
		26.2%	26.8%	24.6%
	County Almanac	218	161	57
		30.7%	31.5%	28.7%
	Emails	240	167	73
		33.8%	32.7%	36.5%
	Facebook	147	98	48
		20.7%	19.3%	24.1%
	Instagram	17	8	9
		2.4%	1.5%	4.7%
Internet	133	92	41	
	18.7%	18.0%	20.4%	
Next Door	268	204	64	
	37.8%	40.0%	32.2%	
Palo Alto Daily Post	163	108	55	
	23.0%	21.1%	27.7%	
Other	12	10	2	
	1.7%	2.0%	1.0%	
Not sure/DK/NA	80	63	17	
	11.3%	12.3%	8.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group		
	Blogs		
	City Website		
	County Almanac		
	Emails		
	Facebook		
	Instagram		A
	Internet		
	Next Door		
	Palo Alto Daily Post		
	Other		
	Not sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	710	347	363
	Bay Area News Group	145	84	62
		20.5%	24.1%	17.0%
	Blogs	131	85	45
		18.4%	24.6%	12.5%
	City Website	186	106	81
		26.2%	30.4%	22.2%
	County Almanac	218	141	76
		30.7%	40.8%	21.0%
	Emails	240	134	106
		33.8%	38.6%	29.2%
	Facebook	147	59	88
		20.7%	17.0%	24.2%
	Instagram	17	3	14
		2.4%	0.7%	4.0%
Internet	133	62	71	
	18.7%	17.7%	19.6%	
Next Door	268	154	114	
	37.8%	44.4%	31.5%	
Palo Alto Daily Post	163	80	83	
	23.0%	23.1%	22.8%	
Other	12	8	4	
	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%	
Not sure/DK/NA	80	33	47	
	11.3%	9.6%	12.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group	B	
	Blogs	B	
	City Website	B	
	County Almanac	B	
	Emails	B	
	Facebook		A
	Instagram		A
	Internet		
	Next Door	B	
	Palo Alto Daily Post		
	Other		
	Not sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		808	710	98
<b>Bay Area News Group</b>		164	145	18
		20.2%	20.5%	18.4%
<b>Blogs</b>		145	131	14
		17.9%	18.4%	14.1%
<b>City Website</b>		203	186	17
		25.1%	26.2%	17.1%
<b>County Almanac</b>		257	218	39
		31.9%	30.7%	40.3%
<b>Emails</b>		276	240	36
		34.1%	33.8%	36.5%
<b>Facebook</b>		155	147	8
		19.1%	20.7%	8.0%
<b>Instagram</b>		17	17	0
		2.1%	2.4%	0.0%
<b>Internet</b>		151	133	18
		18.7%	18.7%	18.7%
<b>Next Door</b>		322	268	54
		39.9%	37.8%	55.2%
<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>		182	163	19
		22.5%	23.0%	19.0%
<b>Other</b>		12	12	0
		1.5%	1.7%	0.0%
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>		86	80	6
		10.7%	11.3%	6.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>Bay Area News Group</b>			
<b>Blogs</b>			
<b>City Website</b>		B	
<b>County Almanac</b>			
<b>Emails</b>			
<b>Facebook</b>		B	
<b>Instagram</b>			
<b>Internet</b>			
<b>Next Door</b>			A
<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>			
<b>Other</b>			. <sup>a</sup>
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		808	350	455	3
<b>Bay Area News Group</b>		164	59	103	2
		20.2%	16.8%	22.6%	64.6%
<b>Blogs</b>		145	63	79	2
		17.9%	18.1%	17.4%	64.6%
<b>City Website</b>		203	86	115	2
		25.1%	24.6%	25.3%	64.6%
<b>County Almanac</b>		257	105	152	1
		31.9%	29.9%	33.4%	24.3%
<b>Emails</b>		276	126	150	0
		34.1%	36.0%	32.9%	0.0%
<b>Facebook</b>		155	82	72	0
		19.1%	23.5%	15.9%	0.0%
<b>Instagram</b>		17	6	11	0
		2.1%	1.7%	2.4%	0.0%
<b>Internet</b>		151	66	86	0
		18.7%	18.7%	18.8%	0.0%
<b>Next Door</b>		322	155	165	2
		39.9%	44.3%	36.3%	64.6%
<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>		182	63	117	2
		22.5%	18.0%	25.7%	64.6%
<b>Other</b>		12	2	10	0
		1.5%	0.6%	2.2%	1.1%
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>		86	36	50	0
		10.7%	10.2%	11.0%	10.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group		
	Blogs		
	City Website		
	County Almanac		
	Emails		
	Facebook	B	a
	Instagram		
	Internet		
	Next Door		
	Palo Alto Daily Post		A
	Other		
Not sure/DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	808	124	191	79	110
	Bay Area News Group	164	13	31	10	25
		20.2%	10.4%	16.3%	12.9%	22.8%
	Blogs	145	16	38	14	23
		17.9%	13.2%	19.8%	17.2%	21.1%
	City Website	203	27	44	13	28
		25.1%	21.4%	23.2%	16.6%	25.2%
	County Almanac	257	14	53	30	50
		31.9%	11.7%	27.7%	38.0%	46.0%
	Emails	276	38	69	27	39
		34.1%	30.7%	36.2%	34.1%	36.0%
	Facebook	155	39	45	17	22
		19.1%	31.5%	23.8%	21.8%	19.7%
	Instagram	17	3	3	0	1
		2.1%	2.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.8%
	Internet	151	21	45	5	14
	18.7%	17.0%	23.8%	6.7%	12.5%	
Next Door	322	48	84	42	53	
	39.9%	38.9%	44.0%	53.1%	48.0%	
Palo Alto Daily Post	182	12	31	14	32	
	22.5%	9.7%	16.3%	17.6%	29.1%	
Other	12	2	2	0	0	
	1.5%	1.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
Not sure/DK/NA	86	17	14	11	6	
	10.7%	13.8%	7.3%	14.3%	5.3%	

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	455	3
	Bay Area News Group	103	2
		22.6%	64.6%
	Blogs	79	2
		17.4%	64.6%
	City Website	115	2
		25.3%	64.6%
	County Almanac	152	1
		33.4%	24.3%
	Emails	150	0
		32.9%	0.0%
	Facebook	72	0
		15.9%	0.0%
	Instagram	11	0
		2.4%	0.0%
	Internet	86	0
	18.8%	0.0%	
Next Door	165	2	
	36.3%	64.6%	
Palo Alto Daily Post	117	2	
	25.7%	64.6%	
Other	10	0	
	2.2%	1.1%	
Not sure/DK/NA	50	0	
	11.0%	10.0%	



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household				
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group					A
	Blogs					
	City Website					
	County Almanac		A	A	A B	A
	Emails					
	Facebook	E		.a		
	Instagram					
	Internet		C			
	Next Door					
	Palo Alto Daily Post				A	A
	Other			.a		
Not sure/DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group	
	Blogs	
	City Website	
	County Almanac	
	Emails	
	Facebook	.a
	Instagram	
	Internet	
	Next Door	
	Palo Alto Daily Post	A
	Other	
Not sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	Bay Area News Group	164	12	0	14	109	16	7
	Blogs	20.2%	30.6%	0.0%	14.2%	22.0%	13.3%	100.0%
	City Website	145	7	0	13	87	24	0
	County Almanac	17.9%	18.2%	0.0%	13.9%	17.6%	20.3%	0.0%
	Emails	203	14	0	28	121	32	0
	Facebook	25.1%	34.1%	0.0%	29.2%	24.5%	26.6%	0.0%
	Instagram	257	12	1	11	194	20	0
	Internet	31.9%	31.3%	100.0%	11.6%	39.4%	16.8%	0.0%
	Next Door	276	8	0	31	193	24	0
	Palo Alto Daily Post	34.1%	20.5%	0.0%	32.0%	39.3%	20.0%	0.0%
	Other	155	9	0	31	70	36	0
	Not sure/DK/NA	19.1%	21.9%	0.0%	32.2%	14.3%	29.8%	0.0%
		17	0	0	5	2	6	0
		2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.4%	5.0%	0.0%
		151	11	0	22	79	27	0
		18.7%	27.4%	0.0%	23.3%	16.0%	22.5%	0.0%
	322	11	1	32	236	25	0	
	39.9%	27.3%	100.0%	33.7%	47.8%	20.4%	0.0%	
	182	14	0	14	111	32	0	
	22.5%	34.7%	0.0%	15.0%	22.6%	26.3%	0.0%	
	12	3	0	0	7	2	0	
	1.5%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.8%	0.0%	
	86	3	0	17	43	11	0	
	10.7%	6.6%	0.0%	17.9%	8.7%	8.9%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	30	5	17
	Bay Area News Group	5	0	2
	Blogs	15.1%	7.1%	9.5%
	City Website	7	1	4
	County Almanac	24.6%	20.1%	26.0%
	Emails	4	1	4
	Facebook	12.1%	16.3%	24.5%
	Instagram	8	2	9
	Internet	25.2%	36.0%	54.4%
	Next Door	9	2	9
	Palo Alto Daily Post	28.7%	34.9%	54.2%
	Other	6	0	3
	Not sure/DK/NA	18.9%	1.2%	18.7%
		2	0	2
		7.5%	0.0%	12.9%
		7	2	3
		23.5%	45.3%	15.4%
	11	1	7	
	36.2%	16.4%	38.7%	
	5	2	4	
	15.9%	33.7%	25.0%	
	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	11	2	1	
	36.2%	34.6%	3.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group					
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group	a,b				b
	Blogs	a,b				b
	City Website	a,b				b
	County Almanac	a,b			C E	b
	Emails	a,b			E	b
	Facebook	a,b	D			D b
	Instagram	b	a,b	D		D b
	Internet	a,b				b
	Next Door	a,b			E	b
	Palo Alto Daily Post	a,b				b
	Other	a,b		b		b
Not sure/DK/NA	D	a,b			b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group			
	Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA	
	(G)	(H)	(I)	
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group			
	Blogs			
	City Website			
	County Almanac		C E	
	Emails		E	
	Facebook	D	b	D
	Instagram	D	.	D
	Internet			
	Next Door			
	Palo Alto Daily Post			
	Other	b	b	
Not sure/DK/NA	A D E			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	Bay Area News Group	145	0	5	5	5	2	0
	Blogs	20.5%	0.0%	23.4%	6.0%	16.1%	14.5%	0.0%
	City Website	131	3	4	16	8	3	0
	County Almanac	18.4%	41.1%	22.3%	18.3%	26.1%	16.3%	0.0%
	Emails	186	1	8	19	8	2	2
	Facebook	26.2%	14.6%	40.6%	21.8%	28.3%	12.2%	65.1%
	Instagram	218	2	4	12	10	7	0
	Internet	30.7%	23.0%	20.1%	13.2%	34.5%	43.2%	0.0%
	Next Door	240	2	4	12	10	6	0
	Palo Alto Daily Post	33.8%	31.8%	20.1%	13.2%	34.5%	36.1%	14.2%
	Other	147	0	5	25	1	1	1
	Not sure/DK/NA	20.7%	5.4%	23.2%	28.5%	3.8%	9.2%	16.1%
	Bay Area News Group	17	0	0	6	0	1	0
	Blogs	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
	City Website	133	1	7	11	3	2	2
	County Almanac	18.7%	14.6%	37.0%	12.3%	11.6%	13.3%	65.1%
	Emails	268	4	11	10	13	9	1
Facebook	37.8%	58.9%	58.5%	11.1%	44.0%	55.9%	20.7%	
Instagram	163	1	3	26	14	2	2	
Internet	23.0%	13.7%	15.1%	29.8%	45.7%	9.4%	49.0%	
Next Door	12	0	0	3	0	0	0	
Palo Alto Daily Post	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Other	80	0	1	11	0	4	0	
Not sure/DK/NA	11.3%	0.0%	4.4%	12.0%	1.4%	26.8%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	14	530
	Bay Area News Group	7	122
	Blogs	47.2%	22.9%
	City Website	0	96
	County Almanac	1.7%	18.2%
	Emails	0	145
	Facebook	2.0%	27.3%
	Instagram	0	183
	Internet	1.6%	34.5%
	Next Door	1	204
	Palo Alto Daily Post	9.0%	38.4%
	Other	5	109
	Not sure/DK/NA	31.8%	20.5%
	Bay Area News Group	0	10
Blogs	0.0%	1.9%	
City Website	2	104	
County Almanac	14.8%	19.6%	
Emails	0	219	
Facebook	3.3%	41.3%	
Instagram	2	114	
Internet	11.5%	21.5%	
Next Door	0	9	
Palo Alto Daily Post	0.0%	1.8%	
Other	1	62	
Not sure/DK/NA	8.3%	11.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname						
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group	. <sup>a</sup>					. <sup>a</sup>	C
	Blogs						. <sup>a</sup>	
	City Website						. <sup>a</sup>	
	County Almanac						. <sup>a</sup>	
	Emails						. <sup>a</sup>	
	Facebook						. <sup>a</sup>	
	Instagram	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>	H	. <sup>a</sup>		. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	Internet						. <sup>a</sup>	
	Next Door	C	C G		C	C		
	Palo Alto Daily Post						. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	Other	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>		. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
Not sure/DK/NA	. <sup>a</sup>					. <sup>a</sup>		

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
		(H)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group	C
	Blogs	
	City Website	
	County Almanac	C
	Emails	C
	Facebook	
	Instagram	
	Internet	
	Next Door	C
	Palo Alto Daily Post	
	Other	
Not sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	Bay Area News Group	164	39	31	22	42
	Blogs	145	20	38	22	29
	City Website	203	27	50	20	66
	County Almanac	257	57	65	34	75
	Emails	276	35	62	33	98
	Facebook	155	12	26	17	41
	Instagram	17	1	2	0	1
	Internet	151	16	33	15	50
	Next Door	322	48	67	38	120
	Palo Alto Daily Post	182	44	31	21	44
	Other	12	4	4	0	0
	Not sure/DK/NA	86	15	12	12	13
		10.7%	11.0%	7.7%	13.3%	5.6%
		20.2%	28.7%	20.0%	23.8%	18.3%
		17.9%	15.2%	24.8%	23.7%	12.5%
	25.1%	20.3%	32.7%	21.3%	28.7%	
	31.9%	42.5%	42.1%	36.5%	33.0%	
	34.1%	26.2%	40.6%	36.0%	42.7%	
	19.1%	8.6%	16.9%	18.2%	18.0%	
	2.1%	0.5%	1.6%	0.0%	0.5%	
	18.7%	11.6%	21.2%	16.6%	21.8%	
	39.9%	35.8%	43.6%	40.9%	52.3%	
	22.5%	33.1%	20.1%	22.3%	19.5%	
	1.5%	3.1%	2.8%	0.5%	0.0%	

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		77	123
<b>Bay Area News Group</b>		14 18.1%	17 13.6%
<b>Blogs</b>		14 17.6%	22 18.1%
<b>City Website</b>		19 24.9%	21 17.0%
<b>County Almanac</b>		19 24.6%	8 6.4%
<b>Emails</b>		13 16.4%	35 28.4%
<b>Facebook</b>		17 21.7%	42 34.6%
<b>Instagram</b>		2 2.8%	11 8.7%
<b>Internet</b>		20 25.4%	18 14.9%
<b>Next Door</b>		15 19.6%	35 28.5%
<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>		17 21.5%	25 20.2%
<b>Other</b>		2 2.7%	1 0.8%
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>		19 24.8%	16 12.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>Bay Area News Group</b>		F	D		
<b>Blogs</b>			F		
<b>City Website</b>			F		
<b>County Almanac</b>		F	F	F	F
<b>Emails</b>			E		A E
<b>Facebook</b>					
<b>Instagram</b>				a	
<b>Internet</b>					
<b>Next Door</b>			E	E	A E F
<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>					
<b>Other</b>					
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
<b>16. Online source of local community news and information</b>	<b>Bay Area News Group</b>	F	A B D
	<b>Blogs</b>		
	<b>City Website</b>		
	<b>County Almanac</b>		
	<b>Emails</b>		
	<b>Facebook</b>		
	<b>Instagram</b>		
	<b>Internet</b>		
	<b>Next Door</b>		
	<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>		
	<b>Other</b>		
	<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>		808	59	61	385	304
<b>Bay Area News Group</b>		164 20.2%	5 8.2%	9 14.5%	79 20.6%	71 23.3%
<b>Blogs</b>		145 17.9%	16 26.6%	9 15.4%	59 15.4%	60 19.8%
<b>City Website</b>		203 25.1%	11 18.5%	9 14.0%	93 24.2%	90 29.8%
<b>County Almanac</b>		257 31.9%	5 7.7%	18 30.0%	167 43.4%	68 22.2%
<b>Emails</b>		276 34.1%	12 20.9%	9 15.2%	169 43.8%	86 28.2%
<b>Facebook</b>		155 19.1%	13 22.8%	4 6.5%	52 13.5%	85 28.1%
<b>Instagram</b>		17 2.1%	3 5.2%	0 0.0%	3 0.8%	11 3.6%
<b>Internet</b>		151 18.7%	9 14.7%	7 11.0%	60 15.7%	75 24.8%
<b>Next Door</b>		322 39.9%	7 11.8%	7 12.3%	193 50.2%	115 37.8%
<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>		182 22.5%	17 29.7%	16 26.5%	90 23.3%	58 19.2%
<b>Other</b>		12 1.5%	0 0.0%	8 13.3%	2 0.5%	2 0.7%
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>		86 10.7%	3 5.3%	8 13.5%	41 10.5%	34 11.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group				
	Blogs				
	City Website				
	County Almanac		A	A D	
	Emails			A B D	
	Facebook				B C
	Instagram	C	. <sup>a</sup>		C
	Internet				C
	Next Door			A B D	A B
	Palo Alto Daily Post				
	Other	. <sup>a</sup>	C D		
	Not sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Bay Area News Group	145	16	26	21	18	65
	Blogs	131	2	32	28	17	51
	City Website	186	22	32	43	30	60
	County Almanac	218	9	28	29	37	116
	Emails	240	23	35	50	37	96
	Facebook	147	25	48	25	20	29
	Instagram	17	3	10	4	0	0
	Internet	133	20	38	32	10	33
	Next Door	268	31	47	47	40	103
	Palo Alto Daily Post	163	7	37	43	24	52
	Other	12	0	4	2	3	3
	Not sure/DK/NA	80	28	16	12	9	14
		11.3%	26.4%	11.5%	8.3%	10.0%	6.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group					C
	Blogs		A	A	A	A
	City Website					
	County Almanac				A B C	A B C
	Emails					A B
	Facebook		C E			
	Instagram		E		. <sup>a</sup>	
	Internet		D E			
	Next Door					A C
	Palo Alto Daily Post		A	A	A	A
	Other	. <sup>a</sup>				
	Not sure/DK/NA	B C D E				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83
	Bay Area News Group	143	41	32	7	19	20
	Blogs	126	39	43	4	20	6
	City Website	184	60	53	11	23	15
	County Almanac	214	78	47	17	26	18
	Emails	235	85	47	19	26	30
	Facebook	145	49	42	7	15	17
	Instagram	15	5	6	1	3	0
	Internet	132	40	41	6	17	9
	Next Door	260	98	47	14	25	31
	Palo Alto Daily Post	158	43	45	15	20	13
	Other	12	2	2	0	2	2
	Not sure/DK/NA	80	26	10	3	13	7
		11.4%	11.6%	6.5%	6.4%	17.1%	8.7%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total		91	12	11
Bay Area News Group		21 23.4%	0 2.2%	3 24.2%
Blogs		12 13.1%	1 7.3%	1 9.6%
City Website		17 18.5%	2 16.7%	3 24.8%
County Almanac		18 19.3%	4 37.3%	5 43.3%
Emails		15 16.3%	6 52.5%	6 58.5%
Facebook		10 11.0%	2 18.7%	3 32.4%
Instagram		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Internet		18 19.7%	0 0.0%	3 24.8%
Next Door		29 31.7%	9 78.7%	8 70.9%
Palo Alto Daily Post		22 23.9%	1 8.1%	0 0.0%
Other		5 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Not sure/DK/NA		20 22.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Bay Area News Group							
Blogs			E				
City Website							
County Almanac							
Emails		F					
Facebook							
Instagram					a	a	
Internet							
Next Door							
Palo Alto Daily Post							
Other				a			
Not sure/DK/NA							B

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
Bay Area News Group			
Blogs			
City Website			
County Almanac			
Emails			F
Facebook		a	a
Instagram		.	.
Internet		a	.
Next Door		B C F	
Palo Alto Daily Post			a
Other		a	a
Not sure/DK/NA		a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
Total		416	104	141	61	51
Bay Area News Group		87 21.0%	30 28.7%	21 14.8%	16 26.1%	15 30.2%
Blogs		71 17.0%	18 17.6%	20 14.4%	17 27.7%	9 18.2%
City Website		105 25.2%	32 30.9%	36 25.9%	14 23.6%	14 27.5%
County Almanac		140 33.6%	27 26.2%	58 40.9%	21 34.4%	24 47.2%
Emails		158 37.8%	39 37.0%	60 42.8%	21 34.7%	21 41.9%
Facebook		76 18.3%	19 17.9%	27 19.2%	14 22.9%	9 16.6%
Instagram		8 1.9%	2 2.1%	4 2.5%	2 3.7%	0 0.0%
Internet		70 16.7%	23 22.3%	18 12.5%	16 25.9%	7 14.2%
Next Door		170 40.8%	40 38.2%	57 40.6%	25 40.9%	28 54.0%
Palo Alto Daily Post		76 18.2%	15 14.8%	26 18.5%	16 25.9%	12 24.3%
Other		9 2.1%	2 1.8%	0 0.0%	2 3.6%	3 5.6%
Not sure/DK/NA		47 11.2%	7 6.4%	13 9.4%	8 13.5%	3 4.9%

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	59
	Bay Area News Group	5 9.0%
	Blogs	6 10.1%
	City Website	8 13.0%
	County Almanac	10 16.5%
	Emails	16 27.3%
	Facebook	8 13.7%
	Instagram	0 0.0%
	Internet	6 9.7%
	Next Door	20 34.1%
	Palo Alto Daily Post	6 9.8%
	Other	2 3.3%
	Not sure/DK/NA	16 26.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group	E			E	
	Blogs					
	City Website					
	County Almanac		E		E	
	Emails					
	Facebook					
	Instagram					a
	Internet					
	Next Door					
	Palo Alto Daily Post					
Other		a				
Not sure/DK/NA					A B D	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Bay Area News Group	76 19.4%	20 19.5%	25 24.0%	5 17.0%	25 20.5%
	Blogs	74 18.8%	27 25.3%	21 19.5%	4 11.7%	17 13.9%
	City Website	98 25.0%	24 23.2%	19 18.5%	10 33.3%	40 33.1%
	County Almanac	117 30.0%	28 26.4%	32 30.3%	10 34.7%	43 35.2%
	Emails	118 30.1%	33 31.1%	34 32.5%	6 21.1%	37 30.4%
	Facebook	78 20.0%	28 27.0%	17 15.7%	4 14.4%	26 21.3%
	Instagram	9 2.3%	5 4.8%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Internet	81 20.8%	16 15.1%	31 29.4%	4 12.1%	26 21.4%
	Next Door	152 38.9%	47 44.8%	47 44.2%	15 50.6%	35 28.6%
	Palo Alto Daily Post	106 27.0%	28 27.0%	27 25.4%	13 42.1%	33 27.3%
	Other	3 0.8%	1 0.5%	2 1.5%	0 0.0%	1 1.0%
	Not sure/DK/NA	40 10.2%	3 2.9%	7 6.7%	3 8.4%	19 15.6%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
16. Online source of local community news and information	Total	29
	Bay Area News Group	0 0.0%
	Blogs	6 21.3%
	City Website	4 12.7%
	County Almanac	5 15.7%
	Emails	8 26.4%
	Facebook	3 11.0%
	Instagram	3 10.9%
	Internet	5 16.9%
	Next Door	9 29.8%
	Palo Alto Daily Post	5 16.2%
	Other	0 0.0%
	Not sure/DK/NA	8 28.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. Online source of local community news and information	Bay Area News Group					a
	Blogs					
	City Website					
	County Almanac					
	Emails					
	Facebook			a	a	B
	Instagram			a	a	B
	Internet					
	Next Door					
	Palo Alto Daily Post					
Other			a		a	
Not sure/DK/NA				A	A B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total		
		Total	Total	
	<b>Total</b>	808	808	
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac	295	295	
	Palo Alto Daily Post	328	328	
	San Francisco Chronicle	90	90	
	San Jose Mercury	118	118	
	San Mateo Daily Journal	53	53	
	98.00	52	52	
	Not sure/DK/NA	202	202	
			25.0%	25.0%
			36.5%	36.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		Total
		(A)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac	.
	Palo Alto Daily Post	.
	San Francisco Chronicle	.
	San Jose Mercury	.
	San Mateo Daily Journal	.
	98.00	.
	Not sure/DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender				
		Total	Male	Female	Other	
	<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13	
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac	295	132	158	5	
	Palo Alto Daily Post	328	157	163	9	
	San Francisco Chronicle	90	50	38	2	
	San Jose Mercury	118	56	57	5	
	San Mateo Daily Journal	53	29	24	0	
	98.00	52	28	25	0	
	Not sure/DK/NA	202	98	100	3	
			25.0%	26.0%	24.0%	27.2%
			36.5%	35.1%	37.8%	37.3%



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information</b>	County Almanac			
	Palo Alto Daily Post			
	San Francisco Chronicle			
	San Jose Mercury			B
	San Mateo Daily Journal			a
	98.00			a
	Not sure/DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
<b>17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information</b>	<b>County Almanac</b>	295	11	8	33	81	59	96	7
		36.5%	17.1%	6.1%	21.1%	54.3%	54.1%	51.3%	55.5%
	<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>	328	22	32	58	59	57	89	10
		40.6%	33.3%	25.2%	37.4%	39.5%	52.5%	47.7%	75.2%
	<b>San Francisco Chronicle</b>	90	13	6	12	13	10	34	3
		11.1%	19.2%	4.6%	7.9%	8.4%	9.4%	18.0%	19.2%
	<b>San Jose Mercury</b>	118	16	14	16	13	15	42	3
		14.6%	23.3%	11.0%	10.2%	8.7%	13.7%	22.3%	23.2%
	<b>San Mateo Daily Journal</b>	53	2	16	6	2	12	14	2
		6.6%	2.6%	12.5%	4.1%	1.4%	11.2%	7.2%	12.0%
<b>98.00</b>	52	2	12	10	8	6	14	0	
	6.5%	3.3%	9.1%	6.4%	5.5%	5.8%	7.5%	0.0%	
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>	202	25	70	48	32	11	14	1	
	25.0%	37.4%	55.3%	30.9%	21.6%	10.4%	7.3%	9.2%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information</b>	County Almanac			B	A B C	A B C	A B C	B
	Palo Alto Daily Post					B	B	B
	San Francisco Chronicle	B					B	B
	San Jose Mercury					D	D	
	San Mateo Daily Journal		D					
	98.00							
	Not sure/DK/NA	E F	C D E F G	E F	F			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
	<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information</b>	<b>County Almanac</b>	259	230	29
		36.5%	48.8%	12.2%
	<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>	295	213	82
		41.6%	45.4%	34.2%
	<b>San Francisco Chronicle</b>	79	58	21
		11.1%	12.4%	8.6%
	<b>San Jose Mercury</b>	108	84	24
		15.2%	17.9%	10.0%
	<b>San Mateo Daily Journal</b>	48	31	17
		6.8%	6.6%	7.3%
<b>98.00</b>	32	16	16	
	4.5%	3.5%	6.5%	
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>	184	79	105	
	25.9%	16.9%	43.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac	B	
	Palo Alto Daily Post	B	
	San Francisco Chronicle		
	San Jose Mercury	B	
	San Mateo Daily Journal		
	98.00		
	Not sure/DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	County Almanac	259	142	51	12	53
	Palo Alto Daily Post	295	153	54	11	78
	San Francisco Chronicle	41.6%	39.7%	42.5%	50.1%	44.1%
	San Jose Mercury	79	48	12	2	17
	San Mateo Daily Journal	11.1%	12.3%	9.6%	9.2%	9.8%
	98.00	108	63	22	1	21
	Not sure/DK/NA	15.2%	16.4%	17.8%	5.5%	12.0%
		48	24	11	0	13
		6.8%	6.3%	8.7%	1.2%	7.2%
		32	17	9	0	5

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac				
	Palo Alto Daily Post				
	San Francisco Chronicle				
	San Jose Mercury				
	San Mateo Daily Journal				
	98.00				
	Not sure/DK/NA	B			B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	County Almanac	259	66	48	14	23	57	53
	Palo Alto Daily Post	295	79	45	23	13	71	66
	San Francisco Chronicle	41.6%	37.9%	40.0%	52.5%	27.1%	45.7%	45.2%
	San Jose Mercury	79	26	16	2	9	20	7
	San Mateo Daily Journal	11.1%	12.3%	14.3%	3.5%	19.3%	13.2%	4.5%
	98.00	108	32	17	6	7	30	16
	Not sure/DK/NA	15.2%	15.3%	15.4%	14.6%	14.7%	19.2%	11.1%
		48	13	7	3	3	10	12
		6.8%	6.5%	6.6%	6.7%	6.9%	6.3%	7.9%
		32	14	3	2	5	4	3

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac						
	Palo Alto Daily Post						
	San Francisco Chronicle				F		
	San Jose Mercury						
	San Mateo Daily Journal						
	98.00						
	Not sure/DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	710	297	95	46	69
	County Almanac	259	63	36	23	31
	Palo Alto Daily Post	36.5%	21.3%	38.0%	49.5%	44.8%
	San Francisco Chronicle	295	111	40	20	24
	San Jose Mercury	41.6%	37.4%	41.8%	44.1%	35.3%
	San Mateo Daily Journal	79	25	14	3	8
	98.00	11.1%	8.4%	14.2%	5.5%	11.1%
	Not sure/DK/NA	108	36	17	7	9
		15.2%	12.0%	18.1%	14.7%	12.4%
	48	21	6	2	3	
	6.8%	7.0%	6.7%	4.9%	4.0%	
	32	16	4	2	0	
	4.5%	5.3%	4.1%	3.3%	0.2%	
	184	115	20	3	20	
	25.9%	38.9%	20.6%	6.8%	28.7%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	57	44	67	35
	County Almanac	25	29	38	15
	Palo Alto Daily Post	43.1%	66.5%	56.2%	41.2%
	San Francisco Chronicle	29	19	33	19
	San Jose Mercury	50.6%	42.8%	49.4%	54.6%
	San Mateo Daily Journal	4	3	19	5
	98.00	6.2%	6.4%	28.2%	14.6%
	Not sure/DK/NA	7	6	21	6
		12.4%	13.4%	31.6%	16.9%
		1	4	9	2
	2.3%	9.0%	13.3%	5.4%	
	4	2	4	1	
	7.4%	4.5%	5.4%	2.2%	
	6	8	9	4	
	10.3%	17.3%	13.0%	10.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac		A	A	A	A
	Palo Alto Daily Post					
	San Francisco Chronicle					
	San Jose Mercury					
	San Mateo Daily Journal					
	98.00					
	Not sure/DK/NA	B C E G H				

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac	A B	A	
	Palo Alto Daily Post			
	San Francisco Chronicle		A E	
	San Jose Mercury			
	San Mateo Daily Journal		A	
	98.00			
	Not sure/DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	County Almanac	295	81	121	21	29	18	14	11
	Palo Alto Daily Post	36.5%	52.4%	28.5%	51.1%	45.1%	37.8%	34.1%	31.7%
	San Francisco Chronicle	328	73	151	17	27	18	28	14
	San Jose Mercury	40.6%	47.3%	35.4%	41.0%	42.0%	39.4%	67.3%	39.6%
	San Mateo Daily Journal	90	12	59	7	3	5	3	3
	98.00	11.1%	7.5%	13.7%	16.0%	4.4%	10.1%	6.9%	8.2%
	Not sure/DK/NA	118	12	69	9	10	6	7	5
		14.6%	7.8%	16.3%	21.4%	15.6%	13.6%	16.1%	14.6%
		53	4	37	2	4	2	2	2
		6.6%	2.7%	8.7%	3.9%	6.8%	3.9%	4.9%	6.5%
		52	3	34	1	6	2	3	3
		6.5%	1.9%	8.1%	3.1%	9.5%	4.9%	6.1%	8.4%
	202	32	126	7	8	13	8	9	
	25.0%	20.4%	29.6%	15.8%	12.0%	28.3%	18.0%	27.6%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac	B						
	Palo Alto Daily Post						B	
	San Francisco Chronicle							
	San Jose Mercury							
	San Mateo Daily Journal							
	98.00							
	Not sure/DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
	<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac	259 36.5%	186 36.4%	73 36.6%
	Palo Alto Daily Post	295 41.6%	201 39.5%	94 47.1%
	San Francisco Chronicle	79 11.1%	54 10.6%	25 12.6%
	San Jose Mercury	108 15.2%	76 14.9%	32 16.0%
	San Mateo Daily Journal	48 6.8%	35 6.8%	14 6.8%
	98.00	32 4.5%	18 3.6%	14 6.8%
	Not sure/DK/NA	184 25.9%	141 27.7%	43 21.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac		
	Palo Alto Daily Post		
	San Francisco Chronicle		
	San Jose Mercury		
	San Mateo Daily Journal		
	98.00		
	Not sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
	<b>Total</b>	710	347	363
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac	259 36.5%	165 47.6%	94 25.8%
	Palo Alto Daily Post	295 41.6%	131 37.7%	165 45.3%
	San Francisco Chronicle	79 11.1%	46 13.3%	33 9.1%
	San Jose Mercury	108 15.2%	59 16.9%	49 13.6%
	San Mateo Daily Journal	48 6.8%	21 6.0%	28 7.6%
	98.00	32 4.5%	19 5.4%	13 3.6%
	Not sure/DK/NA	184 25.9%	68 19.6%	116 31.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac	B	
	Palo Alto Daily Post		A
	San Francisco Chronicle		
	San Jose Mercury		
	San Mateo Daily Journal		
	98.00		
	Not sure/DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
	<b>Total</b>	808	710	98
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	<b>County Almanac</b>	295	259	36
		36.5%	36.5%	37.0%
	<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>	328	295	32
		40.6%	41.6%	32.9%
	<b>San Francisco Chronicle</b>	90	79	11
		11.1%	11.1%	11.2%
	<b>San Jose Mercury</b>	118	108	10
		14.6%	15.2%	10.4%
	<b>San Mateo Daily Journal</b>	53	48	5
		6.6%	6.8%	5.3%
<b>98.00</b>	52	32	20	
	6.5%	4.5%	20.8%	
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>	202	184	18	
	25.0%	25.9%	18.5%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac		
	Palo Alto Daily Post		
	San Francisco Chronicle		
	San Jose Mercury		
	San Mateo Daily Journal		
	98.00		A
	Not sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	<b>County Almanac</b>	295	117	177	1
		36.5%	33.5%	39.0%	25.3%
	<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>	328	143	184	1
		40.6%	40.9%	40.4%	24.3%
	<b>San Francisco Chronicle</b>	90	16	74	0
		11.1%	4.6%	16.2%	1.1%
	<b>San Jose Mercury</b>	118	42	77	0
		14.6%	11.9%	16.8%	0.0%
	<b>San Mateo Daily Journal</b>	53	24	29	0
		6.6%	6.9%	6.5%	0.0%
<b>98.00</b>	52	14	37	2	
	6.5%	3.9%	8.1%	64.6%	
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>	202	103	99	0	
	25.0%	29.4%	21.7%	10.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac			
	Palo Alto Daily Post			
	San Francisco Chronicle		A	a
	San Jose Mercury			a
	San Mateo Daily Journal			a
	98.00		A	A B
Not sure/DK/NA		B		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>Total</b>		808	124	191	79	110
<b>County Almanac</b>		295	20	48	35	58
		36.5%	15.8%	25.4%	44.3%	52.7%
<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>		328	48	78	27	55
		40.6%	38.7%	41.0%	33.9%	50.2%
<b>San Francisco Chronicle</b>		90	3	3	5	9
		11.1%	2.3%	1.7%	6.8%	8.7%
<b>San Jose Mercury</b>		118	14	17	9	20
		14.6%	11.6%	9.0%	12.0%	18.3%
<b>San Mateo Daily Journal</b>		53	19	20	0	4
		6.6%	15.1%	10.3%	0.3%	3.8%
<b>98.00</b>		52	2	9	3	2
		6.5%	1.7%	4.7%	3.3%	1.7%
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>		202	53	64	18	13
		25.0%	42.7%	33.4%	22.4%	11.9%

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		455	3
<b>County Almanac</b>		177	1
		39.0%	25.3%
<b>Palo Alto Daily Post</b>		184	1
		40.4%	24.3%
<b>San Francisco Chronicle</b>		74	0
		16.2%	1.1%
<b>San Jose Mercury</b>		77	0
		16.8%	0.0%
<b>San Mateo Daily Journal</b>		29	0
		6.5%	0.0%
<b>98.00</b>		37	2
		8.1%	64.6%
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>		99	0
		21.7%	10.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household			
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac			A B	A B
	Palo Alto Daily Post				
	San Francisco Chronicle				B
	San Jose Mercury				
	San Mateo Daily Journal	C D E	C		
	98.00				
Not sure/DK/NA		C D E	D E		

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac	A B	
	Palo Alto Daily Post		
	San Francisco Chronicle	A B	a
	San Jose Mercury		a
	San Mateo Daily Journal		a
	98.00		A B C D E
Not sure/DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
	County Almanac	295	12	1	23	213	24	0
	Palo Alto Daily Post	328	21	0	53	176	52	7
	San Francisco Chronicle	90	3	0	4	75	9	0
	San Jose Mercury	118	5	0	7	79	20	0
	San Mateo Daily Journal	53	6	0	4	23	10	7
	98.00	52	6	0	4	38	4	0
	Not sure/DK/NA	202	8	0	27	112	39	0
		25.0%	18.9%	0.0%	28.2%	22.8%	32.6%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	30	5	17
	County Almanac	12	2	8
	Palo Alto Daily Post	10	3	7
	San Francisco Chronicle	0	0	0
	San Jose Mercury	3	0	4
	San Mateo Daily Journal	3	0	0
	98.00	0	0	0
	Not sure/DK/NA	8	1	6
		27.4%	24.8%	38.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group					
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac		a,b		C E		b
	Palo Alto Daily Post		a,b	D			b
	San Francisco Chronicle		a,b		C		b
	San Jose Mercury		a,b				b
	San Mateo Daily Journal		a,b				b
	98.00		a,b				b
	Not sure/DK/NA		a,b				b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac			
	Palo Alto Daily Post			
	San Francisco Chronicle	b	b	
	San Jose Mercury			
	San Mateo Daily Journal		b	
	98.00	b		
	Not sure/DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	County Almanac	259	3	6	16	13	14	0
	Palo Alto Daily Post	328	5	9	41	13	7	2
	San Francisco Chronicle	79	0	0	5	4	2	0
	San Jose Mercury	108	2	1	16	5	5	0
	San Mateo Daily Journal	48	0	0	8	2	0	2
	98.00	32	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Not sure/DK/NA	184	0	6	30	2	1	1
		25.9%	5.4%	29.1%	33.4%	6.9%	8.9%	16.1%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	14	530
	County Almanac	3	205
	Palo Alto Daily Post	8	210
	San Francisco Chronicle	0	68
	San Jose Mercury	1	78
	San Mateo Daily Journal	7	29
	98.00	0	29
	Not sure/DK/NA	4	140
		18.4%	38.7%
		54.1%	39.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname					
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac					B C G H	. <sup>a</sup>
	Palo Alto Daily Post						
	San Francisco Chronicle	.	.a				.a
	San Jose Mercury						.a
	San Mateo Daily Journal	.a	.a				C D E H
	98.00	.a	.a			.a	.a
	Not sure/DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
		(G)	(H)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac		C
	Palo Alto Daily Post		
	San Francisco Chronicle	.a	
	San Jose Mercury		
	San Mateo Daily Journal	C D H	
	98.00	.a	
	Not sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	County Almanac	295	63	63	37	98
	Palo Alto Daily Post	328	56	51	40	97
	San Francisco Chronicle	90	26	24	11	17
	San Jose Mercury	118	24	25	14	29
	San Mateo Daily Journal	53	7	11	1	17
	98.00	52	8	5	6	21
	Not sure/DK/NA	202	17	47	15	56
		36.5%	47.3%	41.2%	40.1%	42.9%
		40.6%	41.7%	33.4%	43.6%	42.5%

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	77	123
	County Almanac	25	8
	Palo Alto Daily Post	25	59
	San Francisco Chronicle	6	7
	San Jose Mercury	14	13
	San Mateo Daily Journal	9	9
	98.00	6	7
	Not sure/DK/NA	24	42
		32.1%	47.8%
		18.7%	10.5%



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac	F	F	F	F
	Palo Alto Daily Post	D F			
	San Francisco Chronicle				
	San Jose Mercury				
	San Mateo Daily Journal				
	98.00				
	Not sure/DK/NA		A		

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac	F	
	Palo Alto Daily Post	C	
	San Francisco Chronicle		
	San Jose Mercury		
	San Mateo Daily Journal		
	98.00		
Not sure/DK/NA	A	A C	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	County Almanac	295	12	29	183	72
	Palo Alto Daily Post	328	27	23	156	121
	San Francisco Chronicle	90	2	4	45	39
	San Jose Mercury	118	11	9	48	50
	San Mateo Daily Journal	53	2	2	22	28
	98.00	52	3	8	31	10
	Not sure/DK/NA	202	17	6	69	110
		25.0%	28.6%	9.7%	17.9%	36.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac		A D	A D	
	Palo Alto Daily Post	B	D		B C
	San Francisco Chronicle				
	San Jose Mercury				
	San Mateo Daily Journal				
	98.00				
Not sure/DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	County Almanac	259	13	31	43	40	131
	Palo Alto Daily Post	295	42	53	69	42	90
	San Francisco Chronicle	90	4	18	26	12	49
	San Jose Mercury	108	4	18	26	12	49
	San Mateo Daily Journal	48	7	12	9	5	16
	98.00	32	2	7	6	4	13
	Not sure/DK/NA	184	53	50	37	23	21
		25.9%	49.9%	35.3%	24.6%	25.3%	9.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac			A	A B	A B C
	Palo Alto Daily Post					
	San Francisco Chronicle					A
	San Jose Mercury			A		A
	San Mateo Daily Journal					
	98.00					
	Not sure/DK/NA	C D E	E	E	E	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83
	County Almanac	255	84	55	24	27	29
	Palo Alto Daily Post	288	82	65	15	38	40
	San Francisco Chronicle	41.2%	36.2%	43.6%	32.0%	48.9%	48.2%
	San Jose Mercury	77	25	23	2	10	6
	San Mateo Daily Journal	11.0%	10.8%	15.3%	4.4%	12.8%	6.7%
	98.00	103	32	29	9	13	10
	Not sure/DK/NA	14.8%	14.3%	19.1%	18.9%	17.1%	12.1%
		48	9	15	3	8	10
		6.9%	4.0%	10.2%	7.2%	9.6%	12.4%
	32	14	3	5	4	1	
	4.6%	6.3%	2.1%	10.0%	5.7%	0.8%	
	181	61	43	6	12	19	
	25.9%	26.8%	28.4%	13.5%	15.2%	22.7%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	91	12	11
	County Almanac	24	8	5
	Palo Alto Daily Post	35	6	6
	San Francisco Chronicle	39.2%	48.5%	51.9%
	San Jose Mercury	9	0	2
	San Mateo Daily Journal	10.4%	0.0%	19.5%
	98.00	8	1	0
	Not sure/DK/NA	9.3%	10.5%	0.0%
		2	0	0
		2.7%	2.2%	0.0%
	5	0	0	
	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
	37	1	3	
	41.1%	7.3%	24.3%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac						
	Palo Alto Daily Post						
	San Francisco Chronicle						
	San Jose Mercury						
	San Mateo Daily Journal						
	98.00						
	Not sure/DK/NA						C D

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac		
	Palo Alto Daily Post		
	San Francisco Chronicle		
	San Jose Mercury		a
	San Mateo Daily Journal		
	98.00		
	Not sure/DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	416	104	141	61	51
	County Almanac	151	21	57	24	30
	Palo Alto Daily Post	162	45	52	28	19
	San Francisco Chronicle	38.9%	42.7%	37.0%	46.3%	37.8%
	San Jose Mercury	44	16	12	6	7
	San Mateo Daily Journal	10.5%	15.0%	8.3%	9.8%	12.8%
	98.00	55	15	23	4	9
	Not sure/DK/NA	13.1%	14.2%	16.2%	6.8%	18.5%
		22	4	10	2	6
		5.3%	4.1%	6.8%	2.8%	10.9%
	31	11	2	4	1	
	7.5%	10.1%	1.2%	5.8%	2.4%	
	105	26	41	16	11	
	25.3%	24.6%	29.3%	26.5%	22.3%	

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	59
	County Almanac	19 31.7%
	Palo Alto Daily Post	18 29.8%
	San Francisco Chronicle	4 6.6%
	San Jose Mercury	3 5.7%
	San Mateo Daily Journal	1 1.8%
	98.00	14 24.4%
	Not sure/DK/NA	11 18.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac		A		A E	
	Palo Alto Daily Post					
	San Francisco Chronicle					
	San Jose Mercury					
	San Mateo Daily Journal					
	98.00	B				
	Not sure/DK/NA					B C D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	County Almanac	144 36.8%	37 35.1%	45 42.7%	12 39.1%	45 37.0%
	Palo Alto Daily Post	166 42.4%	45 42.7%	44 41.8%	14 45.5%	54 44.4%
	San Francisco Chronicle	46 11.8%	13 12.2%	16 15.5%	4 11.9%	14 11.1%
	San Jose Mercury	64 16.3%	25 24.0%	16 15.3%	3 11.1%	17 14.2%
	San Mateo Daily Journal	31 8.0%	5 4.3%	5 4.4%	4 14.4%	18 14.5%
	98.00	21 5.4%	1 0.8%	9 8.9%	2 5.8%	7 5.4%
	Not sure/DK/NA	97 24.7%	22 21.4%	25 23.2%	8 26.1%	28 23.2%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	Total	29
	County Almanac	5 18.2%
	Palo Alto Daily Post	9 31.1%
	San Francisco Chronicle	0 0.0%
	San Jose Mercury	2 6.0%
	San Mateo Daily Journal	0 0.0%
	98.00	2 8.3%
	Not sure/DK/NA	13 47.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17. Printed newspaper source of local community news and information	County Almanac					
	Palo Alto Daily Post					
	San Francisco Chronicle					a
	San Jose Mercury					
	San Mateo Daily Journal					a
	98.00					
	Not sure/DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
	<b>Total</b>	808	808
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Never Seen	92 11.4%	92 11.4%
	Very satisfied	297 36.8%	297 36.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	121 15.0%	121 15.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	37 4.6%	37 4.6%
	Very dissatisfied	63 7.8%	63 7.8%
	DK/NA	197 24.4%	197 24.4%
		<b>Total</b>	378
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Never Seen	66 17.5%	66 17.5%
	Very satisfied	158 41.9%	158 41.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 7.4%	28 7.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12 3.2%	12 3.2%
	Very dissatisfied	27 7.3%	27 7.3%
	DK/NA	86 22.8%	86 22.8%
		<b>Total</b>	378
	Never Seen	39 10.4%	39 10.4%
	Very satisfied	75 19.9%	75 19.9%

		Total	
		Total	Total
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Somewhat satisfied	30 8.0%	30 8.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 4.0%	15 4.0%
	Very dissatisfied	71 18.8%	71 18.8%
	DK/NA	148 39.1%	148 39.1%
	<b>Total</b>	378	378
18D. Activity Guide	Never Seen	83 22.0%	83 22.0%
	Very satisfied	123 32.4%	123 32.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	48 12.6%	48 12.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11 2.9%	11 2.9%
	Very dissatisfied	31 8.3%	31 8.3%
	DK/NA	83 21.9%	83 21.9%
	<b>Total</b>	430	430
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Never Seen	39 9.2%	39 9.2%
	Very satisfied	84 19.7%	84 19.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 6.6%	28 6.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14 3.2%	14 3.2%
	Very dissatisfied	100 23.2%	100 23.2%
	DK/NA	164 38.2%	164 38.2%
	<b>Total</b>	430	430
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Never Seen	52 12.1%	52 12.1%
	Very satisfied	160 37.2%	160 37.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 6.6%	28 6.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13 2.9%	13 2.9%
	Very dissatisfied	45 10.5%	45 10.5%
	DK/NA	132 30.6%	132 30.6%
		<b>Total</b>	430
18G. Email notifications from	Never Seen	95 22.1%	95 22.1%
	Very satisfied	139 32.3%	139 32.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	47 10.9%	47 10.9%

		Total	
		Total	Total
the City	Somewhat satisfied	10.9%	10.9%
		23	23
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	5.3%
		25	25
	Very dissatisfied	5.9%	5.9%
	101	101	
	DK/NA	23.5%	23.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Total
		(A)
18A. The City's website www. MenloPark.org	Never Seen	.
	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
18B. News stories in the Almanac	DK/NA	.
	Never Seen	.
	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
	Never Seen	.
	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
18D. Activity Guide	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
	Never Seen	.
	Very satisfied	.
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
	Never Seen	.
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Total
		(A)
18G. Email notifications from the City	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
18A. The City's website www. MenloPark.org	Total	808	377	418	13
	Never Seen	92	30	61	0
		11.4%	8.1%	14.7%	0.0%
	Very satisfied	297	131	159	8
		36.8%	34.7%	37.9%	60.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	121	63	56	1
		15.0%	16.8%	13.5%	11.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	37	20	16	1
		4.6%	5.4%	3.9%	7.6%
	Very dissatisfied	63	32	31	0
18B. News stories in the Almanac	DK/NA	197	100	94	3
		24.4%	26.5%	22.5%	21.2%
	Total	378	177	196	5
	Never Seen	66	24	42	0
		17.5%	13.5%	21.6%	0.0%
	Very satisfied	158	72	83	4
		41.9%	40.8%	42.0%	73.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	28	9	18	1
		7.4%	5.3%	8.9%	19.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	5	7	0
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council	Very dissatisfied	3.2%	2.9%	3.6%	0.0%
		27	24	3	0
	DK/NA	7.3%	13.6%	1.8%	0.0%
	Never Seen	86	43	43	0
		22.8%	24.0%	22.1%	6.2%
	Total	378	177	196	5
	Never Seen	39	17	22	0
		10.4%	9.5%	11.4%	0.0%
	Very satisfied	75	28	45	2
		19.9%	15.8%	22.9%	45.1%
Somewhat satisfied	30	13	16	0	
	8.0%	7.6%	8.4%	5.6%	

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
meetings	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 4.0%	9 5.4%	5 2.4%	1 19.9%
	Very dissatisfied	71 18.8%	41 23.3%	28 14.4%	1 28.8%
	DK/NA	148 39.1%	68 38.4%	80 40.6%	0 0.6%
	Total	378	177	196	5
18D. Activity Guide	Never Seen	83 22.0%	25 14.4%	58 29.3%	0 0.0%
	Very satisfied	123 32.4%	47 26.7%	73 37.4%	2 40.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	48 12.6%	20 11.2%	28 14.0%	0 5.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11 2.9%	8 4.6%	3 1.4%	0 0.6%
	Very dissatisfied	31 8.3%	24 13.5%	5 2.7%	2 45.1%
	DK/NA	83 21.9%	52 29.6%	30 15.2%	0 7.8%
	Total	430	200	222	8
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Never Seen	39 9.2%	12 5.9%	28 12.5%	0 0.0%
	Very satisfied	84 19.7%	39 19.5%	45 20.5%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 6.6%	14 7.1%	14 6.4%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14 3.2%	9 4.5%	5 2.2%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	100 23.2%	40 19.8%	56 25.1%	4 53.7%
	DK/NA	164 38.2%	86 43.1%	74 33.4%	4 46.3%
	Total	430	200	222	8
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Never Seen	52 12.1%	21 10.5%	31 13.9%	0 0.0%
	Very satisfied	160 37.2%	83 41.6%	72 32.6%	5 57.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 6.6%	15 7.5%	13 6.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13 2.9%	5 2.4%	8 3.6%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	45 10.5%	20 10.1%	24 10.8%	1 12.3%
	DK/NA	132 30.6%	56 28.0%	73 33.0%	2 30.4%
	Total	430	200	222	8
18G. Email notifications from the City	Never Seen	95 22.1%	28 14.3%	65 29.4%	1 14.4%
	Very satisfied	139 32.3%	66 33.2%	68 30.6%	4 55.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	47 10.9%	23 11.6%	24 10.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	10 5.0%	11 4.9%	2 25.0%
	Total	430	200	222	8

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	4.8%	4.9%	30.4%
Very dissatisfied	25 5.9%	9 4.7%	16 7.2%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	101 23.5%	63 31.4%	38 17.2%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Never Seen		A	a
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			a
18B. News stories in the Almanac	DK/NA			
	Never Seen		A	a
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B		a
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			
	Never Seen		A	a
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
18D. Activity Guide	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	B		B
	DK/NA	B		
	Never Seen		A	a
	Very satisfied			
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Never Seen			a
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
18G. Email notifications from the City	Never Seen		A	
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			A B

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Very dissatisfied			<sup>a</sup>
DK/NA	B		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Total	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
	Never Seen	92	2	17	12	25	9	27	0
	Very satisfied	297	23	42	76	51	45	51	9
	Somewhat satisfied	121	5	29	22	36	10	18	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	37	2	4	4	8	9	9	1
	Very dissatisfied	63	5	0	7	1	9	42	0
	DK/NA	197	31	35	34	29	26	41	2
		24.4%	45.6%	27.1%	21.8%	19.2%	24.1%	22.0%	15.8%
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Total	378	27	49	68	72	53	101	8
	Never Seen	66	4	2	5	13	21	22	0
	Very satisfied	158	7	14	25	35	24	48	5
	Somewhat satisfied	28	3	1	2	9	0	12	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	2	3	2	1	0	4	0
	Very dissatisfied	27	0	12	3	3	2	8	0
	DK/NA	86	11	17	31	11	6	9	2
		22.8%	40.2%	34.8%	45.9%	15.1%	10.7%	8.5%	24.6%
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Total	378	27	49	68	72	53	101	8
	Never Seen	39	4	2	4	7	3	19	0
	Very satisfied	75	8	13	10	19	12	11	2
	Somewhat satisfied	30	0	2	4	6	2	16	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	2	1	5	3	1	1	1
	Very dissatisfied	8.0%	0.0%	3.8%	6.6%	7.8%	2.9%	16.1%	3.3%
	DK/NA	15	2	1	5	3	1	1	1
		3.9%	7.4%	2.0%	7.4%	4.3%	5.3%	15.8%	3.9%

		Age								
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA	
Somewhat dissatisfied	Total	4.0%	8.3%	2.7%	7.5%	4.4%	2.4%	1.0%	11.8%	
	Very dissatisfied	71	0	12	14	9	10	22	3	
	DK/NA	148	13	19	30	28	24	32	2	
		39.1%	46.1%	38.8%	44.4%	39.0%	46.4%	31.4%	21.3%	
18D. Activity Guide	Total	378	27	49	68	72	53	101	8	
	Never Seen	83	2	8	23	18	12	20	0	
	Very satisfied	123	5	11	27	26	21	29	3	
	Somewhat satisfied	48	2	2	8	11	4	17	2	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	0	2	0	3	3	3	0	
	Very dissatisfied	31	0	14	1	0	2	14	0	
	DK/NA	83	18	12	8	14	10	19	2	
		21.9%	66.5%	24.1%	11.4%	18.9%	19.2%	18.9%	25.9%	
	18E. Facebook and Twitter	Total	430	40	78	87	77	56	86	5
		Never Seen	39	5	12	8	3	2	8	1
Very satisfied		84	14	22	17	13	10	5	3	
Somewhat satisfied		28	0	9	3	7	6	3	0	
Somewhat dissatisfied		14	2	2	4	2	3	1	0	
Very dissatisfied		100	5	0	16	19	18	41	1	
DK/NA		164	14	32	39	33	17	28	0	
		38.2%	34.8%	41.7%	44.6%	43.1%	31.1%	32.4%	2.0%	
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Total	430	40	78	87	77	56	86	5	
	Never Seen	52	7	14	5	11	9	6	0	
	Very satisfied	160	15	22	34	32	19	34	4	
	Somewhat satisfied	28	0	5	7	9	5	2	0	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	2	2	0	1	4	4	0	
	Very dissatisfied	45	5	3	6	8	5	18	1	
	DK/NA	132	12	32	35	17	14	22	0	
		30.6%	29.9%	41.0%	40.5%	21.5%	24.5%	25.6%	0.0%	
18G. Email notifications from the City	Total	430	40	78	87	77	56	86	5	
	Never Seen	95	2	19	21	23	10	20	0	
	Very satisfied	139	2	26	34	27	23	22	5	
	Somewhat satisfied	47	6	8	7	8	9	8	0	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23	8	3	4	2	2	4	0	
		10.9%	16.1%	10.0%	8.3%	10.9%	15.3%	9.8%	0.0%	

	Age							Not sure/DK/NA
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	19.7%	4.0%	4.0%	3.2%	4.1%	4.3%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	25	0	0	11	0	4	10	0
DK/NA	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	12.3%	0.0%	7.5%	12.0%	0.0%
	101	22	22	11	16	8	21	0
	23.5%	55.9%	28.0%	13.0%	20.8%	14.6%	24.7%	2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Never Seen							.a
	Very satisfied			F				F
	Somewhat satisfied		F		F			
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Very dissatisfied		.a			D	CDE	.a
	DK/NA	CDF						
	Never Seen					BC		
	Very satisfied							
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Somewhat satisfied							.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	CDE					.a
	DK/NA	EF	F	DEF				
	Never Seen							.a
18D. Activity Guide	Very satisfied							.a
	Somewhat satisfied	.a						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	CDE			D		.a
	DK/NA	BCDEF						
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Never Seen							.a
	Very satisfied	F	F					F
	Somewhat satisfied	.a						.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Very dissatisfied		.a			ACD		
	DK/NA							
	Never Seen							.a
	Very satisfied	.a					B	.a
18G. Email notifications from	Somewhat satisfied				A	A		.a
			A	A	A	A		.a
								.a
								AF

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
the City	Somewhat dissatisfied	D						
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a	D			D	.a
	DK/NA	CDEF						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Owner	Renter	
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Total	710	470	240
	Never Seen	80	44	36
	Very satisfied	254	179	75
	Somewhat satisfied	35.8%	38.1%	31.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	102	65	37
	DK/NA	14.3%	13.7%	15.4%
	Very dissatisfied	30	23	7
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Total	313	220	93
	Never Seen	58	48	10
	Very satisfied	128	103	25
	Somewhat satisfied	40.8%	46.9%	26.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24	14	11
	DK/NA	7.7%	6.2%	11.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	7	5
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Total	313	220	93
	Never Seen	12	3	9
	Very satisfied	3.9%	1.5%	9.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	80	45	35
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25.6%	20.6%	37.4%
	DK/NA	35	27	8
	Very satisfied	11.2%	12.2%	8.9%
18G. Email notifications from	Total	313	220	93
	Never Seen	64	38	26
	Very satisfied	20.4%	17.2%	28.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	25	18	7
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.9%	8.1%	7.4%
	DK/NA	14	7	7
	Very dissatisfied	4.5%	3.2%	7.7%



	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Very dissatisfied	44 14.0%	39 17.7%	5 5.4%
DK/NA	131 41.9%	92 41.6%	40 42.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>313</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>			
Never Seen	67 21.5%	55 25.1%	12 12.9%
Very satisfied	103 32.7%	74 33.8%	28 30.2%
Somewhat satisfied	38 12.2%	30 13.7%	8 8.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	11 3.5%	7 3.0%	4 4.7%
Very dissatisfied	17 5.6%	9 4.0%	9 9.4%
DK/NA	77 24.5%	45 20.5%	32 33.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>146</b>
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>			
Never Seen	39 9.9%	17 6.8%	22 15.2%
Very satisfied	81 20.5%	50 19.9%	32 21.6%
Somewhat satisfied	27 6.8%	20 7.9%	7 5.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	10 2.6%	6 2.5%	4 2.8%
Very dissatisfied	83 21.0%	63 25.3%	20 13.8%
DK/NA	155 39.1%	94 37.6%	61 41.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>146</b>
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>			
Never Seen	50 12.6%	25 10.1%	25 16.8%
Very satisfied	147 37.2%	98 39.3%	49 33.5%
Somewhat satisfied	27 6.9%	24 9.8%	3 2.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	11 2.8%	7 2.8%	4 2.8%
Very dissatisfied	38 9.5%	22 8.7%	16 10.7%
DK/NA	123 31.1%	73 29.3%	50 34.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>146</b>
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>			
Never Seen	85 21.4%	48 19.3%	37 25.1%
Very satisfied	126 31.8%	86 34.5%	40 27.3%
Somewhat satisfied	41 10.3%	30 12.1%	11 7.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied	21 5.4%	12 4.8%	9 6.5%
Very dissatisfied	24	19	5

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Very dissatisfied	6.0%	7.7%	3.2%
DK/NA	99 25.0%	54 21.6%	45 30.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Never Seen		A
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
18B. News stories in the Almanac	DK/NA		
	Never Seen	B	
	Very satisfied	B	
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Very dissatisfied		A
	DK/NA		A
	Never Seen		
	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied		
18D. Activity Guide	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		A
	Never Seen		A
	Very satisfied		
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied	B	
	DK/NA		
	Never Seen		A
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied	B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
18G. Email notifications from the City	Never Seen		A
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		A

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
	<b>Never Seen</b>	80	43	14	1	22
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	254	144	44	11	54
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	102	51	22	5	24
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	11	8	1	10
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	54	24	12	0	17
	<b>DK/NA</b>	190	112	26	5	48
18B. News stories in the Almanac	<b>Total</b>	313	164	65	8	76
	<b>Never Seen</b>	58	29	12	0	16
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	128	69	31	4	24
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	24	17	3	0	4
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	2	2	0	7
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	12	3	3	0	6
	<b>DK/NA</b>	80	45	14	4	18
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	<b>Total</b>	313	164	65	8	76
	<b>Never Seen</b>	35	17	11	0	7
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	64	41	6	5	12
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	25	7	11	0	7
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	14	5	2	0	8
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	44	18	12	3	10
	<b>DK/NA</b>	131	76	24	0	32
	<b>Total</b>	313	164	65	8	76
	<b>Never Seen</b>	67	40	14	5	8
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	103	52	17	3	31

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
18D. Activity Guide	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	38	21	10	0	8
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	0	3	0	8
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	17	4	5	0	9
	<b>DK/NA</b>	77	47	17	0	12
18E. Facebook and Twitter	<b>Total</b>	397	221	60	14	101
	<b>Never Seen</b>	39	18	4	1	16
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	81	37	17	6	21
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	27	18	8	1	0
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	10	5	2	0	3
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	83	48	14	2	20
	<b>DK/NA</b>	155	95	15	6	39
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	<b>Total</b>	397	221	60	14	101
	<b>Never Seen</b>	50	24	9	1	16
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	147	89	21	6	32
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	27	11	8	3	6
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	7	2	0	2
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	38	19	7	3	9
	<b>DK/NA</b>	123	70	15	2	37
18G. Email notifications from the City	<b>Total</b>	397	221	60	14	101
	<b>Never Seen</b>	85	45	11	0	28
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	126	71	22	10	23
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	41	19	11	4	7
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	21	11	4	0	6
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	24	16	3	0	5
	<b>DK/NA</b>	99	58	9	1	32

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Never Seen				
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			. <sup>a</sup>	
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Very dissatisfied			. <sup>a</sup>	
	DK/NA				
	Never Seen				
	Very satisfied				
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Somewhat satisfied		A		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			. <sup>a</sup>	A
	Very dissatisfied			. <sup>a</sup>	
	DK/NA				
18D. Activity Guide	Never Seen			D	
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied			. <sup>a</sup>	A
	Somewhat dissatisfied			. <sup>a</sup>	A
18E. Facebook and Twitter	DK/NA				
	Never Seen	D	D	. <sup>a</sup>	
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Somewhat dissatisfied			. <sup>a</sup>	
	Very dissatisfied			. <sup>a</sup>	
	DK/NA				
	Never Seen				
18G. Email notifications from the City	Very satisfied			D	
	Somewhat satisfied			. <sup>a</sup>	
	Somewhat dissatisfied			. <sup>a</sup>	
	DK/NA			. <sup>a</sup>	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Never Seen	80	23	12	6	3	17	19
	Very satisfied	254	75	43	13	20	52	51
	Somewhat satisfied	35.8%	36.2%	38.2%	29.2%	43.9%	33.9%	34.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	102	28	13	10	10	15	25
	DK/NA	30	7	3	3	3	4	10
	Very dissatisfied	4.3%	3.3%	2.5%	7.8%	5.7%	2.8%	7.1%
18B. News stories in the Almanac	DK/NA	54	15	5	2	5	23	4
	Very dissatisfied	7.6%	7.3%	4.1%	5.0%	10.6%	14.9%	2.6%
	DK/NA	190	59	37	9	6	42	37
	DK/NA	26.8%	28.3%	33.0%	21.7%	12.1%	27.4%	25.2%
	Total	313	82	45	30	16	81	60
	Never Seen	58	9	12	2	4	21	11
	Very satisfied	18.5%	10.4%	25.8%	5.2%	24.5%	26.1%	18.7%
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Very satisfied	128	35	20	15	11	29	17
	Somewhat satisfied	40.8%	43.1%	43.3%	51.3%	73.6%	36.0%	28.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24	8	3	3	0	7	3
	Very dissatisfied	7.7%	9.5%	6.7%	9.4%	1.9%	8.5%	5.6%
	DK/NA	11	0	2	0	0	6	4
	Very dissatisfied	3.5%	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%	6.4%
	DK/NA	12	1	0	1	0	5	6
18D. Activity Guide	DK/NA	3.9%	1.6%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	5.6%	9.5%
	DK/NA	80	29	9	10	0	14	19
	DK/NA	25.6%	35.4%	20.7%	32.0%	0.0%	16.8%	31.1%
	Total	313	82	45	30	16	81	60
	Never Seen	35	5	4	4	2	17	3
	Very satisfied	11.2%	5.9%	8.6%	13.6%	13.3%	21.2%	5.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	64	22	8	5	1	15	13
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Somewhat satisfied	20.4%	27.1%	16.8%	15.4%	6.0%	18.8%	22.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	5	2	5	4	4	5
	Very dissatisfied	7.9%	6.2%	3.5%	15.8%	25.6%	5.4%	8.3%
	DK/NA	14	3	1	0	1	3	6
	Very dissatisfied	4.5%	3.3%	2.7%	1.6%	4.3%	3.5%	10.5%
	DK/NA	44	9	5	2	7	11	10
	DK/NA	14.0%	11.0%	10.2%	7.3%	47.1%	14.1%	16.0%
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	DK/NA	131	38	26	14	1	30	22
	DK/NA	41.9%	46.6%	58.3%	46.2%	3.7%	37.1%	37.4%
	Total	313	82	45	30	16	81	60
	Never Seen	67	20	7	9	1	20	11
	Very satisfied	21.5%	24.3%	15.1%	30.9%	6.0%	24.2%	18.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	103	29	14	7	7	21	25
	Somewhat dissatisfied	32.7%	35.2%	31.0%	22.0%	46.9%	26.0%	41.4%
18G. Email notifications from the City	Somewhat satisfied	38	6	12	5	4	6	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.2%	6.7%	26.5%	16.5%	26.2%	7.0%	10.5%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	0	2	2	7
	DK/NA	3.5%	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	11.9%	2.1%	11.6%
18H. Facebook and Twitter	DK/NA	17	1	0	3	0	11	3

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Very dissatisfied	5.6%	1.6%	0.0%	9.4%	0.0%	13.1%	4.5%
DK/NA	77	26	12	6	1	22	8
	24.5%	31.6%	27.3%	21.2%	9.0%	27.7%	13.8%
<b>Total</b>	397	125	66	14	31	74	86
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>							
Never Seen	39	8	7	3	1	8	12
	9.9%	6.7%	10.1%	18.8%	2.7%	11.5%	14.3%
Very satisfied	81	27	2	6	9	15	22
	20.5%	21.3%	3.5%	45.0%	28.1%	20.7%	25.7%
Somewhat satisfied	27	8	7	1	5	5	1
	6.8%	6.7%	9.8%	9.4%	16.9%	6.8%	0.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	10	5	0	0	1	2	2
	2.6%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	2.4%	2.4%
Very dissatisfied	83	26	16	1	7	18	15
	21.0%	20.5%	24.1%	9.3%	23.1%	25.0%	17.3%
DK/NA	155	51	35	2	8	25	34
	39.1%	40.6%	52.5%	17.5%	24.9%	33.6%	39.7%
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>							
Total	397	125	66	14	31	74	86
Never Seen	50	17	4	2	3	10	13
	12.6%	13.9%	6.0%	12.4%	11.0%	13.4%	15.5%
Very satisfied	147	50	29	7	8	24	30
	37.2%	40.1%	43.1%	47.5%	26.5%	32.9%	34.2%
Somewhat satisfied	27	1	5	1	5	10	6
	6.9%	0.8%	7.2%	7.8%	16.8%	13.2%	6.4%
Somewhat dissatisfied	11	5	0	1	0	4	2
	2.8%	4.1%	0.4%	3.7%	0.0%	5.0%	1.8%
Very dissatisfied	38	15	3	1	6	6	7
	9.5%	12.1%	4.4%	9.3%	18.1%	7.9%	7.8%
DK/NA	123	36	26	3	8	20	30
	31.1%	28.9%	38.9%	19.5%	27.5%	27.6%	34.3%
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>							
Total	397	125	66	14	31	74	86
Never Seen	85	26	16	4	6	10	23
	21.4%	20.8%	23.9%	28.1%	20.0%	13.5%	26.7%
Very satisfied	126	40	20	6	10	21	30
	31.8%	31.8%	30.0%	42.9%	32.2%	27.8%	34.8%
Somewhat satisfied	41	10	5	3	8	6	8
	10.3%	8.2%	8.1%	18.1%	26.4%	8.4%	9.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	21	8	0	1	2	10	0
	5.4%	6.8%	0.0%	7.8%	5.2%	14.0%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	24	8	5	0	0	6	5
	6.0%	6.6%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	5.9%
DK/NA	99	32	21	0	5	21	20
	25.0%	25.8%	31.1%	3.1%	16.3%	28.2%	23.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org						
Never Seen						
Very satisfied						
Somewhat satisfied						
Somewhat dissatisfied						
Very dissatisfied					F	
DK/NA						
18B. News stories in the Almanac						
Never Seen						
Very satisfied				F		
Somewhat satisfied						
Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a		
Very dissatisfied		a		a		
DK/NA						
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings						
Never Seen						
Very satisfied						
Somewhat satisfied						
Somewhat dissatisfied						
Very dissatisfied				A B C E		
DK/NA	D	D	D			
18D. Activity Guide						
Never Seen						
Very satisfied		A E				
Somewhat satisfied			a			
Somewhat dissatisfied				a		A
Very dissatisfied		a		a	A	
DK/NA						
18E. Facebook and Twitter						
Never Seen						
Very satisfied	B		B	B	B	B
Somewhat satisfied						
Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	F		
Very dissatisfied						
DK/NA						
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post						
Never Seen						
Very satisfied						
Somewhat satisfied				A	A	
Somewhat dissatisfied				a		
Very dissatisfied						
DK/NA						
18G. Email notifications from the City						
Never Seen						
Very satisfied						
Somewhat satisfied		a				a
Somewhat dissatisfied						
Very dissatisfied			a	a		
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Total	710	297	95	46	69
	Never Seen	80	32	11	4	9
	Very satisfied	254	103	28	26	26
	Somewhat satisfied	102	44	16	5	10
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	11	4	1	3
	Very dissatisfied	54	22	12	0	11
	DK/NA	190	85	24	11	10
		26.8%	28.6%	25.2%	23.0%	13.9%
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Total	313	115	46	13	34
	Never Seen	58	11	5	0	7
	Very satisfied	128	41	26	9	17
	Somewhat satisfied	24	11	1	1	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	5	2	0	3
	Very dissatisfied	12	3	4	0	0
	DK/NA	80	45	8	3	4
		25.6%	38.6%	16.3%	24.8%	11.2%
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Total	313	115	46	13	34
	Never Seen	35	7	2	2	9
	Very satisfied	64	26	14	2	4
	Somewhat satisfied	25	11	2	2	5
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14	10	3	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	44	9	8	3	5
	DK/NA	131	54	18	5	11
		41.9%	46.7%	37.8%	38.8%	31.3%
18D. Activity Guide	Total	313	115	46	13	34
	Never Seen	67	15	15	2	8
	Very satisfied	103	33	17	7	17
	Somewhat satisfied	38	16	4	3	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	6	2	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	17	4	6	0	4
		21.5%	13.2%	32.0%	12.7%	21.9%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Total	57	44	67	35
	Never Seen	4	8	4	8
	Very satisfied	20	10	27	14
	Somewhat satisfied	6	10	5	7
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	1	5	3
	Very dissatisfied	4	0	5	0
	DK/NA	21	15	21	4
		37.1%	34.0%	31.7%	10.8%
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Total	34	23	26	21
	Never Seen	9	8	10	8
	Very satisfied	12	8	7	7
	Somewhat satisfied	1	2	4	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	0	3
	DK/NA	9	4	5	2
		27.4%	17.0%	20.7%	10.8%
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Total	34	23	26	21
	Never Seen	5	1	3	7
	Very satisfied	6	7	4	3
	Somewhat satisfied	1	0	1	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	1	0
	Very dissatisfied	6	2	7	5
	DK/NA	16	13	11	4
		47.9%	58.3%	40.8%	17.9%
18D. Activity Guide	Total	34	23	26	21
	Never Seen	11	3	6	7
	Very satisfied	5	9	9	4
	Somewhat satisfied	0	5	5	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	0	1
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	0	1
		31.9%	14.5%	24.9%	35.4%

	Total	Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>very dissatisfied</b>	5.6%	3.8%	12.9%	0.0%	11.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>	24.5%	34.6%	4.6%	14.4%	9.8%
<b>Total</b>	397	181	49	33	35
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>					
Never Seen	39	19	7	1	2
Very satisfied	81	47	8	7	12
Somewhat satisfied	27	8	2	3	1
Somewhat dissatisfied	10	4	3	0	1
Very dissatisfied	83	27	12	7	15
DK/NA	155	76	17	15	4
<b>Total</b>	397	181	49	33	35
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>					
Never Seen	50	27	8	3	4
Very satisfied	147	68	15	17	17
Somewhat satisfied	27	3	4	3	1
Somewhat dissatisfied	11	5	3	0	1
Very dissatisfied	38	13	8	4	2
DK/NA	123	66	11	6	10
<b>Total</b>	397	181	49	33	35
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>					
Never Seen	85	37	18	6	3
Very satisfied	126	48	20	15	13
Somewhat satisfied	41	18	2	4	4
Somewhat dissatisfied	21	13	2	0	2
Very dissatisfied	24	13	3	0	4
DK/NA	99	52	3	8	9

	Total	Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>very dissatisfied</b>	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	38.5%	20.9%	20.8%	28.5%	
<b>Total</b>	23	21	41	14	
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>					
Never Seen	1	3	5	2	
Very satisfied	1	0	4	3	
Somewhat satisfied	3	5	5	1	
Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	1	0	
Very dissatisfied	5	5	13	0	
DK/NA	12	8	13	9	
<b>Total</b>	23	21	41	14	
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>					
Never Seen	0	6	1	1	
Very satisfied	3	5	15	7	
Somewhat satisfied	4	5	5	2	
Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	2	1	
Very dissatisfied	4	0	7	0	
DK/NA	12	5	12	3	
<b>Total</b>	23	21	41	14	
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>					
Never Seen	5	6	10	1	
Very satisfied	7	4	12	6	
Somewhat satisfied	1	6	3	2	
Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	2	2	
Very dissatisfied	2	0	2	0	
DK/NA	7	5	11	4	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Never Seen					
	Very satisfied			F		
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			a		
18B. News stories in the Almanac	DK/NA					
	Never Seen					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a	
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Never Seen				A	
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied			a		a
18D. Activity Guide	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			a		
	DK/NA					
	Never Seen	B				B
	Very satisfied					
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied				A	
	DK/NA	D				D
	Never Seen					
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied			a		A
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
18G. Email notifications from the City	Never Seen					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied			a		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Never Seen			
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a		a
18B. News stories in the Almanac	DK/NA			
	Never Seen	A	A	A
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	a
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Very dissatisfied	a	a	
	DK/NA			
	Never Seen			A B
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	a		
18D. Activity Guide	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	
	DK/NA			
	Never Seen			
	Very satisfied			
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Somewhat satisfied	A		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		a
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			D
	Never Seen	G		
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	A	A	A
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		
	Very dissatisfied	a		a
	DK/NA			
18G. Email notifications from the City	Never Seen			
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	a		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		
	Very dissatisfied	a		a

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 <sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	<b>Never Seen</b>	92	9	53	7	9	5	7	2
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	297	67	144	13	29	18	7	20
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	36.8%	43.3%	33.8%	31.2%	45.6%	37.8%	17.0%	59.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	121	14	86	4	6	4	3	5
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	15.0%	9.0%	20.1%	10.0%	8.8%	8.7%	7.9%	13.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	37	9	16	2	5	4	3	0
<b>18B. News stories in the Almanac</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	76	201	19	26	23	22	11
	<b>Never Seen</b>	66	17	35	5	1	5	3	0
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	158	33	75	9	13	14	7	7
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	41.9%	43.3%	37.4%	48.9%	51.7%	61.1%	29.7%	62.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	28	3	13	3	4	1	2	3
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	7.4%	3.7%	6.6%	14.8%	14.6%	2.7%	7.1%	28.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	12	3	8	0	0	0	0	0
<b>18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	76	201	19	26	23	22	11
	<b>Never Seen</b>	39	3	25	3	3	3	2	0
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	75	17	31	4	5	7	5	5
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	19.9%	22.8%	15.5%	23.0%	17.6%	31.7%	22.9%	49.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	3	18	4	1	0	3	1
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	4.0%	4.4%	4.5%	2.5%	0.7%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	15	3	9	0	0	0	2	0
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	79	225	22	37	23	20	23
	<b>Never Seen</b>	92	9	53	7	9	5	7	2
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	297	67	144	13	29	18	7	20
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	121	14	86	4	6	4	3	5
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	15.0%	9.0%	20.1%	10.0%	8.8%	8.7%	7.9%	13.2%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	63	30	24	0	3	2	2	2
	<b>DK/NA</b>	197	26	103	15	12	14	20	6
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	79	225	22	37	23	20	23
	<b>Never Seen</b>	39	3	23	1	4	2	7	0
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	84	13	44	2	3	6	3	14
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	19.7%	16.5%	19.7%	10.6%	7.6%	25.1%	13.3%	57.7%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	28	3	17	1	5	0	1	0
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	6.6%	3.9%	7.7%	4.9%	14.2%	1.9%	5.5%	0.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	14	0	6	2	2	4	0	0
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	79	225	22	37	23	20	23
	<b>Never Seen</b>	52	8	30	1	4	2	6	0
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	160	31	71	11	14	10	11	13
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	37.2%	39.4%	31.6%	47.2%	36.7%	41.1%	57.2%	54.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	28	7	13	0	6	1	1	0
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	6.6%	8.5%	5.8%	0.0%	17.3%	4.5%	5.5%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	13	1	4	4	1	1	0	2
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	79	225	22	37	23	20	23
	<b>Never Seen</b>	95	14	54	7	7	4	6	2
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	139	27	74	6	14	7	4	6
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	32.3%	34.0%	33.0%	28.3%	38.5%	31.9%	17.9%	24.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	47	6	22	2	8	2	0	7
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	23	1	10	4	2	1	5	0
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5.3%	1.6%	4.4%	17.3%	5.1%	5.6%	24.0%	0.0%
<b>18H. News stories in the Almanac</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	76	201	19	26	23	22	11
	<b>Never Seen</b>	83	18	43	7	4	6	3	1
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	123	25	60	4	13	9	5	7
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	32.4%	32.3%	30.0%	21.6%	48.5%	39.1%	23.0%	63.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	27	8	17	1	0	0	2	0
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	7.3%	10.0%	8.2%	5.0%	1.4%	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	86	12	53	1	7	4	9	1

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	48	6	27	4	2	3	4	3
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	5	4	0	0	2	0	0
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	31	12	19	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>DK/NA</b>	8.3%	16.1%	9.3%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		83	11	48	3	7	4	10	0
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	79	225	22	37	23	20	23
	<b>Never Seen</b>	92	9	53	7	9	5	7	2
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	297	67	144	13	29	18	7	20
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	121	14	86	4	6	4	3	5
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	15.0%	9.0%	20.1%	10.0%	8.8%	8.7%	7.9%	13.2%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	63	30	24	0	3	2	2	2
	<b>DK/NA</b>	197	26	103	15	12	14	20	6
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	79	225	22	37	23	20	23
	<b>Never Seen</b>	52	8	30	1	4	2	6	0
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	160	31	71	11	14	10	11	13
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	37.2%	39.4%	31.6%	47.2%	36.7%	41.1%	57.2%	54.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	28	7	13	0	6	1	1	0
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	6.6%	8.5%	5.8%	0.0%	17.3%	4.5%	5.5%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	13	1	4	4	1	1	0	2
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	79	225	22	37	23	20	23
	<b>Never Seen</b>	95	14	54	7	7	4	6	2
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	139	27	74	6	14	7	4	6
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	32.3%	34.0%	33.0%	28.3%	38.5%	31.9%	17.9%	24.9%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	47	6	22	2	8	2	0	7
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	23	1	10	4	2	1	5	0
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5.3%	1.6%	4.4%	17.3%	5.1%	5.6%	24.0%	0.0%
<b>18H. News stories in the Almanac</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	76	201	19	26	23	22	11
	<b>Never Seen</b>	83	18	43	7	4	6	3	1
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	123	25	60	4	13	9	5	7
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	32.4%	32.3%	30.0%	21.6%	48.5%	39.1%	23.0%	63.3%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	27	8	17	1	0	0	2	0
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	7.3%	10.0%	8.2%	5.0%	1.4%	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	86	12	53	1	7	4	9	1



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Date						
	Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	F	A					F
Never Seen							
Very satisfied							
Somewhat satisfied							a
Somewhat dissatisfied			a				
Very dissatisfied	B						
DK/NA						A B D	a
18B. News stories in the Almanac			a			a	A a
Never Seen							
Very satisfied							
Somewhat satisfied							a
Somewhat dissatisfied					a		a
Very dissatisfied							
DK/NA							a
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings					a		a
Never Seen							
Very satisfied							
Somewhat satisfied							a
Somewhat dissatisfied							
Very dissatisfied							
DK/NA							
18D. Activity Guide			a	a		a	a
Never Seen							
Very satisfied							
Somewhat satisfied							a
Somewhat dissatisfied							a
Very dissatisfied			a		a		a
DK/NA						A	
Never Seen						A B	a
Very satisfied							A B C D
18E. Facebook and Twitter	a				B	a	
Somewhat satisfied							
Somewhat dissatisfied							
Very dissatisfied	B E						
DK/NA			G				a
Never Seen							
Very satisfied							
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post			a				a
Somewhat satisfied							
Somewhat dissatisfied			A B				a
Very dissatisfied					a		a
DK/NA							
Never Seen							
Very satisfied							
18G. Email notifications from the City			A			a	B a
Somewhat satisfied							
Somewhat dissatisfied			a			A B	a
Very dissatisfied	B				B		a
DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	710	510	200
Total	710	510	200
Never Seen	80	62	18
Very satisfied	11.3%	12.2%	9.1%
Somewhat satisfied	254	176	78
Somewhat dissatisfied	35.8%	34.6%	38.8%
Very dissatisfied	102	85	16
DK/NA	14.3%	16.8%	8.1%
18B. News stories in the Almanac	30	19	11
Total	313	231	82
Never Seen	4.3%	3.8%	5.4%
Very satisfied	54	41	12
Somewhat satisfied	7.6%	8.1%	6.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied	190	125	65
Very dissatisfied	26.8%	24.5%	32.5%
DK/NA	11	7	4
Total	313	231	82
Never Seen	58	41	17
Very satisfied	18.5%	17.6%	21.0%
Somewhat satisfied	128	99	29
Somewhat dissatisfied	40.8%	42.8%	35.3%
Very dissatisfied	24	19	5
DK/NA	7.7%	8.3%	6.0%
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	11	7	4
Total	313	231	82
Never Seen	3.5%	3.2%	4.3%
Very satisfied	12	8	4
Somewhat satisfied	3.9%	3.6%	4.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	80	57	23
Very dissatisfied	25.6%	24.5%	28.6%
DK/NA	35	24	11
Total	313	231	82
Never Seen	11.2%	10.4%	13.5%
Very satisfied	64	50	14
Somewhat satisfied	20.4%	21.6%	17.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	25	21	4
Very dissatisfied	7.9%	9.0%	4.8%
DK/NA	14	14	0
18D. Activity Guide	4.5%	5.9%	0.6%
Total	313	231	82
Never Seen	44	34	10
Very satisfied	14.0%	14.7%	12.1%
Somewhat satisfied	131	89	43
Somewhat dissatisfied	41.9%	38.3%	52.0%
Very dissatisfied	67	55	12
DK/NA	21.5%	23.8%	15.0%
18E. Facebook and Twitter	103	75	28
Total	313	231	82
Never Seen	32.7%	32.4%	33.6%
Very satisfied	38	31	7
Somewhat satisfied	12.2%	13.5%	8.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied	11	10	0
Very dissatisfied	3.5%	4.5%	0.6%
DK/NA	17	11	6

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	5.6%	4.8%	7.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>	77 24.5%	48 20.9%	28 34.5%
<b>Total</b>	397	278	118
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>			
<b>Never Seen</b>	39 9.9%	34 12.2%	6 4.7%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	81 20.5%	49 17.7%	32 27.1%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	27 6.8%	21 7.5%	6 5.3%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	10 2.6%	7 2.4%	4 3.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	83 21.0%	67 24.1%	16 13.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>	155 39.1%	100 36.1%	55 46.1%
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>			
<b>Total</b>	397	278	118
<b>Never Seen</b>	50 12.6%	44 15.8%	6 4.9%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	147 37.2%	100 36.1%	47 39.7%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	27 6.9%	20 7.1%	8 6.4%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11 2.8%	7 2.3%	5 3.9%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	38 9.5%	28 10.2%	9 7.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>	123 31.1%	79 28.4%	44 37.3%
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>			
<b>Total</b>	397	278	118
<b>Never Seen</b>	85 21.4%	65 23.3%	20 17.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	126 31.8%	97 34.9%	29 24.7%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	41 10.3%	25 9.1%	16 13.2%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	21 5.4%	15 5.4%	7 5.5%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	24 6.0%	17 6.1%	7 5.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>	99 25.0%	59 21.3%	40 33.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org</b>	Never Seen		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied	B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
<b>18B. News stories in the Almanac</b>	DK/NA		A
	Never Seen		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
<b>18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings</b>	Very dissatisfied	B	
	DK/NA		A
	Never Seen		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>	Somewhat dissatisfied		A
	DK/NA		
	Never Seen	B	
	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied		
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>	Somewhat dissatisfied	B	
	DK/NA		
	Never Seen	B	
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Never Seen		
	Very satisfied	B	
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		A
	Very satisfied		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	<b>Total</b>	710	347	363
	<b>Never Seen</b>	80	37	44
		11.3%	10.6%	12.0%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	254	141	113
		35.8%	40.7%	31.1%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	102	53	49
		14.3%	15.2%	13.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	30	13	17
	4.3%	3.7%	4.8%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	54	30	24	
	7.6%	8.6%	6.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	190	73	117	
	26.8%	21.1%	32.2%	
18B. News stories in the Almanac	<b>Total</b>	313	154	159
	<b>Never Seen</b>	58	37	21
		18.5%	24.2%	13.0%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	128	69	59
		40.8%	45.0%	36.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	24	17	7
		7.7%	11.0%	4.5%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	2	9
	3.5%	1.6%	5.4%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	12	6	7	
	3.9%	3.6%	4.1%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	80	23	58	
	25.6%	14.6%	36.2%	
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	<b>Total</b>	313	154	159
	<b>Never Seen</b>	35	21	14
		11.2%	13.5%	9.0%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	64	32	32
		20.4%	20.6%	20.3%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	25	10	15
		7.9%	6.5%	9.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	14	6	8
	4.5%	4.0%	5.0%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	44	29	15	
	14.0%	18.6%	9.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	131	57	74	
	41.9%	36.8%	46.8%	
18D. Activity Guide	<b>Total</b>	313	154	159
	<b>Never Seen</b>	67	39	29
		21.5%	25.0%	18.1%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	103	56	47
		32.7%	36.1%	29.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	38	24	14
	12.2%	15.5%	9.0%	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	7	4	
	3.5%	4.7%	2.4%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	17	3	15	

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
18E. Facebook and Twitter	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	5.6%	1.8%	9.2%
		77	26	51
		24.5%	16.9%	31.8%
<b>Total</b>	397	193	204	
<b>Never Seen</b>	39	12	27	
	9.9%	6.4%	13.3%	
<b>Very satisfied</b>	81	29	52	
	20.5%	15.0%	25.7%	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	27	18	9	
	6.8%	9.6%	4.2%	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	10	4	7	
	2.6%	1.9%	3.3%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	83	61	22	
	21.0%	31.7%	10.9%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	155	68	87	
	39.1%	35.4%	42.6%	
<b>Total</b>	397	193	204	
<b>Never Seen</b>	50	22	28	
	12.6%	11.5%	13.6%	
<b>Very satisfied</b>	147	63	85	
	37.2%	32.5%	41.6%	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	27	20	7	
	6.9%	10.5%	3.5%	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	5	6	
	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	38	25	13	
	9.5%	12.9%	6.2%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	123	57	66	
	31.1%	29.8%	32.3%	
<b>Total</b>	397	193	204	
<b>Never Seen</b>	85	43	42	
	21.4%	22.2%	20.8%	
<b>Very satisfied</b>	126	64	63	
	31.8%	33.1%	30.7%	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	41	23	17	
	10.3%	12.2%	8.5%	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	21	5	16	
	5.4%	2.8%	7.9%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	24	21	3	
	6.0%	10.6%	1.6%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	99	37	62	
	25.0%	19.1%	30.6%	

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Total	808	710	98
	Never Seen	92	80	12
	Very satisfied	11.4%	11.3%	11.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	297	254	43
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36.8%	35.8%	44.2%
	Very dissatisfied	121	102	20
	DK/NA	15.0%	14.3%	19.9%
		37	30	7
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Total	378	313	65
	Never Seen	66	58	8
	Very satisfied	17.5%	18.5%	12.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	158	128	30
	Somewhat dissatisfied	41.9%	40.8%	46.7%
	Very dissatisfied	28	24	4
	DK/NA	7.4%	7.7%	5.9%
		12	11	1
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Total	378	313	65
	Never Seen	39	35	4
	Very satisfied	10.4%	11.2%	6.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	75	64	11
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.9%	20.4%	17.3%
	Very dissatisfied	30	25	5
	DK/NA	8.0%	7.9%	8.3%
		15	14	1
18D. Activity Guide	Total	378	313	65
	Never Seen	83	67	16
	Very satisfied	22.0%	21.5%	24.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	123	103	20
	Somewhat dissatisfied	32.4%	32.7%	30.8%
	Very dissatisfied	48	38	9
	DK/NA	12.6%	12.2%	14.3%
		11	11	0

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Total	430	397	33
	Never Seen	39	39	0
	Very satisfied	9.2%	9.9%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	84	81	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.7%	20.5%	9.3%
	Very dissatisfied	28	27	1
	DK/NA	6.6%	6.8%	3.9%
		14	10	4
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Total	430	397	33
	Never Seen	52	50	2
	Very satisfied	12.1%	12.6%	6.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	160	147	12
	Somewhat dissatisfied	37.2%	37.2%	37.8%
	Very dissatisfied	28	27	1
	DK/NA	6.6%	6.9%	2.9%
		13	11	1
18G. Email notifications from the City	Total	430	397	33
	Never Seen	95	85	10
	Very satisfied	22.1%	21.4%	29.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	139	126	12
	Somewhat dissatisfied	32.3%	31.8%	37.2%
	Very dissatisfied	47	41	6
	DK/NA	10.9%	10.3%	18.3%
		23	21	1

	Children in Household				
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]	
<b>18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
	<b>Never Seen</b>	92	35	57	0
		11.4%	9.9%	12.6%	1.1%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	297	147	149	2
		36.8%	42.0%	32.6%	64.6%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	121	56	66	0
		15.0%	15.9%	14.4%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	37	18	20	0
		4.6%	5.0%	4.4%	0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	63	13	50	0
		7.8%	3.6%	11.1%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	197	82	114	1
		24.4%	23.5%	24.9%	34.3%
<b>18B. News stories in the Almanac</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	152	224	2
	<b>Never Seen</b>	66	25	39	2
		17.5%	16.5%	17.5%	85.3%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	158	70	88	0
		41.9%	46.1%	39.3%	1.4%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	28	8	20	0
		7.4%	5.1%	9.0%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	12	7	5	0
		3.2%	4.5%	2.4%	0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	27	4	24	0
		7.3%	2.5%	10.6%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	86	38	48	0
		22.8%	25.3%	21.2%	13.2%
<b>18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	152	224	2
	<b>Never Seen</b>	39	11	26	2
		10.4%	7.4%	11.7%	86.7%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	75	42	34	0
		19.9%	27.3%	15.0%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	30	9	21	0
		8.0%	5.8%	9.4%	13.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	15	9	6	0
		4.0%	5.8%	2.8%	0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	71	25	46	0
		18.8%	16.6%	20.4%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	148	56	91	0
		39.1%	37.1%	40.8%	0.0%
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	152	224	2
	<b>Never Seen</b>	83	43	40	0
		22.0%	28.3%	17.8%	1.4%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	123	55	66	2
		32.4%	36.0%	29.5%	85.3%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	48	21	26	0
		12.6%	14.0%	11.6%	13.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	6	5	0
		2.9%	4.0%	2.2%	0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	31	6	26	0
		8.3%	3.7%	11.5%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	83	21	61	0
		21.9%	14.0%	27.4%	0.0%

	Children in Household				
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]	
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	198	231	1
	<b>Never Seen</b>	39	18	21	0
		9.2%	9.2%	9.1%	0.1%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	84	40	45	0
		19.7%	20.1%	19.4%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	28	17	11	0
		6.6%	8.5%	5.0%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	14	3	11	0
		3.2%	1.4%	4.8%	0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	100	37	63	0
		23.2%	18.6%	27.1%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	164	83	80	1
		38.2%	42.2%	34.6%	99.9%
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	198	231	1
	<b>Never Seen</b>	52	22	30	0
		12.1%	10.9%	13.1%	0.0%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	160	70	90	0
		37.2%	35.6%	38.7%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	28	17	11	0
		6.6%	8.8%	4.8%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	13	2	10	0
		2.9%	1.2%	4.5%	0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	45	16	29	0
		10.5%	8.1%	12.6%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	132	70	61	1
		30.6%	35.5%	26.3%	100.0%
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	198	231	1
	<b>Never Seen</b>	95	47	48	0
		22.1%	23.7%	20.8%	0.1%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	139	73	65	0
		32.3%	37.0%	28.3%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	47	16	31	0
		10.9%	8.0%	13.4%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	23	11	12	0
		5.3%	5.4%	5.3%	0.0%
	<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	25	11	14	0
		5.9%	5.8%	6.0%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	101	40	61	1
		23.5%	20.1%	26.2%	99.9%

	Ages of Children in Household				
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>Never Seen</b>	92	10	20	2	14
	11.4%	8.1%	10.7%	2.5%	12.9%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	297	46	91	34	36
	36.8%	37.2%	47.8%	43.2%	33.2%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	121	20	29	9	18
	15.0%	16.2%	15.0%	11.8%	16.6%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	37	6	11	5	3
	4.6%	5.2%	5.8%	6.0%	3.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	63	3	5	7	8
	7.8%	2.6%	2.7%	9.0%	6.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	197	38	34	22	30
	24.4%	30.6%	18.0%	27.5%	27.3%
<b>Total</b>	378	62	85	31	50
<b>Never Seen</b>	66	5	10	7	15
	17.5%	8.1%	11.3%	23.6%	30.4%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	158	24	32	17	25
	41.9%	38.8%	37.6%	55.3%	50.1%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	28	3	5	1	2
	7.4%	5.3%	5.5%	2.5%	4.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	12	1	6	1	0
	3.2%	1.4%	7.1%	2.3%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	27	1	4	0	0
	7.3%	1.3%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	86	28	29	5	8
	22.8%	45.3%	34.1%	16.4%	15.4%
<b>Total</b>	378	62	85	31	50
<b>Never Seen</b>	39	6	4	2	5
	10.4%	9.4%	4.5%	6.9%	10.6%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	75	20	17	2	16
	19.9%	33.1%	20.6%	7.4%	31.9%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	30	4	2	0	2
	8.0%	7.0%	2.9%	1.6%	4.4%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	15	5	9	0	0
	4.0%	7.5%	10.3%	0.0%	0.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	71	3	19	16	8
	18.8%	4.6%	22.1%	53.2%	16.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	148	24	34	9	18
	39.1%	38.4%	39.6%	30.9%	35.8%
<b>Total</b>	378	62	85	31	50
<b>Never Seen</b>	83	21	19	7	17
	22.0%	34.2%	22.9%	23.6%	34.7%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	123	17	30	19	22
	32.4%	28.1%	35.1%	62.7%	43.7%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	48	9	15	0	5
	12.6%	13.9%	17.3%	0.0%	9.3%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	1	3	2	2
	2.9%	1.8%	3.7%	6.8%	4.2%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	31	2	3	0	0
	8.3%	3.6%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	83	11	14	2	4
	21.9%	18.5%	16.9%	6.8%	8.1%

	Ages of Children in Household	
	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>Never Seen</b>	57	0
	12.6%	1.1%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	149	2
	32.6%	64.6%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	66	0
	14.4%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	20	0
	4.4%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	50	0
	11.1%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	114	1
	24.9%	34.3%
<b>Total</b>	224	2
<b>Never Seen</b>	39	2
	17.5%	85.3%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	88	0
	39.3%	1.4%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	20	0
	9.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	5	0
	2.4%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	24	0
	10.6%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	48	0
	21.2%	13.2%
<b>Total</b>	224	2
<b>Never Seen</b>	26	2
	11.7%	86.7%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	34	0
	15.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	21	0
	9.4%	13.2%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	6	0
	2.8%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	46	0
	20.4%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	91	0
	40.8%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	224	2
<b>Never Seen</b>	40	0
	17.8%	1.4%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	66	2
	29.5%	85.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	26	0
	11.6%	13.2%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	5	0
	2.2%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	26	0
	11.5%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	61	0
	27.4%	0.0%

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
18E. Facebook and Twitter	<b>Total</b>	430	62	106	48	60
	<b>Never Seen</b>	39	12	14	0	3
		9.2%	19.7%	12.9%	0.0%	5.2%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	84	14	26	6	8
		19.7%	21.8%	24.8%	13.4%	12.8%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	28	2	9	4	7
		6.6%	3.3%	8.8%	8.1%	12.4%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	14	0	0	2	1
		3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	1.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	100	7	21	17	16	
	23.2%	11.4%	20.3%	34.3%	26.3%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	164	27	35	19	25	
	38.2%	43.7%	33.3%	40.1%	42.0%	
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	<b>Total</b>	430	62	106	48	60
	<b>Never Seen</b>	52	10	15	2	6
		12.1%	16.6%	13.9%	4.5%	10.8%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	160	21	35	20	25
		37.2%	33.3%	33.5%	40.5%	42.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	28	4	5	6	11
		6.6%	5.9%	4.5%	13.4%	18.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	13	0	1	0	1
		2.9%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	1.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	45	5	10	6	5	
	10.5%	8.3%	9.4%	12.4%	7.8%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	132	22	39	14	12	
	30.6%	35.9%	37.3%	29.2%	20.1%	
18G. Email notifications from the City	<b>Total</b>	430	62	106	48	60
	<b>Never Seen</b>	95	14	28	6	16
		22.1%	22.6%	26.7%	12.1%	26.7%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	139	18	42	22	21
		32.3%	28.3%	39.4%	45.5%	35.5%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	47	4	5	3	6
		10.9%	6.9%	5.1%	6.7%	10.2%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	23	4	7	1	4
		5.3%	5.9%	7.1%	2.2%	7.5%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	25	7	4	7	3	
	5.9%	11.6%	4.0%	14.0%	5.5%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	101	15	19	9	9	
	23.5%	24.7%	17.7%	19.5%	14.5%	

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
18E. Facebook and Twitter	<b>Total</b>	231	1
	<b>Never Seen</b>	21	0
		9.1%	0.1%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	45	0
		19.4%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	11	0
		5.0%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	0
		4.8%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	63	0	
	27.1%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	80	1	
	34.6%	99.9%	
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	<b>Total</b>	231	1
	<b>Never Seen</b>	30	0
		13.1%	0.0%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	90	0
		38.7%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	11	0
		4.8%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	10	0
		4.5%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	29	0	
	12.6%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	61	1	
	26.3%	100.0%	
18G. Email notifications from the City	<b>Total</b>	231	1
	<b>Never Seen</b>	48	0
		20.8%	0.1%
	<b>Very satisfied</b>	65	0
		28.3%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	31	0
		13.4%	0.0%
	<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	12	0
		5.3%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	14	0	
	6.0%	0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	61	1	
	26.2%	99.9%	

	Ethnic Group						
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
<b>18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org</b>							
Total	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
Never Seen	92	6	0	9	50	18	7
	11.4%	16.2%	0.0%	9.8%	10.2%	14.9%	100.0%
Very satisfied	297	15	1	44	186	34	0
	36.8%	38.3%	100.0%	46.4%	37.8%	28.5%	0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	121	5	0	13	74	23	0
	15.0%	12.3%	0.0%	13.8%	15.0%	18.8%	0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	37	0	0	3	21	5	0
	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	4.2%	4.1%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	63	3	0	5	46	3	0
	7.8%	8.7%	0.0%	5.7%	9.4%	2.3%	0.0%
DK/NA	197	10	0	20	115	38	0
	24.4%	24.6%	0.0%	21.2%	23.4%	31.4%	0.0%
<b>18B. News stories in the Almanac</b>							
Total	378	21	1	36	230	64	0
Never Seen	66	5	0	2	45	11	0
	17.5%	22.8%	0.0%	6.6%	19.7%	16.7%	0.0%
Very satisfied	158	6	1	14	100	20	0
	41.9%	29.5%	100.0%	38.9%	43.6%	31.6%	0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	28	3	0	0	11	10	0
	7.4%	16.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	15.9%	0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	12	3	0	1	8	0	0
	3.2%	16.3%	0.0%	2.0%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	27	0	0	2	25	0	0
	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	86	3	0	16	40	23	0
	22.8%	15.2%	0.0%	45.9%	17.5%	35.7%	0.0%
<b>18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings</b>							
Total	378	21	1	36	230	64	0
Never Seen	39	5	0	0	30	4	0
	10.4%	22.8%	0.0%	0.7%	13.1%	6.4%	0.0%
Very satisfied	75	5	0	9	38	16	0
	19.9%	22.0%	0.0%	25.3%	16.4%	24.4%	0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	30	3	0	7	12	8	0
	8.0%	11.9%	0.0%	20.0%	5.3%	12.8%	0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	15	0	1	0	9	2	0
	4.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	4.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	71	0	0	3	55	3	0
	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	23.9%	5.4%	0.0%
DK/NA	148	9	0	17	86	30	0
	39.1%	43.3%	0.0%	46.4%	37.4%	47.8%	0.0%
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>							
Total	378	21	1	36	230	64	0
Never Seen	83	2	0	7	55	14	0
	22.0%	8.1%	0.0%	20.4%	23.9%	22.1%	0.0%
Very satisfied	123	7	0	20	65	20	0
	32.4%	33.4%	0.0%	55.8%	28.2%	32.1%	0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	48	4	0	1	30	9	0
	12.6%	19.6%	0.0%	2.0%	13.0%	14.7%	0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	11	0	0	0	9	0	0
	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	31	3	0	0	24	0	0
	8.3%	16.3%	0.0%	0.0%	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	83	5	1	8	47	20	0
	21.9%	22.7%	100.0%	21.9%	20.4%	31.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org</b>			
Total	30	5	17
Never Seen	0	0	1
	0.0%	1.1%	5.1%
Very satisfied	8	0	8
	26.9%	4.9%	48.3%
Somewhat satisfied	2	1	4
	5.2%	23.4%	21.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	5	3	1
	16.3%	60.8%	6.6%
Very dissatisfied	5	0	1
	15.0%	0.0%	5.5%
DK/NA	11	0	2
	36.6%	9.8%	12.6%
<b>18B. News stories in the Almanac</b>			
Total	14	4	10
Never Seen	0	1	2
	0.0%	29.6%	20.4%
Very satisfied	10	2	6
	72.5%	40.4%	58.8%
Somewhat satisfied	1	1	2
	7.6%	18.2%	16.4%
Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	3	0	0
	19.9%	11.8%	4.4%
<b>18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings</b>			
Total	14	4	10
Never Seen	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Very satisfied	4	1	3
	28.7%	29.7%	32.0%
Somewhat satisfied	0	0	0
	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	1
	16.5%	0.0%	9.9%
Very dissatisfied	5	0	5
	33.1%	12.5%	50.7%
DK/NA	3	2	1
	21.7%	52.1%	7.4%
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>			
Total	14	4	10
Never Seen	4	0	1
	27.7%	5.8%	10.7%
Very satisfied	6	2	3
	40.5%	40.4%	33.0%
Somewhat satisfied	2	1	0
	16.5%	29.6%	0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	0
	15.3%	0.0%	0.3%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	3
	0.0%	12.5%	31.9%
DK/NA	0	0	2
	0.0%	11.7%	24.1%



	Ethnic Group						
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
<b>Total</b>	430	18	0	60	263	57	7
<b>Never Seen</b>	39	0	0	11	16	5	7
	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	5.9%	9.0%	100.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	84	2	0	19	43	19	0
	19.7%	10.2%	0.0%	31.8%	16.3%	33.9%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	28	3	0	3	16	7	0
	6.6%	14.2%	0.0%	5.2%	5.9%	12.4%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	14	0	0	3	2	7	0
	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	0.9%	12.1%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	100	0	0	7	82	3	0
	23.2%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	31.2%	4.8%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	164	14	0	18	105	16	0
	38.2%	75.6%	0.0%	29.5%	39.8%	27.9%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	430	18	0	60	263	57	7
<b>Never Seen</b>	52	0	0	13	24	7	7
	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%	20.9%	8.9%	12.3%	100.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	160	8	0	24	89	31	0
	37.2%	43.2%	0.0%	40.9%	33.8%	55.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	28	3	0	0	22	3	0
	6.6%	15.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	5.3%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	13	2	0	0	7	2	0
	2.9%	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	4.2%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	45	0	0	1	37	0	0
	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	14.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	132	6	0	22	85	13	0
	30.6%	30.9%	0.0%	36.0%	32.1%	23.3%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	430	18	0	60	263	57	7
<b>Never Seen</b>	95	7	0	11	55	15	7
	22.1%	37.1%	0.0%	18.3%	20.8%	25.5%	100.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	139	1	0	16	100	11	0
	32.3%	7.4%	0.0%	27.5%	38.1%	18.4%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	47	5	0	9	26	7	0
	10.9%	24.4%	0.0%	15.2%	9.8%	13.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	23	2	0	7	8	4	0
	5.3%	10.3%	0.0%	12.1%	2.9%	7.6%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	25	0	0	7	15	3	0
	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	5.7%	4.8%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	101	4	0	9	60	18	0
	23.5%	20.7%	0.0%	14.4%	22.6%	30.7%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	17	1	7
<b>Never Seen</b>	2	0	0
	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	1	0	0
	5.6%	20.9%	3.5%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	2	0	0
	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	5	0	3
	27.4%	0.1%	47.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	8	1	3
	46.6%	79.0%	49.1%
<b>Total</b>	17	1	7
<b>Never Seen</b>	2	0	0
	12.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	4	1	3
	22.9%	99.8%	37.1%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	2	0	0
	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	5	0	2
	27.4%	0.1%	31.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	4	0	2
	26.0%	0.1%	31.4%
<b>Total</b>	17	1	7
<b>Never Seen</b>	0	0	1
	0.0%	0.1%	16.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	5	0	5
	27.8%	20.9%	72.8%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	2	0	0
	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	10	1	1
	61.3%	79.0%	11.2%

	Ethnic Surname						
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
<b>Never Seen</b>	80	0	2	11	4	1	0
	11.3%	0.0%	11.9%	12.4%	13.2%	8.1%	14.2%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	254	3	13	19	11	10	2
	35.8%	45.2%	67.5%	21.3%	35.8%	59.2%	49.0%
<b>18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org</b>	102	1	3	16	3	1	1
	14.3%	13.7%	14.0%	18.3%	8.9%	5.8%	36.8%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	30	3	0	2	1	0	0
	4.3%	41.1%	0.0%	2.7%	2.9%	1.3%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	54	0	0	3	3	0	0
	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	190	0	1	38	8	4	0
	26.8%	0.0%	6.5%	42.2%	28.1%	25.6%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>							
<b>Total</b>	313	2	7	48	14	7	1
<b>Never Seen</b>	58	0	3	7	6	6	0
	18.5%	0.0%	43.0%	14.9%	41.1%	75.1%	0.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	128	2	1	15	0	1	0
	40.8%	100.0%	12.5%	31.2%	3.4%	14.8%	46.9%
<b>18B. News stories in the Almanac</b>	24	0	0	8	3	0	0
	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	16.9%	23.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	11	0	0	0	1	0	0
	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%	3.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	12	0	0	0	2	0	0
	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	80	0	3	18	1	1	1
	25.6%	0.0%	44.5%	37.0%	9.6%	7.1%	53.1%
<b>DK/NA</b>							
<b>Total</b>	313	2	7	48	14	7	1
<b>Never Seen</b>	35	0	0	6	4	2	0
	11.2%	0.0%	0.0%	13.4%	28.5%	31.0%	0.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	64	0	1	11	1	0	0
	20.4%	0.0%	19.9%	23.1%	8.2%	0.0%	46.9%
<b>18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings</b>	25	0	0	3	3	0	0
	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	25.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	14	0	0	3	0	0	0
	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	44	0	2	4	2	1	0
	14.0%	0.0%	23.1%	8.2%	14.5%	14.9%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	131	2	4	20	3	4	1
	41.9%	100.0%	57.0%	41.5%	23.6%	51.1%	53.1%
<b>DK/NA</b>							
<b>Total</b>	313	2	7	48	14	7	1
<b>Never Seen</b>	67	0	0	7	4	3	1
	21.5%	0.0%	0.0%	15.5%	26.7%	44.1%	53.1%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	103	1	5	14	5	0	0
	32.7%	40.3%	71.2%	29.4%	36.0%	0.0%	46.9%
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>	38	0	0	7	1	1	0
	12.2%	0.0%	0.0%	14.1%	8.2%	14.8%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	17	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	77	1	2	19	4	3	0
	24.5%	59.7%	28.8%	40.0%	29.1%	38.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>							

	Ethnic Surname	
	African American	Not Coded
<b>Total</b>	14	530
<b>Never Seen</b>	7	55
	47.6%	10.3%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	0	196
	2.9%	37.0%
<b>18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org</b>	5	72
	34.3%	13.6%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0	24
	0.0%	4.5%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	48
	0.0%	9.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	2	137
	15.2%	25.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>		
<b>Total</b>	4	231
<b>Never Seen</b>	0	37
	0.0%	15.9%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	0	108
	4.1%	47.0%
<b>18B. News stories in the Almanac</b>	1	12
	21.3%	5.2%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0	10
	0.0%	4.2%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	10
	0.0%	4.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	3	54
	74.6%	23.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>		
<b>Total</b>	4	231
<b>Never Seen</b>	0	23
	0.0%	9.8%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	0	50
	0.0%	21.7%
<b>18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings</b>	0	18
	4.1%	7.8%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0	11
	0.0%	4.6%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	35
	0.0%	15.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	4	94
	95.9%	40.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>		
<b>Total</b>	4	231
<b>Never Seen</b>	0	53
	0.0%	22.8%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	0	78
	0.0%	33.6%
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>	1	28
	25.4%	12.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0	11
	0.0%	4.6%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	17
	0.0%	7.4%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	3	45
	74.6%	19.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>		

	Ethnic Surname						
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
<b>Total</b>	397	6	13	41	16	9	2
<b>Never Seen</b>	39	0	1	6	1	1	0
	9.9%	7.0%	9.4%	15.3%	4.2%	14.6%	0.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	81	0	6	12	2	1	2
	20.5%	0.0%	46.0%	30.0%	9.4%	6.9%	70.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	27	0	0	3	0	0	1
	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	1.1%	0.0%	29.7%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	10	3	0	5	0	0	0
	2.6%	53.3%	0.0%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	83	0	4	3	8	1	0
	21.0%	0.0%	27.7%	6.6%	47.2%	15.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	155	2	2	12	6	6	0
	39.1%	39.7%	16.9%	28.7%	38.1%	63.5%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	397	6	13	41	16	9	2
<b>Never Seen</b>	50	2	0	10	2	3	0
	12.6%	39.7%	0.0%	23.3%	13.8%	32.2%	0.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	147	1	8	18	6	2	2
	37.2%	22.2%	60.7%	44.6%	35.6%	21.9%	70.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	27	0	0	3	0	1	0
	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11	2	0	2	0	0	0
	2.8%	31.1%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	38	0	0	0	5	0	0
	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	123	0	5	8	3	3	1
	31.1%	7.0%	39.3%	18.9%	20.1%	30.5%	29.7%
<b>Total</b>	397	6	13	41	16	9	2
<b>Never Seen</b>	85	0	2	10	4	3	0
	21.4%	0.0%	17.7%	25.4%	23.8%	29.3%	0.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	126	0	6	7	6	3	2
	31.8%	7.0%	47.8%	17.7%	34.6%	32.5%	70.3%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	41	0	1	5	0	1	1
	10.3%	0.0%	6.8%	13.3%	1.1%	10.5%	29.7%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	21	5	0	3	0	0	0
	5.4%	93.0%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	24	0	4	3	0	0	0
	6.0%	0.0%	27.7%	6.6%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	99	0	0	12	7	2	0
	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.7%	40.5%	27.4%	0.0%

	Ethnic Surname	
	African American	Not Coded
<b>Total</b>	10	300
<b>Never Seen</b>	7	23
	68.0%	7.6%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	1	58
	13.1%	19.4%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	2	21
	18.9%	7.1%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	2
	0.0%	0.8%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0	68
	0.0%	22.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>	0	127
	0.0%	42.3%
<b>Total</b>	10	300
<b>Never Seen</b>	7	26
	68.0%	8.7%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	2	109
	22.0%	36.2%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0	23
	0.0%	7.7%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	7
	0.0%	2.3%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0	33
	0.0%	10.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	1	102
	10.0%	34.2%
<b>Total</b>	10	300
<b>Never Seen</b>	7	59
	68.0%	19.7%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	1	102
	5.4%	34.0%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	2	31
	16.6%	10.4%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0	13
	0.0%	4.2%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0	18
	0.0%	5.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>	1	77
	10.0%	25.8%

	Total	Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
<b>18A. The City's website www. MenloPark.org</b>	808	134	154	92	229
Total	808	134	154	92	229
Never Seen	92	11	20	7	26
Very satisfied	11.4%	8.6%	12.9%	7.8%	11.5%
Somewhat satisfied	297	36	67	33	98
Somewhat dissatisfied	36.8%	26.8%	43.6%	35.6%	42.7%
Very dissatisfied	121	16	22	12	40
DK/NA	15.0%	12.0%	14.0%	13.3%	17.6%
	37	5	8	6	14
	4.6%	3.8%	5.1%	6.3%	6.3%
	63	21	8	12	6
	7.8%	15.5%	5.2%	12.9%	2.7%
	197	45	29	22	44
	24.4%	33.3%	19.1%	24.0%	19.3%
<b>18B. News stories in the Almanac</b>	378	65	75	41	98
Total	378	65	75	41	98
Never Seen	66	12	11	10	18
Very satisfied	17.5%	18.3%	14.8%	23.3%	18.7%
Somewhat satisfied	158	25	39	21	41
Somewhat dissatisfied	41.9%	39.1%	52.7%	51.5%	41.9%
Very dissatisfied	28	9	1	1	5
DK/NA	7.4%	14.1%	1.4%	1.6%	5.2%
	12	2	2	0	4
	3.2%	3.5%	3.2%	0.0%	4.1%
	27	3	6	2	12
	7.3%	4.9%	8.1%	5.3%	12.6%
	86	13	15	8	17
	22.8%	20.1%	19.8%	18.3%	17.5%
<b>18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings</b>	378	65	75	41	98
Total	378	65	75	41	98
Never Seen	39	9	6	4	8
Very satisfied	10.4%	14.3%	7.9%	10.5%	7.8%
Somewhat satisfied	75	7	16	8	21
Somewhat dissatisfied	19.9%	10.7%	21.8%	18.5%	21.9%
Very dissatisfied	30	9	5	1	4
DK/NA	8.0%	13.4%	6.1%	3.6%	4.4%
	15	3	3	2	3
	4.0%	3.9%	4.5%	4.0%	2.7%
	71	17	15	6	31
	18.8%	25.6%	20.1%	13.8%	32.1%
	148	21	30	20	31
	39.1%	32.1%	39.6%	49.6%	31.2%
Total	378	65	75	41	98

	Total	Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>18A. The City's website www. MenloPark.org</b>	77	123	
Total	77	123	
Never Seen	10	17	
Very satisfied	13.3%	13.8%	
Somewhat satisfied	31	33	
Somewhat dissatisfied	40.3%	26.9%	
Very dissatisfied	12	19	
DK/NA	15.7%	15.2%	
	3	2	
	3.4%	1.5%	
	0	17	
	0.0%	13.5%	
	21	36	
	27.2%	29.2%	
<b>18B. News stories in the Almanac</b>	35	65	
Total	35	65	
Never Seen	8	8	
Very satisfied	22.4%	11.7%	
Somewhat satisfied	10	21	
Somewhat dissatisfied	28.9%	32.8%	
Very dissatisfied	6	5	
DK/NA	18.6%	8.4%	
	0	3	
	0.0%	5.3%	
	1	3	
	2.8%	4.2%	
	9	24	
	27.2%	37.5%	
<b>18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings</b>	35	65	
Total	35	65	
Never Seen	5	7	
Very satisfied	14.6%	10.7%	
Somewhat satisfied	8	15	
Somewhat dissatisfied	21.7%	23.8%	
Very dissatisfied	4	7	
DK/NA	11.2%	11.0%	
	1	4	
	1.9%	6.6%	
	2	1	
	4.6%	1.0%	
	16	30	
	46.0%	46.9%	
Total	35	65	

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
18D. Activity Guide	Never Seen	83 22.0%	16 24.6%	13 17.8%	8 18.4%	19 19.3%
	Very satisfied	123 32.4%	16 24.1%	25 33.2%	13 31.3%	41 41.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	48 12.6%	16 25.2%	9 11.6%	5 12.5%	4 4.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11 2.9%	2 2.6%	4 4.7%	2 5.6%	3 3.5%
	Very dissatisfied	31 8.3%	6 8.6%	2 3.0%	4 10.6%	13 13.4%
	DK/NA	83 21.9%	10 14.9%	22 29.5%	9 21.7%	18 18.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>131</b>
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Never Seen	39 9.2%	2 3.0%	4 4.8%	3 5.3%	17 12.9%
	Very satisfied	84 19.7%	9 12.7%	18 23.2%	4 7.2%	27 20.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 6.6%	4 5.6%	3 3.2%	4 7.2%	11 8.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 10.7%	4 3.2%
	Very dissatisfied	100 23.2%	34 49.8%	20 24.9%	11 22.5%	19 14.8%
	DK/NA	164 38.2%	20 28.8%	35 43.9%	24 47.0%	52 40.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>131</b>
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Never Seen	52 12.1%	13 18.4%	5 6.1%	6 11.3%	13 9.6%
	Very satisfied	160 37.2%	26 37.2%	30 38.0%	21 40.8%	51 39.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 6.6%	3 4.9%	7 8.6%	3 5.5%	9 6.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13 2.9%	0 0.0%	3 3.4%	4 7.4%	1 0.5%
	Very dissatisfied	45 10.5%	7 10.4%	9 11.4%	5 10.8%	12 9.3%
	DK/NA	132 30.6%	20 29.1%	26 32.6%	12 24.2%	45 34.5%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>131</b>
18G. Email notifications from the City	Never Seen	95 22.1%	13 18.5%	27 33.6%	8 15.7%	24 18.3%
	Very satisfied	139 32.3%	10 15.1%	31 39.3%	18 34.8%	54 41.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	47 10.9%	11 16.1%	2 2.3%	4 8.6%	16 12.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	0 0.0%	2 2.3%	8 15.3%	3 2.0%
	Very dissatisfied	25 5.9%	11 16.4%	3 3.2%	3 6.4%	4 3.2%
	DK/NA	101 23.5%	23 34.0%	15 19.4%	10 19.3%	30 23.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>131</b>

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
18D. Activity Guide	Never Seen	7 21.5%	20 30.5%
	Very satisfied	7 20.0%	21 33.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	7 20.3%	6 9.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3 7.7%	3 5.3%
	DK/NA	11 30.5%	14 21.3%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>58</b>
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Never Seen	4 9.0%	10 17.6%
	Very satisfied	10 22.8%	17 28.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	6 14.7%	1 2.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 8.3%	1 1.4%
	Very dissatisfied	3 7.1%	12 20.3%
	DK/NA	16 38.1%	17 29.6%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>58</b>
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Never Seen	2 4.0%	14 24.9%
	Very satisfied	19 45.1%	13 22.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	5 13.0%	1 1.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5 11.2%	1 1.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	11 19.7%
	DK/NA	11 26.7%	17 29.7%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>58</b>
18G. Email notifications from the City	Never Seen	13 30.6%	11 18.8%
	Very satisfied	9 21.0%	16 28.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	10 22.6%	4 7.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 6.9%	8 13.5%
	Very dissatisfied	4 9.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	4 9.6%	18 31.8%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>58</b>

	Interview Type					
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text	
<b>18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org</b>	<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
	Never Seen	92 11.4%	8 13.0%	20 32.3%	25 6.6%	39 13.0%
	Very satisfied	297 36.8%	28 47.3%	10 16.7%	156 40.4%	104 34.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	121 15.0%	0 0.3%	11 18.6%	65 16.9%	44 14.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	37 4.6%	1 1.2%	4 6.9%	20 5.3%	12 4.1%
	Very dissatisfied	63 7.8%	0 0.0%	1 1.1%	63 16.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	197 24.4%	22 38.2%	15 24.5%	56 14.6%	103 34.1%
<b>18B. News stories in the Almanac</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	24	34	197	123
	Never Seen	66 17.5%	5 21.1%	11 31.2%	34 17.3%	16 13.3%
	Very satisfied	158 41.9%	9 37.0%	8 22.5%	100 50.6%	42 34.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 7.4%	4 15.5%	11 31.4%	8 3.9%	6 4.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 2.3%	8 6.2%
	Very dissatisfied	27 7.3%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	27 13.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	86 22.8%	6 26.4%	5 13.2%	24 12.3%	51 41.6%
<b>18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	24	34	197	123
	Never Seen	39 10.4%	3 10.9%	17 50.6%	8 4.0%	11 9.3%
	Very satisfied	75 19.9%	11 46.2%	3 8.2%	40 20.3%	21 17.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	30 8.0%	1 4.0%	5 15.0%	17 8.8%	7 5.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 4.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.5%	4 1.8%	11 8.6%
	Very dissatisfied	71 18.8%	0 0.0%	0 1.4%	71 35.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	148 39.1%	9 38.9%	8 22.2%	58 29.4%	73 59.3%
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>	<b>Total</b>	378	24	34	197	123
	Never Seen	83 22.0%	3 10.9%	9 27.7%	52 26.6%	18 15.0%
	Very satisfied	123 32.4%	12 49.4%	7 19.8%	64 32.7%	40 32.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	48 12.6%	2 6.6%	10 28.0%	22 11.1%	15 11.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11 2.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 3.4%	4 3.5%
	Very dissatisfied	31 8.3%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%	30 15.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	83 21.9%	8 33.1%	7 21.3%	21 10.8%	46 37.5%

	Interview Type					
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text	
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	35	27	188	181
	Never Seen	39 9.2%	5 15.4%	8 29.6%	3 1.4%	24 13.1%
	Very satisfied	84 19.7%	19 55.6%	1 3.4%	20 10.6%	44 24.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 6.6%	0 0.5%	7 26.7%	10 5.1%	11 6.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14 3.2%	1 3.4%	0 0.0%	5 2.5%	8 4.5%
	Very dissatisfied	100 23.2%	0 0.0%	1 2.5%	99 52.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	164 38.2%	9 25.1%	10 37.8%	52 27.7%	93 51.6%
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	35	27	188	181
	Never Seen	52 12.1%	5 15.4%	5 17.5%	17 9.1%	25 13.7%
	Very satisfied	160 37.2%	19 55.8%	10 39.1%	58 30.7%	73 40.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 6.6%	0 0.0%	7 27.8%	12 6.5%	9 4.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13 2.9%	3 7.4%	2 8.2%	3 1.4%	5 3.0%
	Very dissatisfied	45 10.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	45 24.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	132 30.6%	7 21.4%	2 7.4%	53 28.3%	69 38.3%
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>	<b>Total</b>	430	35	27	188	181
	Never Seen	95 22.1%	5 14.8%	5 18.8%	43 23.0%	42 23.0%
	Very satisfied	139 32.3%	8 22.9%	4 15.9%	59 31.3%	68 37.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	47 10.9%	8 22.1%	7 26.3%	17 9.1%	15 8.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	4 10.5%	3 12.0%	3 1.4%	14 7.5%
	Very dissatisfied	25 5.9%	0 0.0%	1 2.6%	25 13.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	101 23.5%	10 29.8%	6 24.4%	42 22.2%	43 23.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Never Seen		C D		C
	Very satisfied	B		B	B
	Somewhat satisfied		A	A	A
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	.a		B	.a
18B. News stories in the Almanac	DK/NA	C			C
	Never Seen				
	Very satisfied			B D	
	Somewhat satisfied		C D		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a		
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Very dissatisfied	.a		B	.a
	DK/NA				B C
	Never Seen		A C D		
	Very satisfied	B C D			
	Somewhat satisfied	.a			C
18D. Activity Guide	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a		B	.a
	DK/NA				B C
	Never Seen				
	Very satisfied		C		
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	.a		
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Very dissatisfied	.a			.a
	DK/NA	C			C
	Never Seen	C	C		C
	Very satisfied	B C D			C
	Somewhat satisfied		A C D		
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a	B	.a
	DK/NA				A C
	Never Seen				
	Very satisfied	C			
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	C D		
18G. Email notifications from the City	Very dissatisfied	.a		B	.a
	DK/NA				B
	Never Seen				
	Very satisfied		C D		
	Somewhat satisfied	C	C		C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Never Seen	80	12	10	21	13	25
	Very satisfied	254	29	52	57	30	86
	Somewhat satisfied	35.8%	27.7%	36.6%	38.1%	32.6%	38.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	102	16	25	17	13	31
	Very dissatisfied	14.3%	15.5%	17.5%	11.1%	13.7%	14.1%
	DK/NA	30	4	4	8	2	13
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Very dissatisfied	4.3%	3.6%	2.8%	5.3%	1.7%	5.9%
	DK/NA	54	5	10	14	10	15
	Total	190	40	40	33	25	51
	Never Seen	26.8%	37.9%	28.5%	22.1%	27.6%	23.1%
	Very satisfied	313	39	59	63	45	107
	Never Seen	58	2	2	9	14	31
	Very satisfied	128	10	26	26	14	52
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Somewhat satisfied	40.8%	26.3%	44.6%	40.7%	30.0%	48.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24	1	0	10	3	9
	Very dissatisfied	11	4	2	5	1	0
	DK/NA	3.5%	9.0%	2.7%	7.5%	2.5%	0.0%
	Total	12	3	3	4	0	3
	Never Seen	3.9%	6.8%	4.3%	6.5%	0.0%	2.6%
	DK/NA	80	20	26	9	13	12
18D. Activity Guide	Very dissatisfied	25.6%	50.7%	44.0%	14.8%	28.6%	11.3%
	Total	313	39	59	63	45	107
	Never Seen	35	1	2	13	4	15
	Very satisfied	64	8	17	8	12	19
	Somewhat satisfied	20.4%	20.5%	28.8%	13.0%	25.8%	17.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	4	5	6	4	5
	Very dissatisfied	7.9%	11.0%	8.8%	9.8%	7.8%	5.1%
18E. Facebook and Twitter	DK/NA	14	4	5	1	2	2
	Total	4.5%	10.5%	7.8%	2.3%	3.9%	2.0%
	Never Seen	44	0	3	9	3	30
	Very satisfied	14.0%	0.0%	4.3%	13.9%	6.8%	27.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	131	22	27	26	21	35
	Somewhat dissatisfied	41.9%	55.0%	46.4%	41.1%	47.0%	32.9%
	DK/NA	17	0	5	7	0	5
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Total	313	39	59	63	45	107
	Never Seen	67	7	8	14	11	28
	Very satisfied	103	10	19	24	16	34
	Somewhat satisfied	32.7%	24.7%	31.7%	37.7%	35.0%	32.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	38	2	10	4	5	18
	Very dissatisfied	12.2%	6.1%	16.3%	6.1%	10.8%	16.5%
	DK/NA	11	4	0	1	3	3
18G. Email notifications from the City	Very dissatisfied	3.5%	9.6%	0.4%	2.1%	5.8%	2.8%
	DK/NA	17	0	5	7	0	5

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>very dissatisfied</b>	5.6%	0.0%	8.1%	11.8%	0.0%	4.9%
<b>DK/NA</b>	77	17	18	13	11	18
	24.5%	42.1%	30.6%	19.7%	24.6%	17.4%
<b>Total</b>	397	66	83	86	47	115
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>						
Never Seen	39	11	8	8	1	10
	9.9%	17.3%	9.8%	9.5%	2.4%	9.1%
Very satisfied	81	14	24	24	9	9
	20.5%	21.6%	29.2%	28.3%	19.8%	8.1%
Somewhat satisfied	27	0	6	12	1	7
	6.8%	0.0%	7.6%	14.1%	2.7%	6.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied	10	0	5	2	1	3
	2.6%	0.0%	6.0%	2.1%	2.3%	2.2%
Very dissatisfied	83	8	7	13	15	41
	21.0%	12.3%	8.3%	14.9%	31.4%	35.8%
DK/NA	155	32	32	27	19	44
	39.1%	48.8%	39.1%	31.2%	41.4%	38.4%
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>						
Total	397	66	83	86	47	115
Never Seen	50	19	5	11	2	13
	12.6%	28.0%	6.5%	12.7%	4.6%	11.1%
Very satisfied	147	16	43	31	20	38
	37.2%	24.1%	52.0%	35.6%	42.3%	33.0%
Somewhat satisfied	27	1	3	10	1	13
	6.9%	2.0%	3.4%	11.4%	1.1%	11.3%
Somewhat dissatisfied	11	0	3	3	2	3
	2.8%	0.0%	4.1%	3.2%	3.4%	3.0%
Very dissatisfied	38	7	1	6	8	15
	9.5%	10.8%	1.6%	6.8%	17.7%	13.0%
DK/NA	123	23	27	26	14	33
	31.1%	35.0%	32.4%	30.3%	30.8%	28.5%
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>						
Total	397	66	83	86	47	115
Never Seen	85	15	14	19	8	30
	21.4%	22.2%	16.8%	21.5%	16.9%	26.2%
Very satisfied	126	14	21	33	21	38
	31.8%	21.1%	25.2%	38.3%	44.4%	32.8%
Somewhat satisfied	41	3	13	9	2	13
	10.3%	5.0%	16.1%	10.1%	5.2%	11.3%
Somewhat dissatisfied	21	6	7	3	1	4
	5.4%	9.0%	8.6%	3.9%	2.3%	3.5%
Very dissatisfied	24	0	7	5	2	9
	6.0%	0.0%	8.7%	6.2%	4.0%	8.2%
DK/NA	99	28	20	17	13	21
	25.0%	42.7%	24.6%	20.0%	27.1%	18.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Voting Propensity				
	0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
<b>18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org</b>					
Never Seen					
Very satisfied					
Somewhat satisfied					
Somewhat dissatisfied					
Very dissatisfied					
DK/NA					
Never Seen				A B	A B
Very satisfied					
<b>18B. News stories in the Almanac</b>			B		<sup>a</sup>
Somewhat satisfied				<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>
Somewhat dissatisfied					
Very dissatisfied					
DK/NA	C E	C E			
Never Seen					
Very satisfied					
<b>18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings</b>					
Somewhat satisfied					
Somewhat dissatisfied					
Very dissatisfied	<sup>a</sup>				B D
DK/NA					
Never Seen					
Very satisfied					
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>					
Somewhat satisfied					
Somewhat dissatisfied					
Very dissatisfied	<sup>a</sup>			<sup>a</sup>	
DK/NA	E				
Never Seen					
Very satisfied					
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>		E	E		
Somewhat satisfied	<sup>a</sup>				
Somewhat dissatisfied	<sup>a</sup>				
Very dissatisfied				B	A B C
DK/NA					
Never Seen	B D E				
Very satisfied		A			
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>					
Somewhat satisfied	<sup>a</sup>				
Somewhat dissatisfied					
Very dissatisfied				B	B
DK/NA					
Never Seen					
Very satisfied					
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>					
Somewhat satisfied					
Somewhat dissatisfied					
Very dissatisfied	<sup>a</sup>				
DK/NA	C E				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions



		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83
	Never Seen	80	30	13	6	8	15
	Very satisfied	247	81	56	18	26	24
	Somewhat satisfied	100	31	19	7	14	12
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	8	4	2	6	3
	Very dissatisfied	54	17	8	5	7	8
	DK/NA	187	61	50	10	16	20
		26.8%	26.8%	33.7%	20.9%	20.7%	23.9%
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Total	309	102	59	28	38	32
	Never Seen	58	24	5	6	6	7
	Very satisfied	124	38	28	16	15	9
	Somewhat satisfied	24	13	4	1	2	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	2	0	0	2	5
	Very dissatisfied	12	1	2	0	3	1
	DK/NA	80	24	20	5	9	8
		25.8%	24.0%	34.0%	18.2%	23.1%	25.1%
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Total	309	102	59	28	38	32
	Never Seen	35	14	3	5	6	3
	Very satisfied	62	21	18	6	0	7
	Somewhat satisfied	24	7	0	4	7	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14	3	2	1	1	1
	Very dissatisfied	43	10	7	3	9	5
	DK/NA	131	47	29	9	14	11
		42.4%	46.5%	48.6%	33.4%	38.3%	34.0%
18D. Activity Guide	Total	309	102	59	28	38	32
	Never Seen	67	34	6	6	8	4
	Very satisfied	102	36	15	8	9	17
	Somewhat satisfied	38	13	8	3	7	5
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	0	0	2	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	15	1	0	0	5	4

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Total	91	12	11
	Never Seen	7	0	1
	Very satisfied	30	8	4
	Somewhat satisfied	12	2	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	1	0
	Very dissatisfied	9	0	0
	DK/NA	25	1	3
		27.8%	11.2%	31.9%
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Total	43	4	4
	Never Seen	9	0	0
	Very satisfied	15	4	1
	Somewhat satisfied	2	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	5	0	0
	DK/NA	10	0	3
		23.0%	8.2%	87.2%
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Total	43	4	4
	Never Seen	4	0	0
	Very satisfied	6	4	1
	Somewhat satisfied	1	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	5	0	3
	DK/NA	21	0	0
		48.1%	0.0%	0.0%
18D. Activity Guide	Total	43	4	4
	Never Seen	4	2	3
	Very satisfied	14	2	1
	Somewhat satisfied	2	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	5	0	0

	Party by Gender					
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
Very dissatisfied	4.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	12.5%
DK/NA	24.7%	17.1%	51.0%	30.1%	22.4%	6.7%
<b>Total</b>	390	126	91	20	41	51
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>						
Never Seen	39	12	6	2	2	13
Very satisfied	81	20	16	9	9	11
Somewhat satisfied	27	12	6	1	8	0
Somewhat dissatisfied	10	3	3	1	1	1
Very dissatisfied	80	29	15	5	9	11
DK/NA	151	49	45	2	12	14
	38.8%	39.2%	49.5%	11.7%	29.8%	27.7%
<b>Total</b>	390	126	91	20	41	51
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>						
Never Seen	50	14	10	1	8	13
Very satisfied	143	39	46	6	15	17
Somewhat satisfied	27	9	3	0	8	3
Somewhat dissatisfied	11	6	2	2	0	1
Very dissatisfied	38	12	7	5	2	4
DK/NA	121	46	24	6	8	13
	31.0%	36.9%	26.0%	31.3%	20.7%	25.7%
<b>Total</b>	390	126	91	20	41	51
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>						
Never Seen	84	28	16	7	5	22
Very satisfied	123	39	29	8	15	12
Somewhat satisfied	41	14	5	1	10	3
Somewhat dissatisfied	19	6	5	1	3	4
Very dissatisfied	24	11	5	0	3	5
DK/NA	99	27	31	3	6	6
	25.5%	21.5%	33.8%	13.7%	15.1%	11.3%

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Very dissatisfied	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	22.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	47	8	7
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>			
Never Seen	3	1	0
Very satisfied	10	4	2
Somewhat satisfied	0	0	1
Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	0
Very dissatisfied	9	2	0
DK/NA	23	1	5
	48.5%	11.3%	69.0%
<b>Total</b>	47	8	7
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>			
Never Seen	3	1	1
Very satisfied	15	4	2
Somewhat satisfied	2	1	2
Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	0
Very dissatisfied	5	0	3
DK/NA	21	2	0
	45.2%	24.2%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	47	8	7
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>			
Never Seen	7	0	0
Very satisfied	11	5	5
Somewhat satisfied	4	2	2
Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
DK/NA	26	1	0
	54.8%	12.9%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Never Seen						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
18B. News stories in the Almanac	DK/NA						
	Never Seen						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.a		AB	
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Never Seen						
	Very satisfied		D		B	B	
	Somewhat satisfied						
18D. Activity Guide	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Never Seen	B					
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied					.a	AB
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Very dissatisfied			.a	A	A	
	DK/NA		A E				
	Never Seen					B	
	Very satisfied					.a	
	Somewhat satisfied				F		
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Never Seen			.a	B		
	Very satisfied				.a		
	Somewhat satisfied						
18G. Email notifications from the City	DK/NA						
	Never Seen					B D F	
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied				B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied						.a
	Very dissatisfied			.a			.a
	DK/NA						A D E

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Never Seen		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a
18B. News stories in the Almanac	DK/NA		
	Never Seen		.a
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a
	DK/NA		
	Never Seen		.a
	Very satisfied	A D F	
	Somewhat satisfied		.a
18D. Activity Guide	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a
	DK/NA		.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	A B C E F
	Never Seen		B E F
	Very satisfied		
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Somewhat satisfied		.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a
	DK/NA		.a
	Never Seen		.a
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	B
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	
	DK/NA		
18G. Email notifications from the City	Never Seen	.a	.a
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a
	DK/NA		

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>	416	104	141	61	51
<b>Never Seen</b>	43 10.4%	20 18.8%	9 6.6%	7 11.8%	6 12.1%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	159 38.3%	48 45.8%	60 42.3%	16 25.4%	19 37.7%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	69 16.7%	11 10.4%	22 15.6%	16 25.6%	8 15.8%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	11 2.7%	1 0.7%	6 4.2%	0 0.5%	3 6.5%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	25 6.0%	10 9.4%	0 0.0%	3 5.6%	4 8.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	108 26.0%	15 14.9%	44 31.3%	19 31.0%	10 19.9%
<b>Total</b>	198	38	68	29	32
<b>Never Seen</b>	27 13.6%	8 22.0%	9 12.8%	4 14.8%	6 17.3%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	89 45.1%	11 28.6%	36 52.2%	15 50.6%	18 56.2%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	15 7.6%	2 6.1%	7 10.0%	0 1.6%	5 15.9%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	2 0.9%	0 0.0%	1 1.7%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	17 8.4%	1 2.0%	3 4.9%	1 3.2%	0 0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	48 24.4%	16 41.2%	13 18.4%	9 29.7%	3 8.8%
<b>Total</b>	198	38	68	29	32
<b>Never Seen</b>	15 7.4%	4 10.4%	3 4.6%	3 9.9%	5 14.2%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	46 23.4%	12 31.2%	17 25.1%	7 24.2%	9 28.1%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	12 6.2%	2 6.5%	3 4.6%	1 3.6%	3 8.1%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	10 5.0%	0 0.0%	3 4.9%	4 14.9%	2 6.5%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	40 20.0%	4 9.7%	10 15.0%	4 13.4%	7 21.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	75 38.0%	16 42.1%	31 45.9%	10 34.1%	7 21.7%
<b>Total</b>	198	38	68	29	32
<b>Never Seen</b>	37 18.5%	11 28.8%	14 21.3%	5 16.6%	6 20.0%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	71	11	34	9	11

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Ballot Test - \$50	
	Not sure [DK/NA]	
<b>Total</b>	59	
<b>Never Seen</b>	1 1.4%	
<b>Very satisfied</b>	17 29.0%	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	13 21.8%	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1 1.5%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	8 13.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	20 33.4%	
<b>Total</b>	31	
<b>Never Seen</b>	0 0.0%	
<b>Very satisfied</b>	10 32.5%	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1 1.1%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0 0.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	12 38.0%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	9 28.4%	
<b>Total</b>	31	
<b>Never Seen</b>	0 0.0%	
<b>Very satisfied</b>	1 4.2%	
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	3 10.0%	
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0 0.6%	
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	15 48.8%	
<b>DK/NA</b>	11 36.5%	
<b>Total</b>	31	
<b>Never Seen</b>	0 0.0%	
<b>Very satisfied</b>	6	

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>	35.5%	28.9%	49.4%	29.2%	34.9%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	32	5	7	13	7
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	16.4%	14.2%	10.6%	42.6%	21.6%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	1	0	0	0	1
<b>DK/NA</b>	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	2.2%
	19	3	1	0	3
	9.6%	7.1%	2.0%	0.0%	10.4%
	39	8	11	3	4
	19.6%	21.0%	16.7%	11.4%	10.9%
<b>Total</b>	218	66	73	32	19
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>	19	5	8	4	1
<b>Never Seen</b>	8.6%	6.9%	10.8%	13.6%	6.3%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	44	20	17	1	5
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	20.0%	29.9%	23.3%	3.8%	29.1%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	14	6	1	5	1
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	6.3%	8.8%	1.8%	15.0%	5.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>	8	0	6	2	0
	3.5%	0.0%	8.2%	5.0%	0.0%
	45	15	8	10	5
	20.5%	22.5%	11.1%	31.2%	25.5%
	90	21	33	10	6
	41.1%	31.9%	44.8%	31.3%	33.8%
<b>Total</b>	218	66	73	32	19
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>	31	5	14	3	6
<b>Never Seen</b>	14.1%	7.6%	19.5%	9.5%	33.4%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	70	31	22	5	5
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	32.3%	46.9%	30.4%	16.2%	28.4%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	13	8	2	3	0
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	6.0%	11.8%	2.2%	8.4%	2.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	8	0	3	2	2
	3.7%	0.4%	4.1%	5.0%	13.2%
	22	3	4	9	3
	10.2%	5.1%	5.0%	27.7%	13.8%
	73	19	28	11	2
	33.6%	28.2%	38.8%	33.3%	8.5%
<b>Total</b>	218	66	73	32	19
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>	39	18	11	3	2
<b>Never Seen</b>	17.7%	26.6%	15.1%	10.2%	13.2%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	74	20	29	10	9
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	33.8%	29.6%	39.5%	31.2%	48.5%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	21	5	9	5	2
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	9.5%	7.0%	12.8%	14.9%	8.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>	14	3	6	1	2
	6.6%	4.3%	8.3%	1.9%	13.2%
	10	4	0	3	0
	4.4%	5.4%	0.0%	10.9%	0.0%
	61	18	18	10	3
	28.0%	27.1%	24.3%	30.8%	16.3%

	Ballot Test - \$50
	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>	19.6%
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1.1%
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	0
<b>DK/NA</b>	0.0%
	12
	38.0%
	13
	41.3%
<b>Total</b>	28
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>	1
<b>Never Seen</b>	2.9%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	0
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	0.3%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	3.1%
<b>DK/NA</b>	0
	0.0%
	7
	25.0%
	20
	68.8%
<b>Total</b>	28
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>	2
<b>Never Seen</b>	8.1%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	7
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	23.4%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	1
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	2.2%
<b>DK/NA</b>	1
	3.1%
	4
	13.7%
	14
	49.5%
<b>Total</b>	28
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>	4
<b>Never Seen</b>	14.7%
<b>Very satisfied</b>	6
<b>Somewhat satisfied</b>	22.0%
<b>Somewhat dissatisfied</b>	0
<b>Very dissatisfied</b>	1.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>	2
	8.1%
	3
	9.1%
	13
	44.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Never Seen	B E				
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Very dissatisfied	B	A	B	B	B
	DK/NA					a
	Never Seen					
	Very satisfied					
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a		a
	Very dissatisfied					A B C D
	DK/NA	D				a
18D. Activity Guide	Never Seen					
	Very satisfied	E	E			
	Somewhat satisfied			B E		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a			a
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Very dissatisfied					A B
	DK/NA					
	Never Seen					
	Very satisfied	C E			E	
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Somewhat satisfied					a
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied					A C
	DK/NA				A	
18G. Email notifications from the City	Never Seen	C				
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied			A B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied					D
18H. News stories in the Almanac	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Never Seen					
	Very satisfied					
18I. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
18J. Facebook and Twitter	Never Seen					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
18K. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Never Seen					
	Very satisfied					
18L. Email notifications from the City	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA			B	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	Never Seen	49	14	11	4	16
	Very satisfied	138	37	50	7	34
	Somewhat satisfied	35.3%	35.0%	46.9%	22.8%	27.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	9	8	6	29
	Very dissatisfied	13.2%	8.4%	7.1%	19.9%	24.1%
	DK/NA	26	5	2	1	16
	DK/NA	6.7%	5.2%	2.1%	2.1%	13.1%
	DK/NA	38	11	10	3	10
	DK/NA	9.8%	10.9%	9.3%	8.4%	8.6%
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Total	180	47	46	14	56
	Never Seen	39	13	11	3	7
	Very satisfied	69	10	20	4	32
	Somewhat satisfied	38.3%	22.2%	43.8%	28.0%	58.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	0	3	4	6
	Very dissatisfied	7.1%	1.0%	5.6%	25.5%	11.1%
	DK/NA	10	5	3	0	0
	DK/NA	5.8%	9.9%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	11	3	2	0	4
	DK/NA	6.0%	5.4%	4.8%	0.0%	6.6%
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Total	180	47	46	14	56
	Never Seen	25	9	3	2	10
	Very satisfied	29	7	13	2	6
	Somewhat satisfied	16.0%	15.1%	27.5%	15.7%	10.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	0	2	4	12
	Very dissatisfied	9.9%	0.0%	4.0%	26.2%	21.3%
	DK/NA	5	0	1	0	3
	DK/NA	2.9%	1.0%	2.9%	0.0%	6.0%
	DK/NA	31	10	8	1	12
	DK/NA	17.4%	20.7%	17.3%	9.7%	22.1%
18D. Activity Guide	Total	180	47	46	14	56
	Never Seen	46	13	15	4	12
	Very satisfied	52	12	9	6	23
	Somewhat satisfied	28.9%	25.1%	20.5%	40.7%	40.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	1	6	2	5
	Very dissatisfied	8.4%	2.3%	12.4%	14.5%	9.3%
	DK/NA	10	0	1	0	8
	DK/NA	5.7%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	14.4%
	DK/NA	12	8	2	0	3
	DK/NA	6.7%	16.8%	4.3%	0.0%	5.4%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	Total	29
	Never Seen	5 17.4%
	Very satisfied	11 37.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2 7.1%
	Very dissatisfied	4 13.8%
	DK/NA	7 24.2%
18B. News stories in the Almanac	Total	17
	Never Seen	5 26.7%
	Very satisfied	2 11.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 16.3%
	Very dissatisfied	2 13.8%
	DK/NA	6 31.9%
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	Total	17
	Never Seen	0 0.0%
	Very satisfied	1 6.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	0 2.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	16 90.7%
18D. Activity Guide	Total	17
	Never Seen	2 12.1%
	Very satisfied	2 13.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	1 6.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1 5.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Very dissatisfied	6.9%	15.9%	4.8%	0.0%	5.0%
	DK/NA	44 24.4%	14 30.1%	12 26.3%	2 14.2%	5 8.6%
18E. Facebook and Twitter	Total	212	58	60	16	66
	Never Seen	21 9.7%	9 15.6%	2 2.9%	1 3.8%	9 13.8%
	Very satisfied	41 19.3%	13 22.9%	8 13.5%	1 7.5%	14 21.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	15 6.9%	3 5.2%	7 11.0%	0 0.0%	5 7.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 3.0%	3 4.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 5.7%
	Very dissatisfied	55 25.9%	12 19.9%	19 31.5%	7 41.7%	15 23.3%
	DK/NA	74 35.2%	18 31.8%	25 41.0%	8 46.9%	19 28.6%
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	Total	212	58	60	16	66
	Never Seen	21 9.9%	6 9.9%	3 4.8%	0 0.0%	12 18.7%
	Very satisfied	90 42.3%	24 41.8%	28 47.5%	7 41.0%	26 39.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	15 7.2%	4 6.8%	7 11.9%	0 0.0%	4 6.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 2.1%	3 5.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%
	Very dissatisfied	23 10.8%	6 10.0%	4 6.1%	4 23.4%	8 12.3%
	DK/NA	58 27.6%	15 25.8%	18 29.8%	6 35.6%	14 21.7%
18G. Email notifications from the City	Total	212	58	60	16	66
	Never Seen	56 26.6%	19 32.6%	15 24.9%	3 19.8%	17 26.0%
	Very satisfied	65 30.7%	16 28.1%	15 25.0%	5 30.7%	22 33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	26 12.3%	6 10.0%	10 16.1%	0 0.0%	11 16.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9 4.1%	3 4.5%	3 5.8%	0 0.0%	3 3.9%
	Very dissatisfied	16 7.4%	6 10.0%	4 5.9%	2 14.4%	4 6.0%
	DK/NA	40 18.9%	9 14.9%	13 22.3%	6 35.0%	9 14.3%

	Ballot Test - ...	
	Not sure [DK/NA]	
Very dissatisfied	0.0%	11
DK/NA	62.4%	
<b>Total</b>		11
Never Seen	0	0.3%
Very satisfied	4	38.4%
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>	0	0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	0.0%	0
Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	2	18.7%
DK/NA	5	42.6%
<b>Total</b>		11
Never Seen	0	0.0%
Very satisfied	4	37.5%
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>	0	0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	0.0%	0
Somewhat dissatisfied	0.0%	2
Very dissatisfied	2	13.9%
DK/NA	6	48.6%
<b>Total</b>		11
Never Seen	2	19.0%
Very satisfied	6	56.1%
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>	0	0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	0	0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0.0%
DK/NA	3	24.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org</b>		D		A B B	a
<b>18B. News stories in the Almanac</b>			A a a	A E a	a
<b>18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings</b>	a		a		a a A B C D
<b>18D. Activity Guide</b>	a D		a a		a D
<b>18E. Facebook and Twitter</b>		a	a a		a a
<b>18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post</b>		a	a a	B	a a
<b>18G. Email notifications from the City</b>			a a		a a a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.



		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>Total</b>		808	808
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	573	573
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	134	134
	DK/NA	101	101
		12.5%	12.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		(A)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	.
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>		808	377	418	13
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	573	270	293	9
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	134	77	57	0
	DK/NA	101	29	68	3
		12.5%	7.8%	16.2%	26.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas			
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	B		
	DK/NA		A	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
<b>Total</b>		808	67	127	155	149	109	187
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	573	41	101	105	112	89	112
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	134	8	9	17	25	12	64
	DK/NA	101	18	17	33	12	7	11
		12.5%	27.1%	13.7%	21.3%	8.2%	6.8%	6.1%

		Age
		Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		13
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	12
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	88.5%
	DK/NA	0
		3.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas		F			A F		
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas						A B C D E	
	DK/NA	D E F		D E F				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Total	710	470	240
	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	508	329	179
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	123	99	24
	DK/NA	79	42	37
		11.1%	8.9%	15.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas		
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	B	
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	508	285	76	14	132
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	123	54	38	7	24
	DK/NA	79	46	12	1	20
		11.1%	11.9%	9.3%	4.4%	11.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas				
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	B			B
	DK/NA		A D		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	508	159	71	30	28	105	113
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	123	19	31	9	15	26	23
	DK/NA	79	30	10	4	2	23	9
		11.1%	14.3%	8.7%	9.8%	5.3%	14.9%	6.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas						
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas		A		A		
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>Total</b>		710	297	95	46	69
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	508	209	73	38	39
		71.5%	70.3%	76.9%	82.5%	56.2%
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	123	43	11	8	19
		17.4%	14.3%	12.1%	17.5%	27.2%
<b>DK/NA</b>		79	45	11	0	11
		11.1%	15.3%	11.0%	0.0%	16.5%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>Total</b>		57	44	67	35
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	45	31	48	25
		79.2%	71.0%	72.1%	69.9%
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	9	11	18	5
		15.3%	26.0%	26.4%	13.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>		3	1	1	6
		5.5%	3.1%	1.6%	16.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>				
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>				
	<b>DK/NA</b>				

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>				
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>				
	<b>DK/NA</b>				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>Total</b>		808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	573	122	288	28	47	33	28	25
		70.9%	78.9%	67.8%	68.5%	74.4%	71.8%	67.3%	74.6%
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	134	26	72	10	8	9	7	1
		16.6%	16.6%	17.0%	24.8%	13.4%	19.9%	16.4%	2.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>		101	7	65	3	8	4	7	8
		12.5%	4.5%	15.2%	6.7%	12.2%	8.3%	16.2%	22.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>							
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>							
	<b>DK/NA</b>		A					A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		710	510	200
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	508	361	147
		71.5%	70.7%	73.5%
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	123	94	29
		17.4%	18.4%	14.7%
<b>DK/NA</b>		79	55	24
		11.1%	10.8%	11.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>		
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Total	710	347	363
	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	508	267	241
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	123	72	52
	DK/NA	79	9	70
		11.1%	2.5%	19.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	B	
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	B	
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Total	808	710	98
	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	573	508	65
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	134	123	11
	DK/NA	101	79	22
		12.5%	11.1%	22.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas		
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Total	808	350	455	3
	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	573	259	311	3
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	134	31	103	0
	DK/NA	101	59	41	0
		12.5%	17.0%	9.1%	0.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes (A)	No (B)	Not sure [DK/NA] (C)
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas			
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas		A	. <sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA	B		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>Total</b>		808	124	191	79	110
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	573	92	134	62	74
		70.9%	74.0%	70.5%	78.4%	67.9%
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	134	6	20	11	17
		16.6%	4.6%	10.3%	13.4%	15.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	101	26	37	6	19
		12.5%	21.4%	19.2%	8.2%	17.0%

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		455	3
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	311	3
		68.3%	99.9%
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	103	0
		22.6%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	41	0
		9.1%	0.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household			
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>				
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>				A
		E	E		
	<b>DK/NA</b>				

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>		
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	A B	. <sup>a</sup>
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
<b>Total</b>		808	40	1	96	493	121	7
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	573	23	1	62	370	71	7
		70.9%	58.1%	100.0%	64.4%	75.1%	59.0%	100.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	134	4	0	11	98	17	0
		16.6%	9.5%	0.0%	11.2%	19.9%	13.8%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	101	13	0	23	25	33	0
		12.5%	32.5%	0.0%	24.4%	5.0%	27.2%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		30	5	17
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	22	3	15
		74.1%	68.1%	85.8%
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	2	2	1
		5.7%	31.9%	8.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	6	0	1
		20.2%	0.0%	5.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group					
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>		. <sup>a,b</sup>		E		. <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>		. <sup>a,b</sup>				. <sup>b</sup>
		D	. <sup>a,b</sup>	D		D	. <sup>b</sup>
	<b>DK/NA</b>						

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>			
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>			
		D		
	<b>DK/NA</b>			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	508	7	15	48	19	16	3
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	123	0	5	12	9	0	0
	DK/NA	79	0	0	29	2	0	0
		11.1%	5.4%	0.0%	32.9%	5.5%	0.2%	0.0%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Total	14	530
	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	10	390
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	0	97
	DK/NA	4	43
		31.0%	8.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname					
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas					C	a
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	a					a
	DK/NA		a	DH			a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
		(G)	(H)
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas		C
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	a	
	DK/NA	H	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area			
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Total	808	134	154	92
	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	573	0	154	92
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	134	134	0	0
	DK/NA	101	0	0	0
		12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Area		
		Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Total	229	77	123
	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	229	77	22
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	101
		0.0%	0.0%	82.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	a	a	a	a
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	a	
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	a	a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total		808	59	61	385	304
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	573	41	48	260	225
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	134	5	13	81	35
	DK/NA	101	13	0	44	43
		70.9%	69.5%	78.7%	67.5%	74.1%
		16.6%	8.4%	21.1%	21.0%	11.7%
		12.5%	22.0%	0.2%	11.5%	14.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas				
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas			D	
	DK/NA	B		B	B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total		710	105	142	150	92	221
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	508	70	95	107	73	164
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	123	12	19	23	13	56
	DK/NA	79	24	29	19	6	1
		71.5%	65.9%	66.7%	71.7%	79.2%	74.0%
		17.4%	11.8%	13.2%	15.5%	14.1%	25.4%
		11.1%	22.3%	20.1%	12.9%	6.7%	0.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas					
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas					A B
	DK/NA	D E	D E	E	E	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
Total		699	228	150	47	78	83
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	499	162	115	32	44	64
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	123	30	24	11	27	8
	DK/NA	76	35	10	4	7	10
		71.4%	71.2%	76.9%	67.4%	56.3%	77.9%
		17.7%	13.2%	16.1%	23.3%	34.3%	9.9%
		10.9%	15.6%	6.9%	9.3%	9.4%	12.2%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total		91	12	11
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas	67	9	6
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	16	2	5
	DK/NA	8	1	0
		74.1%	71.5%	54.0%
		17.3%	20.2%	46.0%
		8.5%	8.3%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas		D			
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas				A E	
	DK/NA					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?	Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas			
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas			E
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		416	104	141	61	51
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	304	68	110	45	42
		73.0%	65.5%	78.1%	73.6%	82.2%
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	74	19	19	14	9
		17.7%	18.4%	13.3%	22.7%	17.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	39	17	12	2	0
		9.3%	16.1%	8.7%	3.7%	0.0%

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		59
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	38
		65.2%
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	13
		22.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	8
		12.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue			
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>				
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>				
	<b>DK/NA</b>	D			

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
		(E)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		392	105	106	30	122
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	269	62	78	20	87
		68.8%	59.3%	74.0%	67.0%	71.1%
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	60	19	16	8	16
		15.4%	17.7%	15.4%	26.3%	13.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	62	24	11	2	19
		15.8%	22.9%	10.7%	6.8%	15.6%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		29
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	22
		76.7%
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	1
		4.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	6
		19.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax			
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>				
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>				
	<b>DK/NA</b>				

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
		(E)
<b>A. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas?</b>	<b>Bayside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	
	<b>Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas</b>	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>Total</b>		573	573
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	414	414
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	154	154
	DK/NA	5	5
		0.9%	0.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		(A)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	.
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>		573	270	293	9
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	414	209	198	8
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	154	60	93	1
	DK/NA	5	2	3	1
		0.9%	0.8%	0.9%	6.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	B		
	Oceanside of El Camino Real		A	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
<b>Total</b>		573	41	101	105	112	89	112
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	414	31	78	90	75	62	74
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	154	8	23	15	37	27	36
	DK/NA	5	2	0	0	0	0	2
		0.9%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	1.8%

		Age
		Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		12
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	4
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	7
	DK/NA	1
		5.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real		G	D F G	C		C	C
	Oceanside of El Camino Real		a					
	DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
<b>Total</b>		508	329	179
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	368	243	126
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	134	83	51
	DK/NA	5	3	2
		1.1%	1.0%	1.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real		
	Oceanside of El Camino Real		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>Total</b>		508	285	76	14	132
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	368	211	54	7	96
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	72.5%	74.0%	71.2%	48.7%	72.7%
	DK/NA	134	71	22	7	34
		26.4%	24.8%	28.8%	51.3%	25.7%
	5	3	0	0	2	
	1.1%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real				
	Oceanside of El Camino Real				
	DK/NA		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>Total</b>		508	159	71	30	28	105	113
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	368	118	54	19	21	74	82
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	72.5%	74.0%	75.9%	62.4%	73.7%	70.6%	72.6%
	DK/NA	134	39	17	11	7	29	31
		26.4%	24.3%	23.2%	37.6%	26.3%	27.5%	27.4%
	5	3	1	0	0	2	0	
	1.1%	1.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real						
	Oceanside of El Camino Real			a	a		
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>Total</b>		508	209	73	38	39
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	368	159	51	24	32
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	72.5%	76.1%	70.3%	62.9%	82.1%
	DK/NA	134	48	22	14	7
		26.4%	22.8%	29.7%	37.1%	17.9%
	5	2	0	0	0	
	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>Total</b>		45	31	48	25
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	28	24	37	13
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	62.1%	77.6%	76.9%	53.3%
	DK/NA	15	7	11	11
		33.3%	22.4%	22.1%	44.1%
	2	0	0	1	
	4.6%	0.0%	1.0%	2.6%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real				
	Oceanside of El Camino Real				
	DK/NA		a	a	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real				
	Oceanside of El Camino Real				
	DK/NA				

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Total</b>	573	122	288	28	47	33	28	25	
	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	414	90	208	20	29	28	21	18	
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	154	32	77	9	16	5	7	8	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	
			72.2%	73.7%	72.3%	68.9%	60.9%	84.3%	75.7%	69.5%
			26.8%	25.9%	26.7%	31.1%	34.8%	15.7%	24.3%	30.5%
			0.9%	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>							
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>			.a			.a	.a
	<b>DK/NA</b>							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter			
		Total	Yes	No	
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Total</b>	508	361	147	
	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	368	256	112	
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	134	101	33	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5	3	2	
			72.5%	71.0%	76.3%
			26.4%	28.1%	22.3%
			1.1%	0.9%	1.4%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>		
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Total</b>	508	267	241
	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	368	183	185
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	134	80	54
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5	3	2
			68.7%	76.8%
			30.0%	22.4%
			1.3%	0.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>		A
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	B	
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Total</b>	573	508	65
	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	414	368	46
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	154	134	20
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5	5	0
			72.5%	69.8%
			26.4%	30.2%
			1.1%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real		
	Oceanside of El Camino Real		
	DK/NA		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Total	573	259	311	3
	Bayside of El Camino Real	414	194	218	2
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	154	65	88	1
	DK/NA	5	0	5	0
		0.9%	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real			
	Oceanside of El Camino Real			
	DK/NA			.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Total	573	92	134	62	74
	Bayside of El Camino Real	414	80	106	43	48
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	154	12	28	19	26
	DK/NA	5	0	0	0	0
		0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Total	311	3
	Bayside of El Camino Real	218	2
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	88	1
	DK/NA	5	0
		1.6%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household			
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	D E			
	Oceanside of El Camino Real				A
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real		
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	A	
	DK/NA		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic
<b>Total</b>		573	23	1	62	370	71
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	414 72.2%	23 100.0%	1 100.0%	49 79.3%	251 67.8%	59 82.9%
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	154 26.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 20.7%	119 32.0%	10 14.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.1%	2 2.9%

		Ethnic Group			
		Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		7	22	3	15
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	7 100.0%	13 59.0%	2 66.6%	9 65.3%
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	0 0.0%	7 31.0%	1 33.4%	4 30.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%	2 10.1%	0 0.0%	1 4.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group					
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	a	a,b				a
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	a	a,b		E		a
	<b>DK/NA</b>	a	a,b	a		D	a

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>			
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>		a	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	D		D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
<b>Total</b>		508	7	15	48	19	16	3
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	368 72.5%	7 100.0%	9 64.3%	41 85.4%	13 67.1%	12 72.6%	2 51.0%
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	134 26.4%	0 0.0%	5 35.7%	5 10.3%	6 32.9%	4 27.4%	2 49.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 4.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
<b>Total</b>		10	390
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	10 100.0%	275 70.5%
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	0 0.0%	112 28.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%	3 0.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ethnic Surname					
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	a					
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	a	a			a	a
	<b>DK/NA</b>	a	a			a	a

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
		(G)	(H)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	a	
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	a	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area			
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield
<b>Total</b>		573		154	92
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	414 72.2%		0 0.0%	92 100.0%
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	154 26.8%		154 100.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 0.9%		0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Area		
		Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		229	77	22
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	229 100.0%	77 100.0%	17 75.3%
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 24.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area			
		Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	a	a	a	a
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	.	.	.	.
	<b>DK/NA</b>	a	a	a	a

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	a
	<b>DK/NA</b>	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>		573	41	48	260	225
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	414 72.2%	31 75.6%	36 75.9%	183 70.5%	164 72.8%
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	154 26.8%	8 19.3%	11 23.1%	76 29.2%	59 26.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 0.9%	2 5.0%	0 1.0%	1 0.2%	2 1.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>				
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>				
	<b>DK/NA</b>	C			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>		508	70	95	107	73	164
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	368 72.5%	52 74.3%	73 77.2%	78 72.4%	50 68.6%	116 70.9%
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	134 26.4%	18 25.7%	19 20.4%	30 27.6%	21 28.6%	46 28.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 1.1%	0 0.0%	2 2.4%	0 0.0%	2 2.8%	1 0.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>					
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>					
	<b>DK/NA</b>					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
<b>Total</b>		499	162	115	32	44	64
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	362 72.4%	115 70.6%	90 77.9%	21 65.4%	33 75.5%	44 68.8%
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	133 26.6%	45 27.7%	26 22.1%	11 34.6%	11 24.5%	20 31.2%
	DK/NA	5 1.0%	3 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
<b>Total</b>		67	9	6
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	52 77.2%	4 50.4%	3 46.2%
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	13 19.8%	4 49.6%	3 53.8%
	DK/NA	2 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real					
	Oceanside of El Camino Real		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP (F)	Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real			
	Oceanside of El Camino Real		a	a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		304	68	110	45	42
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	216 71.2%	50 72.9%	76 69.3%	32 71.3%	27 63.0%
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	83 27.2%	19 27.1%	33 30.1%	9 19.1%	16 37.0%
	DK/NA	5 1.6%	0 0.0%	1 0.6%	4 9.6%	0 0.0%

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		38
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	32 82.4%
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	7 17.6%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue			
		Definitely Yes (A)	Probably Yes (B)	Probably No (C)	Definitely No (D)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real				
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	a		B	a
	DK/NA				

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA] (E)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	Bayside of El Camino Real	
	Oceanside of El Camino Real	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		269	62	78	20	87
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	198 73.4%	42 66.9%	56 71.8%	14 68.2%	68 78.4%
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	71 26.4%	21 33.1%	22 28.2%	6 29.5%	19 21.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 2.4%	0 0.0%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		22
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	18 82.1%
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	4 17.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax			
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>				
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	a			a

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		(E)
<b>B. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of El Camino Real?</b>	<b>Bayside of El Camino Real</b>	
	<b>Oceanside of El Camino Real</b>	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>Total</b>		414	414
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	310 74.9%	310 74.9%
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	92 22.2%	92 22.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	12 2.9%	12 2.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		Total
		(A)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	.
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	.
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>		414	209	198	8
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	310 74.9%	159 76.2%	144 73.0%	7 87.5%
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	92 22.2%	49 23.3%	43 21.9%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	12 2.9%	1 0.5%	10 5.0%	1 12.5%



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Bayside of Middlefield Road			a
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road			
	DK/NA	A		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Total	414	31	78	90	75	62	74
	Bayside of Middlefield Road	310	21	70	59	59	50	50
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	74.9%	66.6%	90.4%	65.8%	78.9%	79.9%	67.3%
	DK/NA	12	7	1	1	0	0	1
		2.9%	22.2%	1.5%	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%	1.4%

		Age
		Not sure/DK/NA
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Total	4
	Bayside of Middlefield Road	1
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	30.5%
	DK/NA	24.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24 (A)	25-34 (B)	35-44 (C)	45-54 (D)	55-64 (E)	65+ (F)	Not sure/DK/NA (G)
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Bayside of Middlefield Road		CFG					
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road			B			B	
	DK/NA	BCDEF						DE

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Total	368	243	126
	Bayside of Middlefield Road	272	187	85
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	73.9%	77.0%	67.8%
	DK/NA	11	4	7
		2.9%	1.5%	5.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Bayside of Middlefield Road		
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Total	368	211	54	7	96
	Bayside of Middlefield Road	272	158	39	5	70
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	73.9%	74.9%	72.4%	74.4%	72.5%
	DK/NA	11	5	2	0	4
		2.9%	2.3%	4.1%	0.0%	3.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road				
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road			a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Total	368	118	54	19	21	74	82
	Bayside of Middlefield Road	272	93	38	16	15	46	64
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	86	25	16	3	6	18	18
	DK/NA	11	0	0	0	0	11	0
		2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road						
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road						
	DK/NA	a	a	a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Total	368	159	51	24	32
	Bayside of Middlefield Road	272	124	30	19	19
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	86	28	20	5	13
	DK/NA	11	7	1	0	0
		2.9%	4.4%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Total	28	24	37	13
	Bayside of Middlefield Road	17	18	34	12
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	9	6	3	2
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0
		8.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road				
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road		A G	a	a
	DK/NA				

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road			B	
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road		a	a	
	DK/NA				a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>Total</b>		414	90	208	20	29	28	21	18
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	310 74.9%	62 69.0%	160 76.9%	16 80.0%	21 74.9%	24 83.5%	11 51.0%	16 90.9%
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	92 22.2%	27 29.9%	42 20.2%	4 20.0%	6 20.4%	5 16.5%	7 32.0%	2 9.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	12 2.9%	1 1.1%	6 2.9%	0 0.0%	1 4.7%	0 0.0%	4 17.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>							
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>							
	<b>DK/NA</b>			.a		.a	A B	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		368	256	112
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	272 73.9%	191 74.7%	81 72.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	86 23.2%	57 22.1%	29 25.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	11 2.9%	8 3.1%	3 2.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>		
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		368	183	185
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	272 73.9%	139 76.1%	133 71.7%
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	86 23.2%	43 23.3%	43 23.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	11 2.9%	1 0.6%	10 5.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>		
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		414	368	46
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	310 74.9%	272 73.9%	38 83.2%
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	92 22.2%	86 23.2%	6 13.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	12 2.9%	11 2.9%	1 2.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road		
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Total	414	194	218	2
	Bayside of Middlefield Road	310	148	162	0
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	92	37	53	2
	DK/NA	12	9	3	0
		2.9%	4.8%	1.2%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road			. <sup>a</sup>
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road			. <sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA			. <sup>a,b</sup>
		B		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Total	414	80	106	43	48
	Bayside of Middlefield Road	310	59	83	29	36
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	92	12	18	13	11
	DK/NA	12	8	5	1	1
		2.9%	10.5%	4.8%	2.2%	2.0%

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Total	218	2
	Bayside of Middlefield Road	162	0
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	53	2
	DK/NA	3	0
		1.2%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household			
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road				
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road				
	DK/NA	E			

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road		. <sup>a</sup>
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road		. <sup>a</sup>
	DK/NA		. <sup>a,b</sup>

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic
<b>Total</b>		414	23	1	49	251	59
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	310	23	1	41	170	51
		74.9%	100.0%	100.0%	83.7%	67.7%	87.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	92	0	0	3	80	4
		22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	31.7%	7.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	12	0	0	5	1	3
		2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	9.8%	0.6%	5.6%

		Ethnic Group			
		Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		7	13	2	9
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	7	9	1	8
		100.0%	68.8%	25.6%	83.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	0	3	2	1
		0.0%	20.1%	74.4%	6.8%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0	1	0	1
		0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	10.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group					
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	a	a,b			D	a
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	a	a,b		C E		a
	<b>DK/NA</b>	a	a,b	D		D	a

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>			
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>		C E	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	D	a	D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
<b>Total</b>		368	7	9	41	13	12	2
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	272	3	9	33	9	8	2
		73.9%	42.4%	100.0%	81.1%	72.1%	68.5%	100.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	86	4	0	4	4	4	0
		23.2%	57.6%	0.0%	10.8%	27.9%	31.5%	0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	11	0	0	3	0	0	0
		2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
<b>Total</b>		10	275
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	10	198
		100.0%	72.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	0	70
		0.0%	25.4%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0	7
		0.0%	2.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Surname					
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>		a				a,b
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	C	a				a,b
	<b>DK/NA</b>	a	a		a	a	a,b

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
		(G)	(H)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	a	
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	a	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area		
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino
<b>Total</b>		414		92
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road	310 74.9%		0 0.0%
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	92 22.2%		92 100.0%
	DK/NA	12 2.9%		0 0.0%

		Area		
		Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		229	77	17
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road	229 100.0%	77 100.0%	5 28.0%
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	12 72.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area			
		Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road	a	a	a	
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>		414	31	36	183	164
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road	310 74.9%	21 69.2%	30 83.1%	135 73.7%	124 75.5%
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	92 22.2%	6 19.0%	5 14.0%	47 25.5%	34 20.8%
	DK/NA	12 2.9%	4 11.8%	1 2.9%	1 0.7%	6 3.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road				
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road				
	DK/NA	C			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>		368	52	73	78	50	116
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road	272 73.9%	38 74.1%	53 72.4%	52 67.6%	42 83.2%	87 75.0%
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	86 23.2%	6 12.5%	18 24.0%	25 32.4%	8 16.8%	28 24.1%
	DK/NA	11 2.9%	7 13.4%	3 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
		<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	Bayside of Middlefield Road			
Oceanside of Middlefield Road				a	a	
DK/NA	E					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
Total		362	115	90	21	33	44
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Bayside of Middlefield Road	265	81	71	16	23	35
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	73.4%	70.3%	78.9%	78.3%	68.8%	79.2%
	DK/NA	86	29	19	3	9	6
		23.7%	25.5%	21.1%	16.1%	28.1%	12.6%
DK/NA		11	5	0	1	1	4
		2.9%	4.2%	0.0%	5.6%	3.1%	8.2%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total		52	4	3
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Bayside of Middlefield Road	35	3	3
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	66.7%	58.5%	100.0%
	DK/NA	17	2	0
		33.3%	41.5%	0.0%
DK/NA		0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Bayside of Middlefield Road					
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road		a			
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP (F)	Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Bayside of Middlefield Road			a
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road			a
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
Total		216	50	76	32	27
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Bayside of Middlefield Road	163	36	64	25	17
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	75.2%	72.5%	84.5%	76.7%	65.8%
	DK/NA	44	13	7	7	8
		20.4%	26.7%	9.2%	23.3%	30.3%
DK/NA		10	0	5	0	1
		4.4%	0.8%	6.3%	0.0%	3.9%

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
Total		32
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Bayside of Middlefield Road	20
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	63.5%
	DK/NA	8
		26.0%
DK/NA		3
		10.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue			
		Definitely Yes (A)	Probably Yes (B)	Probably No (C)	Definitely No (D)
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Bayside of Middlefield Road				
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road			a	
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA] (E)
C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?	Bayside of Middlefield Road	
	Oceanside of Middlefield Road	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		198	42	56	14	68
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	147	29	40	10	53
		74.6%	68.5%	71.0%	69.7%	78.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	48	13	15	4	14
		24.2%	31.5%	26.4%	30.3%	20.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	2	0	1	0	1
		1.2%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	1.4%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		18
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	16
		90.8%
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	2
		9.2%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0
		0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax			
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>				
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>				
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.		.	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
		(E)
<b>C. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Middlefield Road?</b>	<b>Bayside of Middlefield Road</b>	
	<b>Oceanside of Middlefield Road</b>	
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>Total</b>		310	310
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	77	77
		24.8%	24.8%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	229	229
		73.7%	73.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5	5
		1.5%	1.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		(A)
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	.
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	.
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>		310	159	144	7
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	77	30	44	3
		24.8%	18.6%	30.5%	49.3%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	229	130	96	3
		73.7%	81.4%	66.3%	50.7%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5	0	5	0
		1.5%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	B	A	
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	.a		.a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Total	310	21	70	59	59	50	50
	Bayside of Interstate 101	77	0	18	23	13	14	8
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	229	18	52	36	46	35	40
	DK/NA	5	3	0	0	0	0	2
		1.5%	14.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%

		Age	
		Not sure/DK/NA	
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Total	1	
	Bayside of Interstate 101	0	0.0%
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	1	100.0%
	DK/NA	0	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24 (A)	25-34 (B)	35-44 (C)	45-54 (D)	55-64 (E)	65+ (F)	Not sure/DK/NA (G)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	.a						.a,b
	Oceanside of Interstate 101		.a	.a	.a	.a		.a,b
	DK/NA							.a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Total	272	187	85
	Bayside of Interstate 101	66	35	31
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	202	150	52
	DK/NA	5	2	3
		1.7%	0.8%	3.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101		A
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		272	158	39	5	70
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	66 24.1%	45 28.2%	6 15.4%	0 1.1%	15 21.4%
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	202 74.2%	109 68.9%	33 84.6%	5 98.9%	55 78.6%
	DK/NA	5 1.7%	5 2.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101				
	Oceanside of Interstate 101				
	DK/NA		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		272	93	38	16	15	46	64
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	66 24.1%	24 25.5%	10 25.9%	4 26.6%	2 12.2%	12 26.9%	13 20.9%
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	202 74.2%	65 69.5%	28 74.1%	12 73.4%	13 87.8%	34 73.1%	51 79.1%
	DK/NA	5 1.7%	5 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101						
	Oceanside of Interstate 101						
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total		272	124	30	19	19
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	66 24.1%	36 28.6%	5 17.3%	4 19.5%	2 12.4%
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	202 74.2%	86 68.9%	25 82.7%	16 80.5%	16 87.6%
	DK/NA	5 1.7%	3 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		17	18	34	12
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	2 14.3%	5 28.6%	6 16.6%	6 48.4%
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	14 85.7%	13 71.4%	26 78.7%	6 51.6%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 4.7%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101				
	Oceanside of Interstate 101				
	DK/NA		a	a	a

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101				
	Oceanside of Interstate 101				
	DK/NA	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>Total</b>		310	62	160	16	21	24	11	16
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	77 24.8%	6 9.2%	39 24.5%	7 46.2%	8 37.8%	11 46.4%	1 12.0%	4 27.3%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	229 73.7%	55 88.3%	121 75.5%	8 53.8%	13 62.2%	13 53.6%	10 88.0%	9 53.6%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 1.5%	2 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 19.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>			A	A	A		
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	C E G		a	a	a	a	
	<b>DK/NA</b>		a	a	a	a	a	A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		272	191	81
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	66 24.1%	44 22.8%	22 27.1%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	202 74.2%	143 74.7%	59 72.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 1.7%	5 2.4%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>		
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		272	139	133
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	66 24.1%	27 19.3%	39 29.1%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	202 74.2%	108 77.4%	94 70.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 1.7%	5 3.3%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>		
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>		
	<b>DK/NA</b>		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		310	272	38
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	77 24.8%	66 24.1%	11 29.9%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	229 73.7%	202 74.2%	27 70.1%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 1.5%	5 1.7%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>		
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>		a
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		310	148	162	0
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	77 24.8%	41 27.9%	36 22.0%	0 100.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	229 73.7%	107 72.1%	122 75.2%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 1.5%	0 0.0%	5 2.9%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes (A)	No (B)	Not sure [DK/NA] (C)
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>			a,b
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>			a,b
	<b>DK/NA</b>	b		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household				
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>Total</b>		310	59	83	29	36
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	77 24.8%	24 40.2%	22 26.5%	4 14.1%	5 14.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	229 73.7%	35 59.8%	61 73.5%	25 85.9%	31 86.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 1.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		162	0
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	36 22.0%	0 100.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	122 75.2%	0 0.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 2.9%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household			
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101				
	Oceanside of Interstate 101				
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101		.a,b
	Oceanside of Interstate 101		.a,b
	DK/NA		.a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Total	310	23	1	41	170	51	7
	Bayside of Interstate 101	77	20	1	11	9	32	0
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	229	3	0	30	159	16	7
	DK/NA	5	0	0	2	3	0	0
			1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	6.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Total	9	1	8
	Bayside of Interstate 101	0	1	4
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	9	0	4
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		0.0%	99.8%	45.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group					
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	C D	.a,b	D		C D	.b
	Oceanside of Interstate 101		.a,b	A E	A C E I		.b
	DK/NA	.b	.a,b	.b		D	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	.b	.a	D
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	.b	.a	
	DK/NA	.b	.a,b	.b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Total	272	3	9	33	9	8	2
	Bayside of Interstate 101	66	0	2	19	1	1	1
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	202	3	8	11	8	7	1
	DK/NA	5	0	0	3	0	0	0
			1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	9.3%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Total	10	198
	Bayside of Interstate 101	3	39
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	7	158
	DK/NA	0	2
		0.0%	0.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Surname					
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	a		H			b
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	a			C		b
	DK/NA	a	a	H	a	a	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
		(G)	(H)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101		
	Oceanside of Interstate 101		C
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area			
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Total	310			
	Bayside of Interstate 101	77 24.8%			
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	229 73.7%			
	DK/NA	5 1.5%			

		Area		
		Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Total	229	77	5
	Bayside of Interstate 101	0 0.0%	77 100.0%	0 0.0%
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	229 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Area		
		Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	a	a	a
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Total	310	21	30	135	124
	Bayside of Interstate 101	77 24.8%	9 42.0%	16 52.4%	25 18.4%	27 22.1%
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	229 73.7%	9 43.5%	14 47.6%	109 80.5%	96 77.9%
	DK/NA	5 1.5%	3 14.4%	0 0.0%	2 1.2%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101		C D		
	Oceanside of Interstate 101		a	A B	A B
	DK/NA	C			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>		272	38	53	52	42	87
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	66 24.1%	9 23.3%	25 47.6%	8 15.8%	7 16.3%	16 18.8%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	202 74.2%	29 76.7%	28 52.4%	41 78.3%	35 83.7%	69 79.3%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 5.9%	0 0.0%	2 1.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>		C D E			
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>				B	B
	<b>DK/NA</b>	.a	.a		.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
<b>Total</b>		265	81	71	16	23	35
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	62 23.4%	27 33.6%	14 20.0%	2 10.8%	4 18.7%	9 26.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	199 74.8%	49 60.6%	57 80.0%	15 89.2%	19 81.3%	26 74.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	5 1.8%	5 5.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
<b>Total</b>		35	3	3
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	6 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 2.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	29 83.3%	3 100.0%	3 98.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>						
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>		.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	<b>DK/NA</b>						

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>		
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	.a	.a
	<b>DK/NA</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		163	36	64	25	17
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	43 26.3%	19 53.8%	15 23.2%	4 18.1%	4 21.0%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	120 73.7%	17 46.2%	49 76.8%	20 81.9%	14 79.0%
	<b>DK/NA</b>					

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		20
<b>D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?</b>	<b>Bayside of Interstate 101</b>	0 2.1%
	<b>Oceanside of Interstate 101</b>	20 97.9%
	<b>DK/NA</b>	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue			
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	B E			
	Oceanside of Interstate 101		A		

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
		(E)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Total	147	29	40	10	53
	Bayside of Interstate 101	34	6	11	2	13
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	23.1%	20.8%	28.5%	16.6%	25.2%
	DK/NA	109	23	28	8	37
		73.8%	79.2%	71.5%	83.4%	69.0%
	5	0	0	0	3	
	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
		(E)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Total	16
	Bayside of Interstate 101	2
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	13
	DK/NA	11.0%
		79.4%
	2	
	9.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax			
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101				
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	a	a	a	
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
		(E)
D. Do you live on the Bayside or the Oceanside of Highway 101?	Bayside of Interstate 101	
	Oceanside of Interstate 101	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	808	808
18 to 24	67	67
	8.3%	8.3%
25 to 29	22	22
	2.7%	2.7%
30 to 34	105	105
	13.0%	13.0%
35 to 39	27	27
	3.4%	3.4%
40 to 44	128	128
	15.8%	15.8%
45 to 49	35	35
	4.4%	4.4%
E. What is your age?	114	114
	14.1%	14.1%
50 to 54	66	66
	8.2%	8.2%
55 to 59	42	42
	5.2%	5.2%
60 to 64	59	59
	7.3%	7.3%
65 to 69	60	60
	7.4%	7.4%
70 to 74	68	68
	8.4%	8.4%
75 and over	13	13
	1.7%	1.7%
DK/REF	13	13
	1.7%	1.7%



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Total
	Total
	(A)
18 to 24	.
25 to 29	.
30 to 34	.
35 to 39	.
40 to 44	.
45 to 49	.
E. What is your age? 50 to 54	.
55 to 59	.
60 to 64	.
65 to 69	.
70 to 74	.
75 and over	.
DK/REF	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	808	377	418	13
18 to 24	67 8.3%	31 8.2%	34 8.1%	2 18.8%
25 to 29	22 2.7%	6 1.5%	16 3.9%	0 0.0%
30 to 34	105 13.0%	53 14.0%	51 12.1%	2 17.2%
35 to 39	27 3.4%	9 2.3%	19 4.4%	0 0.0%
40 to 44	128 15.8%	55 14.6%	73 17.4%	0 0.0%
45 to 49	35 4.4%	9 2.4%	26 6.3%	0 0.0%
E. What is your age? 50 to 54	114 14.1%	53 13.9%	61 14.6%	0 0.2%
55 to 59	66 8.2%	37 9.9%	29 6.9%	0 0.0%
60 to 64	42 5.2%	23 6.0%	19 4.6%	0 2.9%
65 to 69	59 7.3%	24 6.3%	33 8.0%	2 16.7%
70 to 74	60 7.4%	27 7.1%	31 7.3%	2 18.8%
75 and over	68 8.4%	44 11.6%	24 5.8%	0 0.0%
DK/REF	13 1.7%	8 2.0%	3 0.6%	3 25.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
18 to 24			. <sup>a</sup>
25 to 29		A	
30 to 34			
35 to 39			
40 to 44			. <sup>a</sup>
45 to 49		A	. <sup>a</sup>
E. What is your age?			
50 to 54			
55 to 59			. <sup>a</sup>
60 to 64			
65 to 69			
70 to 74			
75 and over	B		. <sup>a</sup>
DK/REF			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age							
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
18 to 24	67	67	0	0	0	0	0	0
	8.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
25 to 29	22	0	22	0	0	0	0	0
	2.7%	0.0%	17.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
30 to 34	105	0	105	0	0	0	0	0
	13.0%	0.0%	82.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
35 to 39	27	0	0	27	0	0	0	0
	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
40 to 44	128	0	0	128	0	0	0	0
	15.8%	0.0%	0.0%	82.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
45 to 49	35	0	0	0	35	0	0	0
	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
E. What is your age?								
50 to 54	114	0	0	0	114	0	0	0
	14.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	76.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
55 to 59	66	0	0	0	0	66	0	0
	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	61.1%	0.0%	0.0%
60 to 64	42	0	0	0	0	42	0	0
	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	38.9%	0.0%	0.0%
65 to 69	59	0	0	0	0	0	59	0
	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31.6%	0.0%
70 to 74	60	0	0	0	0	0	60	0
	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	32.0%	0.0%
75 and over	68	0	0	0	0	0	68	0
	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	36.3%	0.0%
DK/REF	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Age						
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18 to 24	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
25 to 29	.a		.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
30 to 34	.a		.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
35 to 39	.a			.a	.a	.a	.a
40 to 44	.a	.a		.a	.a	.a	.a
45 to 49	.a	.a	.a		.a	.a	.a
E. What is your age? 50 to 54	.a	.a	.a		.a	.a	.a
55 to 59	.a	.a	.a	.a		.a	.a
60 to 64	.a	.a	.a	.a		.a	.a
65 to 69	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a		.a
70 to 74	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a		.a
75 and over	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a		.a
DK/REF	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Total	710	470	240
18 to 24	67 9.4%	37 7.9%	30 12.4%
25 to 29	22 3.1%	5 1.1%	17 6.9%
30 to 34	94 13.2%	24 5.1%	70 29.1%
35 to 39	23 3.2%	14 3.0%	9 3.7%
40 to 44	113 15.9%	79 16.9%	34 14.0%
45 to 49	22 3.1%	19 4.0%	3 1.4%
E. What is your age? 50 to 54	105 14.8%	85 18.0%	20 8.5%
55 to 59	56 7.8%	42 8.9%	14 5.7%
60 to 64	38 5.3%	30 6.5%	7 3.1%
65 to 69	54 7.6%	41 8.7%	13 5.4%
70 to 74	52 7.3%	42 8.9%	10 4.2%
75 and over	57 8.0%	46 9.7%	11 4.8%
DK/REF	8 1.1%	6 1.2%	2 0.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
18 to 24		
25 to 29		A
30 to 34		A
35 to 39		
40 to 44		
45 to 49		
E. What is your age? 50 to 54	B	
55 to 59		
60 to 64		
65 to 69		
70 to 74	B	
75 and over	B	
DK/REF		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	710	385	126	23	176
18 to 24	67	34	10	0	23
	9.4%	8.8%	7.7%	0.0%	13.3%
25 to 29	22	7	3	0	12
	3.1%	1.8%	2.2%	0.0%	7.1%
30 to 34	94	64	6	4	19
	13.2%	16.5%	5.1%	19.7%	11.0%
35 to 39	23	16	3	0	4
	3.2%	4.2%	2.0%	0.0%	2.3%
40 to 44	113	55	16	5	37
	15.9%	14.3%	12.9%	21.9%	20.8%
45 to 49	22	10	8	2	3
	3.1%	2.7%	6.0%	6.7%	1.6%
E. What is your age? 50 to 54	105	55	19	6	25
	14.8%	14.2%	14.8%	27.3%	14.4%
55 to 59	56	29	10	1	16
	7.8%	7.4%	7.8%	5.7%	9.0%
60 to 64	38	22	9	0	7
	5.3%	5.7%	7.0%	0.0%	4.1%
65 to 69	54	33	8	2	11
	7.6%	8.6%	6.4%	8.3%	6.1%
70 to 74	52	30	14	0	7
	7.3%	7.9%	11.4%	1.5%	4.0%
75 and over	57	29	18	2	9
	8.0%	7.5%	13.9%	8.9%	4.9%
DK/REF	8	2	4	0	2
	1.1%	0.5%	2.8%	0.0%	1.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>E. What is your age?</b>				
18 to 24			a	
25 to 29			a	A
30 to 34	B			
35 to 39				
40 to 44				
45 to 49				
50 to 54				
55 to 59				
60 to 64			a	
65 to 69				
70 to 74				
75 and over		D		
DK/REF				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>E. What is your age?</b>							
Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
18 to 24	67 9.4%	22 10.5%	2 1.7%	2 5.3%	0 0.0%	34 21.9%	7 4.9%
25 to 29	22 3.1%	5 2.2%	2 1.9%	2 3.6%	0 0.0%	3 2.2%	10 7.0%
30 to 34	94 13.2%	38 18.3%	15 13.1%	2 3.9%	4 7.8%	13 8.1%	23 16.0%
35 to 39	23 3.2%	8 3.6%	6 5.3%	0 0.0%	2 4.5%	4 2.5%	4 2.5%
40 to 44	113 15.9%	32 15.3%	17 15.4%	7 15.5%	9 20.0%	15 9.8%	33 22.4%
45 to 49	22 3.1%	7 3.4%	3 2.9%	4 10.2%	1 2.8%	3 1.6%	4 2.6%
50 to 54	105 14.8%	32 15.5%	14 12.8%	4 8.1%	7 14.3%	18 11.8%	30 20.6%
55 to 59	56 7.8%	13 6.5%	10 9.2%	3 6.1%	5 10.0%	11 6.9%	14 9.5%
60 to 64	38 5.3%	13 6.1%	5 4.9%	6 13.7%	3 6.1%	7 4.5%	4 2.7%
65 to 69	54 7.6%	16 7.5%	11 10.2%	3 7.6%	4 8.6%	14 8.8%	6 4.2%
70 to 74	52 7.3%	11 5.5%	11 10.2%	5 10.9%	3 5.9%	21 13.3%	1 0.8%
75 and over	57 8.0%	12 5.6%	12 10.9%	4 8.3%	9 19.8%	13 8.2%	8 5.3%
DK/REF	8 1.1%	0 0.0%	2 1.6%	3 6.9%	0 0.2%	0 0.3%	2 1.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>E. What is your age?</b>						
18 to 24	B			. <sup>a</sup>	A B F	
25 to 29				. <sup>a</sup>		
30 to 34						
35 to 39			. <sup>a</sup>			
40 to 44						E
45 to 49						
50 to 54						
55 to 59						
60 to 64						
65 to 69						
70 to 74		F	F		F	
75 and over				A F		
DK/REF			A			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
<b>E. What is your age?</b>							
Total	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
18 to 24	67	46	2	2	8	5	0
25 to 29	22	17	4	0	0	0	0
30 to 34	94	69	11	5	3	0	0
35 to 39	23	8	9	2	2	0	1
40 to 44	113	52	29	7	16	6	1
45 to 49	22	5	5	5	1	3	2
50 to 54	105	29	9	13	17	13	19
55 to 59	56	18	8	2	2	7	9
60 to 64	38	16	5	2	2	2	2
65 to 69	54	12	5	2	5	5	3
70 to 74	52	9	3	1	7	9	4
75 and over	57	15	2	3	5	5	3
DK/REF	8	2	0	3	0	0	0

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	67	35
18 to 24	3 5.1%	0 0.0%
25 to 29	0 0.0%	1 2.4%
30 to 34	0 0.0%	5 14.4%
35 to 39	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
40 to 44	1 1.4%	1 3.7%
45 to 49	2 2.5%	0 0.0%
50 to 54	2 3.2%	2 5.7%
55 to 59	7 11.0%	2 5.4%
60 to 64	6 9.2%	2 5.1%
65 to 69	18 27.3%	4 10.5%
70 to 74	12 18.6%	7 18.6%
75 and over	13 19.8%	11 31.0%
DK/REF	1 1.8%	1 3.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)	1993 to 1996 (F)
18 to 24	B					a
25 to 29				a		a
30 to 34	D				a	a
35 to 39						
40 to 44	G	FGH		G		
45 to 49			A			
50 to 54			AG	AG	G	ABGH
55 to 59						A
60 to 64						
65 to 69					A	
70 to 74						
75 and over					a	
DK/REF			A			

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
18 to 24		a
25 to 29	a	
30 to 34	a	
35 to 39		
40 to 44		
45 to 49		a
50 to 54		
55 to 59		
60 to 64		
65 to 69	A B C D	
70 to 74	A B	A
75 and over	A B	A B D
DK/REF		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
18 to 24	67 8.3%	0 0.0%	48 11.2%	2 5.8%	0 0.0%	4 7.7%	4 8.7%	10 28.7%
25 to 29	22 2.7%	0 0.0%	19 4.6%	2 4.4%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%	0 0.2%	0 0.0%
30 to 34	105 13.0%	10 6.7%	81 19.1%	2 4.1%	1 1.1%	6 12.0%	0 0.0%	6 17.6%
35 to 39	27 3.4%	8 5.0%	14 3.3%	1 1.2%	3 4.7%	1 1.9%	1 2.4%	0 0.0%
40 to 44	128 15.8%	23 14.9%	72 16.9%	4 10.3%	10 15.8%	11 24.2%	5 13.0%	2 4.7%
45 to 49	35 4.4%	5 3.5%	15 3.5%	2 5.2%	7 11.0%	4 7.9%	0 0.0%	2 7.2%
50 to 54	114 14.1%	32 20.9%	46 10.9%	8 18.3%	12 19.0%	2 5.3%	10 23.8%	3 8.5%
55 to 59	66 8.2%	15 9.5%	32 7.4%	5 10.9%	4 6.7%	5 11.2%	3 7.2%	3 9.2%
60 to 64	42 5.2%	13 8.6%	12 2.7%	4 8.5%	7 11.4%	2 4.3%	4 10.1%	0 1.1%
65 to 69	59 7.3%	12 7.7%	29 6.8%	5 11.7%	1 4.3%	2 4.3%	6 13.4%	5 14.6%
70 to 74	60 7.4%	18 11.8%	28 6.6%	1 1.3%	5 8.6%	3 6.3%	4 9.3%	1 2.0%
75 and over	68 8.4%	13 8.4%	27 6.4%	6 13.9%	11 17.2%	4 9.0%	5 11.8%	2 6.5%
DK/REF	13 1.7%	5 3.1%	3 0.6%	2 4.5%	2 3.4%	2 4.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Date						
	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18 to 24	a			a			B
25 to 29	a			a			a
30 to 34		A D				a	D
35 to 39							
40 to 44							
45 to 49							
E. What is your age?	B						
50 to 54	B						
55 to 59							
60 to 64	B			B			
65 to 69							
70 to 74							
75 and over							
DK/REF							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	710	510	200
18 to 24	67 9.4%	44 8.7%	23 11.5%
25 to 29	22 3.1%	14 2.8%	8 3.9%
30 to 34	94 13.2%	72 14.2%	22 10.8%
35 to 39	23 3.2%	16 3.1%	7 3.6%
40 to 44	113 15.9%	80 15.6%	33 16.6%
45 to 49	22 3.1%	17 3.3%	5 2.7%
E. What is your age?	105 14.8%	74 14.6%	31 15.3%
50 to 54	56 7.8%	42 8.3%	13 6.5%
55 to 59	38 5.3%	30 5.9%	8 4.0%
60 to 64	54 7.6%	41 8.1%	13 6.4%
65 to 69	52 7.3%	33 6.5%	19 9.5%
70 to 74	57 8.0%	42 8.3%	15 7.4%
75 and over	8 1.1%	4 0.8%	4 1.8%
DK/REF			



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
18 to 24		
25 to 29		
30 to 34		
35 to 39		
40 to 44		
45 to 49		
E. What is your age?		
50 to 54		
55 to 59		
60 to 64		
65 to 69		
70 to 74		
75 and over		
DK/REF		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	710	347	363
18 to 24	67 9.4%	20 5.7%	47 13.0%
25 to 29	22 3.1%	3 0.9%	19 5.2%
30 to 34	94 13.2%	31 8.9%	63 17.4%
35 to 39	23 3.2%	8 2.3%	15 4.1%
40 to 44	113 15.9%	43 12.3%	70 19.3%
45 to 49	22 3.1%	13 3.7%	9 2.6%
E. What is your age?	105 14.8%	50 14.5%	54 15.0%
50 to 54	56 7.8%	34 9.9%	21 5.9%
55 to 59	38 5.3%	26 7.4%	12 3.4%
60 to 64	54 7.6%	34 9.9%	19 5.4%
65 to 69	52 7.3%	34 9.7%	18 5.0%
70 to 74	57 8.0%	46 13.4%	11 2.9%
75 and over	8 1.1%	5 1.4%	3 0.8%
DK/REF			

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
18 to 24		A
25 to 29		A
30 to 34		A
35 to 39		
40 to 44		A
45 to 49		
<b>E. What is your age?</b> 50 to 54		
55 to 59	B	
60 to 64	B	
65 to 69	B	
70 to 74	B	
75 and over	B	
DK/REF		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>	808	710	98
<b>18 to 24</b>	67 8.3%	67 9.4%	0 0.0%
<b>25 to 29</b>	22 2.7%	22 3.1%	0 0.0%
<b>30 to 34</b>	105 13.0%	94 13.2%	12 11.8%
<b>35 to 39</b>	27 3.4%	23 3.2%	4 4.4%
<b>40 to 44</b>	128 15.8%	113 15.9%	15 15.3%
<b>45 to 49</b>	35 4.4%	22 3.1%	13 13.5%
<b>E. What is your age?</b> 50 to 54	114 14.1%	105 14.8%	9 9.0%
55 to 59	66 8.2%	56 7.8%	11 11.0%
60 to 64	42 5.2%	38 5.3%	4 4.5%
65 to 69	59 7.3%	54 7.6%	5 5.5%
70 to 74	60 7.4%	52 7.3%	8 8.1%
75 and over	68 8.4%	57 8.0%	11 11.2%
DK/REF	13 1.7%	8 1.1%	6 5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
18 to 24		a
25 to 29		a
30 to 34		
35 to 39		
40 to 44		
45 to 49		A
E. What is your age? 50 to 54		
55 to 59		
60 to 64		
65 to 69		
70 to 74		
75 and over		
DK/REF		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total	808	350	455	3
18 to 24	67	19	48	0
	8.3%	5.5%	10.5%	0.0%
25 to 29	22	8	14	0
	2.7%	2.4%	3.0%	0.0%
30 to 34	105	45	60	0
	13.0%	12.8%	13.3%	0.0%
35 to 39	27	19	8	0
	3.4%	5.5%	1.8%	0.1%
40 to 44	128	110	16	2
	15.8%	31.3%	3.6%	64.6%
45 to 49	35	27	8	0
	4.4%	7.8%	1.8%	0.0%
E. What is your age? 50 to 54	114	75	38	1
	14.1%	21.4%	8.4%	24.3%
55 to 59	66	21	45	0
	8.2%	6.1%	9.8%	0.0%
60 to 64	42	7	35	0
	5.2%	2.0%	7.8%	0.0%
65 to 69	59	2	58	0
	7.3%	0.5%	12.6%	0.0%
70 to 74	60	6	54	0
	7.4%	1.7%	11.9%	0.0%
75 and over	68	2	66	0
	8.4%	0.5%	14.6%	1.1%
DK/REF	13	9	5	0
	1.7%	2.5%	1.0%	10.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
18 to 24		A	a
25 to 29			a
30 to 34			a
35 to 39	B		
40 to 44	B		B
45 to 49	B		a
E. What is your age? 50 to 54	B		
55 to 59			a
60 to 64		A	a
65 to 69		A	a
70 to 74		A	a
75 and over		A	
DK/REF			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ages of Children in Household					
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
Total	808	124	191	79	110	455
18 to 24	67	10	7	0	9	48
	8.3%	8.2%	3.9%	0.0%	7.9%	10.5%
25 to 29	22	8	7	0	1	14
	2.7%	6.1%	3.8%	0.0%	0.7%	3.0%
30 to 34	105	36	19	0	2	60
	13.0%	28.9%	9.9%	0.0%	1.7%	13.3%
35 to 39	27	12	13	3	2	8
	3.4%	9.6%	6.8%	3.5%	1.6%	1.8%
40 to 44	128	43	77	33	23	16
	15.8%	35.0%	40.5%	41.2%	21.4%	3.6%
45 to 49	35	7	15	10	9	8
	4.4%	5.7%	8.1%	12.5%	8.5%	1.8%
E. What is your age? 50 to 54	114	2	30	30	38	38
	14.1%	1.5%	15.9%	38.5%	34.9%	8.4%
55 to 59	66	2	7	2	17	45
	8.2%	1.5%	3.7%	3.0%	15.3%	9.8%
60 to 64	42	1	1	0	6	35
	5.2%	1.1%	0.7%	0.0%	5.1%	7.8%
65 to 69	59	0	1	0	0	58
	7.3%	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	12.6%
70 to 74	60	2	3	0	1	54
	7.4%	1.4%	1.8%	0.0%	0.6%	11.9%
75 and over	68	0	2	0	0	66
	8.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14.6%
DK/REF	13	1	6	1	3	5
	1.7%	0.8%	3.2%	1.2%	2.3%	1.0%

	Ages of ...
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	3
18 to 24	0 0.0%
25 to 29	0 0.0%
30 to 34	0 0.0%
35 to 39	0 0.1%
40 to 44	2 64.6%
45 to 49	0 0.0%
50 to 54	1 24.3%
55 to 59	0 0.0%
60 to 64	0 0.0%
65 to 69	0 0.0%
70 to 74	0 0.0%
75 and over	0 1.1%
DK/REF	0 10.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ages of Children in Household					
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18 to 24			a		B	a
25 to 29			a			a
30 to 34	B D E	D	a		D	a
35 to 39	E	E				
40 to 44	E	D E	D E	E		E
45 to 49		E	E	E		a
E. What is your age?		A	A B E	A B E		
50 to 54				A B C	A	a
55 to 59					B	a
60 to 64			a	a	A B	a
65 to 69			a		A B D	a
70 to 74					B C D	
75 and over	a					
DK/REF						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group							
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
<b>Total</b>	808	40	1	96	493	121	7	30
<b>18 to 24</b>	67	3	0	10	26	19	0	9
	8.3%	8.1%	0.0%	10.3%	5.2%	15.9%	0.0%	28.5%
<b>25 to 29</b>	22	0	0	4	6	5	7	0
	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	1.2%	4.4%	100.0%	1.5%
<b>30 to 34</b>	105	0	0	16	61	20	0	5
	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.5%	12.3%	16.5%	0.0%	15.0%
<b>35 to 39</b>	27	0	0	4	11	10	0	2
	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	2.3%	8.1%	0.0%	8.0%
<b>40 to 44</b>	128	4	0	28	68	20	0	6
	15.8%	9.5%	0.0%	29.4%	13.8%	16.8%	0.0%	20.6%
<b>45 to 49</b>	35	3	0	7	19	5	0	0
	4.4%	7.3%	0.0%	7.7%	3.9%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>50 to 54</b>	114	3	0	7	78	17	0	3
	14.1%	7.8%	0.0%	7.0%	15.9%	14.1%	0.0%	8.3%
<b>55 to 59</b>	66	5	1	7	45	5	0	2
	8.2%	13.5%	100.0%	7.0%	9.1%	4.2%	0.0%	6.9%
<b>60 to 64</b>	42	4	0	4	29	4	0	1
	5.2%	10.2%	0.0%	4.4%	5.8%	3.3%	0.0%	3.0%
<b>65 to 69</b>	59	5	0	5	40	9	0	0
	7.3%	12.5%	0.0%	5.7%	8.0%	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>70 to 74</b>	60	5	0	0	48	4	0	1
	7.4%	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	3.0%	0.0%	2.8%
<b>75 and over</b>	68	7	0	0	58	2	0	0
	8.4%	18.1%	0.0%	0.0%	11.8%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/REF</b>	13	0	0	4	5	0	0	2
	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	5	17
<b>18 to 24</b>	0	0
	0.0%	2.7%
<b>25 to 29</b>	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
<b>30 to 34</b>	1	3
	28.2%	19.1%
<b>35 to 39</b>	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
<b>40 to 44</b>	0	1
	1.1%	8.6%
<b>45 to 49</b>	0	1
	0.0%	4.6%
<b>50 to 54</b>	2	4
	36.0%	25.6%
<b>55 to 59</b>	2	0
	34.6%	0.0%
<b>60 to 64</b>	0	0
	0.0%	2.2%
<b>65 to 69</b>	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
<b>70 to 74</b>	0	2
	0.0%	14.1%
<b>75 and over</b>	0	1
	0.0%	5.5%
<b>DK/REF</b>	0	3
	0.0%	17.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group							
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18 to 24		a,b			D	b	D	b
25 to 29	b	a,b				b		b
30 to 34	b	a,b				b		
35 to 39	b	a,b			D	b		
40 to 44		a,b		D		b		
45 to 49		a,b	D			b		b
50 to 54		a,b				b		
55 to 59		a,b				b		
60 to 64		a,b				b		
65 to 69		a,b				b	b	b
70 to 74		a,b	b			b		
75 and over	E	a,b	b	E		b	b	
DK/REF	b	a,b			b	b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(I)
18 to 24	
25 to 29	
30 to 34	
35 to 39	
40 to 44	
45 to 49	
50 to 54	
55 to 59	
60 to 64	
65 to 69	
70 to 74	
75 and over	
DK/REF	D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname								
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
E. What is your age?	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	18 to 24	67	2	0	18	4	2	0	3	38
		9.4%	24.0%	0.0%	19.8%	13.2%	13.9%	14.2%	22.8%	7.1%
	25 to 29	22	0	1	4	0	0	0	7	10
		3.1%	0.0%	4.9%	4.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	48.9%	1.8%
	30 to 34	94	2	2	13	1	1	0	1	73
		13.2%	30.6%	9.6%	15.2%	3.8%	6.6%	0.0%	8.7%	13.7%
	35 to 39	23	0	2	7	0	0	1	0	13
		3.2%	5.4%	8.8%	7.7%	0.0%	1.4%	20.7%	0.0%	2.5%
	40 to 44	113	0	7	17	2	3	0	0	85
		15.9%	0.0%	33.6%	18.7%	5.1%	19.9%	0.0%	0.4%	16.0%
	45 to 49	22	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	16
		3.1%	23.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.4%	9.2%	16.1%	7.8%	3.0%
	50 to 54	105	0	2	8	5	3	0	0	88
		14.8%	0.0%	8.1%	9.4%	15.8%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	16.5%
	55 to 59	56	0	1	4	3	2	0	0	45
	7.8%	0.0%	7.0%	4.0%	11.6%	12.8%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	
60 to 64	38	1	1	4	2	2	2	0	25	
	5.3%	17.1%	6.5%	4.5%	7.0%	15.0%	49.0%	0.0%	4.7%	
65 to 69	54	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	45	
	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%	
70 to 74	52	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	42	
	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	15.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	
75 and over	57	0	0	2	4	1	0	2	48	
	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	14.0%	3.3%	0.0%	11.5%	9.1%	
DK/REF	8	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	1.1%	0.0%	21.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname							
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18 to 24		<sup>a</sup>	H					
25 to 29	<sup>a</sup>				<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	B C D H	
30 to 34						<sup>a</sup>		
35 to 39						<sup>a</sup>		
40 to 44	<sup>a</sup>					<sup>a</sup>		
45 to 49	C H	<sup>a</sup>						
50 to 54	<sup>a</sup>					<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	
55 to 59	<sup>a</sup>					<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	
60 to 64						C H	<sup>a</sup>	
65 to 69	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>			<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	
70 to 74	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>				<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	
75 and over	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>				<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	
DK/REF	<sup>a</sup>	D H	<sup>a</sup>		<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area				Bayside of Hwy 101
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	
Total	808	134	154	92	229	77
18 to 24	67 8.3%	8 5.7%	8 5.0%	3 3.8%	18 7.8%	0 0.0%
25 to 29	22 2.7%	2 1.2%	4 2.3%	1 0.9%	10 4.5%	2 2.9%
30 to 34	105 13.0%	7 5.2%	20 12.9%	5 5.9%	42 18.5%	16 20.5%
35 to 39	27 3.4%	0 0.3%	2 1.5%	3 2.8%	7 3.2%	6 7.3%
40 to 44	128 15.8%	17 12.4%	13 8.2%	27 29.1%	29 12.5%	18 23.1%
45 to 49	35 4.4%	4 3.2%	5 3.3%	3 3.8%	12 5.2%	5 6.7%
50 to 54	114 14.1%	20 15.1%	32 20.9%	12 13.0%	34 15.0%	8 10.0%
55 to 59	66 8.2%	5 3.7%	15 9.5%	11 12.4%	22 9.4%	10 12.6%
60 to 64	42 5.2%	7 5.2%	13 8.2%	1 1.2%	14 6.0%	5 6.3%
65 to 69	59 7.3%	20 14.7%	11 7.1%	1 1.3%	23 9.9%	0 0.6%
70 to 74	60 7.4%	14 10.4%	12 8.0%	12 12.7%	11 4.6%	1 1.9%
75 and over	68 8.4%	30 22.5%	13 8.3%	10 11.3%	7 3.0%	6 8.2%
DK/REF	13 1.7%	0 0.4%	7 4.8%	2 1.9%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%



	Area
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	123
18 to 24	30 24.8%
25 to 29	3 2.8%
30 to 34	15 12.3%
35 to 39	9 7.3%
40 to 44	26 20.8%
45 to 49	6 4.7%
50 to 54	7 6.0%
55 to 59	4 3.4%
60 to 64	3 2.6%
65 to 69	4 3.6%
70 to 74	10 8.1%
75 and over	2 1.3%
DK/REF	3 2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
E. What is your age?	18 to 24					. <sup>a</sup>
	25 to 29					
	30 to 34				A	A
	35 to 39					
	40 to 44			A B D		B
	45 to 49					
	50 to 54		F			
	55 to 59					
	60 to 64					
	65 to 69	C E F				
	70 to 74					
	75 and over	B D F		D F		. <sup>a</sup>
DK/REF						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Area	
	Not sure/DK/NA	
	(F)	
E. What is your age?	18 to 24	A B C D
	25 to 29	
	30 to 34	
	35 to 39	A
	40 to 44	B
	45 to 49	
	50 to 54	
	55 to 59	
	60 to 64	
	65 to 69	
	70 to 74	
	75 and over	
DK/REF		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>	808	59	61	385	304
<b>18 to 24</b>	67 8.3%	17 29.0%	0 0.0%	5 1.2%	46 15.0%
<b>25 to 29</b>	22 2.7%	1 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	21 7.0%
<b>30 to 34</b>	105 13.0%	12 19.8%	1 1.2%	26 6.7%	67 22.1%
<b>35 to 39</b>	27 3.4%	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	16 4.3%	9 2.9%
<b>40 to 44</b>	128 15.8%	6 10.4%	4 6.8%	59 15.2%	59 19.4%
<b>45 to 49</b>	35 4.4%	0 0.3%	3 4.2%	27 6.9%	6 2.0%
<b>50 to 54</b>	114 14.1%	8 13.0%	10 16.2%	62 16.1%	34 11.2%
<b>55 to 59</b>	66 8.2%	7 12.1%	4 6.5%	33 8.7%	22 7.2%
<b>60 to 64</b>	42 5.2%	0 0.3%	4 7.1%	30 7.9%	7 2.5%
<b>65 to 69</b>	59 7.3%	1 1.7%	6 10.1%	35 9.0%	18 5.8%
<b>70 to 74</b>	60 7.4%	4 7.1%	8 12.3%	40 10.4%	8 2.8%
<b>75 and over</b>	68 8.4%	1 2.0%	20 33.5%	41 10.7%	5 1.7%
<b>DK/REF</b>	13 1.7%	0 0.0%	1 2.1%	11 3.0%	1 0.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
<b>18 to 24</b>	C D	. <sup>a</sup>		C
<b>25 to 29</b>		. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>	
<b>30 to 34</b>	B C			B C
<b>35 to 39</b>				
<b>40 to 44</b>				
<b>45 to 49</b>			D	
<b>50 to 54</b>				
<b>55 to 59</b>			D	
<b>60 to 64</b>			D	
<b>65 to 69</b>				
<b>70 to 74</b>		D	D	
<b>75 and over</b>		A C D	D	
<b>DK/REF</b>			D	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>		710	105	142	150	92	221
<b>18 to 24</b>		67	21	26	12	2	6
		9.4%	20.1%	18.6%	7.9%	1.9%	2.6%
<b>25 to 29</b>		22	11	10	1	0	0
		3.1%	10.4%	7.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
<b>30 to 34</b>		94	34	25	25	10	0
		13.2%	31.9%	17.4%	16.8%	10.9%	0.2%
<b>35 to 39</b>		23	2	11	7	2	1
		3.2%	1.6%	7.5%	4.6%	2.7%	0.6%
<b>40 to 44</b>		113	19	33	28	11	23
		15.9%	17.7%	23.1%	18.7%	11.6%	10.3%
<b>45 to 49</b>		22	0	3	6	5	9
		3.1%	0.0%	2.2%	3.7%	5.4%	3.9%
<b>E. What is your age?</b>							
<b>50 to 54</b>		105	11	10	22	22	40
		14.8%	10.8%	7.0%	14.9%	23.4%	18.0%
<b>55 to 59</b>		56	4	6	4	10	31
		7.8%	3.9%	4.5%	2.4%	10.8%	14.2%
<b>60 to 64</b>		38	3	7	7	3	17
		5.3%	2.7%	5.0%	4.9%	3.7%	7.8%
<b>65 to 69</b>		54	1	4	11	13	25
		7.6%	0.9%	2.8%	7.5%	14.2%	11.2%
<b>70 to 74</b>		52	0	3	18	6	25
		7.3%	0.0%	2.0%	12.0%	6.6%	11.3%
<b>75 and over</b>		57	0	4	6	8	39
		8.0%	0.0%	2.5%	4.1%	8.8%	17.8%
<b>DK/REF</b>		8	0	0	3	0	5
		1.1%	0.0%	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>18 to 24</b>		C D E	D E			
<b>25 to 29</b>		C E	C E		<sup>a</sup>	
<b>30 to 34</b>		D E	E	E	E	
<b>35 to 39</b>			E			
<b>40 to 44</b>			E			
<b>45 to 49</b>						
<b>E. What is your age?</b>						
<b>50 to 54</b>					B	B
<b>55 to 59</b>						B C
<b>60 to 64</b>						
<b>65 to 69</b>					A B	A B
<b>70 to 74</b>		<sup>a</sup>		B		B
<b>75 and over</b>		<sup>a</sup>				B C
<b>DK/REF</b>		<sup>a</sup>				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
Total		699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12
18 to 24		65 9.2%	22 9.9%	11 7.7%	5 9.6%	5 6.5%	7 8.3%	14 15.7%	0 0.0%
25 to 29		22 3.1%	5 2.0%	2 1.5%	2 3.9%	1 1.2%	10 11.9%	3 2.9%	0 0.0%
30 to 34		92 13.1%	39 17.0%	23 15.2%	1 2.0%	5 7.0%	9 11.0%	10 11.3%	2 15.6%
35 to 39		23 3.3%	11 5.0%	5 3.2%	2 4.4%	0 0.6%	3 3.6%	1 1.2%	0 0.0%
40 to 44		113 16.1%	34 14.8%	21 14.1%	3 5.4%	14 17.3%	20 24.3%	17 18.4%	1 12.5%
45 to 49		22 3.2%	5 2.1%	6 3.8%	6 13.3%	1 1.6%	2 2.2%	1 1.0%	2 12.6%
50 to 54		105 15.0%	35 15.2%	20 13.4%	10 20.6%	9 11.2%	9 11.0%	16 18.0%	4 29.9%
55 to 59		56 7.9%	14 6.3%	14 9.5%	4 7.5%	6 7.9%	3 3.8%	13 14.0%	1 10.8%
60 to 64		37 5.4%	12 5.4%	9 6.1%	2 3.3%	7 9.2%	4 4.9%	3 3.5%	0 0.0%
65 to 69		52 7.4%	18 8.0%	13 8.6%	7 15.1%	1 1.2%	6 7.5%	5 5.1%	2 15.7%
70 to 74		50 7.1%	20 8.9%	8 5.1%	3 6.6%	11 14.3%	5 6.0%	2 2.3%	0 2.9%
75 and over		57 8.2%	12 5.4%	17 11.1%	3 7.2%	14 18.0%	4 5.4%	4 4.6%	0 0.0%
DK/REF		7 0.9%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	0 1.0%	3 4.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.9%	0 0.0%

		Party by .	
		Male Oth	
Total		11	
18 to 24		0 0.0%	
25 to 29		0 0.0%	
30 to 34		3 24.3%	
35 to 39		0 0.0%	
40 to 44		3 32.4%	
45 to 49		0 0.0%	
50 to 54		3 24.4%	
55 to 59		0 0.0%	
60 to 64		0 0.0%	
65 to 69		0 0.0%	
70 to 74		0 0.0%	
75 and over		2 18.8%	
DK/REF		0 0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18 to 24								. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
25 to 29						A B		. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
30 to 34									
35 to 39									
40 to 44									
45 to 49				A					
50 to 54									
55 to 59								. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
60 to 64								. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
65 to 69								. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
70 to 74				D				. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
75 and over								. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
DK/REF					A			. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>	416	104	141	61	51	59
<b>18 to 24</b>	28	8	12	2	0	6
	6.7%	7.6%	8.6%	3.7%	0.0%	9.5%
<b>25 to 29</b>	8	2	3	2	0	1
	1.8%	2.4%	1.8%	3.2%	0.0%	1.2%
<b>30 to 34</b>	60	11	17	11	4	17
	14.5%	10.5%	12.4%	17.8%	7.3%	29.4%
<b>35 to 39</b>	16	3	12	1	1	0
	3.9%	2.6%	8.5%	1.8%	1.2%	0.0%
<b>40 to 44</b>	60	20	18	6	7	10
	14.4%	18.8%	12.5%	9.6%	13.7%	16.5%
<b>45 to 49</b>	20	5	9	4	1	1
	4.9%	5.0%	6.6%	5.8%	2.3%	1.9%
<b>50 to 54</b>	61	16	23	6	11	5
	14.6%	15.7%	16.1%	9.7%	20.7%	8.5%
<b>55 to 59</b>	36	11	14	8	2	1
	8.7%	10.1%	10.2%	13.5%	4.7%	1.4%
<b>60 to 64</b>	22	6	9	5	2	0
	5.3%	5.6%	6.6%	8.7%	3.5%	0.0%
<b>65 to 69</b>	29	6	5	5	6	7
	7.1%	6.0%	3.8%	7.4%	11.4%	12.7%
<b>70 to 74</b>	31	5	6	7	6	6
	7.4%	4.7%	4.6%	11.9%	12.3%	9.9%
<b>75 and over</b>	38	9	10	3	11	5
	9.2%	8.3%	7.1%	5.0%	21.9%	8.9%
<b>DK/REF</b>	6	3	2	1	0	0
	1.5%	2.9%	1.1%	1.9%	0.9%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>18 to 24</b>				a	
<b>25 to 29</b>				a	
<b>30 to 34</b>					A B D
<b>35 to 39</b>					
<b>40 to 44</b>					
<b>45 to 49</b>					
<b>50 to 54</b>					
<b>55 to 59</b>					a
<b>60 to 64</b>					.
<b>65 to 69</b>					
<b>70 to 74</b>					
<b>75 and over</b>				B	
<b>DK/REF</b>					a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>	392	105	106	30	122	29
<b>18 to 24</b>	39	11	16	0	8	5
	10.0%	10.4%	14.7%	0.0%	6.3%	17.8%
<b>25 to 29</b>	14	4	1	1	8	0
	3.7%	3.7%	1.3%	3.2%	6.7%	0.0%
<b>30 to 34</b>	45	16	9	2	15	2
	11.5%	15.3%	8.6%	8.1%	12.6%	7.3%
<b>35 to 39</b>	11	4	2	1	4	0
	2.8%	3.4%	1.8%	3.3%	3.6%	0.0%
<b>40 to 44</b>	68	13	24	4	21	6
	17.3%	12.3%	22.6%	14.2%	17.0%	21.5%
<b>45 to 49</b>	15	1	8	2	4	0
	3.9%	1.4%	7.9%	5.5%	3.0%	0.0%
<b>50 to 54</b>	53	17	13	6	14	2
	13.5%	16.4%	12.3%	20.9%	11.6%	8.3%
<b>55 to 59</b>	30	3	7	3	12	5
	7.6%	2.5%	6.5%	11.5%	10.0%	16.9%
<b>60 to 64</b>	20	10	4	1	5	0
	5.1%	9.4%	3.5%	4.3%	4.2%	0.0%
<b>65 to 69</b>	30	9	3	5	7	6
	7.6%	8.1%	3.1%	15.9%	5.9%	21.0%
<b>70 to 74</b>	29	12	6	1	10	0
	7.5%	11.2%	5.9%	3.9%	8.2%	0.1%
<b>75 and over</b>	30	6	7	3	12	2
	7.6%	6.0%	6.5%	8.8%	9.9%	7.0%
<b>DK/REF</b>	7	0	6	0	1	0
	1.8%	0.0%	5.4%	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>18 to 24</b>			a		
<b>25 to 29</b>					
<b>30 to 34</b>					
<b>35 to 39</b>					a
<b>40 to 44</b>					
<b>45 to 49</b>					
<b>50 to 54</b>					A
<b>55 to 59</b>					
<b>60 to 64</b>					
<b>65 to 69</b>					B
<b>70 to 74</b>					
<b>75 and over</b>					
<b>DK/REF</b>					a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
<b>Total</b>	808	808
<b>0 to 4 years</b>	124	124
	15.3%	15.3%
<b>5 to 11 years</b>	191	191
	23.6%	23.6%
<b>12 to 13 years</b>	79	79
	9.8%	9.8%
<b>14 to 17 years</b>	110	110
	13.6%	13.6%
<b>None in household</b>	455	455
	56.4%	56.4%
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>	3	3
	0.3%	0.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Total
	Total
	(A)
0 to 4 years	.
5 to 11 years	.
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	
12 to 13 years	.
14 to 17 years	.
None in household	.
Not sure/DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>	808	377	418	13
<b>0 to 4 years</b>	124	44	77	3
	15.3%	11.6%	18.4%	24.8%
<b>5 to 11 years</b>	191	78	111	1
	23.6%	20.7%	26.6%	7.6%
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?				
<b>12 to 13 years</b>	79	33	45	1
	9.8%	8.7%	10.9%	7.6%
<b>14 to 17 years</b>	110	42	64	3
	13.6%	11.2%	15.3%	26.4%
<b>None in household</b>	455	234	216	6
	56.4%	62.1%	51.5%	46.7%
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>	3	2	0	0
	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	2.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0 to 4 years		A	
5 to 11 years			
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?			
12 to 13 years			
14 to 17 years			
None in household	B		
Not sure/DK/NA			B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age						Not sure/DK/NA
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13
<b>0 to 4 years</b>	124	10	43	55	9	3	2	1
	15.3%	15.2%	34.0%	35.6%	6.0%	2.9%	1.1%	7.2%
<b>5 to 11 years</b>	191	7	26	90	46	8	7	6
	23.6%	10.9%	20.5%	58.2%	30.6%	7.8%	3.6%	45.1%
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?								
<b>12 to 13 years</b>	79	0	0	35	40	2	0	1
	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%	22.8%	27.0%	2.2%	0.0%	7.2%
<b>14 to 17 years</b>	110	9	3	25	47	22	1	3
	13.6%	12.9%	2.1%	16.3%	31.8%	20.5%	0.4%	19.2%
<b>None in household</b>	455	48	74	24	46	80	178	5
	56.4%	71.2%	58.2%	15.8%	31.1%	73.9%	95.0%	33.7%
<b>Not sure/DK/NA</b>	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Age						
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0 to 4 years	F	DEF	ADEF				
5 to 11 years		F	ABDEF	AEF			EF
12 to 13 years	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>	EF	EF			F
14 to 17 years	BF		BF	BCF	BF		BF
None in household	CD	CD		C	CD	ABCDEG	
Not sure/DK/NA	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>			. <sup>a</sup>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Total	710	470	240
0 to 4 years	117 16.5%	60 12.8%	57 23.8%
5 to 11 years	167 23.5%	113 24.0%	54 22.5%
12 to 13 years	67 9.4%	62 13.2%	5 2.0%
14 to 17 years	90 12.6%	83 17.6%	7 2.8%
None in household	405 57.1%	259 55.1%	146 60.8%
Not sure/DK/NA	3 0.4%	1 0.1%	2 0.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
0 to 4 years		A
5 to 11 years		
12 to 13 years	B	
14 to 17 years	B	
None in household		
Not sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	710	385	126	23	176
0 to 4 years	117 16.5%	66 17.3%	15 12.2%	1 4.1%	35 19.6%
5 to 11 years	167 23.5%	75 19.5%	25 20.2%	8 35.8%	58 33.0%
12 to 13 years	67 9.4%	29 7.5%	11 8.5%	5 22.8%	22 12.4%
14 to 17 years	90 12.6%	45 11.7%	14 11.4%	6 27.1%	24 13.7%
None in household	405 57.1%	229 59.4%	80 63.8%	11 48.2%	85 48.2%
Not sure/DK/NA	3 0.4%	1 0.2%	2 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0 to 4 years				
5 to 11 years				A
12 to 13 years				
14 to 17 years				
None in household		D		
Not sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
0 to 4 years	117 16.5%	30 14.4%	17 15.4%	6 13.8%	6 13.3%	29 18.8%	29 19.8%
5 to 11 years	167 23.5%	45 21.5%	23 20.4%	7 16.7%	14 31.0%	26 16.6%	52 35.6%
12 to 13 years	67 9.4%	13 6.3%	11 10.1%	2 5.4%	6 11.9%	15 9.5%	20 13.6%
14 to 17 years	90 12.6%	22 10.6%	15 13.9%	3 6.9%	4 8.7%	25 16.1%	20 13.8%
None in household	405 57.1%	123 59.4%	67 60.4%	29 67.0%	24 52.0%	92 59.6%	69 47.0%
Not sure/DK/NA	3 0.4%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.2%



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?						A E
0 to 4 years						
5 to 11 years						
12 to 13 years						
14 to 17 years						
None in household						
Not sure/DK/NA		.a	.a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	710	297	95	46	69
0 to 4 years	117	68	23	6	6
5 to 11 years	167	67	35	18	28
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	23.5%	22.5%	36.7%	40.1%	41.0%
12 to 13 years	67	11	16	10	14
14 to 17 years	90	21	18	8	10
None in household	405	172	46	14	32
Not sure/DK/NA	3	2	0	1	0
	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%

	Total	Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	57	44	67	35	
0 to 4 years	4	1	0	8	
5 to 11 years	7	3	2	7	
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	12.3%	7.0%	2.3%	18.7%	
12 to 13 years	11	4	0	1	
14 to 17 years	17	13	2	0	
None in household	29	28	63	22	
Not sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Registration Date				
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	F	F	F	F	F
0 to 4 years	G	EFG	EFG	A EFG	A
5 to 11 years		A	A	A	AGH
12 to 13 years		A			
14 to 17 years					
None in household	C			.a	.a
Not sure/DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(F)	(G)	(H)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?		.a	
0 to 4 years		.a	
5 to 11 years		.a	
12 to 13 years	AGH		
14 to 17 years			
None in household	C	ABCDEFH	
Not sure/DK/NA	.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
0 to 4 years	124	16	79	1	2	9	11	6
5 to 11 years	191	46	94	7	14	12	15	3
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	23.6%	29.4%	22.0%	17.2%	22.3%	26.2%	35.0%	8.9%
12 to 13 years	79	25	28	2	14	5	5	1
14 to 17 years	110	27	46	7	12	9	6	4
None in household	455	76	256	27	29	23	24	21
Not sure/DK/NA	3	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Date						
	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0 to 4 years		D				C D	
5 to 11 years							
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	B			B			
12 to 13 years							
14 to 17 years							
None in household					B		
Not sure/DK/NA	.a					.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	710	510	200
0 to 4 years	117	86	31
5 to 11 years	167	124	43
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	23.5%	24.4%	21.2%
12 to 13 years	67	48	18
14 to 17 years	90	62	27
None in household	405	292	113
Not sure/DK/NA	3	1	2

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
0 to 4 years		
5 to 11 years		
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?		
12 to 13 years		
14 to 17 years		
None in household		
Not sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	710	347	363
0 to 4 years	117	28	89
5 to 11 years	167	57	110
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	23.5%	16.3%	30.4%
12 to 13 years	67	35	32
14 to 17 years	90	49	41
None in household	405	224	181
Not sure/DK/NA	3	1	2

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
0 to 4 years		A
5 to 11 years		A
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?		
12 to 13 years		
14 to 17 years		
None in household	B	
Not sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	808	710	98
0 to 4 years	124	117	6
5 to 11 years	191	167	24
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	23.6%	23.5%	24.3%
12 to 13 years	79	67	12
14 to 17 years	110	90	20
None in household	455	405	50
Not sure/DK/NA	3	3	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?		
0 to 4 years	B	
5 to 11 years		
12 to 13 years		A
14 to 17 years		
None in household		
Not sure/DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total	808	350	455	3
0 to 4 years	124 15.3%	124 35.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5 to 11 years	191 23.6%	191 54.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
12 to 13 years	79 9.8%	79 22.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
14 to 17 years	110 13.6%	110 31.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
None in household	455 56.4%	0 0.0%	455 100.0%	0 0.0%
Not sure/DK/NA	3 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?			
0 to 4 years		a	a
5 to 11 years		a	a
12 to 13 years		a	a
14 to 17 years		a	a
None in household	a	a	a
Not sure/DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ages of Children in Household			
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
Total	808	124	191	79	110
0 to 4 years	124 15.3%	124 100.0%	65 34.1%	6 8.2%	9 8.7%
5 to 11 years	191 23.6%	65 52.5%	191 100.0%	41 51.4%	20 18.6%
12 to 13 years	79 9.8%	6 5.2%	41 21.3%	79 100.0%	33 29.9%
14 to 17 years	110 13.6%	9 7.7%	20 10.7%	33 41.5%	110 100.0%
None in household	455 56.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Not sure/DK/NA	3 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ages of Children in Household	
	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	455	3
0 to 4 years	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5 to 11 years	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
12 to 13 years	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
14 to 17 years	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
None in household	455 100.0%	0 0.0%
Not sure/DK/NA	0 0.0%	3 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ages of Children in Household				
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?					
0 to 4 years	.a	C D			.a
5 to 11 years	D	.a	D		.a
12 to 13 years		A	.a	A	.a
14 to 17 years			A B	.a	.a
None in household	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
Not sure/DK/NA	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ages of ...
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(F)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	
0 to 4 years	.a
5 to 11 years	.a
12 to 13 years	.a
14 to 17 years	.a
None in household	.a
Not sure/DK/NA	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Group					
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?							
Total	808	40	1	96	493	121	7
0 to 4 years	124	7	0	19	49	33	7
5 to 11 years	191	16	0	32	87	36	7
12 to 13 years	79	0	0	8	49	12	0
14 to 17 years	110	2	0	6	71	24	0
None in household	455	20	1	50	309	53	0
Not sure/DK/NA	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?				
Total	30	5	17	
0 to 4 years	5	1	3	
5 to 11 years	6	0	6	
12 to 13 years	5	2	4	
14 to 17 years	4	0	3	
None in household	14	2	6	
Not sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group					
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?							
0 to 4 years			.a,b			.b	
5 to 11 years	D		.a,b	D		.b	
12 to 13 years	.b		.a,b			.b	
14 to 17 years			.a,b			.b	
None in household			.a,b	E		.b	
Not sure/DK/NA	.b		.a,b	.b		.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group	
		Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?			
0 to 4 years			
5 to 11 years			
12 to 13 years			
14 to 17 years			
None in household			
Not sure/DK/NA	.b		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	0 to 4 years	117	1	0	27	0	0	1
		16.5%	14.6%	0.0%	30.2%	0.0%	0.0%	16.1%
	5 to 11 years	167	1	10	23	4	6	1
		23.5%	9.2%	52.8%	26.1%	12.4%	35.2%	34.9%
	12 to 13 years	67	0	6	8	4	2	0
		9.4%	0.0%	30.5%	9.5%	12.7%	14.3%	0.0%
	14 to 17 years	90	0	3	13	6	3	0
	12.6%	0.0%	13.5%	14.7%	19.8%	19.4%	0.0%	
None in household	405	6	8	47	19	7	2	
	57.1%	85.4%	40.6%	52.8%	65.3%	45.4%	49.0%	
Not sure/DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	Total	14	530
	0 to 4 years	10	79
		70.4%	14.9%
	5 to 11 years	10	112
		70.0%	21.1%
	12 to 13 years	0	46
		0.0%	8.7%
	14 to 17 years	0	65
	0.0%	12.2%	
None in household	4	311	
	29.6%	58.6%	
Not sure/DK/NA	0	3	
	0.0%	0.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname						
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	0 to 4 years		a					DEH
	5 to 11 years		H					CDH
	12 to 13 years	a	H				a	a
	14 to 17 years	a					a	a
	None in household							
	Not sure/DK/NA	a	a	a		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic ...	
		Not Coded	(H)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	0 to 4 years		
	5 to 11 years		
	12 to 13 years		
	14 to 17 years		
	None in household		
	Not sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area				
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	Total	808	134	154	92	229
	0 to 4 years	124	6	12	12	35
		15.3%	4.3%	7.6%	13.3%	15.5%
	5 to 11 years	191	20	28	18	61
		23.6%	14.7%	18.4%	19.8%	26.6%
	12 to 13 years	79	11	19	13	25
		9.8%	7.9%	12.4%	13.8%	11.0%
	14 to 17 years	110	17	26	11	31
	13.6%	12.3%	16.9%	11.8%	13.6%	
None in household	455	103	88	53	122	
	56.4%	76.9%	57.1%	58.0%	53.4%	
Not sure/DK/NA	3	0	1	2	0	
	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	1.9%	0.0%	

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?	Total	77	123
	0 to 4 years	24	35
		31.0%	28.4%
	5 to 11 years	22	42
		28.5%	33.9%
	12 to 13 years	4	7
		5.4%	6.0%
	14 to 17 years	5	20
	6.6%	16.4%	
None in household	36	54	
	46.4%	43.7%	
Not sure/DK/NA	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Area			
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?				
0 to 4 years				A
5 to 11 years				
12 to 13 years				
14 to 17 years				
None in household	B C D E F			a
Not sure/DK/NA	a			

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Area	
	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
	(E)	(F)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?		
0 to 4 years	A B D	A B
5 to 11 years		A B
12 to 13 years		
14 to 17 years		
None in household		
Not sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	808	59	61	385	304
0 to 4 years	124	16	1	39	68
	15.3%	27.6%	2.1%	10.0%	22.3%
5 to 11 years	191	18	6	92	74
	23.6%	31.0%	9.8%	23.9%	24.4%
12 to 13 years	79	3	3	51	22
	9.8%	5.5%	5.2%	13.2%	7.2%
14 to 17 years	110	7	8	64	31
	13.6%	11.8%	12.7%	16.6%	10.2%
None in household	455	31	46	210	168
	56.4%	53.1%	75.9%	54.6%	55.3%
Not sure/DK/NA	3	0	2	0	1
	0.3%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?					
0 to 4 years	B C			B C	
5 to 11 years	B				
12 to 13 years					
14 to 17 years					
None in household		C D	a		
Not sure/DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
0 to 4 years	117	32	46	23	14	2
	16.5%	30.4%	32.5%	15.7%	15.0%	0.8%
5 to 11 years	167	27	34	48	17	41
	23.5%	25.7%	23.7%	32.4%	18.7%	18.4%
12 to 13 years	67	1	8	18	9	31
	9.4%	1.2%	5.6%	11.8%	9.8%	14.0%
14 to 17 years	90	7	13	19	15	35
	12.6%	6.9%	9.4%	12.5%	16.7%	15.8%
None in household	405	56	75	74	52	148
	57.1%	53.1%	52.6%	49.8%	56.7%	66.8%
Not sure/DK/NA	3	0	2	1	0	0
	0.4%	0.0%	1.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Voting Propensity				
	0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?					
0 to 4 years	E	C D E	E	E	
5 to 11 years			E		
12 to 13 years			A		A
14 to 17 years					
None in household					C
Not sure/DK/NA	. <sup>a</sup>				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender						
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?							
Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91
0 to 4 years	115 16.5%	42 18.6%	22 14.6%	3 7.2%	12 15.2%	25 29.9%	10 11.0%
5 to 11 years	167 23.9%	41 18.1%	34 22.5%	12 25.1%	14 17.3%	36 44.0%	22 24.2%
12 to 13 years	67 9.5%	19 8.5%	10 6.4%	4 9.0%	6 8.2%	13 15.5%	9 10.1%
14 to 17 years	87 12.5%	31 13.5%	14 9.5%	9 19.2%	5 6.7%	10 11.7%	12 13.4%
None in household	399 57.1%	132 58.1%	91 60.8%	27 57.6%	53 67.5%	33 40.2%	51 56.7%
Not sure/DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	1 0.4%	0 0.0%	2 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth	Male Oth
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?		
Total	12	11
0 to 4 years	1 7.3%	0 0.5%
5 to 11 years	4 34.8%	4 36.8%
12 to 13 years	2 14.7%	3 31.9%
14 to 17 years	1 5.2%	6 51.3%
None in household	6 52.7%	5 43.2%
Not sure/DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Party by Gender					
	Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)	Male NPP (F)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?						
0 to 4 years						
5 to 11 years					A B D	
12 to 13 years						
14 to 17 years				E		
None in household						
Not sure/DK/NA			. <sup>a</sup>		. <sup>a</sup>	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?		
0 to 4 years		
5 to 11 years		
12 to 13 years		A B D E F
14 to 17 years		
None in household		
Not sure/DK/NA		. <sup>a</sup>

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
F. What are the ages of the children in your household?					
Total	416	104	141	61	51
0 to 4 years	69 16.6%	27 25.9%	25 17.9%	12 19.7%	0 0.8%
5 to 11 years	98 23.5%	30 29.2%	32 22.8%	16 26.3%	11 20.7%
12 to 13 years	34 8.1%	4 3.4%	16 11.3%	4 6.3%	6 10.8%
14 to 17 years	48 11.4%	12 11.8%	21 15.1%	7 10.7%	6 12.2%
None in household	241 57.8%	51 48.9%	78 55.0%	34 54.9%	37 71.3%
Not sure/DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		59
0 to 4 years		5 8.0%
5 to 11 years		8 14.3%
12 to 13 years		5 7.9%
14 to 17 years		1 2.1%
None in household		42 71.3%
Not sure/DK/NA		0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0 to 4 years		D	D	D		
5 to 11 years						
12 to 13 years						
14 to 17 years						
None in household						
Not sure/DK/NA		a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
<b>Total</b>		392	105	106	30	122
0 to 4 years		54 13.9%	16 15.2%	6 6.0%	5 16.0%	25 20.6%
5 to 11 years		93 23.7%	22 20.5%	30 28.5%	4 11.6%	29 23.5%
12 to 13 years		46 11.6%	12 11.6%	17 16.3%	5 15.3%	12 9.4%
14 to 17 years		62 15.8%	25 23.4%	22 21.2%	2 5.0%	13 11.0%
None in household		215 54.9%	58 55.6%	49 46.2%	20 67.3%	69 56.2%
Not sure/DK/NA		3 0.7%	1 0.7%	0 0.3%	0 0.0%	2 1.5%

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		29
0 to 4 years		2 7.3%
5 to 11 years		9 31.6%
12 to 13 years		0 0.0%
14 to 17 years		0 0.0%
None in household		19 65.4%
Not sure/DK/NA		0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0 to 4 years					B	
5 to 11 years						
12 to 13 years						a
14 to 17 years						
None in household						
Not sure/DK/NA				a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>Total</b>		808	808
African-American or Black		40 4.9%	40 4.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native		1 0.1%	1 0.1%
Asian		96 11.8%	96 11.8%
Caucasian or White		493 61.0%	493 61.0%
Hispanic or Latino		121 14.9%	121 14.9%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander		7 0.8%	7 0.8%
Two or more races		30 3.7%	30 3.7%
Some other race		5 0.6%	5 0.6%
DK/NA		17 2.1%	17 2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Total
		(A)
African-American or Black		.
American Indian or Alaska Native		.
Asian		.
Caucasian or White		.
Hispanic or Latino		.
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander		.
Two or more races		.
Some other race		.
DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>		808	377	418	13
African-American or Black		40 4.9%	12 3.1%	28 6.7%	0 0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native		1 0.1%	0 0.0%	1 0.2%	0 0.0%
Asian		96 11.8%	39 10.4%	56 13.5%	0 0.0%
Caucasian or White		493 61.0%	243 64.6%	244 58.4%	5 37.7%
Hispanic or Latino		121 14.9%	63 16.8%	57 13.7%	0 0.0%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander		7 0.8%	0 0.0%	7 1.6%	0 0.0%
Two or more races		30 3.7%	12 3.3%	18 4.3%	0 0.0%
Some other race		5 0.6%	2 0.6%	2 0.6%	0 0.0%
DK/NA		17 2.1%	4 1.2%	5 1.1%	8 62.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
African-American or Black		.	A	. <sup>a</sup>
American Indian or Alaska Native		. <sup>a</sup>	.	. <sup>a</sup>
Asian		.	.	. <sup>a</sup>
Caucasian or White		.	.	.
Hispanic or Latino		.	.	. <sup>a</sup>
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander		. <sup>a</sup>	.	. <sup>a</sup>
Two or more races		.	.	.
Some other race		.	.	.
DK/NA		.	.	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age						
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
<b>Total</b>	808	67	127	155	149	109	187
<b>African-American or Black</b>	40	3	0	4	6	9	17
	4.9%	4.8%	0.0%	2.4%	4.0%	8.7%	9.3%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
<b>Asian</b>	96	10	19	32	14	11	5
	11.8%	14.7%	15.2%	20.6%	9.4%	10.0%	2.9%
<b>Caucasian or White</b>	493	26	67	79	98	74	146
	61.0%	38.3%	52.2%	51.0%	65.3%	67.7%	77.7%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	121	19	25	30	22	9	15
	14.9%	28.6%	19.8%	19.4%	15.0%	8.4%	7.9%
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>	7	0	7	0	0	0	0
	0.8%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Two or more races</b>	30	9	5	9	3	3	1
	3.7%	12.9%	3.9%	5.6%	1.7%	2.8%	0.5%
<b>Some other race</b>	5	0	1	0	2	2	0
	0.6%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.5%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	17	0	3	1	5	0	3
	2.1%	0.7%	2.5%	0.9%	3.4%	0.3%	1.8%

	Age
	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	13
<b>African-American or Black</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Asian</b>	4
	31.1%
<b>Caucasian or White</b>	5
	34.9%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Two or more races</b>	2
	12.0%
<b>Some other race</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	3
	22.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Age						
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>African-American or Black</b>	.	a					a
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	a	a	a	a		a	a
<b>Asian</b>	F	F	F				F
<b>Caucasian or White</b>				A	A	A B C G	
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	E F	F	F				a
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>	a		a	a	a	a	a
<b>Two or more races</b>	D F						F
<b>Some other race</b>	a						
<b>DK/NA</b>	.						A B C E F

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>African-American or Black</b>	35	30	5
	4.9%	6.3%	2.1%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	1	1	0
	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Asian</b>	84	55	29
	11.8%	11.6%	12.2%
<b>Caucasian or White</b>	434	305	128
	61.1%	64.9%	53.5%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	106	53	53
	14.9%	11.4%	21.9%
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>	7	0	7
	0.9%	0.0%	2.8%
<b>Two or more races</b>	27	14	13
	3.7%	2.9%	5.4%
<b>Some other race</b>	4	3	1
	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%
<b>DK/NA</b>	14	10	4
	1.9%	2.1%	1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black	B	
	American Indian or Alaska Native		. <sup>a</sup>
	Asian		
	Caucasian or White	B	A
	Hispanic or Latino		
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	. <sup>a</sup>	
	Two or more races		
	Some other race		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		710	385	126	23	176
African-American or Black		35	28	0	0	7
American Indian or Alaska Native		1	0	1	0	0
Asian		84	36	19	0	29
Caucasian or White		434	225	93	18	97
Hispanic or Latino		106	72	10	3	20
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander		7	0	0	0	7
Two or more races		27	15	1	1	9
Some other race		4	1	0	0	3
DK/NA		14	8	2	0	4

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black		. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	. <sup>a</sup>		. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
	Asian				
	Caucasian or White		A D		
	Hispanic or Latino	B			
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>	
	Two or more races				
	Some other race			. <sup>a</sup>	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		710	208	111	44	46	155	146
African-American or Black		35	11	10	0	0	12	2
American Indian or Alaska Native		1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Asian		84	29	3	12	5	11	23
Caucasian or White		434	113	75	28	37	93	88
Hispanic or Latino		106	42	19	3	2	23	17
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander		7	0	0	0	0	0	7
Two or more races		27	12	0	0	1	8	5
Some other race		4	0	1	0	0	0	3
DK/NA		14	1	3	0	0	8	2

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black	F	a	a	F	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian	B		B E		B
	Caucasian or White				A	
	Hispanic or Latino					
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	a	a	a	a	a
	Two or more races		a			
	Some other race				a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date				
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	710	297	95	46	69
African-American or Black	35	12	0	0	5
American Indian or Alaska Native	4.9%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%
Asian	1	1	0	0	0
Caucasian or White	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	84	50	11	10	7
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	11.8%	17.0%	11.5%	21.6%	9.5%
Two or more races	434	155	67	27	41
Some other race	61.1%	52.4%	69.9%	58.3%	60.0%
DK/NA	106	52	13	5	13
	14.9%	17.7%	13.5%	10.7%	19.0%
	7	7	0	0	0
	0.9%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	27	14	4	1	0
	3.7%	4.7%	4.4%	3.0%	0.0%
	4	1	0	0	2
	0.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	3.4%
	14	4	0	3	0
	1.9%	1.5%	0.5%	6.4%	0.6%

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	57	44	67	35
African-American or Black	0	1	9	7
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	2.3%	14.2%	20.4%
Asian	0	0	0	0
Caucasian or White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	0	3	2	0
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0.0%	7.8%	3.7%	0.0%
Two or more races	36	31	51	25
Some other race	63.7%	71.0%	76.7%	69.8%
DK/NA	12	7	1	2
	21.6%	15.3%	1.8%	6.8%
	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	7	0	0	0
	12.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	0	0	1	0
	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
	1	2	1	1
	2.5%	3.6%	1.9%	2.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Registration Date			
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black	a	a	
	American Indian or Alaska Native		a	a
	Asian			G
	Caucasian or White			
	Hispanic or Latino			
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	G		
	Two or more races		a	a
	Some other race			
	DK/NA			

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Registration Date				
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before	
	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black	a		A	A
	American Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a	a
	Asian	a			a
	Caucasian or White			A	
	Hispanic or Latino				
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	a	a	a	a
	Two or more races	D	a	a	a
	Some other race	a			a
	DK/NA				

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>Total</b>	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
<b>African-American or Black</b>	40	4	20	3	9	0	0	4
	4.9%	2.8%	4.6%	7.4%	14.4%	0.0%	0.0%	10.8%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Asian</b>	96	10	52	2	10	8	7	6
	11.8%	6.5%	12.3%	5.1%	15.3%	16.7%	17.7%	18.3%
<b>Caucasian or White</b>	493	124	249	32	30	28	15	13
	61.0%	80.0%	58.5%	77.5%	48.0%	60.8%	36.4%	38.9%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	121	3	71	2	5	10	19	10
	14.9%	2.2%	16.6%	3.8%	8.5%	22.5%	45.8%	29.6%
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
	0.8%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Two or more races</b>	30	3	20	3	5	0	0	0
	3.7%	2.3%	4.6%	6.1%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Some other race</b>	5	1	1	0	3	0	0	0
	0.6%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	17	8	7	0	1	0	0	1
	2.1%	5.1%	1.6%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>African-American or Black</b>				A B	a	a		
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>		a	a	a	a	a	a	
<b>Asian</b>								
<b>Caucasian or White</b>	B D F G		F G					
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>		A			A	A B C D	A C	
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>	a		a	a	a	a	a	
<b>Two or more races</b>					a	a	a	
<b>Some other race</b>				B			a	
<b>DK/NA</b>								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Total	Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
<b>African-American or Black</b>	35	19	16
	4.9%	3.7%	7.9%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	1	1	0
	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Asian</b>	84	62	22
	11.8%	12.2%	10.8%
<b>Caucasian or White</b>	434	318	115
	61.1%	62.5%	57.5%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	106	67	39
	14.9%	13.1%	19.5%
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>	7	7	0
	0.9%	1.3%	0.0%
<b>Two or more races</b>	27	21	6
	3.7%	4.1%	2.9%
<b>Some other race</b>	4	4	1
	0.6%	0.7%	0.3%
<b>DK/NA</b>	14	11	2
	1.9%	2.3%	1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Total	Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>African-American or Black</b>			A
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>			a
<b>Asian</b>			
<b>Caucasian or White</b>			
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>			A
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>			a
<b>Two or more races</b>			
<b>Some other race</b>			
<b>DK/NA</b>			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>African-American or Black</b>		35	16	19
		4.9%	4.5%	5.3%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>		1	1	0
		0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
<b>Asian</b>		84	28	56
		11.8%	8.0%	15.4%
<b>Caucasian or White</b>		434	256	178
		61.1%	73.8%	48.9%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>		106	25	81
		14.9%	7.2%	22.3%
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>		7	0	7
		0.9%	0.0%	1.8%
<b>Two or more races</b>		27	13	13
		3.7%	3.8%	3.7%
<b>Some other race</b>		4	1	3
		0.6%	0.4%	0.8%
<b>DK/NA</b>		14	7	6
		1.9%	2.1%	1.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>African-American or Black</b>			a
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>			.
<b>Asian</b>			A
<b>Caucasian or White</b>		B	
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>			A
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>		a	
<b>Two or more races</b>			
<b>Some other race</b>			
<b>DK/NA</b>			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		808	710	98
<b>African-American or Black</b>		40	35	5
		4.9%	4.9%	5.0%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>		1	1	0
		0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Asian</b>		96	84	12
		11.8%	11.8%	12.0%
<b>Caucasian or White</b>		493	434	59
		61.0%	61.1%	60.2%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>		121	106	15
		14.9%	14.9%	15.1%
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>		7	7	0
		0.8%	0.9%	0.0%
<b>Two or more races</b>		30	27	4
		3.7%	3.7%	3.8%
<b>Some other race</b>		5	4	1
		0.6%	0.6%	0.6%
<b>DK/NA</b>		17	14	3
		2.1%	1.9%	3.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>African-American or Black</b>			
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>			a
<b>Asian</b>			
<b>Caucasian or White</b>			
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>			
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>			a
<b>Two or more races</b>			
<b>Some other race</b>			
<b>DK/NA</b>			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>	808	350	455	3
<b>African-American or Black</b>	40	20	20	0
	4.9%	5.7%	4.3%	0.0%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	1	0	1	0
	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Asian</b>	96	45	50	0
	11.8%	13.0%	11.0%	0.0%
<b>Caucasian or White</b>	493	181	309	3
	61.0%	51.6%	67.9%	100.0%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	121	68	53	0
	14.9%	19.3%	11.7%	0.0%
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>	7	7	0	0
	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Two or more races</b>	30	16	14	0
	3.7%	4.7%	3.1%	0.0%
<b>Some other race</b>	5	2	2	0
	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	17	11	6	0
	2.1%	3.2%	1.3%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>African-American or Black</b>			a
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	a		a
<b>Asian</b>			a
<b>Caucasian or White</b>		A	a
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	B		a
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>		a	a
<b>Two or more races</b>			a
<b>Some other race</b>			a
<b>DK/NA</b>			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ages of Children in Household				
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
<b>Total</b>	808	124	191	79	110
<b>African-American or Black</b>	40	7	16	0	2
	4.9%	5.5%	8.3%	0.0%	2.1%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	1	0	0	0	0
	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Asian</b>	96	19	32	8	6
	11.8%	15.2%	16.6%	10.0%	5.6%
<b>Caucasian or White</b>	493	49	87	49	71
	61.0%	39.7%	45.9%	61.4%	64.8%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	121	33	36	12	24
	14.9%	26.8%	19.0%	14.9%	21.8%
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>	7	7	7	0	0
	0.8%	5.4%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Two or more races</b>	30	5	6	5	4
	3.7%	4.3%	3.3%	6.9%	3.4%
<b>Some other race</b>	5	1	0	2	0
	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	17	3	6	4	3
	2.1%	2.6%	3.3%	4.8%	2.3%

	Ages of Children in Household	
	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	455	3
<b>African-American or Black</b>	20	0
	4.3%	0.0%
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	1	0
	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Asian</b>	50	0
	11.0%	0.0%
<b>Caucasian or White</b>	309	3
	67.9%	100.0%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	53	0
	11.7%	0.0%
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Two or more races</b>	14	0
	3.1%	0.0%
<b>Some other race</b>	2	0
	0.5%	0.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>	6	0
	1.3%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household			
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black			a	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a	a
	Asian		D		
	Caucasian or White			A	A B
	Hispanic or Latino	E			
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander			a	a
	Two or more races				
	Some other race				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ages of Children in Household	
		None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black		a
	American Indian or Alaska Native		a
	Asian		a
	Caucasian or White	A B	a
	Hispanic or Latino		a
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	a	a
	Two or more races		a
	Some other race		a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	808	40	1	96	493	121
	African-American or Black	40	40	0	0	0	0
	American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Asian	96	0	0	96	0	0
	Caucasian or White	493	0	0	0	493	0
	Hispanic or Latino	121	0	0	0	0	121
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	7	0	0	0	0	0
	Two or more races	30	0	0	0	0	0
	Some other race	5	0	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	17	0	0	0	0	0
		2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		4.9%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		0.1%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	7	30	5	17
	African-American or Black	0	0	0	0
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0
	Asian	0	0	0	0
	Caucasian or White	0	0	0	0
	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	7	0	0	0
	Two or more races	0	30	0	0
	Some other race	0	0	5	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	17
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Ethnic Group					
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	African-American or Black	a	a,b	a	a	a	a
	American Indian or Alaska Native	a	a,b	a	a	a	a
	Asian	a	a,b	a	a	a	a
	Caucasian or White	a	a,b	a	a	a	a
	Hispanic or Latino	a	a,b	a	a	a	a
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	a	a,b	a	a	a	a
	Two or more races	a	a,b	a	a	a	a
	Some other race	a	a,b	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a,b	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)
	African-American or Black	a	a	a
	American Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a
	Asian	a	a	a
	Caucasian or White	a	a	a
	Hispanic or Latino	a	a	a
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	a	a	a
	Two or more races	a	a	a
	Some other race	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Ethnic Surname						
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3
	African-American or Black	35	0	0	2	0	0	0
	American Indian or Alaska Native	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Asian	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian	84	6	18	3	0	0	3
	Asian	11.8%	76.0%	89.9%	2.9%	0.9%	0.0%	85.8%
	Caucasian or White	434	0	0	5	24	16	0
	Caucasian or White	61.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	80.3%	100.0%	0.0%
	Hispanic or Latino	106	0	0	77	3	0	0
	Hispanic or Latino	14.9%	0.0%	0.0%	87.2%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Two or more races	27	2	0	2	0	0	0
	Two or more races	3.7%	24.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Some other race	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Some other race	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	14	0	2	0	2	0	0
	DK/NA	1.9%	0.0%	10.1%	0.0%	8.1%	0.0%	14.2%

	G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Ethnic Surname	
		African American	Not Coded
	Total	14	530
	African-American or Black	6	27
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	1
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.1%
	Asian	0	55
	Asian	0.0%	10.4%
	Caucasian or White	1	387
	Caucasian or White	9.8%	73.0%
	Hispanic or Latino	0	25
	Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	4.8%
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	7	0
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	47.2%	0.0%
	Two or more races	0	23
	Two or more races	0.0%	4.3%
	Some other race	0	4
	Some other race	0.4%	0.7%
	DK/NA	0	9
	DK/NA	1.7%	1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname					
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?						
African-American or Black	a	a		a	a	a
American Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a	a	a	a
Asian	CDH	CDH				CDH
Caucasian or White	a	a		CG	a	a
Hispanic or Latino	a	a	DH		a	a
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	a	a	a	a	a	a
Two or more races	CH	a		a	a	a
Some other race	a	a		a	a	a
DK/NA	a	C			a	C

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname	
	African American	Not Coded
	(G)	(H)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?		
African-American or Black	CH	
American Indian or Alaska Native	a	
Asian	a	
Caucasian or White	a	CG
Hispanic or Latino		
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander		a
Two or more races	a	
Some other race		
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area		
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?				
Total	808	134	154	92
African-American or Black	40	4	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	0
Asian	96	11	13	3
Caucasian or White	61.0%	73.3%	77.1%	86.5%
Hispanic or Latino	121	17	10	4
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	7	0	0	0
Two or more races	30	2	7	3
Some other race	5	2	1	2
DK/NA	17	1	4	1
	2.1%	1.1%	2.9%	0.7%

	Total	Area		
		Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?				
Total	229	77	123	
African-American or Black	3	20	13	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	1	0	
Asian	30	11	28	
Caucasian or White	159	9	28	
Hispanic or Latino	16	32	41	
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	7	0	0	
Two or more races	9	0	10	
Some other race	0	1	0	
DK/NA	4	4	3	
	1.9%	4.6%	2.1%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Puigas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black		.a	.a	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	.a	.a	.a	.a
	Asian				
	Caucasian or White	E F	E F	D E F	E F
	Hispanic or Latino				
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	.a	.a	.a	
	Two or more races				
	Some other race				
	DK/NA				

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area	
		Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(E)	(F)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black	A D F	D
	American Indian or Alaska Native		.a
	Asian		A B C
	Caucasian or White		
	Hispanic or Latino	A B C D	A B C D
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	.a	.a
	Two or more races	.a	
	Some other race		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	African-American or Black	40	2	11	12	15
	American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	0	0
	Asian	96	15	3	33	45
	Caucasian or White	493	22	31	278	162
	Hispanic or Latino	121	19	15	31	55
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	7	0	0	0	7
	Two or more races	30	0	0	14	16
	Some other race	5	0	1	3	1
	DK/NA	17	0	0	14	2
		2.1%	0.8%	0.0%	3.7%	0.7%
		4.9%	3.2%	17.3%	3.1%	5.0%
		0.1%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
		11.8%	24.8%	4.7%	8.5%	14.9%
		61.0%	38.1%	50.5%	72.1%	53.4%
		14.9%	33.1%	25.2%	8.0%	18.3%
	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	
	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	5.3%	
	0.6%	0.0%	1.2%	0.9%	0.2%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black		C D		
	American Indian or Alaska Native	.a		.a	.a
	Asian	B C			
	Caucasian or White			A B D	
	Hispanic or Latino	C	C		C
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	.a	.a	.a	
	Two or more races	.a	.a		
	Some other race				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>		710	105	142	150	92	221
<b>African-American or Black</b>		35	0	9	7	5	14
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>		4.9%	0.0%	6.1%	4.7%	5.6%	6.3%
<b>Asian</b>		1	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Caucasian or White</b>		0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>		84	29	32	6	6	12
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>		11.8%	27.2%	22.4%	3.9%	6.4%	5.3%
<b>Two or more races</b>		434	46	58	92	68	170
<b>Some other race</b>		61.1%	44.0%	40.6%	61.3%	73.5%	77.0%
<b>DK/NA</b>		106	17	32	41	9	7
		14.9%	16.0%	22.3%	27.2%	10.2%	3.4%
		7	7	0	0	0	0
		0.9%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		27	7	9	1	2	8
		3.7%	6.5%	6.2%	0.6%	2.5%	3.5%
		4	0	0	3	0	1
		0.6%	0.0%	0.2%	1.8%	0.0%	0.6%
		14	0	3	1	2	8
		1.9%	0.0%	2.3%	0.5%	1.8%	3.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
<b>African-American or Black</b>		a				
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>		a	a	a	a	
<b>Asian</b>		C D E	C D E			
<b>Caucasian or White</b>				B	A B	A B C
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>		E	E	D E		
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>			a	a	a	a
<b>Two or more races</b>						
<b>Some other race</b>		a			a	
<b>DK/NA</b>						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
<b>Total</b>		699	228	150	47	78	83
<b>African-American or Black</b>		35	19	9	0	0	7
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>		5.0%	8.3%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%
<b>Asian</b>		1	0	0	1	0	0
<b>Caucasian or White</b>		0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>		84	24	12	7	11	19
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>		12.0%	10.3%	8.2%	15.8%	14.1%	22.9%
<b>Two or more races</b>		429	134	89	32	61	39
<b>Some other race</b>		61.3%	58.8%	59.5%	67.3%	78.2%	47.4%
<b>DK/NA</b>		106	35	36	6	4	7
		15.2%	15.6%	24.3%	12.2%	5.7%	8.8%
		7	0	0	0	0	7
		1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%
		27	13	2	1	0	0
		3.8%	5.8%	1.5%	2.9%	0.0%	0.6%
		4	1	0	0	0	1
		0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
		8	2	0	0	2	2
		1.1%	0.7%	0.3%	0.3%	2.0%	2.8%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
<b>Total</b>		91	12	11
<b>African-American or Black</b>		0	0	0
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Asian</b>		0	0	0
<b>Caucasian or White</b>		10	0	0
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>		11.5%	2.2%	0.0%
<b>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</b>		55	11	7
<b>Two or more races</b>		61.0%	90.6%	67.6%
<b>Some other race</b>		13	0	3
<b>DK/NA</b>		14.5%	0.0%	31.9%
		0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		8	1	0
		9.3%	7.3%	0.0%
		2	0	0
		2.0%	0.0%	0.5%
		2	0	0
		1.7%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party by Gender				
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
African-American or Black			a	a	
American Indian or Alaska Native	a	a		a	a
Asian					B
Caucasian or White				E	
Hispanic or Latino		D			
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	a	a	a	a	
Two or more races				a	
Some other race			a		
DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(F)	(G)	(H)
African-American or Black	a	a	a
American Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a
Asian			a
Caucasian or White		a	
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	a	a	a
Two or more races			a
Some other race		a	
DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
Total	416	104	141	61	51
African-American or Black	19	10	6	0	1
American Indian or Alaska Native	4.5%	9.8%	4.0%	0.0%	1.8%
Asian	1	0	0	0	1
Caucasian or White	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Hispanic or Latino	55	18	23	3	2
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	13.3%	17.5%	16.3%	4.2%	4.2%
Two or more races	254	53	83	37	43
Some other race	60.9%	50.9%	58.8%	60.6%	83.6%
DK/NA	63	18	22	16	4
	15.1%	16.8%	15.7%	26.3%	7.3%
	15	2	5	4	0
	3.6%	1.5%	3.4%	6.1%	0.0%
	1	1	0	0	0
	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	9	3	3	2	1
	2.2%	2.5%	1.8%	2.8%	1.8%

	Ballot Test - \$50
	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total	59
African-American or Black	2
American Indian or Alaska Native	3.3%
Asian	0
Caucasian or White	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	10
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	16.2%
Two or more races	38
Some other race	64.6%
DK/NA	3
	5.6%
	5
	8.2%
	0
	0.0%
	1
	2.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue			
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black			a	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a	
	Asian				
	Caucasian or White				A B
	Hispanic or Latino			E	
	Two or more races				
	Some other race		a	a	a
	DK/NA				

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50
		Not sure [DK/NA]
		(E)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	a
	Asian	
	Caucasian or White	
	Hispanic or Latino	
	Two or more races	
	Some other race	a
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	392	105	106	30	122
	African-American or Black	21	13	7	0	1
	American Indian or Alaska Native	5.4%	12.2%	6.5%	0.0%	1.1%
	Asian	40	9	16	1	10
	Asian	10.3%	8.3%	15.2%	3.2%	8.2%
	Caucasian or White	239	67	63	23	69
	Caucasian or White	61.0%	63.6%	60.0%	76.9%	56.5%
	Hispanic or Latino	58	14	10	5	22
	Hispanic or Latino	14.8%	13.7%	9.5%	15.1%	18.4%
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	7	0	0	0	7
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%
	Two or more races	15	2	4	1	8
	Two or more races	3.9%	2.2%	3.9%	2.8%	6.6%
	Some other race	4	0	1	0	2
Some other race	0.9%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1.3%	
DK/NA	8	0	4	1	3	
DK/NA	2.0%	0.0%	4.1%	2.1%	2.4%	

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	29
	African-American or Black	0
	African-American or Black	0.0%
	American Indian or Alaska Native	5
	Asian	15.7%
	Caucasian or White	17
	Caucasian or White	57.7%
	Hispanic or Latino	6
	Hispanic or Latino	22.5%
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0.0%
Two or more races	0	
Two or more races	0.0%	
Some other race	1	
Some other race	4.1%	
DK/NA	0	
DK/NA	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax			
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black	D		a	
	Asian				
	Caucasian or White				
	Hispanic or Latino				
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	a	a	a	
	Two or more races				
Some other race					
DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - ...
		Not sure [DK/NA]
		(E)
G. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African-American or Black	a
	Asian	.
	Caucasian or White	
	Hispanic or Latino	
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	a
	Two or more races	a
Some other race	.	
DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	808	808
	Male	377	377
		46.6%	46.6%
	Female	418	418
		51.8%	51.8%
Other	13	13	
	1.6%	1.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

	Total	
	Total	
	(A)	
H. Respondent's Gender	Male	.
	Female	.
	Other	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	808	377	418	13
	Male	377	377	0	0
		46.6%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Female	418	0	418	0
		51.8%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Other	13	0	0	13	
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male	a	a	a
	Female	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							Not sure/DK/NA	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+		
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	808	67	127	155	149	109	187	13	
	Male	377	31	58	64	62	60	94	8	
	Female	418	34	67	91	87	48	88	3	
	Other	13	2	2	0	0	0	5	3	
			46.6%	45.9%	45.9%	41.0%	41.4%	55.3%	50.4%	56.8%
			1.6%	3.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	2.4%	24.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Age						Not sure/DK/NA
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male							
	Female							
	Other							B C D E F

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Owner	Renter	
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	710	470	240	
	Male	330	223	107	
	Female	370	239	131	
	Other	11	8	2	
			46.4%	47.4%	44.5%
			1.5%	1.8%	1.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male		
	Female		
	Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party					
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS	
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	710	385	126	23	176	
	Male	330	150	78	11	91	
	Female	370	228	47	12	83	
	Other	11	8	0	0	3	
			46.4%	38.9%	62.3%	47.4%	51.4%
			1.5%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male		A		A
	Female	B D			
	Other		. <sup>a</sup>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Male	330	77	54	24	28	72	73
	Female	370	130	52	19	18	78	72
	Other	11	0	5	0	0	5	1
		1.5%	0.2%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male				A		
	Female						
	Other		A	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
	Male	330	138	42	26	25	34	15
	Female	370	152	53	20	44	23	29
	Other	11	6	0	0	0	0	0
		1.5%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	67	35
	Male	34	16
	Female	30	19
	Other	3	1
		4.9%	2.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male						
	Female				a	a	a
	Other						a

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male		
	Female		
	Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	808	155	426	42	63	47	42	34
	Male	377	59	213	21	30	23	22	8
	Female	418	90	209	18	33	23	20	25
	Other	13	6	3	3	1	0	0	0
		1.6%	3.6%	0.7%	6.4%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male							
	Female							
	Other			B		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	510	200
H. Respondent's Gender	Male	330 46.4%	224 43.9%	106 52.9%
	Female	370 52.1%	279 54.7%	91 45.3%
	Other	11 1.5%	7 1.4%	4 1.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
H. Respondent's Gender			
Male			A
Female		B	
Other			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	347	363
H. Respondent's Gender	Male	330 46.4%	147 42.4%	182 50.3%
	Female	370 52.1%	195 56.2%	175 48.1%
	Other	11 1.5%	5 1.4%	6 1.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
H. Respondent's Gender			
Male			A
Female		B	
Other			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		808	710	98
H. Respondent's Gender	Male	377 46.6%	330 46.4%	47 48.2%
	Female	418 51.8%	370 52.1%	49 49.8%
	Other	13 1.6%	11 1.5%	2 2.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
H. Respondent's Gender			
Male			
Female			
Other			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	808	350	455	3
	Male	377	140	234	2
	Female	418	203	216	0
	Other	13	7	6	0
		46.6%	40.2%	51.4%	88.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male		A	
	Female	B		
	Other			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household					
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	808	124	191	79	110	455
	Male	377	44	78	33	42	234
	Female	418	77	111	45	64	216
	Other	13	3	1	1	3	6
		46.6%	35.4%	41.0%	41.3%	38.5%	51.4%

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	3
	Male	2
	Female	0
	Other	0
		88.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household					
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male					A	
	Female						
	Other						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group							
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	808	40	1	96	493	121	7	30
	Male	377	12	0	39	243	63	0	12
	Female	418	28	1	56	244	57	7	18
	Other	13	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
		46.6%	29.4%	0.0%	40.9%	49.4%	52.5%	0.0%	40.5%

		Ethnic Group	
		Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	5	17
	Male	2	4
	Female	2	5
	Other	0	8
		0.0%	46.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group							
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male		a,b				b		
	Female	I	a,b				b		
	Other	b	a,b	b		b	b		

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

	Ethnic Group
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(I)

H. Respondent's Gender	Male	Female	Other
			D G

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Surname							
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
<b>H. Respondent's Gender Male</b>	330	2	9	47	15	3	0	0	254
<b>H. Respondent's Gender Female</b>	370	6	11	42	14	14	3	14	266
<b>Other</b>	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	10
	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Ethnic Surname							
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>H. Respondent's Gender Male</b>			G	G		a		G
<b>H. Respondent's Gender Female</b>						a	C D H	
<b>Other</b>	a	a	a		a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
<b>Total</b>	808	134	154	92	229	77
<b>H. Respondent's Gender Male</b>	377	77	60	49	130	30
<b>H. Respondent's Gender Female</b>	418	57	93	43	96	44
<b>Other</b>	13	0	1	0	3	3
	1.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%	4.3%

	Area
	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	123
<b>H. Respondent's Gender Male</b>	33
<b>H. Respondent's Gender Female</b>	85
<b>Other</b>	5
	4.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Total	Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>H. Respondent's Gender Male</b>		B F		F	B F	
<b>H. Respondent's Gender Female</b>			A D			
<b>Other</b>				a		

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Area
	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	(F)
<b>H. Respondent's Gender Male</b>	
<b>H. Respondent's Gender Female</b>	A C D
<b>Other</b>	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	808	59	61	385	304
	Male	377	23	31	166	156
	Female	418	35	29	209	145
	Other	13	0	0	10	3
		1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male				
	Female				
	Other	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Male	330	58	62	66	41	102
	Female	370	47	75	83	50	114
	Other	11	0	5	0	1	5
		1.5%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	1.4%	2.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male					
	Female					
	Other	a				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12
	Male	330	0	150	0	78	0	91	0
	Female	370	228	0	47	0	83	0	12
	Other	52.9%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

		Party by ..
		Male Oth
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	11
	Male	11
	Female	0
	Other	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)	Male NPP (F)	Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Female	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					Not sure [DK/NA]
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	416	104	141	61	51	59
	Male	199	43	64	36	30	27
		47.8%	41.1%	45.2%	58.3%	58.8%	45.4%
	Female	212	59	76	25	21	31
		51.0%	56.8%	53.6%	41.7%	41.2%	52.4%
	Other	5	2	2	0	0	1
		1.2%	2.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male					
	Female					
	Other					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					Not sure [DK/NA]
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	
H. Respondent's Gender	Total	392	105	106	30	122	29
	Male	178	48	41	11	64	14
		45.4%	46.0%	39.0%	35.8%	52.1%	48.1%
	Female	206	57	61	19	57	13
		52.7%	54.0%	57.4%	63.0%	46.8%	44.5%
	Other	8	0	4	0	1	2
		2.0%	0.0%	3.6%	1.2%	1.1%	7.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
H. Respondent's Gender	Male					
	Female					
	Other	a				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	710	710
18-29	84	84
	11.9%	11.9%
30-39	110	110
	15.5%	15.5%
i. Age 40-49	138	138
	19.5%	19.5%
50-64	202	202
	28.5%	28.5%
65+	175	175
	24.6%	24.6%
Not coded	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Total
	Total
	(A)
18-29	.
30-39	.
40-49	.
50-64	.
65+	.
Not coded	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>	710	330	370	11
<b>18-29</b>	84	36	46	3
	11.9%	11.0%	12.3%	25.0%
<b>30-39</b>	110	44	64	2
	15.5%	13.3%	17.3%	20.3%
<b>40-49</b>	138	62	77	0
	19.5%	18.8%	20.7%	0.0%
<b>50-64</b>	202	109	93	0
	28.5%	33.0%	25.3%	3.5%
<b>65+</b>	175	79	90	6
	24.6%	23.9%	24.4%	51.3%
<b>Not coded</b>	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
18-29			
30-39			
40-49			a
50-64			
65+			
Not coded	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age						Not sure/DK/NA
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
<b>Total</b>	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
<b>18-29</b>	84	43	31	3	3	5	0	0
	11.9%	63.4%	26.6%	1.8%	2.4%	5.8%	0.0%	3.5%
<b>30-39</b>	110	3	82	25	0	0	1	0
	15.5%	4.4%	70.5%	18.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
<b>40-49</b>	138	7	0	107	21	0	3	0
	19.5%	11.1%	0.2%	78.8%	16.4%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
<b>50-64</b>	202	10	3	1	97	80	7	3
	28.5%	15.2%	2.7%	1.1%	76.4%	86.0%	4.4%	38.4%
<b>65+</b>	175	4	0	0	6	8	153	5
	24.6%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	8.2%	93.5%	58.1%
<b>Not coded</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Age						
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
I. Age	BCDEG	CDE	AF	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>
18-29		ACF					
30-39	BEF		ABDEF	BEF			<sup>a</sup>
40-49	BC			ABCF	ABCFG		BCF
50-64		<sup>a</sup>			C	ACDEG	ACDE
65+	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>		<sup>a</sup>
Not coded							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Total	710	470	240
18-29	84 11.9%	32 6.7%	53 22.1%
30-39	110 15.5%	42 9.0%	68 28.3%
I. Age 40-49	138 19.5%	100 21.2%	39 16.1%
50-64	202 28.5%	159 33.7%	44 18.3%
65+	175 24.6%	138 29.4%	37 15.2%
Not coded	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
I. Age		A
18-29		A
30-39		
40-49		
50-64	B	
65+	B	
Not coded		<sup>a</sup>

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	710	385	126	23	176
18-29	84 11.9%	38 9.9%	6 4.9%	3 11.5%	37 21.3%
30-39	110 15.5%	74 19.2%	7 5.7%	2 8.2%	27 15.3%
I. Age 40-49	138 19.5%	69 17.9%	27 21.4%	7 30.1%	36 20.2%
50-64	202 28.5%	105 27.3%	42 33.4%	7 33.0%	48 27.1%
65+	175 24.6%	99 25.7%	44 34.6%	4 17.2%	28 16.1%
Not coded	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18-29				A B
30-39	B			
40-49				
50-64		D		
65+		a	a	a
Not coded				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>Total</b>	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
<b>18-29</b>	84	22	6	2	1	31	23
	11.9%	10.6%	5.0%	3.5%	2.9%	20.2%	15.5%
<b>30-39</b>	110	43	19	0	6	15	27
	15.5%	20.9%	17.0%	0.0%	12.3%	9.7%	18.4%
<b>40-49</b>	138	42	19	11	11	22	34
	19.5%	20.1%	17.4%	25.2%	22.8%	14.3%	22.9%
<b>50-64</b>	202	59	31	17	11	38	47
	28.5%	28.3%	28.0%	39.1%	23.8%	24.4%	31.9%
<b>65+</b>	175	42	36	14	18	49	16
	24.6%	20.1%	32.6%	32.1%	38.2%	31.4%	11.2%
<b>Not coded</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18-29					B	
30-39	E		a			
40-49						
50-64		F	F	F	F	
65+		a	a	a	a	a
Not coded						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date							
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69	57	44	67
<b>18-29</b>	84	71	8	2	0	0	0	0
	11.9%	24.0%	8.7%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>30-39</b>	110	76	16	7	9	0	0	0
	15.5%	25.6%	16.9%	14.7%	12.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>40-49</b>	138	53	35	12	18	13	4	1
	19.5%	17.9%	36.5%	26.3%	26.8%	23.6%	10.2%	1.1%
<b>50-64</b>	202	52	24	17	26	28	32	19
	28.5%	17.5%	25.0%	37.3%	37.5%	49.3%	73.2%	28.1%
<b>65+</b>	175	45	12	8	16	15	7	47
	24.6%	15.1%	13.0%	17.6%	23.3%	27.1%	16.6%	70.8%
<b>Not coded</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Registration ...
	1980 or before
<b>Total</b>	35
<b>18-29</b>	3
	9.2%
<b>30-39</b>	3
	7.6%
<b>40-49</b>	1
	3.7%
<b>50-64</b>	5
	13.5%
<b>65+</b>	23
	65.9%
<b>Not coded</b>	0
	0.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Registration Date						
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18-29	B C			a	a	a	a
30-39				.	.	.	.
40-49	G	A F G H	G	G	G		
50-64				A	A H	A B C D G H	
65+							A B C D E F
Not coded	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Registration ...
	1980 or before
	(H)
18-29	
30-39	
40-49	
50-64	
65+	A B C D E F
Not coded	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date							
	Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
18-29	84	3	58	5	0	6	4	9
	11.9%	1.8%	15.5%	15.7%	0.0%	16.2%	9.2%	27.2%
30-39	110	16	80	3	0	5	1	5
	15.5%	10.0%	21.3%	8.2%	1.3%	14.4%	2.5%	16.3%
40-49	138	29	80	5	5	10	5	4
	19.5%	18.7%	21.4%	15.8%	13.4%	26.6%	13.5%	11.1%
50-64	202	62	83	12	15	6	18	6
	28.5%	40.1%	22.1%	34.7%	40.1%	17.3%	45.0%	20.1%
65+	175	46	74	9	17	9	12	8
	24.6%	29.5%	19.8%	25.6%	45.2%	25.4%	29.7%	25.3%
Not coded	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Date						
	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18-29		A	A	a	A		A
30-39		A					
40-49							
50-64	B					B	
65+				B			
Not coded	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	710	510	200
18-29	84	58	27
	11.9%	11.4%	13.3%
30-39	110	83	27
	15.5%	16.2%	13.5%
40-49	138	102	36
	19.5%	20.1%	18.0%
50-64	202	140	62
	28.5%	27.5%	31.1%
65+	175	126	48
	24.6%	24.8%	24.1%
Not coded	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
I. Age		
18-29		
30-39		
40-49		
50-64		
65+		
Not coded		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	710	347	363
I. Age			
18-29	84 11.9%	16 4.5%	69 19.0%
30-39	110 15.5%	34 9.9%	76 20.8%
40-49	138 19.5%	61 17.6%	77 21.3%
50-64	202 28.5%	112 32.3%	91 24.9%
65+	175 24.6%	124 35.8%	51 14.0%
Not coded	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
I. Age		
18-29		A
30-39		A
40-49		
50-64	B	
65+	B	
Not coded		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	710	710	
I. Age			
18-29	84 11.9%	84 11.9%	
30-39	110 15.5%	110 15.5%	
40-49	138 19.5%	138 19.5%	
50-64	202 28.5%	202 28.5%	
65+	175 24.6%	175 24.6%	
Not coded	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Voter
	Yes
	(A)
18-29	.
30-39	.
40-49	.
50-64	.
65+	.
Not coded	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>	710	302	405	3
<b>18-29</b>	84 11.9%	34 11.1%	51 12.5%	0 10.0%
<b>30-39</b>	110 15.5%	59 19.5%	51 12.6%	0 0.0%
<b>40-49</b>	138 19.5%	107 35.3%	30 7.4%	2 64.6%
<b>50-64</b>	202 28.5%	92 30.3%	110 27.2%	1 24.3%
<b>65+</b>	175 24.6%	12 3.8%	163 40.3%	0 0.0%
<b>Not coded</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 1.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
18-29			
30-39	B		
40-49	B		B
50-64			
65+		A	
Not coded	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ages of Children in Household				None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years		
<b>Total</b>	710	117	167	67	90	405	3
<b>18-29</b>	84 11.9%	20 17.3%	20 12.1%	1 1.4%	10 11.1%	51 12.5%	0 10.0%
<b>30-39</b>	110 15.5%	48 40.7%	28 17.1%	1 1.3%	3 3.6%	51 12.6%	0 0.0%
<b>40-49</b>	138 19.5%	42 35.9%	75 44.8%	36 53.7%	23 25.2%	30 7.4%	2 64.6%
<b>50-64</b>	202 28.5%	5 4.4%	38 22.8%	27 40.2%	50 55.5%	110 27.2%	1 24.3%
<b>65+</b>	175 24.6%	2 1.7%	5 3.2%	2 3.4%	4 4.7%	163 40.3%	0 0.0%
<b>Not coded</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 1.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Ages of Children in Household					
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>18-29</b>	C	C		C		
<b>30-39</b>	B C D E	C D				
<b>40-49</b>	E	D E	D E	E		E
<b>50-64</b>		A	A B	A B E	A	
<b>65+</b>					A B C D	
<b>Not coded</b>	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group								
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
<b>Total</b>	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
<b>18-29</b>	84	3	0	15	32	19	7	8	0
<b>30-39</b>	110	0	0	20	54	26	0	6	1
<b>40-49</b>	138	4	0	28	82	17	0	5	0
<b>50-64</b>	202	11	1	14	135	28	0	6	3
<b>65+</b>	175	16	0	7	132	15	0	1	0
<b>Not coded</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group	
	Total	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	14	
<b>18-29</b>	0	0.2%
<b>30-39</b>	3	23.7%
<b>40-49</b>	2	16.8%
<b>50-64</b>	5	34.3%
<b>65+</b>	3	25.1%
<b>Not coded</b>	0	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

	Ethnic Group							
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>18-29</b>		a,b	D		D	b	D	b
<b>30-39</b>	.b	a,b			D	b		b
<b>40-49</b>		a,b	D			b		
<b>50-64</b>		a,b				b		
<b>65+</b>	C E G	a,b		C E		b		
<b>Not coded</b>	.b	a,b	.b		.b	.b	.b	.b

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

	Ethnic Group	
	Total	Not sure/DK/NA
	(I)	
<b>18-29</b>		
<b>30-39</b>		
<b>40-49</b>		
<b>50-64</b>		
<b>65+</b>		
<b>Not coded</b>	.b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Surname								
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
<b>18-29</b>	84	0	1	17	3	0	0	10	53
<b>30-39</b>	110	3	4	22	1	0	1	1	79
<b>40-49</b>	138	2	7	17	4	6	1	0	102
<b>50-64</b>	202	2	6	18	11	9	2	0	155
<b>65+</b>	175	1	2	14	12	1	0	3	142
<b>Not coded</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname							
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18-29	.a				.a	.a	B C D H	
30-39								
40-49								
50-64					G			
65+					.a	.a		
Not coded	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Area						
	Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	710	123	134	86	202	66	99
18-29	84	7	16	3	25	7	27
30-39	110	6	18	9	37	17	22
40-49	138	18	16	27	42	12	23
50-64	202	33	55	26	59	18	13
65+	175	59	29	21	40	12	14
Not coded	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Area					
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18-29						A B C D
30-39				A	A	A
40-49			B			
50-64		F	F	F		
65+	B C D E F					
Not coded	.a	.a	.a	.a		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	710	59	61	287	304
18-29	84	18	0	9	57
30-39	110	12	0	24	74
40-49	138	6	9	57	67
50-64	202	15	17	100	71
65+	175	9	35	97	35
Not coded	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18-29	B C			B C
30-39	B			B C
40-49				
50-64			D	
65+		A C D	A D	
Not coded	.a		.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
<b>18-29</b>	84	44	36	5	0	0
	11.9%	41.4%	25.4%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>30-39</b>	110	32	32	31	13	2
	15.5%	30.7%	22.5%	21.0%	13.6%	0.8%
<b>40-49</b>	138	14	37	40	17	30
	19.5%	13.3%	25.9%	27.0%	18.2%	13.8%
<b>50-64</b>	202	13	26	37	37	90
	28.5%	11.9%	18.2%	24.7%	39.9%	40.8%
<b>65+</b>	175	3	11	36	26	99
	24.6%	2.6%	8.0%	24.1%	28.3%	44.6%
<b>Not coded</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18-29	B C E	C E		.a	
30-39	D E	E	E	E	
40-49		E	E		
50-64				A B	A B C
65+			A B	A B	A B C
Not coded	.a	.a	.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
<b>18-29</b>	82	23	15	2	4	20	14	0	3
	11.7%	10.1%	10.1%	4.2%	5.4%	24.8%	15.8%	0.0%	24.3%
<b>30-39</b>	108	46	25	3	4	13	14	2	0
	15.4%	20.4%	16.9%	6.3%	5.3%	15.4%	15.9%	15.6%	0.0%
<b>40-49</b>	138	42	27	11	16	20	16	3	3
	19.8%	18.6%	17.8%	23.1%	20.3%	24.0%	17.5%	28.0%	32.4%
<b>50-64</b>	202	59	46	15	27	15	33	5	3
	28.9%	25.7%	30.9%	31.6%	34.4%	18.2%	36.1%	40.7%	24.4%
<b>65+</b>	169	57	36	17	27	15	13	2	2
	24.2%	25.2%	24.3%	34.8%	34.5%	17.7%	14.8%	15.7%	18.8%
<b>Not coded</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
I. Age	18-29					A D		.a	
	30-39								
	40-49								
	50-64								
	65+								
	Not coded		.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total		365	99	125	54	44	43
I. Age	18-29	31	6	13	5	3	4
	30-39	63	13	31	11	2	6
	40-49	63	22	20	5	7	8
	50-64	113	36	39	20	14	5
	65+	96	21	22	13	19	20
	Not coded	26.2%	21.4%	17.8%	24.7%	42.4%	47.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
I. Age	18-29					
	30-39		D			
	40-49					
	50-64	E				
	65+				B	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total		345	100	84	27	108	26
I. Age	18-29	54	9	17	2	24	2
	30-39	15.6%	8.8%	20.3%	7.3%	22.3%	7.5%
	40-49	47	20	10	2	13	2
	50-64	13.7%	19.6%	12.2%	8.8%	12.1%	8.0%
	65+	75	15	23	5	26	6
	Not coded	21.8%	15.0%	27.6%	18.5%	23.9%	23.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
I. Age	18-29					
	30-39					
	40-49					
	50-64					
	65+		.a	.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



	Total	
	Total	Total
<b>Total</b>	710	710
<b>Japanese</b>	8 1.1%	8 1.1%
<b>Chinese</b>	20 2.7%	20 2.7%
<b>Hispanic</b>	89 12.5%	89 12.5%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	30 4.2%	30 4.2%
<b>Italian</b>	16 2.3%	16 2.3%
<b>Korean</b>	3 0.5%	3 0.5%
<b>African American</b>	14 2.0%	14 2.0%
<b>Not Coded</b>	530 74.7%	530 74.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a</sup>**  
<sub>,b</sub>

	Total
	Total
	(A)
<b>Japanese</b>	.
<b>Chinese</b>	.
<b>Hispanic</b>	.
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	.
<b>Italian</b>	.
<b>Korean</b>	.
<b>African American</b>	.
<b>Not Coded</b>	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>	710	330	370	11
<b>Japanese</b>	8 1.1%	2 0.6%	6 1.5%	0 0.0%
<b>Chinese</b>	20 2.7%	9 2.6%	11 3.0%	0 0.0%
<b>Hispanic</b>	89 12.5%	47 14.3%	42 11.3%	0 0.0%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	30 4.2%	15 4.4%	14 3.8%	1 10.5%
<b>Italian</b>	16 2.3%	3 0.8%	14 3.7%	0 0.0%
<b>Korean</b>	3 0.5%	0 0.0%	3 0.9%	0 0.0%
<b>African American</b>	14 2.0%	0 0.1%	14 3.8%	0 0.0%
<b>Not Coded</b>	530 74.7%	254 77.1%	266 72.1%	10 89.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>Japanese</b>			a
<b>Chinese</b>			a
<b>Hispanic</b>			a
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>			a
<b>Italian</b>		A	a
<b>Korean</b>	a		a
<b>African American</b>		A	a
<b>Not Coded</b>			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age							
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
<b>Japanese</b>	8	2	2	0	2	1	0	0
	1.1%	2.7%	2.0%	0.3%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Chinese</b>	20	0	3	8	2	3	0	4
	2.7%	0.0%	2.4%	6.1%	1.2%	2.8%	0.0%	53.6%
<b>Hispanic</b>	89	18	17	23	9	8	13	0
	12.5%	26.3%	15.1%	17.3%	7.3%	8.1%	8.3%	0.0%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	30	4	1	2	5	6	12	0
	4.2%	5.9%	1.2%	1.1%	4.0%	5.9%	7.5%	1.3%
<b>Italian</b>	16	2	1	3	4	5	1	0
	2.3%	3.4%	0.9%	2.6%	3.2%	4.9%	0.6%	0.0%
<b>Korean</b>	3	0	0	1	1	2	0	0
	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>African American</b>	14	3	8	0	1	0	2	0
	2.0%	4.8%	7.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
<b>Not Coded</b>	530	38	83	98	104	70	135	4
	74.7%	56.2%	71.3%	72.1%	81.6%	75.2%	82.7%	45.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Age						
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>Japanese</b>	.a					.a	.a
<b>Chinese</b>						.a	B C D E
<b>Hispanic</b>	D E F						.a
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>							.a
<b>Italian</b>							.a
<b>Korean</b>		.a				.a	.a
<b>African American</b>					.a		.a
<b>Not Coded</b>		C		A		A	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>Japanese</b>	8	5	2
	1.1%	1.1%	1.0%
<b>Chinese</b>	20	17	2
	2.7%	3.7%	0.9%
<b>Hispanic</b>	89	51	38
	12.5%	10.8%	15.9%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	30	22	8
	4.2%	4.6%	3.3%
<b>Italian</b>	16	16	0
	2.3%	3.4%	0.2%
<b>Korean</b>	3	2	2
	0.5%	0.4%	0.7%
<b>African American</b>	14	3	11
	2.0%	0.6%	4.7%
<b>Not Coded</b>	530	355	176
	74.7%	75.4%	73.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
<b>Japanese</b>		
<b>Chinese</b>	B	
<b>Hispanic</b>		
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>		
<b>Italian</b>	B	
<b>Korean</b>		
<b>African American</b>		A
<b>Not Coded</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
<b>Japanese</b>	8	5	2	0	0
	1.1%	1.4%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Chinese</b>	20	2	6	0	11
	2.7%	0.6%	4.5%	0.0%	6.5%
<b>Hispanic</b>	89	52	9	3	24
	12.5%	13.6%	7.4%	15.1%	13.5%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	30	22	0	0	7
	4.2%	5.8%	0.1%	1.1%	4.1%
<b>Italian</b>	16	9	2	1	4
	2.3%	2.4%	2.0%	2.8%	2.4%
<b>Korean</b>	3	0	2	0	1
	0.5%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.6%
<b>African American</b>	14	6	0	1	7
	2.0%	1.5%	0.3%	4.6%	3.9%
<b>Not Coded</b>	530	288	103	17	122
	74.7%	74.8%	82.1%	76.4%	69.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>Japanese</b>			. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
<b>Chinese</b>		A	. <sup>a</sup>	A
<b>Hispanic</b>				
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	B			
<b>Italian</b>				
<b>Korean</b>	. <sup>a</sup>		. <sup>a</sup>	
<b>African American</b>				
<b>Not Coded</b>				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>Total</b>	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
<b>Japanese</b>	8	5	0	1	1	0	0
	1.1%	2.5%	0.0%	2.4%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Chinese</b>	20	1	1	6	0	3	9
	2.7%	0.6%	1.1%	13.0%	0.0%	1.8%	5.9%
<b>Hispanic</b>	89	22	18	2	2	23	22
	12.5%	10.7%	15.7%	4.4%	5.1%	14.8%	15.0%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	30	13	5	0	0	7	5
	4.2%	6.2%	4.3%	0.0%	0.2%	4.6%	3.5%
<b>Italian</b>	16	6	2	0	0	4	5
	2.3%	2.8%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	3.3%
<b>Korean</b>	3	0	0	2	1	0	1
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.7%
<b>African American</b>	14	3	3	0	0	0	8
	2.0%	1.6%	2.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%
<b>Not Coded</b>	530	157	83	33	42	118	97
	74.7%	75.7%	74.6%	75.7%	90.4%	76.5%	66.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>Japanese</b>		. <sup>a</sup>			. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>
<b>Chinese</b>			A B E	. <sup>a</sup>		A
<b>Hispanic</b>						
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>			. <sup>a</sup>			
<b>Italian</b>			. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>		
<b>Korean</b>	. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>			. <sup>a</sup>	
<b>African American</b>				. <sup>a</sup>	. <sup>a</sup>	
<b>Not Coded</b>				F		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date					
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total	710	297	95	46	69	57
Japanese	8	3	3	1	0	0
Chinese	20	8	3	3	4	0
Hispanic	89	36	14	7	9	11
J. Ethnic Surname	12.5%	12.2%	15.2%	14.8%	13.3%	18.9%
Jewish	30	11	4	3	4	1
Italian	16	3	3	4	0	3
Korean	3	0	1	2	0	0
African American	14	12	0	0	0	0
Not Coded	530	225	68	26	52	42
	74.7%	75.7%	71.2%	56.8%	75.3%	74.3%

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	44	67	35
Japanese	0	1	0
Chinese	2	1	0
Hispanic	7	0	5
J. Ethnic Surname	15.3%	0.0%	13.7%
Jewish	2	3	2
Italian	0	2	0
Korean	0	0	0
African American	0	3	0
Not Coded	33	57	28
	75.7%	84.8%	78.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)	1993 to 1996 (F)
J. Ethnic Surname						
Japanese				a	a	a
Chinese					a	
Hispanic						
Jewish						
Italian			A			a
Korean	a			a	a	a
African American			a	a	a	a
Not Coded						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
J. Ethnic Surname		
Japanese		a
Chinese		a
Hispanic	a	
Jewish		
Italian		
Korean	a	a
African American		a
Not Coded	C	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
Japanese	8	0	6	0	0	1	0	0
Chinese	20	8	8	0	1	1	1	0
Hispanic	89	3	46	2	3	7	20	8
J. Ethnic Surname	12.5%	2.2%	12.3%	4.6%	6.9%	20.0%	49.3%	25.7%
Jewish	30	3	19	2	2	0	4	0
Italian	16	8	6	2	1	0	0	0
Korean	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
African American	14	1	11	0	2	0	0	0
Not Coded	530	132	277	29	27	27	15	23
	74.7%	85.1%	74.0%	83.5%	73.3%	73.3%	38.1%	72.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Date						
	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
J. Ethnic Surname							
Japanese	a		a	a		a	a
Chinese			a				a
Hispanic		A			A	A B C D	A
Jewish							a
Italian						a	a
Korean	a		a	B	a	a	a
African American					a	a	a
Not Coded	F	F	F	F	F		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	710	510	200
Japanese	8 1.1%	5 1.0%	2 1.2%
Chinese	20 2.7%	13 2.5%	7 3.3%
Hispanic	89 12.5%	53 10.4%	36 17.9%
Jewish	30 4.2%	26 5.0%	4 2.1%
Italian	16 2.3%	14 2.8%	2 1.0%
Korean	3 0.5%	3 0.6%	0 0.0%
African American	14 2.0%	13 2.5%	1 0.6%
Not Coded	530 74.7%	382 75.0%	148 73.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
J. Ethnic Surname		
Japanese		
Chinese		
Hispanic		A
Jewish		
Italian		
Korean		a
African American		
Not Coded		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	710	347	363
Japanese	8 1.1%	3 0.7%	5 1.4%
Chinese	20 2.7%	12 3.5%	7 2.1%
Hispanic	89 12.5%	11 3.2%	78 21.4%
Jewish	30 4.2%	24 6.8%	6 1.7%
Italian	16 2.3%	11 3.2%	5 1.5%
Korean	3 0.5%	0 0.0%	3 0.9%
African American	14 2.0%	3 0.8%	11 3.1%
Not Coded	530 74.7%	284 81.7%	247 68.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
J. Ethnic Surname	Japanese		
	Chinese		
	Hispanic		A
	Jewish	B	
	Italian		
	Korean	a	
	African American		A
	Not Coded	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	710	710	
Japanese	8 1.1%	8 1.1%	
Chinese	20 2.7%	20 2.7%	
Hispanic	89 12.5%	89 12.5%	
Jewish	30 4.2%	30 4.2%	
Italian	16 2.3%	16 2.3%	
Korean	3 0.5%	3 0.5%	
African American	14 2.0%	14 2.0%	
Not Coded	530 74.7%	530 74.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a</sup>  
<sub>,b</sub>

		Voter
		Yes (A)
J. Ethnic Surname	Japanese	.
	Chinese	.
	Hispanic	.
	Jewish	.
	Italian	.
	Korean	.
	African American	.
	Not Coded	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total	710	302	405	3
Japanese	8 1.1%	1 0.4%	6 1.6%	0 0.0%
Chinese	20 2.7%	12 3.8%	8 2.0%	0 0.0%
Hispanic	89 12.5%	42 13.9%	47 11.6%	0 0.0%
Jewish	30 4.2%	10 3.4%	19 4.8%	0 0.0%
Italian	16 2.3%	9 3.0%	7 1.8%	0 0.0%
Korean	3 0.5%	2 0.5%	2 0.4%	0 0.0%
African American	14 2.0%	10 3.3%	4 1.0%	0 0.0%
Not Coded	530 74.7%	217 71.7%	311 76.8%	3 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
J. Ethnic Surname			
Japanese			.a
Chinese			.a
Hispanic			.a
Jewish			
Italian			.a
Korean			.a
African American	B		.a
Not Coded			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ages of Children in Household					
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
Total	710	117	167	67	90	405
J. Ethnic Surname						
Japanese	8	1	1	0	0	6
Chinese	1.1%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
Hispanic	20	0	10	6	3	8
Jewish	2.7%	0.0%	6.2%	8.9%	2.9%	2.0%
Italian	89	27	23	8	13	47
Korean	12.5%	22.9%	13.9%	12.7%	14.6%	11.6%
African American	30	0	4	4	6	19
Not Coded	4.2%	0.0%	2.2%	5.7%	6.6%	4.8%
	16	0	6	2	3	7
	2.3%	0.0%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	1.8%
	3	1	1	0	0	2
	0.5%	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
	14	10	10	0	0	4
	2.0%	8.5%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
	530	79	112	46	65	311
	74.7%	67.2%	67.2%	69.2%	72.3%	76.8%

	Ages of ...
	Not sure/DK/NA
J. Ethnic Surname	
Total	3
Japanese	0
Chinese	0.0%
Hispanic	0
Jewish	0.0%
Italian	0
Korean	0
African American	0
Not Coded	3
	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ages of Children in Household				
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
J. Ethnic Surname					
Japanese	.a		.a	.a	
Chinese	E		E		
Hispanic					
Jewish					
Italian					
Korean			.a	.a	
African American	E	E	.a	.a	
Not Coded					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ages of ...
	Not sure/DK/NA
J. Ethnic Surname	
	(F)
Japanese	.a
Chinese	.a
Hispanic	.a
Jewish	
Italian	.a
Korean	.a
African American	.a
Not Coded	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 <sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group							
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
<b>Total</b>	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27
<b>Japanese</b>	8	0	0	6	0	0	0	2
<b>Chinese</b>	20	0	0	18	0	0	0	0
<b>Hispanic</b>	89	2	0	3	5	77	0	2
<b>J. Ethnic Surname</b>	12.5%	4.9%	0.0%	3.1%	1.1%	73.1%	0.0%	6.7%
<b>Jewish</b>	30	0	0	0	24	3	0	0
<b>Italian</b>	16	0	0	0	16	0	0	0
<b>Korean</b>	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
<b>African American</b>	14	6	0	0	1	0	7	0
<b>Not Coded</b>	530	27	1	55	387	25	0	23
	74.7%	78.5%	100.0%	65.5%	89.3%	23.8%	0.0%	86.4%

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	4	14
<b>Japanese</b>	0	0
<b>Chinese</b>	0	2
<b>Hispanic</b>	0	0
<b>J. Ethnic Surname</b>	11.8%	0.0%
<b>Jewish</b>	0	2
<b>Italian</b>	0	0
<b>Korean</b>	0	0
<b>African American</b>	0	0
<b>Not Coded</b>	4	9
	86.9%	62.6%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group						
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>Japanese</b>	a	a,b		a	a	a	a
<b>Chinese</b>	a	a,b		a	a	a	a
<b>Hispanic</b>		a,b			A C D G I	a	a
<b>J. Ethnic Surname</b>	a	a,b				a	a
<b>Jewish</b>	a	a,b	a		a	a	a
<b>Italian</b>	a	a,b			a	a	a
<b>Korean</b>	a	a,b		a	a	a	a
<b>African American</b>	D	a,b	a		a	a	a
<b>Not Coded</b>	E	a,b	E	C E I		a	E

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
	(H)	(I)
<b>Japanese</b>	a	a
<b>Chinese</b>	a	a
<b>Hispanic</b>		
<b>J. Ethnic Surname</b>	a	C
<b>Jewish</b>	a	a
<b>Italian</b>	a	
<b>Korean</b>	a	
<b>African American</b>		
<b>Not Coded</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 <sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



	Ethnic Surname							
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14
<b>Japanese</b>	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Chinese</b>	20	0	20	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Hispanic</b>	89	0	0	89	0	0	0	0
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Italian</b>	30	0	0	0	30	0	0	0
<b>Korean</b>	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>African American</b>	16	0	0	0	0	16	0	0
<b>Not Coded</b>	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
<b>Japanese</b>	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
<b>Chinese</b>	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
<b>Hispanic</b>	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname African American</b>	530	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Not Coded</b>	74.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic ...
	Not Coded
<b>Total</b>	530
<b>Japanese</b>	0
<b>Chinese</b>	0
<b>Hispanic</b>	0
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	0.0%
<b>Italian</b>	0
<b>Korean</b>	0
<b>African American</b>	0
<b>Not Coded</b>	530
	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname							
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Japanese</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Chinese</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Hispanic</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Jewish</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Italian</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Korean</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>African American</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Not Coded</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
<b>Total</b>	710	123	134	86	202	66
<b>Japanese</b>	8	0	0	4	3	0
<b>Chinese</b>	20	5	5	0	8	2
<b>Hispanic</b>	89	12	5	4	11	19
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	12.5%	9.7%	3.7%	5.1%	5.3%	29.4%
<b>Italian</b>	30	9	6	4	8	1
<b>Korean</b>	4.2%	7.6%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	1.7%
<b>African American</b>	16	0	4	4	7	1
<b>Not Coded</b>	2.3%	0.2%	3.3%	4.3%	3.4%	1.7%
<b>Total</b>	3	0	2	0	1	1
<b>Japanese</b>	0.5%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.5%	1.0%
<b>Chinese</b>	14	0	0	0	7	3
<b>Hispanic</b>	12.5%	9.7%	3.7%	5.1%	5.3%	29.4%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	4.2%	7.6%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	1.7%
<b>Italian</b>	16	0	4	4	7	1
<b>Korean</b>	4.2%	7.6%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	1.7%
<b>African American</b>	16	0	4	4	7	1
<b>Not Coded</b>	2.3%	0.2%	3.3%	4.3%	3.4%	1.7%
<b>Total</b>	530	97	112	70	158	39
<b>Japanese</b>	0.5%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.5%	1.0%
<b>Chinese</b>	14	0	0	0	7	3
<b>Hispanic</b>	12.5%	9.7%	3.7%	5.1%	5.3%	29.4%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	4.2%	7.6%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	1.7%
<b>Italian</b>	16	0	4	4	7	1
<b>Korean</b>	4.2%	7.6%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	1.7%
<b>African American</b>	16	0	4	4	7	1
<b>Not Coded</b>	2.3%	0.2%	3.3%	4.3%	3.4%	1.7%
<b>Total</b>	530	97	112	70	158	39
<b>Japanese</b>	0.5%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.5%	1.0%
<b>Chinese</b>	14	0	0	0	7	3
<b>Hispanic</b>	12.5%	9.7%	3.7%	5.1%	5.3%	29.4%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	4.2%	7.6%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	1.7%
<b>Italian</b>	16	0	4	4	7	1
<b>Korean</b>	4.2%	7.6%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	1.7%
<b>African American</b>	16	0	4	4	7	1
<b>Not Coded</b>	2.3%	0.2%	3.3%	4.3%	3.4%	1.7%

	Area
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	99
Japanese	0 0.4%
Chinese	0 0.0%
Hispanic	38 37.9%
J. Ethnic Surname Jewish	2 1.7%
Italian	0 0.0%
Korean	0 0.0%
African American	4 4.4%
Not Coded	55 55.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Area	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
		J. Ethnic Surname	Japanese Chinese Hispanic Jewish Italian Korean African American Not Coded	a     a a F	a     a a E F	a     a a E F

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Area
	Not sure/DK/NA
J. Ethnic Surname	(F) Japanese Chinese Hispanic Jewish Italian Korean African American Not Coded

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type					
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text	
J. Ethnic Surname	Total	710	59	61	287	304
Japanese	8 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 2.5%	
Chinese	20 2.7%	2 3.8%	1 1.9%	8 2.8%	8 2.6%	
Hispanic	89 12.5%	19 33.1%	17 27.2%	9 3.0%	44 14.6%	
Jewish	30 4.2%	0 0.3%	2 3.1%	18 6.4%	9 3.1%	
Italian	16 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.7%	10 3.5%	6 2.0%	
Korean	3 0.5%	0 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 0.9%	
African American	14 2.0%	0 0.0%	3 4.2%	0 0.0%	12 3.8%	
Not Coded	530 74.7%	36 62.0%	38 62.8%	242 84.4%	214 70.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Interview Type	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
J. Ethnic Surname	Japanese Chinese Hispanic Jewish Italian Korean African American Not Coded	a  C D a  a a a A B D	a  C a  a  A B D	a   a  a  A B D	  C      C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
<b>Japanese</b>	8	2	1	1	0	3
	1.1%	2.2%	1.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.1%
<b>Chinese</b>	20	3	4	3	2	8
	2.7%	2.5%	3.1%	2.0%	2.0%	3.5%
<b>Hispanic</b>	89	19	22	34	8	7
	12.5%	17.9%	15.4%	22.5%	8.2%	3.1%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	30	3	2	11	1	14
	4.2%	2.5%	1.2%	7.1%	1.4%	6.1%
<b>Italian</b>	16	1	0	7	1	8
	2.3%	0.6%	0.0%	4.8%	0.6%	3.6%
<b>Korean</b>	3	0	2	2	0	0
	0.5%	0.0%	1.2%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>African American</b>	14	8	5	0	0	1
	2.0%	7.3%	3.7%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%
<b>Not Coded</b>	530	71	105	92	81	182
	74.7%	67.0%	74.4%	61.4%	87.8%	82.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>Japanese</b>				a	
<b>Chinese</b>					
<b>Hispanic</b>	E	E	D E		
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>					
<b>Italian</b>		a			
<b>Korean</b>	a			a	a
<b>African American</b>	C E				
<b>Not Coded</b>				A C	A C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 %<sup>c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender							
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12
<b>Japanese</b>	8	5	1	1	1	0	0	0
	1.1%	2.0%	0.5%	2.2%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Chinese</b>	20	1	2	0	6	10	1	0
	2.8%	0.4%	1.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.2%	1.5%	0.0%
<b>Hispanic</b>	89	28	25	4	5	10	14	0
	12.7%	12.2%	16.4%	8.9%	6.5%	11.9%	15.5%	0.0%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	29	13	9	0	0	1	6	0
	4.1%	5.5%	5.7%	0.0%	0.1%	1.6%	6.6%	2.2%
<b>Italian</b>	16	7	2	2	0	3	1	1
	2.3%	3.3%	1.0%	4.8%	0.3%	3.9%	1.0%	5.2%
<b>Korean</b>	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>African American</b>	14	6	0	0	0	7	0	1
	2.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%	8.4%	0.0%	8.3%
<b>Not Coded</b>	521	169	113	37	66	50	68	10
	74.5%	74.1%	75.3%	79.0%	84.0%	60.8%	75.3%	84.3%

	Party by ..
	Male Oth
<b>Total</b>	11
<b>Japanese</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Chinese</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Hispanic</b>	3
	31.9%
<b>J. Ethnic Surname Jewish</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Italian</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Korean</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>African American</b>	0
	0.5%
<b>Not Coded</b>	7
	67.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
J. Ethnic Surname								
Japanese					a	a	a	a
Chinese			a	A	A B F		a	a
Hispanic							a	
Jewish			a					a
Italian								a
Korean	a			a		a	a	a
African American		a				a		
Not Coded				E				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
Japanese	5	1	2	0	0	2
Chinese	12	4	5	3	0	0
Hispanic	47	9	20	10	4	3
Jewish	15	7	5	1	1	2
Italian	5	0	4	0	0	0
Korean	1	0	1	0	0	0
African American	1	0	0	0	1	0
Not Coded	278	78	87	40	38	35
	76.2%	78.9%	70.0%	74.2%	85.4%	81.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
J. Ethnic Surname					
Japanese			a	a	
Chinese				a	a
Hispanic					
Jewish					
Italian			a		
Korean	a		a	a	a
African American		a	a		a
Not Coded					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
Japanese	2	2	0	0	0	0
Chinese	7	0	6	1	0	0
Hispanic	42	10	8	0	17	6
Jewish	14	4	5	6	0	0
Italian	12	3	2	1	4	2
Korean	2	2	0	0	0	0
African American	13	4	2	0	7	0
Not Coded	252	75	61	19	80	18
	73.1%	74.8%	72.3%	70.7%	73.7%	69.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
J. Ethnic Surname	Japanese	a	a	a	a	a
	Chinese					
	Hispanic			a		
	Jewish			A D		a
	Italian					
	Korean		a	a	a	a
	African American Not Coded					a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	710
	Owner	470 66.2%	470 66.2%
	Renter	240 33.8%	240 33.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		Total
		(A)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	.
	Renter	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	330	370	11
	Owner	470 66.2%	223 67.6%	239 64.6%	8 77.2%
	Renter	240 33.8%	107 32.4%	131 35.4%	2 22.8%

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner			
	Renter			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	Owner	470 66.2%	37 55.6%	29 25.4%	93 68.8%	104 81.5%	72 77.3%	129 78.8%	6 74.2%
	Renter	240 33.8%	30 44.4%	86 74.6%	42 31.2%	24 18.5%	21 22.7%	35 21.2%	2 25.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	B		B	A B	B	A B	
	Renter	D F	A C D E F					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	470	240
	Owner	470 66.2%	470 100.0%	0 0.0%
	Renter	240 33.8%	0 0.0%	240 100.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	a	a
	Renter	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				DTS
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	Owner	470 66.2%	243 63.1%	102 80.8%	18 78.8%	108 61.2%
	Renter	240 33.8%	142 36.9%	24 19.2%	5 21.2%	68 38.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner		A D		
	Renter	B			B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Owner	470 66.2%	91 43.9%	93 83.5%	33 74.8%	39 83.7%	130 84.2%	84 57.7%
	Renter	240 33.8%	117 56.1%	18 16.5%	11 25.2%	8 16.3%	24 15.8%	62 42.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner		A F	A	A F	A F	
	Renter	B C D E					B D E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	297	95	46	69	57
	Owner	470 66.2%	130 44.0%	61 64.3%	41 89.1%	59 85.7%	49 86.5%
	Renter	240 33.8%	166 56.0%	34 35.7%	5 10.9%	10 14.3%	8 13.5%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
K. Homeownership Status	Total	44	67	35
	Owner	37 84.4%	64 95.7%	28 79.5%
	Renter	7 15.6%	3 4.3%	7 20.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)	1993 to 1996 (F)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner		A	A	A	A	A
	Renter	B C D E F G H	G				

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	A B	A
	Renter		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	Owner	470	125	230	26	31	18	24	16
	Renter	240	30	145	9	6	19	16	16
		66.2%	80.8%	61.3%	75.3%	83.6%	49.3%	60.4%	49.9%
		33.8%	19.2%	38.7%	24.7%	16.4%	50.7%	39.6%	50.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	B E G			E			
	Renter		A			A D		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	510	200
	Owner	470	333	138
	Renter	240	177	63
		66.2%	65.3%	68.6%
		33.8%	34.7%	31.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner		
	Renter		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	347	363
	Owner	470	260	210
	Renter	240	87	153
		66.2%	74.9%	58.0%
		33.8%	25.1%	42.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	B	
	Renter		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	710	
	Owner	470	470	
	Renter	240	240	
		66.2%	66.2%	
		33.8%	33.8%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter
		Yes
		(A)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	.
	Renter	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	302	405	3
	Owner	470	210	259	1
		66.2%	69.6%	64.0%	25.4%
	Renter	240	92	146	2
		33.8%	30.4%	36.0%	74.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner			
	Renter			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household					
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	117	167	67	90	405
	Owner	470	60	113	62	83	259
		66.2%	51.4%	67.7%	92.9%	92.5%	64.0%
	Renter	240	57	54	5	7	146
		33.8%	48.6%	32.3%	7.1%	7.5%	36.0%

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
K. Homeownership Status	Total	3
	Owner	1
		25.4%
	Renter	2
		74.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household					
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner		A	A B E F	A B E F		
	Renter	B C D	C D			C D	C D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group							
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27
	Owner	470	30	1	55	305	53	0	14
		66.2%	85.3%	100.0%	65.2%	70.4%	50.4%	0.0%	51.3%
	Renter	240	5	0	29	128	53	7	13
		33.8%	14.7%	0.0%	34.8%	29.6%	49.6%	100.0%	48.7%

		Ethnic Group	
		Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
K. Homeownership Status	Total	4	14
	Owner	3	10
		73.4%	71.6%
	Renter	1	4
		26.6%	28.4%



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group						
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	E	a,b		E		b	
	Renter		a,b		A D		b	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group	
		Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner		
	Renter		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname							
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	470	5	17	51	22	16	2	3
		66.2%	69.4%	89.2%	57.1%	73.1%	97.3%	51.0%	20.0%
	Renter	240	2	2	38	8	0	2	11
		33.8%	30.6%	10.8%	42.9%	26.9%	2.7%	49.0%	80.0%

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
	Total	530
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	355
		66.9%
	Renter	176
		33.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ethnic Surname							
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner		G		G	G			G
	Renter						B D E H		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area					
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
	Total	710	123	134	86	202	66
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	470	99	83	52	150	35
		66.2%	80.6%	62.0%	60.9%	74.5%	53.3%
	Renter	240	24	51	33	52	31
		33.8%	19.4%	38.0%	39.1%	25.5%	46.7%

		Area
		Not sure/DK/NA
	Total	99
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	50
		50.6%
	Renter	49
		49.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	B C E F			E F	
	Renter		A	A		A D

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner	
	Renter	A D

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	Owner	470	26	47	228	169
	Renter	240	32	13	59	135
		66.2%	44.8%	77.8%	79.4%	55.6%
		33.8%	55.2%	22.2%	20.6%	44.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner		A D	A D	
	Renter	B C			B C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
K. Homeownership Status	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Owner	470	35	76	98	66	195
	Renter	240	70	66	51	27	26
		66.2%	33.5%	53.4%	65.8%	71.2%	88.3%
		33.8%	66.5%	46.6%	34.2%	28.8%	11.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner		A	A	A	A B C D
	Renter	B C D E	E	E	E	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
K. Homeownership Status	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12
	Owner	462	142	95	37	65	50	55	10
	Renter	237	85	55	10	14	33	35	2
		66.1%	62.5%	63.4%	78.4%	82.2%	60.2%	61.0%	81.5%
		33.9%	37.5%	36.6%	21.6%	17.8%	39.8%	39.0%	18.5%

		Party by Gender Male Oth
K. Homeownership Status	Total	11
	Owner	8
	Renter	3
		75.7%
		24.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)	Male NPP (F)	Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner				A				
	Renter	D							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
K. Homeownership Status	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	Owner	252	62	95	35	33	27
	Renter	113	37	30	20	11	16
		69.0%	62.8%	75.9%	63.7%	75.2%	63.3%
		31.0%	37.2%	24.1%	36.3%	24.8%	36.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner					
	Renter					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
K. Homeownership Status	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	Owner	219	62	54	17	64	22
	Renter	127	38	31	9	44	5
		63.3%	61.8%	63.7%	65.0%	59.2%	82.8%
		36.7%	38.2%	36.3%	35.0%	40.8%	17.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
K. Homeownership Status	Owner					
	Renter					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
L. Party	Total	710	710
	Democrat	385	385
	Republican	126	126
	Other	23	23
	DTS	176	176
		54.3%	54.3%
		17.7%	17.7%
		3.2%	3.2%
		24.8%	24.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		(A)
L. Party	Democrat	.
	Republican	.
	Other	.
	DTS	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
L. Party	Total	710	330	370	11
	Democrat	385	150	228	8
	Republican	126	78	47	0
	Other	23	11	12	0
	DTS	176	91	83	3
		54.3%	45.5%	61.6%	71.8%
		17.7%	23.8%	12.8%	0.0%
		3.2%	3.3%	3.2%	0.0%
		24.8%	27.5%	22.3%	28.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
L. Party	Democrat		A	
	Republican	B		a
	Other			
	DTS			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
L. Party	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	Democrat	385	34	70	71	65	50	92	2
	Republican	54.3%	50.6%	60.8%	52.5%	51.2%	54.0%	56.6%	23.5%
	Other	126	10	9	19	26	19	40	4
	DTS	17.7%	14.4%	7.9%	13.8%	20.6%	19.9%	24.5%	45.9%
L. Party	Democrat	23	0	4	5	8	1	4	0
	Republican	3.2%	0.0%	3.9%	3.7%	6.0%	1.4%	2.6%	0.0%
	Other	176	23	32	41	28	23	26	2
	DTS	24.8%	35.0%	27.5%	30.1%	22.2%	24.7%	16.2%	30.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
L. Party	Democrat							
	Republican						B	B
	Other	a						
	DTS	F						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
L. Party	Total	710	470	240
	Democrat	385	243	142
	Republican	54.3%	51.7%	59.4%
	Other	126	102	24
	DTS	17.7%	21.6%	10.1%
L. Party	Democrat	23	18	5
	Republican	3.2%	3.8%	2.0%
	DTS	176	108	68
L. Party	Democrat	24.8%	22.9%	28.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
L. Party	Democrat		
	Republican	B	
	Other		
	DTS		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		710	385	126	23	176
Democrat		385	385	0	0	0
L. Party Republican		126	0	126	0	0
Other		23	0	0	23	0
DTS		176	0	0	0	176
		54.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		17.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
		24.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
L. Party Democrat		.a	.a	.a	.a
L. Party Republican		.a	.a	.a	.a
L. Party Other		.a	.a	.a	.a
L. Party DTS		.a	.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		710	208	111	44	46	155	146
Democrat		385	208	111	0	0	66	0
L. Party Republican		126	0	0	44	46	36	0
Other		23	0	0	0	0	2	20
DTS		176	0	0	0	0	50	126
		54.3%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	42.6%	0.0%
		17.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	23.3%	0.0%
		3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	13.9%
		24.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	32.6%	86.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
L. Party Democrat		.a	.a	.a	.a		.a
L. Party Republican		.a	.a	.a	.a		.a
L. Party Other		.a	.a	.a	.a		E
L. Party DTS		.a	.a	.a	.a		E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
Total		710	297	95	46	69	57	44
Democrat		385	164	49	22	27	22	28
L. Party Republican		126	38	10	14	12	24	10
Other		23	9	4	1	3	4	0
DTS		176	85	32	10	27	7	6
		54.3%	55.3%	51.6%	47.3%	39.2%	39.4%	64.1%
		17.7%	12.9%	10.7%	29.6%	17.0%	41.7%	22.5%
		3.2%	3.1%	4.5%	1.3%	4.4%	7.0%	0.8%
		24.8%	28.6%	33.1%	21.8%	39.3%	11.9%	12.7%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		67	35
Democrat		48	24
L. Party Republican		12	6
Other		1	0
DTS		5	5
		72.3%	68.5%
		18.0%	17.8%
		1.7%	0.0%
		8.0%	13.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration Date						
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
L. Party	Democrat					AB		DE
	Republican							
	Other							
	DTS	G	G		EG			

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Registration ...
		1980 or before
		(H)
L. Party	Democrat	
	Republican	
	Other	
	DTS	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
L. Party	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	Democrat	385	78	208	19	21	16	20	23
	Republican	54.3%	50.5%	55.5%	55.8%	57.9%	42.8%	49.1%	71.3%
	Other	126	23	69	5	7	10	8	3
	DTS	17.7%	14.9%	18.5%	13.9%	19.6%	28.2%	19.2%	10.6%
L. Party	Democrat	23	7	5	2	3	4	1	0
	Republican	3.2%	4.6%	1.5%	6.5%	7.0%	11.0%	3.2%	0.0%
	Other	176	47	92	8	6	7	12	6
	DTS	24.8%	30.1%	24.5%	23.8%	15.5%	18.0%	28.5%	18.1%
	DTS	24.8%	30.1%	24.5%	23.8%	15.5%	18.0%	28.5%	18.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
L. Party	Democrat							
	Republican							
	Other				B			
	DTS							a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
L. Party	Total	710	510	200
	Democrat	385	279	106
	Republican	54.3%	54.7%	53.1%
	Other	126	87	38
	DTS	17.7%	17.2%	19.2%
L. Party	Democrat	23	18	5
	Republican	3.2%	3.5%	2.3%
	Other	176	125	51
	DTS	24.8%	24.6%	25.4%
	DTS	24.8%	24.6%	25.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
L. Party	Democrat		
	Republican		
	Other		
	DTS		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>Democrat</b>		385	213	172
		54.3%	61.4%	47.4%
<b>L. Party</b>	<b>Republican</b>	126	63	63
		17.7%	18.2%	17.3%
<b>Other</b>		23	10	13
		3.2%	2.8%	3.6%
<b>DTS</b>		176	61	115
		24.8%	17.6%	31.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>L. Party</b>	<b>Democrat</b>		
	<b>Republican</b>	B	
	<b>Other</b>		
	<b>DTS</b>		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		710	710	
<b>Democrat</b>		385	385	
		54.3%	54.3%	
<b>L. Party</b>	<b>Republican</b>	126	126	
		17.7%	17.7%	
<b>Other</b>		23	23	
		3.2%	3.2%	
<b>DTS</b>		176	176	
		24.8%	24.8%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter
		Yes (A)
<b>L. Party</b>	<b>Democrat</b>	.
	<b>Republican</b>	.
	<b>Other</b>	.
	<b>DTS</b>	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		710	302	405	3
<b>Democrat</b>		385	156	229	1
		54.3%	51.5%	56.5%	25.4%
<b>L. Party</b>	<b>Republican</b>	126	44	80	2
		17.7%	14.5%	19.8%	64.6%
<b>Other</b>		23	12	11	0
		3.2%	3.9%	2.7%	0.0%
<b>DTS</b>		176	91	85	0
		24.8%	30.1%	21.0%	10.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
L. Party	Democrat			A
	Republican			
	Other			
	DTS	B		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household						
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
L. Party	Total	710	117	167	67	90	405	3
	Democrat	385	66	75	29	45	229	1
	Republican	126	15	25	11	14	80	2
	Other	23	1	8	5	6	11	0
	DTS	176	35	58	22	24	85	0
		24.8%	29.5%	34.9%	32.9%	26.9%	21.0%	10.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household					
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
L. Party	Democrat						
	Republican						
	Other						
	DTS		E				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group								
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
L. Party	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	Democrat	385	28	0	36	225	72	0	15	1
	Republican	126	0	1	19	93	10	0	1	0
	Other	23	0	0	0	18	3	0	1	0
	DTS	176	7	0	29	97	20	7	9	3
		24.8%	19.2%	0.0%	35.0%	22.4%	19.3%	100.0%	33.5%	70.4%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
L. Party	Total	14
	Democrat	8
	Republican	2
	Other	0
	DTS	4
		30.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group							
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
L. Party	Democrat	C D	a,b			C	b		
	Republican	b	a,b				b		
	Other	b	a,b				b		
	DTS		a,b				b		

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(I)
L. Party	Democrat	
	Republican	
	Other	b
	DTS	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



		Ethnic Surname								
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
<b>Total</b>		710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
<b>Democrat</b>		385	5	2	52	22	9	0	6	288
		54.3%	69.2%	12.5%	58.8%	74.3%	55.4%	0.0%	40.8%	54.3%
<b>L. Party Republican</b>		126	2	6	9	0	2	2	0	103
		17.7%	30.8%	29.0%	10.5%	0.3%	15.2%	69.7%	2.9%	19.5%
<b>Other</b>		23	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	17
		3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.9%	3.8%	0.0%	7.3%	3.3%
<b>DTS</b>		176	0	11	24	7	4	1	7	122
		24.8%	0.0%	58.6%	26.8%	24.4%	25.6%	30.3%	49.0%	22.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ethnic Surname							
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>L. Party Democrat</b>				B	B		.a		B
<b>Republican</b>							D		
<b>Other</b>		.a	.a				.a		
<b>DTS</b>		.a	H						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area						
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		710	123	134	86	202	66	99
<b>Democrat</b>		385	54	71	48	109	45	59
		54.3%	43.9%	52.8%	56.3%	53.9%	67.9%	58.9%
<b>L. Party Republican</b>		126	38	22	13	33	6	14
		17.7%	30.8%	16.3%	14.9%	16.5%	9.3%	14.0%
<b>Other</b>		23	7	7	2	5	0	1
		3.2%	5.9%	5.5%	2.1%	2.5%	0.1%	1.0%
<b>DTS</b>		176	24	34	23	55	15	26
		24.8%	19.4%	25.4%	26.7%	27.1%	22.7%	26.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area					
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>L. Party Democrat</b>						A	
<b>Republican</b>		D E					
<b>Other</b>							
<b>DTS</b>							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>		710	59	61	287	304
<b>Democrat</b>		385	28	37	152	168
		54.3%	47.7%	60.3%	53.1%	55.4%
<b>L. Party Republican</b>		126	10	14	55	46
		17.7%	17.9%	23.5%	19.2%	15.1%
<b>Other</b>		23	0	1	18	4
		3.2%	0.0%	1.7%	6.1%	1.3%
<b>DTS</b>		176	20	9	62	85
		24.8%	34.5%	14.5%	21.5%	28.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>L. Party Democrat</b>					
<b>Republican</b>		.a		D	
<b>Other</b>					
<b>DTS</b>					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>		710	105	142	150	92	221
<b>Democrat</b>		385	38	82	89	49	127
		54.3%	35.8%	58.1%	59.4%	53.3%	57.5%
<b>L. Party Republican</b>		126	12	24	29	19	43
		17.7%	11.2%	16.6%	19.6%	20.3%	19.2%
<b>Other</b>		23	6	2	1	1	12
		3.2%	5.9%	1.5%	0.8%	0.9%	5.5%
<b>DTS</b>		176	50	34	30	23	39
		24.8%	47.2%	23.8%	20.1%	25.5%	17.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>L. Party Democrat</b>			A	A		A
<b>Republican</b>						
<b>Other</b>						
<b>DTS</b>		B C D E				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender								
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
<b>Total</b>		699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
<b>Democrat</b>		377	228	150	0	0	0	0	0	0
		54.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>L. Party Republican</b>		126	0	0	47	78	0	0	0	0
		18.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Other</b>		23	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	11
		3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>DTS</b>		173	0	0	0	0	83	91	0	0
		24.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>L. Party Democrat</b>		.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
<b>Republican</b>		.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
<b>Other</b>		.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
<b>DTS</b>		.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>		365	99	125	54	44	43
<b>Democrat</b>		206	61	74	24	18	29
		56.6%	62.0%	59.5%	44.8%	40.6%	67.1%
<b>L. Party Republican</b>		64	12	17	14	10	11
		17.5%	12.6%	13.5%	25.4%	21.7%	26.3%
<b>Other</b>		9	3	1	0	5	1
		2.6%	2.7%	0.7%	0.0%	11.9%	1.5%
<b>DTS</b>		85	23	33	16	11	2
		23.3%	22.8%	26.4%	29.8%	25.8%	5.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>L. Party Democrat</b>				.a		
<b>Republican</b>					B	
<b>Other</b>						
<b>DTS</b>			E	E		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					Not sure [DK/NA]
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	
<b>Total</b>		345	100	84	27	108	26
<b>Democrat</b>		179	64	43	16	41	14
		51.8%	64.5%	50.5%	60.7%	38.4%	54.2%
<b>L. Party</b>	<b>Republican</b>	62	16	2	5	31	8
		18.0%	15.6%	2.9%	17.0%	29.1%	30.8%
<b>Other</b>		13	5	6	1	1	0
		3.8%	4.9%	7.2%	3.6%	1.2%	0.0%
<b>DTS</b>		91	15	33	5	34	4
		26.4%	15.0%	39.4%	18.7%	31.4%	15.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>L. Party</b>	<b>Democrat</b>	D				
	<b>Republican</b>	B			B	B <sup>a</sup>
	<b>Other</b>					
	<b>DTS</b>		A			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>Total</b>		710	710
<b>Dem 1</b>		208	208
		29.3%	29.3%
<b>Dem 2+</b>		111	111
		15.7%	15.7%
<b>Rep 1</b>		44	44
		6.1%	6.1%
<b>Rep 2+</b>		46	46
		6.5%	6.5%
<b>M. Household Party Type</b>	<b>Other 1</b>	109	109
		15.3%	15.3%
<b>Other 2+</b>		38	38
		5.3%	5.3%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>		30	30
		4.3%	4.3%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>		77	77
		10.8%	10.8%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>		36	36
		5.0%	5.0%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>		12	12
		1.7%	1.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		(A)
<b>M. Household Party Type</b>	<b>Dem 1</b>	.
	<b>Dem 2+</b>	.
	<b>Rep 1</b>	.
	<b>Rep 2+</b>	.
	<b>Other 1</b>	.
	<b>Other 2+</b>	.
	<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	.
	<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	.
	<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	.
	<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>	710	330	370	11
<b>Dem 1</b>	208	77	130	0
	29.3%	23.5%	35.2%	3.5%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111	54	52	5
	15.7%	16.4%	14.1%	48.1%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44	24	19	0
	6.1%	7.3%	5.3%	0.0%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46	28	18	0
	6.5%	8.6%	4.9%	0.0%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109	56	52	0
	15.3%	17.1%	14.1%	2.5%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38	17	20	0
	5.3%	5.2%	5.5%	3.5%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30	16	15	0
	4.3%	4.8%	3.9%	0.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77	26	46	5
	10.8%	7.8%	12.5%	42.4%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36	28	8	0
	5.0%	8.6%	2.1%	0.0%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12	3	9	0
	1.7%	0.8%	2.5%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>Dem 1</b>		A	A B
<b>Dem 2+</b>			a
<b>Rep 1</b>			a
<b>Rep 2+</b>			a
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>			a
<b>Other 2+</b>			a
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>			a
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>			A B
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	B		a
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
<b>Dem 1</b>	208	22	43	39	39	26	39	0
	29.3%	32.6%	36.8%	29.0%	30.9%	27.9%	23.7%	0.0%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111	2	17	23	17	16	35	2
	15.7%	2.8%	14.4%	17.0%	13.7%	16.8%	21.4%	23.4%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44	2	3	7	8	9	12	3
	6.1%	3.4%	2.8%	5.0%	6.2%	9.2%	7.2%	38.4%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46	0	4	11	8	7	16	0
	6.5%	0.0%	3.1%	8.4%	6.2%	8.0%	9.7%	1.3%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109	4	26	27	28	14	7	2
	15.3%	5.8%	22.6%	20.2%	21.9%	15.4%	4.2%	25.8%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38	3	8	9	6	3	8	0
	5.3%	4.8%	6.5%	6.5%	4.7%	3.6%	5.1%	4.9%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30	6	0	6	2	3	13	0
	4.3%	8.6%	0.0%	4.1%	1.8%	3.1%	8.2%	6.1%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77	14	10	11	6	10	25	0
	10.8%	21.6%	9.0%	7.8%	5.0%	10.7%	15.3%	0.0%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36	12	3	3	7	3	9	0
	5.0%	17.8%	2.7%	2.2%	5.1%	2.8%	5.3%	0.0%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12	2	2	0	6	2	0	0
	1.7%	2.5%	2.0%	0.0%	4.5%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Total	Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>Dem 1</b>								
<b>Dem 2+</b>						A		
<b>Rep 1</b>								A B C D F
<b>Rep 2+</b>	a							
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>		F	F	F	F			
<b>Other 2+</b>		a						
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>								a
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	D							
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	B C E		a					
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>						a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>Dem 1</b>	208	91	117
	29.3%	19.4%	48.6%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111	93	18
	15.7%	19.8%	7.7%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44	33	11
	6.1%	6.9%	4.6%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46	39	8
	6.5%	8.2%	3.2%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109	55	54
	15.3%	11.7%	22.4%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38	29	8
	5.3%	6.3%	3.4%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30	30	1
	4.3%	6.4%	0.2%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77	66	11
	10.8%	14.0%	4.6%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36	24	12
	5.0%	5.0%	5.1%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12	11	1
	1.7%	2.4%	0.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
<b>Dem 1</b>		A
<b>Dem 2+</b>	B	
<b>Rep 1</b>		
<b>Rep 2+</b>	B	
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>		A
<b>Other 2+</b>		
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	B	
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	B	
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>		
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
<b>Dem 1</b>	208	208	0	0	0
	29.3%	54.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111	111	0	0	0
	15.7%	28.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44	0	44	0	0
	6.1%	0.0%	34.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46	0	46	0	0
	6.5%	0.0%	36.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109	0	0	11	98
	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	48.1%	55.4%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38	0	0	9	28
	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	41.4%	16.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30	18	12	0	0
	4.3%	4.7%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77	40	0	2	34
	10.8%	10.4%	0.0%	10.5%	19.5%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36	0	20	0	16
	5.0%	0.0%	15.6%	0.0%	9.2%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12	8	4	0	0
	1.7%	2.1%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>Dem 1</b>	.	a	a	a
<b>Dem 2+</b>	.	a	a	a
<b>Rep 1</b>	a	.	a	a
<b>Rep 2+</b>	a	.	a	a
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	a	a	.	.
<b>Other 2+</b>	a	a	D	.
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	.	A	a	a
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	.	a	.	A
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	a	.	a	a
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	.	.	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>Total</b>	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
<b>Dem 1</b>	208	208	0	0	0	0	0
	29.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111	0	111	0	0	0	0
	15.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44	0	0	44	0	0	0
	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46	0	0	0	46	0	0
	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109	0	0	0	0	0	109
	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	74.3%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38	0	0	0	0	0	38
	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.7%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30	0	0	0	0	30	0
	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.6%	0.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77	0	0	0	0	77	0
	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	49.5%	0.0%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36	0	0	0	0	36	0
	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.2%	0.0%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12	0	0	0	0	12	0
	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>Dem 1</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Dem 2+</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Rep 1</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Rep 2+</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Other 2+</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date					
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69	57
<b>Dem 1</b>	208	104	31	12	17	11
	29.3%	35.0%	32.5%	25.9%	25.2%	19.3%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111	43	9	6	5	8
	15.7%	14.5%	9.9%	12.3%	7.6%	13.3%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44	14	4	6	2	7
	6.1%	4.8%	4.7%	13.5%	2.2%	12.0%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46	15	3	7	7	4
	6.5%	4.9%	2.7%	15.8%	9.9%	7.5%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109	55	18	9	19	3
	15.3%	18.5%	19.1%	18.7%	27.1%	5.6%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38	20	7	1	2	2
	5.3%	6.6%	6.9%	2.5%	2.4%	3.7%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30	8	7	1	0	4
	4.3%	2.8%	7.4%	1.9%	0.6%	7.8%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77	20	12	4	12	8
	10.8%	6.9%	12.9%	8.3%	17.6%	14.3%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36	14	3	1	3	8
	5.0%	4.8%	2.8%	1.2%	4.7%	13.9%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12	4	1	0	2	1
	1.7%	1.2%	1.1%	0.0%	2.7%	2.5%

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>Total</b>	44	67	35
<b>Dem 1</b>	9	17	7
	20.7%	24.9%	19.6%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	12	20	9
	27.3%	29.8%	25.0%
<b>Rep 1</b>	2	5	3
	5.6%	6.9%	9.3%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	1	7	3
	2.7%	9.8%	8.5%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	3	1	1
	7.0%	0.8%	3.9%
<b>Other 2+</b>	1	3	2
	2.5%	4.9%	5.7%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	5	3	1
	11.7%	4.8%	2.5%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	4	9	8
	8.3%	13.0%	21.5%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	4	2	1
	8.3%	3.1%	4.1%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	3	1	0
	5.9%	2.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
M. Household Party Type	Dem 1					
	Dem 2+					
	Rep 1					
	Rep 2+					
	Other 1	G	G	G	EG	
	Other 2+					
	Dem & Rep					
	Dem & Other					
	Rep & Other					
	Dem, Rep & Other					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
M. Household Party Type	Dem 1			
	Dem 2+		B D	
	Rep 1			
	Rep 2+			
	Other 1			
	Other 2+			
	Dem & Rep			
	Dem & Other			
	Rep & Other			
Dem, Rep & Other			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
M. Household Party Type	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	Dem 1	208	39	119	7	7	10	11	13
	Dem 2+	29.3%	25.4%	31.8%	20.4%	19.4%	28.5%	27.9%	42.2%
	Rep 1	111	20	60	8	10	4	6	3
	Rep 2+	15.7%	13.1%	16.0%	23.0%	27.8%	9.7%	15.8%	9.7%
	Other 1	44	8	24	2	4	4	2	0
	Other 2+	6.1%	5.2%	6.4%	5.8%	9.8%	10.2%	5.9%	0.0%
	Dem & Rep	46	7	25	2	1	5	2	2
	Dem & Other	6.5%	4.8%	6.7%	6.2%	4.1%	14.5%	5.9%	7.6%
	Rep & Other	109	29	58	6	4	4	8	0
	Dem, Rep & Other	15.3%	18.6%	15.4%	17.8%	11.7%	10.8%	18.6%	0.0%
	Dem 1	38	15	12	0	1	5	1	3
	Dem 2+	5.3%	9.4%	3.2%	0.1%	3.8%	14.4%	2.3%	10.1%
	Rep 1	30	8	12	2	3	0	1	3
Rep 2+	4.3%	5.1%	3.3%	6.7%	8.3%	0.0%	2.9%	10.9%	
Other 1	77	21	33	6	4	1	6	5	
Other 2+	10.8%	13.5%	8.9%	17.5%	12.1%	1.9%	14.5%	16.4%	
Dem & Rep	36	3	29	0	1	2	1	1	
Dem & Other	5.0%	1.6%	7.6%	0.0%	2.9%	5.3%	1.7%	3.0%	
Rep & Other	12	5	2	1	0	2	2	0	
Dem, Rep & Other	1.7%	3.3%	0.6%	2.5%	0.0%	4.6%	4.6%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
M. Household Party Type	Dem 1							
	Dem 2+							
	Rep 1							a
	Rep 2+							
	Other 1							
	Other 2+					B		
	Dem & Rep					a		
	Dem & Other							
	Rep & Other			a				
Dem, Rep & Other				a			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
<b>Dem 1</b>	208 29.3%	153 30.0%	55 27.3%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111 15.7%	80 15.7%	31 15.6%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44 6.1%	32 6.2%	12 6.0%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46 6.5%	36 7.1%	10 5.1%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109 15.3%	82 16.1%	27 13.2%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38 5.3%	26 5.1%	12 5.8%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30 4.3%	23 4.4%	8 3.9%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77 10.8%	51 10.1%	25 12.7%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36 5.0%	18 3.4%	18 9.2%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12 1.7%	10 1.9%	2 1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>Dem 1</b>		
<b>Dem 2+</b>		
<b>Rep 1</b>		
<b>Rep 2+</b>		
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>		
<b>Other 2+</b>		
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>		
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>		
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>		A
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>	710	347	363
<b>Dem 1</b>	208 29.3%	117 33.8%	91 24.9%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111 15.7%	62 17.8%	50 13.7%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44 6.1%	18 5.3%	25 6.9%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46 6.5%	30 8.6%	16 4.5%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109 15.3%	41 11.8%	68 18.6%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38 5.3%	12 3.6%	25 6.9%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30 4.3%	18 5.1%	13 3.5%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77 10.8%	32 9.2%	45 12.3%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36 5.0%	12 3.6%	23 6.5%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12 1.7%	4 1.2%	8 2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>Dem 1</b>	B	
<b>Dem 2+</b>		
<b>Rep 1</b>		
<b>Rep 2+</b>	B	
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>		A
<b>Other 2+</b>		A
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>		
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>		
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>		
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



	Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	710	710	
Dem 1	208	208	
Dem 2+	111	111	
Rep 1	44	44	
Rep 2+	46	46	
M. Household Party Type Other 1	109	109	
Other 2+	38	38	
Dem & Rep	30	30	
Dem & Other	77	77	
Rep & Other	36	36	
Dem, Rep & Other	12	12	
	1.7%	1.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

	Voter
	Yes
	(A)
Dem 1	.
Dem 2+	.
Rep 1	.
Rep 2+	.
M. Household Party Type Other 1	.
Other 2+	.
Dem & Rep	.
Dem & Other	.
Rep & Other	.
Dem, Rep & Other	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total	710	302	405	3
Dem 1	208	84	123	1
Dem 2+	111	44	67	0
Rep 1	44	14	29	0
Rep 2+	46	20	24	2
M. Household Party Type Other 1	109	57	51	0
Other 2+	38	20	17	0
Dem & Rep	30	7	23	0
Dem & Other	77	42	34	0
Rep & Other	36	8	28	0
Dem, Rep & Other	12	5	7	0
	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Dem 1			.
Dem 2+			.
Rep 1			.
Rep 2+			A B
M. Household Party Type Other 1			.
Other 2+			.
Dem & Rep	B	A	.
Dem & Other			.
Rep & Other		A	.
Dem, Rep & Other			.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ages of Children in Household					
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
<b>Total</b>	710	117	167	67	90	405
<b>Dem 1</b>	208	30	45	13	22	123
	29.3%	25.6%	26.7%	19.6%	24.6%	30.5%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111	17	23	11	15	67
	15.7%	14.6%	13.7%	16.9%	17.3%	16.6%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44	6	7	2	3	29
	6.1%	5.1%	4.4%	3.5%	3.4%	7.2%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46	6	14	6	4	24
	6.5%	5.2%	8.6%	8.3%	4.5%	5.9%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109	23	42	13	10	51
	15.3%	19.4%	25.1%	19.7%	10.8%	12.7%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38	6	10	7	11	17
	5.3%	5.3%	6.1%	10.1%	11.7%	4.3%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30	4	1	3	6	23
	4.3%	3.4%	0.8%	4.9%	7.2%	5.7%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77	22	18	6	10	34
	10.8%	18.8%	11.0%	8.5%	11.0%	8.4%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36	1	4	4	4	28
	5.0%	0.9%	2.6%	5.7%	4.0%	7.0%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12	2	2	2	5	7
	1.7%	1.6%	1.1%	2.8%	5.6%	1.7%

	Ages of ...
	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	3
<b>Dem 1</b>	1
	25.4%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Rep 1</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	2
	64.6%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	0
	10.0%
<b>Other 2+</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	0
	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ages of Children in Household				
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>Dem 1</b>					
<b>Dem 2+</b>					
<b>Rep 1</b>					
<b>Rep 2+</b>					
<b>Other 1</b>		E			
<b>Other 2+</b>					
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>					
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	E			B	
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>					
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ages of ...
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(F)
<b>Dem 1</b>	.
<b>Dem 2+</b>	.
<b>Rep 1</b>	.
<b>Rep 2+</b>	A B C D E
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	.
<b>Other 2+</b>	.
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	.
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	.
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	.
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group						
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian
<b>Total</b>	710	35	1	84	434	106	7
<b>Dem 1</b>	208	11	0	29	113	42	0
	29.3%	32.3%	0.0%	35.0%	26.0%	39.4%	0.0%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111	10	0	3	75	19	0
	15.7%	28.1%	0.0%	3.5%	17.3%	18.2%	0.0%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44	0	0	12	28	3	0
	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%	6.5%	2.7%	0.0%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46	0	1	5	37	2	0
	6.5%	0.0%	100.0%	6.0%	8.5%	2.2%	0.0%
<b>Other 1</b>	109	2	0	20	63	11	7
	15.3%	5.2%	0.0%	23.8%	14.5%	10.4%	100.0%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38	0	0	3	25	6	0
	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	5.8%	5.6%	0.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30	0	0	3	25	1	0
	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	5.7%	1.3%	0.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77	12	0	7	34	16	0
	10.8%	34.4%	0.0%	8.1%	7.9%	15.4%	0.0%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36	0	0	1	26	3	0
	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	6.1%	3.0%	0.0%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12	0	0	0	7	2	0
	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	1.8%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	27	4	14
<b>Dem 1</b>	12	0	1
	44.3%	0.1%	5.7%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	0	1	3
	0.0%	28.2%	25.3%
<b>Rep 1</b>	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	1	0	0
	5.2%	0.0%	1.0%
<b>Other 1</b>	3	2	1
	11.7%	53.1%	6.5%
<b>Other 2+</b>	2	1	1
	7.9%	18.6%	6.2%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	1	0	0
	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	1	0	6
	5.5%	0.0%	40.6%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	5	0	1
	17.1%	0.0%	4.1%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	1	0	1
	4.7%	0.0%	10.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group						
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>Dem 1</b>		a,b					b
<b>Dem 2+</b>		a,b					b
<b>Rep 1</b>	C	a,b	E	C	C		b
<b>Rep 2+</b>	b	a,b					b
<b>Other 1</b>		a,b					b
<b>Other 2+</b>		a,b					b
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>		a,b					b
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	C D	a,b					b
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>		a,b					b
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	b	a,b	b				b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
	(H)	(I)
<b>Dem 1</b>		
<b>Dem 2+</b>		C
<b>Rep 1</b>		
<b>Rep 2+</b>	b	
<b>Other 1</b>		
<b>Other 2+</b>		
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	b	b
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	b	C D
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>		
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Surname							
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14
<b>Dem 1</b>	208	5	1	22	13	6	0	3
	29.3%	69.2%	6.4%	25.0%	42.9%	34.9%	0.0%	22.8%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111	0	1	18	5	2	0	3
	15.7%	0.0%	6.1%	19.7%	16.1%	13.8%	0.0%	18.0%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44	1	6	2	0	0	2	0
	6.1%	13.7%	29.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	49.0%	2.9%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46	1	0	2	0	0	1	0
	6.5%	17.1%	0.0%	2.7%	0.3%	0.0%	20.7%	0.0%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109	0	9	15	5	3	1	8
	15.3%	0.0%	43.9%	17.4%	16.9%	19.8%	16.1%	55.9%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38	0	0	6	0	2	0	0
	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	0.0%	9.6%	14.2%	0.4%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30	0	0	1	1	2	0	0
	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	3.4%	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77	0	3	16	4	0	0	0
	10.8%	0.0%	14.6%	17.9%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36	0	0	4	2	0	0	0
	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic ...
	Not Coded
<b>Total</b>	530
<b>Dem 1</b>	157
	29.7%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	83
	15.7%
<b>Rep 1</b>	33
	6.2%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	42
	7.9%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	68
	12.8%
<b>Other 2+</b>	29
	5.5%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	26
	4.8%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	54
	10.2%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	30
	5.6%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	9
	1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname						
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>Dem 1</b>	B						
<b>Dem 2+</b>	a						
<b>Rep 1</b>	.	C H		a	a	C H	
<b>Rep 2+</b>	.	a		.	a	.	
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	a	H		.	.	.	C H
<b>Other 2+</b>	a	a		a	.	.	.
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	a	a		.	C	a	a
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	a	.		.	a	a	a
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	a	a		.	a	a	a
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	a	a		a	.	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic ...
	Not Coded
	(H)
<b>Dem 1</b>	
<b>Dem 2+</b>	
<b>Rep 1</b>	
<b>Rep 2+</b>	
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	
<b>Other 2+</b>	
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area			
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101
<b>Total</b>	710	123	134	86	202
<b>Dem 1</b>	208	19	39	25	65
	29.3%	15.1%	28.9%	29.0%	32.1%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111	31	17	16	28
	15.7%	24.8%	12.3%	18.2%	14.1%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44	9	11	3	12
	6.1%	7.5%	8.4%	3.1%	5.9%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46	15	7	6	13
	6.5%	12.5%	5.6%	7.5%	6.3%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109	14	18	18	38
	15.3%	11.7%	13.6%	21.4%	18.7%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38	9	13	0	13
	5.3%	7.1%	9.6%	0.0%	6.3%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30	7	4	8	7
	4.3%	6.0%	2.7%	9.1%	3.5%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77	9	13	6	16
	10.8%	7.4%	9.5%	6.5%	8.0%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36	8	5	5	10
	5.0%	6.3%	3.5%	5.4%	5.0%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12	2	8	0	0
	1.7%	1.5%	5.9%	0.0%	0.1%

	Area	
	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	66	99
<b>Dem 1</b>	24	37
	36.3%	37.4%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	10	10
	15.2%	10.4%
<b>Rep 1</b>	4	4
	6.6%	4.3%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	2	2
	2.7%	2.5%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	10	9
	15.7%	9.5%
<b>Other 2+</b>	3	0
	4.7%	0.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	1	3
	1.5%	3.5%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	11	22
	17.3%	21.7%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	0	9
	0.0%	8.9%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	0	2
	0.0%	1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Area				
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>Dem 1</b>				A	A
<b>Dem 2+</b>					
<b>Rep 1</b>					
<b>Rep 2+</b>					
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>			a		
<b>Other 2+</b>					
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>					
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>					a
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>					a
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>		D	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Area
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(F)
<b>Dem 1</b>	A
<b>Dem 2+</b>	
<b>Rep 1</b>	
<b>Rep 2+</b>	
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	
<b>Other 2+</b>	a
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	A D
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>	710	59	61	287	304
<b>Dem 1</b>	208	16	11	88	92
	29.3%	28.0%	18.5%	30.7%	30.3%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111	5	15	44	48
	15.7%	9.0%	24.8%	15.2%	15.7%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44	3	6	18	16
	6.1%	5.2%	10.1%	6.3%	5.4%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46	2	5	24	15
	6.5%	4.0%	8.3%	8.5%	4.8%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109	6	3	41	58
	15.3%	10.8%	5.5%	14.1%	19.2%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38	5	1	22	9
	5.3%	8.5%	2.4%	7.7%	3.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30	5	6	8	11
	4.3%	7.9%	10.6%	2.9%	3.6%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77	9	8	26	34
	10.8%	15.9%	13.0%	9.0%	11.1%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36	3	4	11	18
	5.0%	4.6%	6.9%	3.7%	6.0%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12	4	0	5	3
	1.7%	6.1%	0.0%	1.8%	1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Dem 1				
Dem 2+				
Rep 1				
Rep 2+				
M. Household Party Type Other 1				
Other 2+				
Dem & Rep		C		
Dem & Other				
Rep & Other				
Dem, Rep & Other	D	.a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
<b>Dem 1</b>	208	29	43	43	25	68
	29.3%	27.2%	30.5%	28.8%	27.3%	30.6%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	111	6	21	27	18	40
	15.7%	5.5%	15.0%	18.0%	19.5%	17.9%
<b>Rep 1</b>	44	8	4	12	8	12
	6.1%	7.6%	2.8%	7.9%	8.2%	5.5%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	46	2	11	5	7	23
	6.5%	1.5%	7.4%	3.4%	7.1%	10.2%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	109	33	21	16	16	22
	15.3%	31.7%	14.6%	10.9%	17.1%	10.1%
<b>Other 2+</b>	38	10	5	4	2	17
	5.3%	9.6%	3.3%	2.5%	1.7%	7.8%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	30	0	11	8	3	9
	4.3%	0.2%	7.6%	5.6%	2.8%	3.8%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	77	9	14	21	9	24
	10.8%	8.4%	9.6%	13.8%	10.1%	11.0%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	36	9	9	8	6	4
	5.0%	8.4%	6.6%	5.6%	6.2%	1.6%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	12	0	4	5	0	3
	1.7%	0.0%	2.5%	3.6%	0.0%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Dem 1					
Dem 2+			A	A	A
Rep 1					
Rep 2+					A
M. Household Party Type Other 1	B C E				
Other 2+					
Dem & Rep					
Dem & Other					
Rep & Other	E			.a	
Dem, Rep & Other	.a				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender						
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91
Dem 1	207	130	77	0	0	0	0
Dem 2+	106	52	54	0	0	0	0
Rep 1	44	0	0	19	24	0	0
Rep 2+	46	0	0	18	28	0	0
M. Household Party Type Other 1	108	0	0	0	0	43	54
Other 2+	37	0	0	0	0	19	9
Dem & Rep	30	12	6	3	10	0	0
Dem & Other	72	27	11	0	0	18	14
Rep & Other	36	0	0	5	15	3	13
Dem, Rep & Other	12	7	1	3	1	0	0
	1.7%	3.0%	0.7%	5.3%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	12	11
Dem 1	0	0
Dem 2+	0	0
Rep 1	0	0
Rep 2+	0	0
M. Household Party Type Other 1	9	2
Other 2+	1	8
Dem & Rep	0	0
Dem & Other	2	1
Rep & Other	0	0
Dem, Rep & Other	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party by Gender						
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Dem 1	.	.	a	a	a	a	a
Dem 2+	.	A	a	a	a	a	a
Rep 1	a	a	.	.	a	a	a
Rep 2+	a	a	.	.	a	a	a
M. Household Party Type Other 1	a	a	a	a	.	.	.
Other 2+	a	a	a	a	.	.	.
Dem & Rep	.	.	.	.	a	a	a
Dem & Other	.	.	a	a	B	.	.
Rep & Other	a	a	.	E	.	.	a
Dem, Rep & Other	.	.	.	.	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party by Gender
	Male Oth
	(H)
Dem 1	a
Dem 2+	a
Rep 1	a
Rep 2+	a
M. Household Party Type Other 1	.
Other 2+	E F G
Dem & Rep	a
Dem & Other	.
Rep & Other	a
Dem, Rep & Other	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>	365	99	125	54	44	43
<b>Dem 1</b>	95	33	31	13	6	12
	26.0%	33.4%	25.0%	24.5%	12.6%	27.8%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	71	18	26	9	9	9
	19.4%	17.8%	21.1%	16.0%	19.3%	22.2%
<b>Rep 1</b>	22	5	9	4	1	3
	6.1%	5.1%	7.1%	7.3%	3.4%	7.0%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	22	6	3	7	3	3
	6.1%	6.3%	2.4%	12.8%	7.0%	7.1%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	58	16	21	13	6	0
	15.8%	16.6%	17.2%	23.8%	14.2%	1.1%
<b>Other 2+</b>	14	3	5	0	5	1
	4.0%	3.3%	4.3%	0.0%	11.1%	1.9%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	18	1	4	2	7	4
	5.0%	1.2%	3.2%	4.5%	15.0%	9.2%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	46	15	15	4	6	6
	12.7%	14.9%	12.3%	7.6%	13.1%	15.1%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	13	0	6	2	2	3
	3.5%	0.0%	4.8%	3.6%	4.3%	7.0%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	5	1	3	0	0	1
	1.3%	1.3%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>Dem 1</b>					
<b>Dem 2+</b>					
<b>Rep 1</b>					
<b>Rep 2+</b>					
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>			E		
<b>Other 2+</b>			a		
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>				A	
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>					
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>					
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>			a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>	345	100	84	27	108	26
<b>Dem 1</b>	113	37	26	13	29	7
	32.7%	37.4%	31.3%	48.3%	27.1%	26.2%
<b>Dem 2+</b>	41	17	11	0	8	5
	11.8%	17.0%	13.1%	0.0%	7.4%	18.2%
<b>Rep 1</b>	21	4	1	2	14	0
	6.1%	3.8%	1.5%	6.4%	13.0%	1.6%
<b>Rep 2+</b>	24	5	0	2	14	3
	6.9%	5.1%	0.0%	7.4%	13.2%	9.8%
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>	51	6	23	4	16	2
	14.8%	6.2%	27.3%	15.6%	14.7%	6.8%
<b>Other 2+</b>	23	8	3	2	8	2
	6.7%	7.9%	3.6%	5.9%	7.8%	8.1%
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>	12	6	4	1	2	0
	3.5%	6.1%	4.3%	3.8%	1.5%	0.0%
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>	30	12	10	1	6	0
	8.7%	12.1%	12.4%	4.5%	5.7%	0.8%
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>	23	3	5	1	9	5
	6.7%	2.6%	6.5%	3.3%	8.3%	19.4%
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>	7	2	0	1	1	2
	2.0%	1.9%	0.0%	4.8%	1.3%	9.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>Dem 1</b>					
<b>Dem 2+</b>			a		
<b>Rep 1</b>				B	
<b>Rep 2+</b>				B	B
<b>M. Household Party Type Other 1</b>		A			
<b>Other 2+</b>					
<b>Dem &amp; Rep</b>					a
<b>Dem &amp; Other</b>					
<b>Rep &amp; Other</b>					A
<b>Dem, Rep &amp; Other</b>					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



	Total	
	Total	Total
<b>Total</b>	710	710
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297 41.8%	297 41.8%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95 13.4%	95 13.4%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46 6.5%	46 6.5%
<b>N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004</b>	69 9.7%	69 9.7%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57 8.0%	57 8.0%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44 6.2%	44 6.2%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	67 9.4%	67 9.4%
<b>1980 or before</b>	35 5.0%	35 5.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Total
	Total
	(A)
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	.
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	.
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	.
<b>N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004</b>	.
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	B
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	.
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	.
<b>1980 or before</b>	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>	710	330	370	11
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297 41.8%	138 41.8%	152 41.3%	6 60.0%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95 13.4%	42 12.9%	53 14.3%	0 0.3%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46 6.5%	26 7.8%	20 5.5%	0 0.0%
<b>N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004</b>	69 9.7%	25 7.6%	44 11.9%	0 0.0%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57 8.0%	34 10.3%	23 6.2%	0 0.0%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44 6.2%	15 4.6%	29 7.8%	0 0.0%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	67 9.4%	34 10.3%	30 8.0%	3 30.2%
<b>1980 or before</b>	35 5.0%	16 4.8%	19 5.0%	1 9.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>2013 to 2017</b>			
<b>2009 to 2012</b>			.
<b>2005 to 2008</b>			. <sup>a</sup>
<b>N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004</b>			. <sup>a</sup>
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	B		. <sup>a</sup>
<b>1993 to 1996</b>			.
<b>1981 to 1992</b>			B
<b>1980 or before</b>			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age							
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297	46	86	60	33	33	36	2
	41.8%	68.6%	74.2%	44.1%	26.2%	35.8%	22.1%	25.8%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95	2	16	38	14	13	11	0
	13.4%	3.4%	13.5%	28.3%	11.3%	14.4%	6.5%	6.1%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46	2	5	9	18	4	6	3
	6.5%	2.8%	4.1%	7.0%	13.8%	4.0%	3.6%	38.4%
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	69	8	3	18	18	5	17	0
	9.7%	12.0%	2.9%	13.0%	14.4%	5.2%	10.3%	0.0%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57	5	0	6	17	10	20	0
	8.0%	8.1%	0.3%	4.1%	13.0%	10.2%	12.0%	0.0%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44	0	0	3	21	11	9	0
	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	16.7%	12.0%	5.5%	0.0%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	67	3	0	1	4	14	44	1
	9.4%	5.1%	0.0%	0.7%	3.0%	14.5%	26.9%	15.2%
<b>1980 or before</b>	35	0	6	1	2	4	21	1
	5.0%	0.0%	5.1%	1.0%	1.6%	4.0%	13.0%	14.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Age						
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	C D E F	C D E F	F				
<b>2009 to 2012</b>			A D F				
<b>2005 to 2008</b>				F			A B C E F
<b>2001 to 2004</b>				B			
<b>1997 to 2000</b>				B	B	B	.a
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	.a	.a		C F	C		
<b>1981 to 1992</b>		.a			C D	A C D	C
<b>1980 or before</b>	.a					C D	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
<b>Total</b>	710	470	240
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297	130	166
	41.8%	27.7%	69.3%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95	61	34
	13.4%	13.0%	14.2%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46	41	5
	6.5%	8.7%	2.1%
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	69	59	10
	9.7%	12.6%	4.1%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57	49	8
	8.0%	10.5%	3.2%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44	37	7
	6.2%	7.9%	2.9%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	67	64	3
	9.4%	13.6%	1.2%
<b>1980 or before</b>	35	28	7
	5.0%	6.0%	3.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
<b>2013 to 2017</b>		A
<b>2009 to 2012</b>		
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	B	
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	B	
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	B	
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	B	
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	B	
<b>1980 or before</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297	164	38	9	85
	41.8%	42.6%	30.5%	40.9%	48.2%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95	49	10	4	32
	13.4%	12.8%	8.1%	18.8%	17.9%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46	22	14	1	10
	6.5%	5.7%	10.8%	2.8%	5.7%
<b>N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004</b>	69	27	12	3	27
	9.7%	7.0%	9.3%	13.5%	15.4%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57	22	24	4	7
	8.0%	5.8%	18.8%	17.5%	3.9%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44	28	10	0	6
	6.2%	7.3%	7.8%	1.5%	3.2%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	67	48	12	1	5
	9.4%	12.5%	9.6%	5.0%	3.0%
<b>1980 or before</b>	35	24	6	0	5
	5.0%	6.3%	5.0%	0.0%	2.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>2013 to 2017</b>				B
<b>2009 to 2012</b>				
<b>2005 to 2008</b>				
<b>N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004</b>				A
<b>1997 to 2000</b>		A D	D	
<b>1993 to 1996</b>				
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	D		a	
<b>1980 or before</b>				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>Total</b>	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297	104	43	14	15	47	75
	41.8%	50.0%	38.5%	32.5%	31.5%	30.1%	51.0%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95	31	9	4	3	23	25
	13.4%	14.9%	8.4%	10.2%	5.5%	14.9%	17.0%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46	12	6	6	7	5	10
	6.5%	5.7%	5.1%	14.2%	15.7%	3.4%	6.7%
<b>N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004</b>	69	17	5	2	7	18	20
	9.7%	8.4%	4.7%	3.6%	14.7%	11.4%	13.9%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57	11	8	7	4	22	5
	8.0%	5.3%	6.8%	15.7%	9.3%	14.2%	3.6%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44	9	12	2	1	15	4
	6.2%	4.4%	10.8%	5.6%	2.6%	9.7%	2.9%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	67	17	20	5	7	15	4
	9.4%	8.0%	17.8%	10.6%	14.2%	9.9%	2.6%
<b>1980 or before</b>	35	7	9	3	3	10	3
	5.0%	3.3%	7.9%	7.5%	6.5%	6.4%	2.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	E					E
<b>2009 to 2012</b>						
<b>2005 to 2008</b>				E		
<b>N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004</b>						
<b>1997 to 2000</b>					F	
<b>1993 to 1996</b>						
<b>1981 to 1992</b>		F		F		
<b>1980 or before</b>						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date					
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69	57
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297	297	0	0	0	0
	41.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95	0	95	0	0	0
	13.4%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46	0	0	46	0	0
	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	69	0	0	0	69	0
	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57	0	0	0	0	57
	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44	0	0	0	0	0
	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	67	0	0	0	0	0
	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>1980 or before</b>	35	0	0	0	0	0
	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>Total</b>	44	67	35
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	0	67	0
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
<b>1980 or before</b>	0	0	35
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)	1993 to 1996 (F)
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a
<b>1980 or before</b>	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	a	a
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	a	a
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	a	a
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	a	a
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	a	a
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	a	a
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	a	a
<b>1980 or before</b>	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>Total</b>	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297	39	181	14	5	19	15	23
	41.8%	24.9%	48.4%	41.1%	14.2%	52.6%	37.0%	72.0%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95	26	50	4	4	9	1	0
	13.4%	16.5%	13.4%	12.9%	9.8%	25.5%	3.4%	1.4%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46	16	19	2	5	1	4	0
	6.5%	10.1%	5.1%	6.3%	12.7%	1.7%	8.8%	1.3%
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	69	22	26	6	4	1	9	0
	9.7%	14.4%	6.8%	17.9%	10.5%	3.8%	22.6%	1.5%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57	12	25	5	7	1	6	2
	8.0%	7.5%	6.5%	14.6%	18.1%	2.0%	14.8%	7.6%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44	15	23	1	3	0	2	0
	6.2%	9.8%	6.2%	1.6%	8.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.5%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	67	22	31	0	6	4	1	3
	9.4%	14.3%	8.2%	0.5%	17.5%	10.8%	1.9%	8.0%
<b>1980 or before</b>	35	4	20	2	3	1	3	2
	5.0%	2.6%	5.3%	5.1%	9.2%	3.5%	6.7%	7.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		A D			A D		A D
	2009 to 2012							
	2005 to 2008							
	2001 to 2004						B	
	1997 to 2000							
	1993 to 1996					.a		
	1981 to 1992							
	1980 or before							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>	710	510	200
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297	232	65
	41.8%	45.5%	32.4%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95	70	26
	13.4%	13.7%	12.7%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46	35	11
	6.5%	6.8%	5.6%
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	69	49	20
	9.7%	9.6%	10.1%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57	30	27
	8.0%	5.8%	13.6%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44	26	18
	6.2%	5.2%	8.8%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	67	49	18
	9.4%	9.6%	9.0%
<b>1980 or before</b>	35	20	16
	5.0%	3.9%	7.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	B	
	2009 to 2012		
	2005 to 2008		
	2001 to 2004		
	1997 to 2000		A
	1993 to 1996		
	1981 to 1992		
	1980 or before		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>	710	347	363
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297	108	189
	41.8%	31.1%	51.9%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95	54	41
	13.4%	15.7%	11.2%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46	30	16
	6.5%	8.7%	4.4%
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	69	26	43
	9.7%	7.6%	11.8%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57	33	24
	8.0%	9.4%	6.7%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44	26	18
	6.2%	7.6%	4.9%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	67	51	16
	9.4%	14.7%	4.4%
<b>1980 or before</b>	35	18	17
	5.0%	5.3%	4.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		A
	2009 to 2012		
	2005 to 2008	B	
	2001 to 2004		
	1997 to 2000		
	1993 to 1996		
	1981 to 1992	B	
	1980 or before		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>	710	710	
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	297 41.8%	297 41.8%
	2009 to 2012	95 13.4%	95 13.4%
	2005 to 2008	46 6.5%	46 6.5%
	2001 to 2004	69 9.7%	69 9.7%
	1997 to 2000	57 8.0%	57 8.0%
	1993 to 1996	44 6.2%	44 6.2%
	1981 to 1992	67 9.4%	67 9.4%
	1980 or before	35 5.0%	35 5.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter
		Yes (A)
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	.
	2009 to 2012	.
	2005 to 2008	.
	2001 to 2004	.
	1997 to 2000	.
	1993 to 1996	.
	1981 to 1992	.
	1980 or before	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Children in Household				
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]	
<b>Total</b>	710	302	405	3	
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	297 41.8%	123 40.7%	172 42.4%	2 74.6%
	2009 to 2012	95 13.4%	49 16.4%	46 11.3%	0 0.0%
	2005 to 2008	46 6.5%	32 10.5%	14 3.4%	1 24.3%
	2001 to 2004	69 9.7%	37 12.4%	32 7.8%	0 0.0%
	1997 to 2000	57 8.0%	28 9.2%	29 7.2%	0 0.0%
	1993 to 1996	44 6.2%	16 5.2%	28 6.9%	0 0.0%
	1981 to 1992	67 9.4%	4 1.3%	63 15.5%	0 0.0%
	1980 or before	35 5.0%	13 4.3%	22 5.5%	0 1.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
N. Registration Date			
2013 to 2017			
2009 to 2012			
2005 to 2008	B		
2001 to 2004	B		a
1997 to 2000			a
1993 to 1996			a
1981 to 1992		A	a
1980 or before			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ages of Children in Household					
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
	Total	710	117	167	67	90
N. Registration Date						
2013 to 2017	297	68	67	11	21	172
	41.8%	58.3%	40.0%	16.3%	23.5%	42.4%
2009 to 2012	95	23	35	16	18	46
	13.4%	19.8%	20.9%	24.6%	20.0%	11.3%
2005 to 2008	46	6	18	10	8	14
	6.5%	5.5%	11.1%	14.4%	8.9%	3.4%
2001 to 2004	69	6	28	14	10	32
	9.7%	5.1%	17.0%	21.7%	10.9%	7.8%
1997 to 2000	57	4	7	11	17	29
	8.0%	3.5%	4.2%	16.2%	19.2%	7.2%
1993 to 1996	44	1	3	4	13	28
	6.2%	1.1%	1.9%	6.0%	14.2%	6.9%
1981 to 1992	67	0	2	0	2	63
	9.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	2.7%	15.5%
1980 or before	35	8	7	1	0	22
	5.0%	6.7%	4.0%	0.9%	0.5%	5.5%

	Ages of ...
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	3
N. Registration Date	
2013 to 2017	2
	74.6%
2009 to 2012	0
	0.0%
2005 to 2008	1
	24.3%
2001 to 2004	0
	0.0%
1997 to 2000	0
	0.0%
1993 to 1996	0
	0.0%
1981 to 1992	0
	0.0%
1980 or before	0
	1.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Ages of Children in Household					
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
N. Registration Date						
2013 to 2017	B C D E	C			C D	
2009 to 2012		E	E			
2005 to 2008		E	E			
2001 to 2004		A E	A E			a
1997 to 2000			A B			a
1993 to 1996				A B E		a
1981 to 1992	a		a	A B		a
1980 or before					B D	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group							
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
<b>Total</b>	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297	12	1	50	155	52	7	14
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95	0	0	11	67	13	0	4
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46	0	0	10	27	5	0	1
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	69	5	0	7	41	13	0	0
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57	0	0	0	36	12	0	7
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44	1	0	3	31	7	0	0
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	67	9	0	2	51	1	0	0
<b>1980 or before</b>	35	7	0	0	25	2	0	0
	5.0%	20.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	4	14
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	1	4
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	0	0
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	0	3
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	2	0
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	0	1
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	0	2
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	1	1
<b>1980 or before</b>	0	1
	0.0%	7.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group						
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	.	a,b	D			b	
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	b	a,b				b	
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	b	a,b				b	
<b>2001 to 2004</b>		a,b				b	
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	b	a,b	b			b	D
<b>1993 to 1996</b>		a,b	.			b	b
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	CE	a,b		E		b	b
<b>1980 or before</b>	DE	a,b	b			b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
	(H)	(I)
<b>2013 to 2017</b>		
<b>2009 to 2012</b>		
<b>2005 to 2008</b>		
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	C D G	
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	b	
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	.	
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	E	
<b>1980 or before</b>	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



	Ethnic Surname							
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
<b>Total</b>	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297	3	8	36	11	3	0	12
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	41.8%	35.9%	39.0%	40.6%	37.2%	17.9%	0.0%	81.6%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	95	3	3	14	4	3	1	0
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	13.4%	37.7%	13.5%	16.3%	12.2%	16.8%	30.3%	0.4%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	46	1	3	7	3	4	2	0
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	6.5%	9.2%	15.3%	7.7%	9.4%	26.6%	69.7%	0.0%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	69	0	4	9	4	0	0	0
<b>1980 or before</b>	9.7%	0.0%	18.0%	10.3%	12.9%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	57	0	0	11	1	3	0	0
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.1%	1.9%	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	44	0	2	7	2	0	0	0
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	6.2%	0.0%	8.1%	7.6%	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	67	1	1	0	3	2	0	3
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	9.4%	17.1%	6.1%	0.0%	10.4%	12.3%	0.0%	18.0%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	35	0	0	5	2	0	0	0
<b>1980 or before</b>	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	8.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic ...
	Not Coded
<b>Total</b>	530
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	225
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	42.3%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	68
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	12.8%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	26
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	4.9%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	52
<b>1980 or before</b>	9.8%
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	42
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	8.0%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	33
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	6.3%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	10.7%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	28
<b>1980 or before</b>	5.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname							
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>2013 to 2017</b>						a	E	
<b>2009 to 2012</b>								
<b>2005 to 2008</b>					H	C H	a	
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	a					a	a	
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	a	a				a	a	
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	a				a	a	a	
<b>1981 to 1992</b>			a			a	a	
<b>1980 or before</b>	a	a				a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Area					
	Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
<b>Total</b>	710	123	134	86	202	66
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297	43	48	28	86	36
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	41.8%	34.4%	35.5%	32.3%	42.3%	54.3%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	95	11	22	20	25	5
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	13.4%	9.3%	16.2%	23.7%	12.3%	7.9%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	46	8	14	5	16	4
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	6.5%	6.5%	10.5%	5.3%	7.7%	5.8%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	69	19	7	13	16	2
<b>1980 or before</b>	9.7%	15.2%	5.2%	15.4%	8.1%	3.5%
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	57	9	15	9	14	2
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	8.0%	7.1%	11.2%	10.2%	7.1%	3.7%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	44	11	7	6	13	5
<b>2001 to 2004</b>	6.2%	9.2%	5.2%	7.2%	6.4%	7.9%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	67	18	11	3	26	6
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	9.4%	14.3%	7.9%	4.0%	13.1%	8.5%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	35	5	11	2	6	6
<b>1980 or before</b>	5.0%	4.0%	8.1%	1.9%	2.9%	8.5%

	Area
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	99
2013 to 2017	58 58.1%
2009 to 2012	12 11.8%
2005 to 2008	0 0.0%
N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	11 11.5%
1997 to 2000	8 7.7%
1993 to 1996	1 1.4%
1981 to 1992	3 3.1%
1980 or before	6 6.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017 2009 to 2012 2005 to 2008 2001 to 2004 1997 to 2000 1993 to 1996 1981 to 1992 1980 or before		F			

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

	Area	
	Not sure/DK/NA	
	(F)	
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017 2009 to 2012 2005 to 2008 2001 to 2004 1997 to 2000 1993 to 1996 1981 to 1992 1980 or before	A B C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	710	59	61	287	304
2013 to 2017	297 41.8%	39 66.4%	9 14.5%	94 32.9%	154 50.9%
2009 to 2012	95 13.4%	5 8.7%	3 5.2%	41 14.1%	46 15.3%
2005 to 2008	46 6.5%	2 3.8%	6 10.2%	19 6.8%	18 6.0%
N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	69 9.7%	5 8.2%	5 7.6%	36 12.4%	24 7.9%
1997 to 2000	57 8.0%	4 7.1%	9 15.0%	27 9.3%	17 5.6%
1993 to 1996	44 6.2%	0 0.6%	9 14.5%	24 8.5%	11 3.5%
1981 to 1992	67 9.4%	0 0.0%	13 21.3%	33 11.6%	21 6.8%
1980 or before	35 5.0%	3 5.1%	7 11.7%	13 4.5%	12 4.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017 2009 to 2012 2005 to 2008 2001 to 2004 1997 to 2000 1993 to 1996 1981 to 1992 1980 or before	B C		B	B C
			A D	A	
			A D		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>	710	105	142	150	92	221
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	297	103	83	47	26	37
	41.8%	97.7%	58.7%	31.5%	28.6%	16.7%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95	0	24	34	12	26
	13.4%	0.0%	16.7%	22.5%	13.4%	11.6%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46	0	1	18	6	21
	6.5%	0.0%	0.5%	12.0%	7.0%	9.5%
<b>N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004</b>	69	0	12	21	14	22
	9.7%	0.0%	8.2%	13.9%	15.5%	10.1%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57	0	12	9	8	28
	8.0%	0.0%	8.2%	6.3%	8.5%	12.7%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44	0	0	11	12	21
	6.2%	0.0%	0.2%	7.1%	13.1%	9.5%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	67	0	5	5	7	50
	9.4%	0.0%	3.2%	3.6%	7.6%	22.6%
<b>1980 or before</b>	35	2	6	5	6	16
	5.0%	2.3%	4.2%	3.1%	6.4%	7.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	B C D E	C D E	E		
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	a		E		
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	a		B	B	B
<b>N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004</b>	a				
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	a				
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	a		B	B	B
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	a				B C D
<b>1980 or before</b>					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender							
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
<b>Total</b>	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	290	97	63	12	26	38	44	5
	41.5%	42.7%	42.1%	25.5%	33.4%	46.0%	48.8%	43.0%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	95	31	18	5	6	17	14	0
	13.6%	13.6%	12.2%	9.7%	7.2%	20.7%	16.0%	2.2%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	46	11	11	5	8	3	7	1
	6.6%	4.9%	7.1%	11.0%	10.7%	4.1%	7.4%	5.2%
<b>N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004</b>	69	20	7	8	4	13	14	3
	9.9%	8.7%	4.8%	17.2%	4.6%	15.7%	15.6%	25.7%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	57	12	10	8	15	0	6	2
	8.1%	5.4%	6.8%	17.7%	19.5%	0.4%	7.2%	15.7%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	44	20	8	3	7	5	1	0
	6.3%	9.0%	5.2%	6.6%	8.6%	6.1%	0.6%	2.9%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	64	22	23	4	8	2	3	1
	9.1%	9.8%	15.1%	8.5%	10.2%	2.9%	3.2%	5.2%
<b>1980 or before</b>	34	13	10	2	5	3	1	0
	4.9%	5.9%	6.8%	3.7%	5.8%	4.1%	1.2%	0.0%

	Party by ..
	Male Oth
<b>Total</b>	11
<b>2013 to 2017</b>	4
	38.5%
<b>2009 to 2012</b>	4
	37.4%
<b>2005 to 2008</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>N. Registration Date 2001 to 2004</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>1997 to 2000</b>	2
	19.5%
<b>1993 to 1996</b>	0
	0.0%
<b>1981 to 1992</b>	1
	4.7%
<b>1980 or before</b>	0
	0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017								
	2009 to 2012								
	2005 to 2008								a
	2001 to 2004								a
	1997 to 2000			E	A E			E	E
	1993 to 1996								a
	1981 to 1992								a
	1980 or before								a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total		365	99	125	54	44	43
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	144	41	47	20	14	23
		39.5%	41.4%	37.4%	36.5%	31.6%	52.9%
	2009 to 2012	54	10	26	11	7	0
		14.8%	9.7%	20.9%	20.3%	16.1%	0.4%
	2005 to 2008	25	8	7	5	4	1
		6.7%	7.7%	5.7%	9.0%	8.5%	2.6%
	2001 to 2004	35	9	11	2	6	7
		9.6%	8.9%	9.2%	4.4%	12.5%	15.8%
1997 to 2000	24	6	5	3	5	5	
	6.6%	6.3%	4.1%	5.4%	10.6%	12.4%	
1993 to 1996	29	7	13	6	3	1	
	7.9%	6.7%	10.3%	10.6%	6.5%	1.6%	
1981 to 1992	33	7	10	6	5	4	
	9.0%	6.8%	8.0%	12.0%	12.0%	9.9%	
1980 or before	22	12	5	1	1	2	
	5.9%	12.5%	4.3%	1.9%	2.2%	4.2%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017					
	2009 to 2012					
	2005 to 2008		E	E		
	2001 to 2004					
	1997 to 2000					
	1993 to 1996					
	1981 to 1992					
	1980 or before					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total		345	100	84	27	108	26
N. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	153	40	35	14	57	7
		44.2%	39.9%	41.2%	51.4%	52.7%	27.9%
	2009 to 2012	41	19	12	3	6	2
		11.9%	18.9%	13.9%	9.4%	6.0%	6.3%
	2005 to 2008	22	3	10	0	7	2
		6.3%	2.9%	11.6%	0.0%	6.2%	8.2%
	2001 to 2004	34	9	13	4	6	3
		9.9%	8.8%	15.4%	13.1%	5.7%	9.8%
1997 to 2000	33	9	5	4	9	5	
	9.5%	9.4%	5.9%	14.9%	8.8%	18.5%	
1993 to 1996	15	3	4	1	4	2	
	4.4%	3.2%	5.2%	2.7%	4.2%	9.1%	
1981 to 1992	34	13	5	2	9	5	
	9.9%	13.2%	5.9%	5.8%	8.7%	18.6%	
1980 or before	14	4	1	1	8	0	
	4.0%	3.6%	0.9%	2.6%	7.7%	1.7%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
N. Registration Date					
2013 to 2017					
2009 to 2012					
2005 to 2008					
2001 to 2004	D				
1997 to 2000					
1993 to 1996					
1981 to 1992					
1980 or before					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
June 2006	Total	710	710
	No	488	488
	Poll	117	117
	Mail	106	106
November 2006	Total	710	710
	No	364	364
	Poll	191	191
	Mail	155	155
November 2007	Total	710	710
	No	602	602
	Poll	31	31
	Mail	77	77
February 2008	Total	710	710
	No	357	357
	Poll	166	166
	Mail	186	186

		Total	
		Total	Total
June 2008	Total	710	710
	No	544	544
	Poll	56	56
	Mail	110	110
November 2008	Total	710	710
	No	262	262
	Poll	215	215
	Mail	233	233
May 2009	Total	710	710
	No	520	520
	Poll	65	65
	Mail	125	125
November 2009	Total	710	710
	No	574	574
	Poll	51	51
	Mail	85	85
June 2010	Total	710	710
	No	482	482
	Poll	90	90
	Mail	138	138
November 2010	Total	710	710
	No	277	277
	Poll	202	202
	Mail	230	230
June 2012	Total	710	710
	No	470	470
	Poll	73	73
	Mail	167	167

		Total	
		Total	Total
November 2012	Total	710	710
	No	208	208
		29.3%	29.3%
	Poll	218	218
		30.7%	30.7%
Mail		284	284
		39.9%	39.9%
June 2014	Total	710	710
	No	484	484
		68.2%	68.2%
	Poll	56	56
		8.0%	8.0%
Mail		169	169
		23.8%	23.8%
November 2014	Total	710	710
	No	292	292
		41.1%	41.1%
	Poll	167	167
		23.5%	23.5%
Mail		252	252
		35.5%	35.5%
June 2016	Total	710	710
	No	270	270
		38.1%	38.1%
	Poll	157	157
		22.0%	22.0%
Mail		283	283
		39.9%	39.9%

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
June 2006	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	488	234	247	6
		68.7%	71.1%	66.8%	56.8%
	Poll	117	54	60	2
		16.4%	16.5%	16.3%	19.7%
Mail		106	41	62	3
		14.9%	12.4%	16.9%	23.4%
November 2006	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	364	175	184	5
		51.3%	53.1%	49.9%	45.2%
	Poll	191	91	96	3
		26.9%	27.6%	26.1%	31.3%
Mail		155	64	89	3
		21.9%	19.3%	24.1%	23.4%
November 2007	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	602	276	318	8
		84.8%	83.7%	86.0%	76.3%
	Poll	31	14	17	0
		4.3%	4.3%	4.5%	0.0%
Mail		77	40	35	3
		10.9%	12.0%	9.5%	23.7%
February 2008	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	357	175	177	5
		50.3%	53.2%	47.8%	48.8%
	Poll	166	79	84	3
		23.4%	24.0%	22.7%	31.3%
Mail		186	75	109	2
		26.2%	22.8%	29.5%	19.9%
June 2008	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	544	251	283	9
		76.6%	76.3%	76.6%	84.9%
	Poll	56	25	30	1
		8.0%	7.5%	8.2%	11.6%
Mail		110	53	56	0
		15.4%	16.2%	15.1%	3.5%
November 2008	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	262	130	127	5
		36.9%	39.3%	34.4%	45.2%
	Poll	215	102	110	3
		30.3%	30.9%	29.6%	31.3%
Mail		233	98	133	3
		32.9%	29.7%	36.0%	23.4%
May 2009	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	520	241	269	10
		73.3%	73.1%	72.9%	93.0%
	Poll	65	39	25	0
		9.1%	11.9%	6.9%	0.0%
Mail		125	49	75	1
		17.6%	14.9%	20.3%	7.0%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
November 2009	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	574	262	302	10
	Poll	51	25	26	0
	Mail	85	42	42	1
		12.0%	12.8%	11.4%	7.0%
June 2010	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	482	221	255	6
	Poll	90	51	39	0
	Mail	138	57	76	5
		19.4%	17.4%	20.5%	43.2%
November 2010	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	277	134	138	5
	Poll	202	95	104	3
	Mail	230	100	127	3
		32.4%	30.5%	34.5%	23.4%
June 2012	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	470	209	256	5
	Poll	73	43	26	3
	Mail	167	77	87	3
		23.5%	23.3%	23.7%	23.4%
November 2012	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	208	101	102	5
	Poll	218	110	105	3
	Mail	284	119	162	3
		39.9%	36.1%	43.9%	23.7%
June 2014	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	484	234	243	7
	Poll	56	29	26	2
	Mail	169	66	101	1
		23.8%	20.2%	27.4%	12.9%
November 2014	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	292	134	153	5
	Poll	167	81	82	3
	Mail	252	114	135	3
		35.5%	34.6%	36.6%	23.7%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
June 2016	Total	710	330	370	11
	No	270	133	135	2
	Poll	157	77	76	4
	Mail	283	120	158	5
		39.9%	36.3%	42.8%	46.0%

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	488	57	111	114	77	46	79	3
	Poll	117	4	2	12	26	22	47	2
	Mail	106	6	2	10	24	25	37	2
		14.9%	8.6%	1.6%	7.3%	19.0%	26.8%	22.6%	28.3%
November 2006	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	364	54	103	98	47	31	31	0
	Poll	191	7	7	23	48	28	72	5
	Mail	155	6	5	15	33	34	60	2
		21.9%	8.6%	4.7%	11.0%	25.8%	36.2%	36.8%	28.3%
November 2007	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	602	65	114	126	102	73	117	6
	Poll	31	2	0	3	9	3	13	1
	Mail	77	0	2	7	17	17	33	1
		10.9%	0.0%	1.9%	5.3%	13.1%	18.3%	20.1%	15.2%
February 2008	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	357	53	103	86	49	29	34	4
	Poll	166	10	5	29	35	26	59	2
	Mail	186	3	8	21	44	38	70	2
		26.2%	5.2%	7.0%	15.2%	34.2%	40.9%	43.2%	23.5%
June 2008	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	544	61	114	126	95	59	83	6
	Poll	56	2	0	6	10	7	31	1
	Mail	110	3	2	4	23	28	49	2
		15.4%	5.2%	1.5%	2.7%	17.9%	29.9%	29.8%	20.1%
November 2008	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	262	44	96	63	22	20	16	0
		36.9%	65.8%	82.9%	46.8%	17.3%	21.6%	9.6%	3.5%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Age							Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
November 2008	Poll	215	14	10	41	48	31	66	5
		30.3%	20.3%	8.5%	30.4%	37.6%	32.9%	40.7%	68.2%
	Mail	233	9	10	31	57	42	81	2
		32.9%	13.9%	8.6%	22.9%	45.1%	45.5%	49.7%	28.3%
May 2009	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	520	64	111	121	84	55	80	6
		73.3%	94.8%	95.7%	89.4%	66.1%	59.4%	48.8%	72.4%
November 2009	Poll	65	0	1	0	19	10	34	0
		9.1%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	15.1%	11.0%	20.9%	0.0%
	Mail	125	3	4	14	24	28	49	2
		17.6%	5.2%	3.4%	10.6%	18.8%	29.6%	30.3%	27.5%
June 2010	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	574	67	114	116	90	72	110	6
		80.8%	100.0%	98.4%	85.3%	70.6%	76.7%	67.6%	72.5%
November 2010	Poll	51	0	0	8	17	7	18	1
		7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	13.3%	7.1%	11.3%	7.4%
	Mail	85	0	2	12	20	15	34	2
		12.0%	0.0%	1.6%	8.7%	16.1%	16.2%	21.1%	20.1%
June 2012	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	482	58	106	118	82	51	65	3
		67.9%	85.9%	91.4%	87.0%	64.2%	54.6%	39.6%	41.9%
November 2012	Poll	90	6	2	7	24	14	36	2
		12.7%	8.9%	1.5%	5.3%	18.6%	14.5%	22.0%	29.7%
	Mail	138	3	8	10	22	29	63	2
		19.4%	5.2%	7.0%	7.6%	17.2%	31.0%	38.4%	28.3%
June 2014	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	277	44	84	70	34	26	17	1
		39.0%	65.8%	72.9%	51.5%	27.0%	27.4%	10.6%	18.7%
November 2014	Poll	202	11	15	33	47	25	66	5
		28.5%	15.7%	12.8%	24.7%	36.9%	27.2%	40.5%	68.2%
	Mail	230	12	17	32	46	42	80	1
		32.4%	18.5%	14.4%	23.9%	36.0%	45.4%	48.9%	13.2%
June 2016	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	470	63	99	110	86	43	64	5
		66.2%	93.9%	85.5%	81.0%	67.7%	46.1%	39.2%	64.2%
November 2016	Poll	73	2	5	3	19	11	33	1
		10.3%	3.4%	4.5%	1.9%	14.9%	11.6%	20.0%	7.5%
	Mail	167	2	12	23	22	39	67	2
		23.5%	2.7%	10.0%	17.1%	17.4%	42.3%	40.8%	28.3%
June 2018	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	208	42	66	44	32	14	9	0
		29.3%	62.5%	56.9%	32.8%	25.5%	15.3%	5.5%	3.5%
November 2018	Poll	218	12	21	48	39	27	65	5
		30.7%	18.4%	18.0%	35.7%	30.7%	28.8%	40.1%	68.1%
	Mail	284	13	29	43	56	52	89	2
		39.9%	19.2%	25.0%	31.6%	43.8%	55.9%	54.4%	28.3%
June 2014	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	484	60	100	108	84	54	74	3
		68.2%	89.6%	86.1%	79.8%	66.4%	57.9%	45.6%	41.9%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Age							Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
June 2014	Poll	56	0	3	2	11	7	31	2
		8.0%	0.0%	2.6%	1.7%	8.5%	7.5%	19.0%	29.7%
	Mail	169	7	13	25	32	32	58	2
		23.8%	10.4%	11.2%	18.5%	25.1%	34.6%	35.4%	28.3%
November 2014	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	292	54	73	72	37	22	33	0
		41.1%	81.1%	63.2%	52.9%	29.2%	23.3%	20.2%	3.5%
June 2016	Poll	167	5	13	24	44	23	52	5
		23.5%	7.4%	11.5%	17.4%	34.7%	24.7%	32.0%	68.2%
	Mail	252	8	29	40	46	48	78	2
		35.5%	11.5%	25.3%	29.7%	36.0%	51.9%	47.8%	28.3%
November 2016	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	No	270	36	63	62	46	23	41	0
		38.1%	53.4%	54.2%	45.6%	36.3%	24.5%	25.1%	0.0%
June 2018	Poll	157	14	22	30	36	17	35	4
		22.0%	20.4%	19.3%	21.8%	28.1%	17.7%	21.5%	45.9%
	Mail	283	18	31	44	45	54	87	4
		39.9%	26.2%	26.6%	32.5%	35.7%	57.8%	53.4%	54.1%

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
June 2006	Total	710	470	240
	No	488	283	205
		68.7%	60.1%	85.4%
November 2006	Poll	117	101	16
		16.4%	21.4%	6.7%
	Mail	106	87	19
		14.9%	18.5%	7.8%
June 2008	Total	710	470	240
	No	364	186	178
		51.3%	39.5%	74.3%
November 2008	Poll	191	154	37
		26.9%	32.7%	15.3%
	Mail	155	130	25
		21.9%	27.7%	10.3%
June 2010	Total	710	470	240
	No	602	381	221
		84.8%	81.1%	92.1%
November 2010	Poll	31	28	3
		4.3%	5.9%	1.2%
	Mail	77	61	16
		10.9%	13.0%	6.6%
February 2008	Total	710	470	240
	No	357	180	177
		50.3%	38.4%	73.7%
November 2008	Poll	166	139	27
		23.4%	29.6%	11.4%
	Mail	186	151	36
		26.2%	32.0%	14.8%



Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
June 2008	Total	710	470	240
	No	544	332	212
		76.6%	70.5%	88.6%
	Poll	56	47	9
		8.0%	10.1%	3.8%
November 2008	Total	710	470	240
	No	262	103	158
		36.9%	22.0%	66.1%
	Poll	215	171	43
		30.3%	36.5%	18.1%
May 2009	Total	710	470	240
	No	520	305	215
		73.3%	64.9%	89.8%
	Poll	65	59	6
		9.1%	12.6%	2.3%
November 2009	Total	710	470	240
	No	574	348	226
		80.8%	74.0%	94.3%
	Poll	51	47	4
		7.2%	9.9%	1.7%
June 2010	Total	710	470	240
	No	482	283	199
		67.9%	60.2%	83.1%
	Poll	90	74	16
		12.7%	15.8%	6.7%
November 2010	Total	710	470	240
	No	277	125	152
		39.0%	26.5%	63.5%
	Poll	202	167	35
		28.5%	35.5%	14.8%
June 2012	Total	710	470	240
	No	470	278	192
		66.2%	59.1%	80.2%
	Poll	73	57	16
		10.3%	12.0%	6.8%
November 2012	Total	710	470	240
	No	208	80	128
		29.3%	17.0%	53.6%
	Poll	218	169	49
		30.7%	35.9%	20.5%
June 2014	Total	710	470	240
	No	484	277	208
		68.2%	58.8%	86.6%
	Poll	56	49	8
		8.0%	10.4%	3.1%
November 2014	Total	710	470	240
	No	292	146	145
		41.1%	31.1%	60.6%
	Poll	167	131	36
		23.5%	27.8%	15.0%
June 2016	Total	710	470	240
	No	270	160	110
		38.1%	34.1%	45.9%
	Poll	157	104	53
		22.0%	22.0%	22.0%
November 2016	Total	710	470	240
	No	283	206	77
		39.9%	43.8%	32.1%
	Poll	283	206	77
		39.9%	43.8%	32.1%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
November 2012	Total	710	470	240
	No	208	80	128
		29.3%	17.0%	53.6%
	Poll	218	169	49
		30.7%	35.9%	20.5%
June 2014	Total	710	470	240
	No	484	277	208
		68.2%	58.8%	86.6%
	Poll	56	49	8
		8.0%	10.4%	3.1%
November 2014	Total	710	470	240
	No	292	146	145
		41.1%	31.1%	60.6%
	Poll	167	131	36
		23.5%	27.8%	15.0%
June 2016	Total	710	470	240
	No	270	160	110
		38.1%	34.1%	45.9%
	Poll	157	104	53
		22.0%	22.0%	22.0%
November 2016	Total	710	470	240
	No	283	206	77
		39.9%	43.8%	32.1%
	Poll	283	206	77
		39.9%	43.8%	32.1%

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
June 2006	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	488	245	87	12	144
	Poll	117	72	16	10	19
	Mail	106	69	23	2	13
		68.7%	63.5%	68.9%	51.3%	82.0%
November 2006	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	364	185	60	10	110
	Poll	191	115	26	10	39
	Mail	155	86	40	3	27
		51.3%	47.9%	47.9%	42.5%	62.2%
November 2007	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	602	328	100	15	159
	Poll	31	17	8	3	4
	Mail	77	41	19	5	13
		84.8%	85.1%	79.0%	67.5%	90.4%
February 2008	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	357	176	63	10	108
	Poll	166	102	22	7	35
	Mail	186	107	40	6	33
		50.3%	45.6%	50.4%	43.8%	61.4%
June 2008	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	544	285	102	15	141
	Poll	56	39	7	3	8
	Mail	110	61	17	5	27
		76.6%	74.0%	81.3%	66.4%	80.3%
November 2008	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	262	141	32	6	83
	Poll	215	114	44	9	49
	Mail	233	131	50	8	45
		36.9%	36.5%	25.4%	27.6%	47.0%
May 2009	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	520	289	83	15	133
	Poll	65	32	13	4	15
	Mail	125	64	30	3	28
		73.3%	75.0%	65.7%	67.3%	75.6%

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
November 2009	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	574	310	97	13	154
	Poll	51	30	10	7	3
	Mail	85	44	19	3	19
		80.8%	80.6%	76.8%	55.6%	87.5%
June 2010	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	482	246	81	15	141
	Poll	90	58	17	3	12
	Mail	138	82	28	5	23
		67.9%	63.8%	64.3%	64.4%	80.0%
November 2010	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	277	145	36	9	88
	Poll	202	120	39	5	38
	Mail	230	120	51	9	51
		39.0%	37.5%	28.6%	39.7%	49.7%
June 2012	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	470	249	76	10	135
	Poll	73	39	17	3	14
	Mail	167	97	33	10	27
		66.2%	64.6%	60.1%	45.9%	76.8%
November 2012	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	208	105	26	9	68
	Poll	218	121	42	3	51
	Mail	284	158	57	10	58
		29.3%	27.4%	20.8%	39.7%	38.3%
June 2014	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	484	250	80	14	141
	Poll	56	35	12	3	7
	Mail	169	100	34	6	29
		68.2%	64.9%	63.4%	61.4%	79.7%
November 2014	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	292	148	42	7	95
	Poll	167	92	34	9	32
	Mail	252	144	51	8	49
		41.1%	38.5%	33.0%	29.1%	53.8%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
June 2016	Total	710	385	126	23	176
	No	270	103	59	9	100
	Poll	157	98	21	5	33
	Mail	283	184	46	9	44
		38.1%	26.8%	46.8%	38.1%	56.5%
	22.0%	25.3%	16.9%	20.9%	18.7%	
	39.9%	47.8%	36.3%	41.0%	24.8%	

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
June 2006	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	488	141	66	33	27	105	116
	Poll	117	26	29	8	8	27	19
	Mail	106	40	17	3	12	23	11
		68.7%	68.0%	58.9%	76.0%	57.3%	68.0%	79.1%
November 2006	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	364	105	51	20	23	76	89
	Poll	191	49	40	10	8	49	35
	Mail	155	54	20	14	16	30	22
		51.3%	50.6%	46.0%	45.2%	50.1%	49.2%	60.8%
November 2007	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	602	180	91	35	34	133	130
	Poll	31	2	11	3	5	6	4
	Mail	77	26	9	5	8	16	12
		84.8%	86.4%	81.6%	81.2%	72.5%	85.7%	88.9%
February 2008	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	357	100	48	23	14	81	92
	Poll	166	40	39	9	10	42	26
	Mail	186	68	24	11	22	32	28
		50.3%	48.0%	42.8%	53.5%	30.5%	52.2%	62.7%
June 2008	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	544	156	79	36	34	120	117
	Poll	56	19	13	3	2	12	8
	Mail	110	33	19	4	10	23	21
		76.6%	75.3%	71.0%	83.7%	74.4%	77.5%	80.4%
November 2008	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	262	87	34	11	9	52	68
	Poll	215	44	40	19	12	64	36
	Mail	110	33	19	4	10	23	21
		36.9%	42.1%	30.2%	24.1%	20.1%	33.9%	46.7%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
June 2009	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	Mail	233	76	38	14	25	39	42
May 2009	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	520	156	83	31	23	120	106
	Poll	65	10	16	4	8	14	14
	Mail	125	42	13	9	15	21	26
		73.3%	75.2%	74.8%	71.7%	50.6%	77.6%	72.6%
November 2009	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	574	166	92	37	30	129	121
	Poll	51	12	12	4	6	7	10
	Mail	85	31	7	3	10	18	16
		80.8%	79.6%	82.3%	84.4%	65.1%	83.4%	82.6%
June 2010	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	482	132	70	31	24	109	116
	Poll	90	26	21	8	6	18	12
	Mail	138	50	20	5	16	28	18
		67.9%	63.3%	62.5%	71.4%	52.5%	70.7%	79.3%
November 2010	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	277	83	37	10	11	61	74
	Poll	202	49	45	17	12	49	31
	Mail	230	75	29	16	23	45	42
		39.0%	40.1%	33.5%	23.7%	24.2%	39.6%	50.4%
June 2012	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	470	136	64	28	25	113	105
	Poll	73	16	17	5	9	13	13
	Mail	167	56	31	10	12	29	29
		66.2%	65.3%	57.3%	64.8%	53.5%	72.8%	71.8%
November 2012	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	208	71	19	13	7	37	61
	Poll	218	48	47	16	15	57	36
	Mail	284	89	45	15	25	60	49
		29.3%	34.3%	17.4%	29.0%	14.8%	24.1%	41.6%
June 2014	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	484	147	67	32	25	104	110
	Poll	56	12	11	3	5	17	8
	Mail	110	33	19	4	10	23	21
		68.2%	70.6%	59.8%	72.4%	54.7%	67.0%	75.5%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Mail	Total	169	49	34	9	16	34	28
		23.8%	23.4%	30.4%	20.7%	34.0%	21.9%	19.3%
November 2014	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	292	87	33	14	13	68	76
		41.1%	42.1%	29.6%	31.8%	27.6%	44.2%	52.0%
	Poll	167	43	29	16	11	40	27
		23.5%	20.9%	26.0%	36.2%	23.4%	26.1%	18.6%
June 2016	Total	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
	No	270	46	29	23	14	81	78
	38.1%	22.4%	26.1%	51.7%	30.5%	52.0%	53.2%	
Poll	157	56	29	9	7	27	28	
	22.0%	27.0%	25.6%	21.2%	15.1%	17.7%	19.3%	
Mail	Total	283	105	54	12	25	47	40
		39.9%	50.6%	48.3%	27.1%	54.4%	30.3%	27.5%

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
June 2006	Total	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
	No	488	259	68	31	46	30	16
		68.7%	87.2%	71.4%	68.1%	66.5%	52.6%	37.3%
	Poll	117	24	17	11	8	13	11
November 2006	Total	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
	No	364	228	58	19	20	16	5
		51.3%	76.8%	61.1%	40.3%	29.7%	27.4%	10.9%
	Poll	191	36	17	22	29	23	24
November 2007	Total	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
	No	602	273	80	42	53	41	32
		84.8%	92.1%	84.4%	92.1%	77.1%	72.2%	73.6%
	Poll	31	5	5	1	3	5	5
February 2008	Total	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
	No	357	218	59	16	15	17	8
		50.3%	73.7%	62.2%	35.7%	21.6%	30.2%	18.1%
	Poll	166	39	16	18	19	18	19
No	Total	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
	No	544	262	76	37	55	34	26
		76.6%	88.2%	79.0%	80.4%	78.9%	61.4%	59.1%
	Poll	166	39	16	18	19	18	19

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
June 2006	Total	67	35
	No	22	16
		32.3%	44.1%
	Poll	22	10
November 2006	Total	67	35
	No	7	11
		11.0%	31.9%
	Poll	28	13
November 2007	Total	67	35
	No	54	26
		80.4%	72.4%
	Poll	3	5
February 2008	Total	67	35
	No	9	14
		13.1%	40.3%
	Poll	26	11
No	Total	35	20
		52.1%	57.1%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
June 2008	No	76.6%	88.3%	79.4%	79.7%	79.3%	59.0%	59.5%
	Poll	56	14	6	3	0	10	7
	Mail	8.0%	4.6%	6.0%	6.5%	0.0%	16.8%	15.0%
	Total	110	21	14	6	14	14	11
November 2008	No	15.4%	7.0%	14.6%	13.8%	20.7%	24.3%	25.6%
	Poll	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
	Mail	262	203	45	0	0	3	0
	Total	36.9%	68.5%	46.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	0.7%
May 2009	No	215	42	28	22	29	31	21
	Poll	30.3%	14.2%	29.8%	47.4%	42.0%	54.3%	47.6%
	Mail	233	51	22	24	40	23	23
	Total	32.9%	17.3%	23.3%	52.6%	58.0%	41.2%	51.7%
November 2009	No	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
	Poll	520	257	76	29	52	33	27
	Mail	73.3%	86.8%	79.9%	62.9%	75.0%	57.2%	61.9%
	Total	65	7	7	7	3	9	7
June 2010	No	9.1%	2.3%	7.7%	14.3%	5.1%	16.6%	14.9%
	Poll	125	32	12	11	14	15	10
	Mail	17.6%	10.9%	12.4%	22.8%	19.9%	26.2%	23.2%
	Total	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
November 2010	No	574	271	81	30	56	42	25
	Poll	80.8%	91.4%	85.3%	65.9%	81.3%	74.3%	56.6%
	Mail	51	8	6	3	1	7	10
	Total	7.2%	2.8%	6.1%	7.0%	1.5%	12.3%	22.1%
June 2012	No	85	17	8	13	12	8	9
	Poll	12.0%	5.8%	8.6%	27.1%	17.2%	13.3%	21.3%
	Mail	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
	Total	482	249	72	24	49	37	23
November 2012	No	67.9%	84.0%	75.9%	51.6%	70.9%	64.1%	51.6%
	Poll	90	21	7	10	5	9	7
	Mail	12.7%	7.2%	7.2%	22.6%	7.3%	15.2%	16.2%
	Total	138	26	16	12	15	12	14
June 2012	No	19.4%	8.8%	17.0%	25.9%	21.9%	20.7%	32.1%
	Poll	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
	Mail	277	201	33	2	11	8	5
	Total	39.0%	67.7%	34.1%	5.1%	15.7%	14.1%	11.2%
November 2012	No	202	42	27	24	23	24	22
	Poll	28.5%	14.1%	28.4%	52.3%	32.9%	42.7%	50.6%
	Mail	230	54	36	20	35	25	17
	Total	32.4%	18.2%	37.5%	42.6%	51.3%	43.2%	38.2%
June 2012	No	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
	Poll	470	239	64	27	43	30	22
	Mail	66.2%	80.7%	67.2%	59.2%	61.8%	53.0%	49.3%
	Total	73	15	11	8	4	10	8
November 2012	No	10.3%	5.2%	11.1%	17.4%	6.3%	17.7%	17.7%
	Poll	167	42	21	11	22	17	15
	Mail	23.5%	14.1%	21.8%	23.4%	31.9%	29.2%	33.0%
	Total	710	297	95	46	69	57	44
November 2012	No	208	180	3	2	8	5	4
	Mail	29.3%	60.6%	3.4%	4.2%	11.3%	8.5%	9.7%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
June 2008	No	52.6%	56.3%
	Poll	13	5
	Mail	19.9%	13.0%
	Total	18	11
November 2008	No	27.5%	30.7%
	Poll	67	35
	Mail	2	9
	Total	2.4%	26.6%
May 2009	No	28	14
	Poll	42.1%	38.4%
	Mail	37	12
	Total	55.5%	35.0%
November 2009	No	67	35
	Poll	25	21
	Mail	37.9%	59.3%
	Total	21	4
June 2010	No	30.8%	10.7%
	Poll	21	11
	Mail	31.2%	30.0%
	Total	67	35
November 2010	No	46	22
	Poll	68.4%	62.8%
	Mail	12	4
	Total	17.8%	10.7%
June 2012	No	9	9
	Poll	13.8%	26.5%
	Mail	67	35
	Total	13	15
November 2012	No	20.0%	43.6%
	Poll	24	7
	Mail	35.4%	20.3%
	Total	30	13
June 2012	No	44.6%	36.1%
	Poll	67	35
	Mail	6	11
	Total	9.6%	31.9%
November 2012	No	29	11
	Poll	43.4%	31.6%
	Mail	31	13
	Total	47.1%	36.5%
June 2012	No	67	35
	Poll	22	22
	Mail	33.7%	63.4%
	Total	13	4
November 2012	No	19.1%	11.5%
	Poll	32	9
	Mail	47.2%	25.1%
	Total	67	35
November 2012	No	2	4
	Mail	3.5%	11.6%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
November 2012	Poll	218 30.7%	50 16.8%	37 39.1%	20 43.7%	25 36.9%	26 46.4%	16 36.7%
	Mail	284 39.9%	67 22.6%	55 57.5%	24 52.1%	36 51.8%	26 45.1%	24 53.5%
<b>Total</b>		710	297	95	46	69	57	44
June 2014	<b>Total</b>	484 68.2%	244 82.4%	65 67.9%	31 67.0%	47 67.5%	35 62.0%	19 43.2%
	No	56 8.0%	10 3.5%	7 7.6%	2 4.3%	2 2.9%	12 20.5%	5 10.5%
	Poll	169 23.8%	42 14.1%	23 24.5%	13 28.7%	20 29.6%	10 17.6%	20 46.3%
	Mail							
<b>Total</b>		710	297	95	46	69	57	44
November 2014	<b>Total</b>	292 41.1%	187 63.1%	22 23.6%	9 18.6%	30 43.8%	18 30.8%	9 20.7%
	No	167 23.5%	38 12.9%	29 30.4%	12 26.4%	15 22.3%	16 28.2%	18 40.9%
	Poll	252 35.5%	71 24.0%	44 46.0%	25 55.0%	23 33.9%	23 41.0%	17 38.5%
	Mail							
<b>Total</b>		710	297	95	46	69	57	44
June 2016	<b>Total</b>	270 38.1%	140 47.2%	27 28.4%	8 17.0%	32 46.0%	25 43.8%	14 32.7%
	No	157 22.0%	66 22.3%	20 20.6%	11 24.8%	12 17.0%	11 19.2%	13 29.7%
	Poll	283 39.9%	90 30.4%	49 51.0%	27 58.2%	26 37.0%	21 37.0%	16 37.6%
	Mail							

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
November 2012	Poll	22 33.2%	21 58.9%
	Mail	42 63.3%	10 29.4%
<b>Total</b>		67	35
June 2014	<b>Total</b>	24 35.9%	20 55.4%
	No	13 19.2%	6 16.2%
	Poll	30 44.9%	10 28.4%
	Mail		
<b>Total</b>		67	35
November 2014	<b>Total</b>	8 11.5%	9 24.9%
	No	23 34.8%	14 40.9%
	Poll	36 53.7%	12 34.2%
	Mail		
<b>Total</b>		67	35
June 2016	<b>Total</b>	13 19.3%	12 32.7%
	No	14 20.9%	10 27.0%
	Poll	40 59.8%	14 40.4%
	Mail		

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
June 2006	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	488	97	266	20	18	26	36	24
	Poll	117	24	59	6	12	7	4	6
	Mail	106	33	50	8	7	4	1	2
		14.9%	21.6%	13.3%	23.8%	20.2%	11.1%	1.3%	6.6%
November 2006	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	364	58	221	14	11	21	21	19
	Poll	191	45	83	10	17	9	18	9
	Mail	155	52	71	11	9	7	2	4
		21.9%	33.7%	18.8%	31.5%	25.4%	18.1%	4.0%	12.1%
November 2007	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	602	118	339	24	25	33	37	27
	Poll	31	7	14	0	6	0	1	3
	Mail	77	30	22	11	6	4	2	2
		10.9%	19.6%	5.9%	31.7%	16.7%	9.7%	5.0%	6.6%
February 2008	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	357	66	207	13	14	21	20	16
	Poll	166	34	86	4	12	7	14	9
	Mail	186	55	81	17	11	8	6	7
		26.2%	35.6%	21.7%	49.2%	30.5%	23.0%	15.3%	21.4%
June 2008	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	544	101	308	21	19	32	34	29
	Poll	56	8	32	1	9	0	4	2
	Mail	110	46	35	13	9	4	3	1
		15.4%	29.8%	9.3%	36.5%	23.1%	11.1%	6.2%	2.4%
November 2008	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	262	30	164	11	7	23	11	16
	Poll	215	49	102	5	19	9	24	7
	Mail	233	76	109	18	11	5	6	9
		32.9%	48.9%	29.2%	52.2%	30.3%	13.0%	14.1%	27.6%
May 2009	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	520	99	296	21	18	30	36	20
	Poll	65	15	25	3	10	5	3	5
	Mail	125	41	54	11	10	2	2	7
		17.6%	26.2%	14.3%	31.4%	26.3%	4.3%	5.0%	21.0%

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
November 2009	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	574	116	325	21	17	29	38	27
	Poll	51	8	21	3	7	7	1	3
	Mail	85	30	28	11	12	1	1	2
		12.0%	19.6%	7.4%	32.0%	33.4%	1.9%	1.8%	6.8%
June 2010	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	482	93	275	19	16	27	29	23
	Poll	90	19	41	4	9	5	9	2
	Mail	138	43	58	11	12	5	3	6
		19.4%	27.6%	15.5%	32.5%	32.4%	13.7%	6.3%	19.9%
November 2010	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	277	33	172	14	8	17	18	15
	Poll	202	52	98	5	16	9	20	4
	Mail	230	70	105	15	13	11	3	13
		32.4%	45.3%	27.9%	44.7%	36.0%	29.8%	7.8%	40.2%
June 2012	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	470	84	271	21	15	24	35	20
	Poll	73	19	33	3	8	4	2	5
	Mail	167	52	71	10	13	9	4	7
		23.5%	33.8%	18.9%	30.5%	36.3%	24.2%	9.1%	22.9%
November 2012	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	208	19	138	10	1	17	12	11
	Poll	218	47	111	7	20	7	21	6
	Mail	284	89	126	18	16	13	8	15
		39.9%	57.3%	33.6%	51.3%	42.4%	35.2%	19.9%	46.4%
June 2014	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	484	90	285	16	14	27	34	19
	Poll	56	12	20	2	10	4	3	5
	Mail	169	53	70	16	13	5	3	8
		23.8%	34.2%	18.7%	46.4%	35.3%	14.8%	8.6%	25.7%
November 2014	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	292	34	185	14	3	16	24	17
	Poll	167	43	72	3	20	8	13	7
	Mail	252	78	118	17	14	12	4	8
		35.5%	50.4%	31.5%	50.7%	38.1%	33.6%	9.4%	24.8%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
June 2016	Total	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
	No	270	46	163	8	9	9	27	10
	Poll	157	29	79	9	11	9	8	12
	Mail	283	81	133	17	17	19	6	10
		38.1%	29.4%	43.4%	22.9%	24.0%	24.6%	65.9%	31.1%
		22.0%	18.5%	21.2%	26.4%	30.0%	23.8%	20.1%	36.2%
		39.9%	52.1%	35.5%	50.8%	46.0%	51.6%	14.1%	32.6%

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2006	Total	710	510	200
	No	488	343	145
	Poll	117	65	52
	Mail	106	102	4
		68.7%	67.3%	72.2%
		16.4%	12.7%	26.0%
		14.9%	20.0%	1.8%
November 2006	Total	710	510	200
	No	364	270	94
	Poll	191	91	100
	Mail	155	148	7
		51.3%	53.1%	46.7%
		26.9%	17.9%	49.7%
		21.9%	29.0%	3.5%
November 2007	Total	710	510	200
	No	602	419	184
	Poll	31	18	13
	Mail	77	73	4
		84.8%	82.1%	91.6%
		4.3%	3.5%	6.4%
		10.9%	14.3%	2.0%
February 2008	Total	710	510	200
	No	357	261	96
	Poll	166	72	95
	Mail	186	177	10
		50.3%	51.3%	47.9%
		23.4%	14.1%	47.2%
		26.2%	34.7%	4.8%
June 2008	Total	710	510	200
	No	544	381	163
	Poll	56	25	31
	Mail	110	103	6
		76.6%	74.8%	81.2%
		8.0%	5.0%	15.5%
		15.4%	20.3%	3.2%
November 2008	Total	710	510	200
	No	262	202	59
	Poll	215	93	122
		36.9%	39.7%	29.6%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2009	Total	710	510	200
	No	520	367	153
	Poll	65	26	39
		73.3%	72.1%	76.3%
		9.1%	5.1%	19.3%
		17.6%	22.8%	4.4%
May 2009	Total	710	510	200
	No	520	367	153
	Poll	125	116	9
	Mail	125	116	9
		73.3%	72.1%	76.3%
		17.6%	22.8%	4.4%
November 2009	Total	710	510	200
	No	574	405	169
	Poll	51	19	32
	Mail	85	85	0
		80.8%	79.5%	84.2%
		7.2%	3.7%	15.8%
		12.0%	16.7%	0.0%
June 2010	Total	710	510	200
	No	482	335	147
	Poll	90	42	49
	Mail	138	133	5
		67.9%	65.7%	73.3%
		12.7%	8.1%	24.3%
		19.4%	26.1%	2.3%
November 2010	Total	710	510	200
	No	277	200	78
	Poll	202	83	120
	Mail	230	227	3
		39.0%	39.2%	38.7%
		28.5%	16.2%	59.8%
		32.4%	44.6%	1.6%
June 2012	Total	710	510	200
	No	470	312	158
	Poll	73	33	40
	Mail	167	165	2
		66.2%	61.3%	78.8%
		10.3%	6.4%	20.2%
		23.5%	32.3%	1.0%
November 2012	Total	710	510	200
	No	208	153	55
	Poll	218	86	132
	Mail	284	270	13
		29.3%	30.1%	27.4%
		30.7%	16.8%	66.0%
		39.9%	53.1%	6.6%
June 2014	Total	710	510	200
	No	484	325	160
	Poll	56	26	31
		68.2%	63.7%	79.6%
		8.0%	5.1%	15.3%



		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Mail		169	159	10
		23.8%	31.2%	5.0%
Total		710	510	200
November 2014	No	292	204	88
		41.1%	40.0%	43.9%
Poll		167	69	98
		23.5%	13.4%	48.9%
Mail		252	237	14
		35.5%	46.6%	7.2%
Total		710	510	200
June 2016	No	270	180	90
		38.1%	35.4%	45.0%
Poll		157	57	100
		22.0%	11.1%	49.9%
Mail		283	273	10
		39.9%	53.6%	5.1%

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	347	363
June 2006	No	488	173	315
		68.7%	49.7%	86.8%
Poll		117	78	39
		16.4%	22.4%	10.8%
Mail		106	97	9
		14.9%	27.9%	2.5%
Total		710	347	363
November 2006	No	364	117	247
		51.3%	33.8%	68.0%
Poll		191	98	93
		26.9%	28.1%	25.6%
Mail		155	132	23
		21.9%	38.1%	6.4%
Total		710	347	363
November 2007	No	602	250	352
		84.8%	72.0%	97.0%
Poll		31	28	3
		4.3%	8.1%	0.8%
Mail		77	69	8
		10.9%	19.9%	2.2%
Total		710	347	363
February 2008	No	357	116	242
		50.3%	33.3%	66.5%
Poll		166	74	92
		23.4%	21.3%	25.5%
Mail		186	157	29
		26.2%	45.3%	8.0%
Total		710	347	363
No		544	197	347

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2008	No	76.6%	56.9%	95.5%
Poll		56	49	8
		8.0%	14.1%	2.1%
Mail		110	101	9
		15.4%	29.0%	2.5%
Total		710	347	363
November 2008	No	262	82	180
		36.9%	23.6%	49.6%
Poll		215	77	138
		30.3%	22.3%	37.9%
Mail		233	188	46
		32.9%	54.1%	12.6%
Total		710	347	363
May 2009	No	520	183	337
		73.3%	52.9%	92.8%
Poll		65	48	16
		9.1%	13.9%	4.5%
Mail		125	115	10
		17.6%	33.2%	2.7%
Total		710	347	363
November 2009	No	574	234	340
		80.8%	67.3%	93.8%
Poll		51	36	15
		7.2%	10.5%	4.0%
Mail		85	77	8
		12.0%	22.2%	2.2%
Total		710	347	363
June 2010	No	482	157	325
		67.9%	45.2%	89.5%
Poll		90	60	31
		12.7%	17.2%	8.5%
Mail		138	130	7
		19.4%	37.6%	2.0%
Total		710	347	363
November 2010	No	277	64	213
		39.0%	18.4%	58.8%
Poll		202	92	110
		28.5%	26.6%	30.4%
Mail		230	191	39
		32.4%	55.1%	10.8%
Total		710	347	363
June 2012	No	470	138	332
		66.2%	39.8%	91.5%
Poll		73	54	19
		10.3%	15.5%	5.3%
Mail		167	155	12
		23.5%	44.7%	3.3%
Total		710	347	363
November 2012	No	208	35	174
		29.3%	10.0%	47.8%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<del>November 2012</del>			
Poll	218 30.7%	72 20.7%	146 40.3%
Mail	284 39.9%	240 69.3%	43 11.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>363</b>
<b>June 2014</b>			
No	484 68.2%	130 37.4%	355 97.6%
Poll	56 8.0%	52 14.9%	5 1.3%
Mail	169 23.8%	165 47.7%	4 1.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>363</b>
<b>November 2014</b>			
No	292 41.1%	48 13.9%	243 67.0%
Poll	167 23.5%	55 16.0%	111 30.6%
Mail	252 35.5%	243 70.1%	9 2.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>363</b>
<b>June 2016</b>			
No	270 38.1%	31 8.8%	240 66.1%
Poll	157 22.0%	51 14.8%	105 28.9%
Mail	283 39.9%	265 76.3%	18 5.0%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>June 2006</b>			
Total	710	710	
No	488 68.7%	488 68.7%	
Poll	117 16.4%	117 16.4%	
Mail	106 14.9%	106 14.9%	
<b>November 2006</b>			
Total	710	710	
No	364 51.3%	364 51.3%	
Poll	191 26.9%	191 26.9%	
Mail	155 21.9%	155 21.9%	
<b>November 2007</b>			
Total	710	710	
No	602 84.8%	602 84.8%	
Poll	31 4.3%	31 4.3%	
Mail	77 10.9%	77 10.9%	
<b>February 2008</b>			
Total	710	710	
No	357 50.3%	357 50.3%	
Poll	166 23.4%	166 23.4%	
Mail	186 26.2%	186 26.2%	
<b>June 2008</b>			
Total	710	710	
No	544 76.6%	544 76.6%	
Poll	56 8.0%	56 8.0%	
Mail	110 15.4%	110 15.4%	
<b>November 2008</b>			
Total	710	710	
No	262 36.9%	262 36.9%	
Poll	215 30.3%	215 30.3%	
Mail	233 32.9%	233 32.9%	
<b>May 2009</b>			
Total	710	710	
No	520 73.3%	520 73.3%	
Poll	65 9.1%	65 9.1%	
Mail	125 17.6%	125 17.6%	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
November 2009	Total	710	710	
	No	574	574	
	Poll	80.8%	80.8%	
	Mail	51	51	
June 2010	Total	710	710	
	No	482	482	
	Poll	67.9%	67.9%	
	Mail	90	90	
November 2010	Total	710	710	
	No	277	277	
	Poll	39.0%	39.0%	
	Mail	202	202	
June 2012	Total	710	710	
	No	470	470	
	Poll	66.2%	66.2%	
	Mail	73	73	
November 2012	Total	710	710	
	No	208	208	
	Poll	29.3%	29.3%	
	Mail	218	218	
June 2014	Total	710	710	
	No	484	484	
	Poll	68.2%	68.2%	
	Mail	56	56	
November 2014	Total	710	710	
	No	292	292	
	Poll	41.1%	41.1%	
	Mail	167	167	
June 2016	Total	710	710	
	No	482	482	
	Poll	67.9%	67.9%	
	Mail	138	138	
November 2016	Total	710	710	
	No	277	277	
	Poll	39.0%	39.0%	
	Mail	202	202	
June 2018	Total	710	710	
	No	470	470	
	Poll	66.2%	66.2%	
	Mail	73	73	
November 2018	Total	710	710	
	No	208	208	
	Poll	29.3%	29.3%	
	Mail	218	218	
June 2020	Total	710	710	
	No	484	484	
	Poll	68.2%	68.2%	
	Mail	56	56	
November 2020	Total	710	710	
	No	292	292	
	Poll	41.1%	41.1%	
	Mail	167	167	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2016	Total	710	710	
	No	270	270	
	Poll	38.1%	38.1%	
	Mail	157	157	
November 2016	Total	710	710	
	No	277	277	
	Poll	39.0%	39.0%	
	Mail	202	202	

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
June 2006	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	488	233	252	3
	Poll	68.7%	77.2%	62.1%	98.9%
	Mail	117	38	79	0
November 2006	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	364	176	185	3
	Poll	51.3%	58.3%	45.8%	98.9%
	Mail	191	75	116	0
June 2007	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	602	263	336	3
	Poll	84.8%	87.0%	83.0%	100.0%
	Mail	31	13	18	0
November 2007	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	357	171	184	2
	Poll	50.3%	56.7%	45.4%	74.6%
	Mail	166	67	99	0
February 2008	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	544	253	288	3
	Poll	76.6%	83.7%	71.1%	98.9%
	Mail	56	18	38	0
June 2008	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	262	117	143	2
	Poll	36.9%	38.7%	35.2%	74.6%
	Mail	110	31	79	0
November 2008	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	262	117	143	2
	Poll	36.9%	38.7%	35.2%	74.6%
	Mail	110	31	79	0

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<del>November 2008</del>	Poll	215	92	123	0
		30.3%	30.3%	30.4%	0.1%
	Mail	233	94	139	1
		32.9%	31.0%	34.3%	25.3%
May 2009	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	520	242	275	3
		73.3%	80.2%	68.0%	100.0%
	Poll	65	23	41	0
	Mail	125	36	88	0
		17.6%	12.1%	21.8%	0.0%
November 2009	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	574	248	324	3
		80.8%	81.9%	79.9%	98.9%
	Poll	51	24	27	0
	Mail	85	31	54	0
		12.0%	10.3%	13.3%	1.1%
June 2010	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	482	242	237	3
		67.9%	80.2%	58.5%	100.0%
	Poll	90	27	63	0
	Mail	138	32	105	0
		19.4%	10.7%	26.0%	0.0%
November 2010	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	277	125	150	2
		39.0%	41.3%	37.1%	74.6%
	Poll	202	89	114	0
	Mail	230	89	141	1
		32.4%	29.3%	34.8%	25.3%
June 2012	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	470	227	240	3
		66.2%	75.3%	59.3%	98.9%
	Poll	73	20	53	0
	Mail	167	55	112	0
		23.5%	18.2%	27.6%	1.1%
November 2012	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	208	99	107	2
		29.3%	32.8%	26.4%	74.6%
	Poll	218	94	125	0
	Mail	284	109	174	1
		39.9%	36.2%	42.8%	25.3%
June 2014	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	484	233	248	3
		68.2%	77.2%	61.3%	100.0%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
June 2014	Poll	56	13	43	0
		8.0%	4.4%	10.6%	0.0%
	Mail	169	56	114	0
		23.8%	18.4%	28.0%	0.0%
November 2014	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	292	133	158	0
		41.1%	44.0%	39.1%	10.0%
	Poll	167	70	96	0
	Mail	252	99	150	2
		35.5%	32.8%	37.1%	89.9%
June 2016	Total	710	302	405	3
	No	270	132	139	0
		38.1%	43.6%	34.3%	1.2%
	Poll	157	70	85	2
	Mail	283	101	181	1
		39.9%	33.3%	44.8%	34.2%

**Warnings**

CATEGORIES: Text \*. An invalid subcommand, keyword, or option was specified.

Execution of this command stops.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ethnic Group								
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
June 2006	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	488	21	0	75	258	95	7	22	4
	Poll	68.7%	58.9%	0.0%	89.2%	59.4%	90.0%	100.0%	84.4%	98.6%
	Mail	117	13	0	6	88	9	0	0	0
November 2006	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	364	11	0	64	194	66	7	18	1
	Poll	51.3%	30.4%	0.0%	76.7%	44.8%	61.8%	100.0%	67.0%	20.3%
	Mail	191	22	0	13	121	29	0	3	2
November 2007	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	602	33	1	81	338	105	7	27	4
	Poll	84.8%	94.5%	100.0%	96.1%	77.8%	99.1%	100.0%	100.0%	98.7%
	Mail	31	2	0	2	27	0	0	0	0
February 2008	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	357	9	0	60	197	61	7	15	4
	Poll	50.3%	25.0%	0.0%	72.1%	45.4%	57.4%	100.0%	57.1%	99.9%
	Mail	166	19	1	9	103	31	0	3	0
June 2008	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	544	20	0	79	305	103	7	19	3
	Poll	76.6%	56.8%	0.0%	94.6%	70.3%	97.4%	100.0%	70.6%	70.5%
	Mail	56	11	0	2	38	2	0	3	0
November 2008	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	262	5	0	53	133	48	7	13	0
	Poll	36.9%	14.0%	0.0%	63.5%	30.6%	45.5%	100.0%	48.4%	0.0%
	Mail	215	15	0	16	132	43	0	5	1
May 2009	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	520	19	0	73	289	103	7	19	4
	Poll	73.3%	54.8%	0.0%	86.7%	66.7%	97.4%	100.0%	70.6%	100.0%
	Mail	65	7	0	3	51	1	0	3	0

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	14
	No	6
	Poll	43.1%
	Mail	7
November 2006	Total	14
	No	4
	Poll	29.1%
	Mail	7
November 2007	Total	14
	No	8
	Poll	58.0%
	Mail	6
February 2008	Total	14
	No	4
	Poll	31.9%
	Mail	7
June 2008	Total	14
	No	8
	Poll	61.3%
	Mail	3
November 2008	Total	14
	No	3
	Poll	24.4%
	Mail	8
May 2009	Total	14
	No	7
	Poll	49.5%
	Mail	6

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ethnic Group								
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
November 2009	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	574	29	1	77	330	99	7	23	1
	Poll	80.8%	84.1%	100.0%	92.4%	76.0%	93.5%	100.0%	85.3%	33.5%
	Mail	51	4	0	1	37	5	0	3	1
June 2010	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	482	21	0	74	255	98	7	19	3
	Poll	67.9%	59.5%	0.0%	88.4%	58.8%	92.4%	100.0%	72.9%	71.8%
	Mail	90	12	0	5	62	7	0	3	1
November 2010	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	277	11	0	62	120	59	7	15	0
	Poll	39.0%	30.4%	0.0%	73.9%	27.7%	56.1%	100.0%	56.3%	0.0%
	Mail	202	15	0	12	140	26	0	5	2
June 2012	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	470	25	0	70	249	92	7	18	3
	Poll	66.2%	72.9%	0.0%	83.6%	57.5%	86.9%	100.0%	67.4%	70.5%
	Mail	73	4	0	3	59	2	0	3	0
November 2012	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	208	5	0	49	91	41	7	12	0
	Poll	29.3%	14.7%	0.0%	58.9%	21.0%	39.0%	100.0%	44.7%	0.0%
	Mail	218	17	0	15	140	36	0	5	3
June 2014	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	484	22	0	66	257	99	7	21	3
	Poll	68.2%	62.6%	0.0%	79.0%	59.4%	93.1%	100.0%	78.5%	70.5%
	Mail	56	6	1	1	46	0	0	3	0
November 2014	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	292	11	0	56	126	74	7	16	0
	Poll	41.1%	30.7%	0.0%	66.5%	29.0%	69.6%	100.0%	58.6%	5.5%
	Mail	167	16	1	13	113	17	0	3	3

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
November 2009	Total	14
	No	7
	Poll	51.7%
	Mail	1
June 2010	Total	14
	No	5
	Poll	38.4%
	Mail	1
November 2010	Total	14
	No	3
	Poll	25.5%
	Mail	2
June 2012	Total	14
	No	6
	Poll	41.1%
	Mail	2
November 2012	Total	14
	No	3
	Poll	20.8%
	Mail	2
June 2014	Total	14
	No	10
	Poll	70.4%
	Mail	0
November 2014	Total	14
	No	3
	Poll	24.2%
	Mail	2

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ethnic Group								
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
June 2016	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27	4
	No	270	11	0	43	133	59	7	11	3
	Poll	157	13	0	17	103	16	0	5	0
	Mail	283	11	1	24	198	31	0	11	1
		39.9%	32.5%	100.0%	28.7%	45.6%	28.8%	0.0%	40.6%	29.5%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
June 2016	Total	14
	No	5
	Poll	3
	Mail	7
		33.6%
		18.6%
		47.8%

		Ethnic Surname								
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
June 2006	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	488	5	17	81	18	5	2	13	348
	Poll	117	1	0	6	3	5	2	0	100
	Mail	106	2	3	2	9	6	0	1	83
		14.9%	24.0%	14.2%	2.2%	31.3%	37.8%	0.0%	6.5%	15.6%
November 2006	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	364	4	9	55	11	6	3	13	264
	Poll	191	2	4	28	4	4	0	0	148
	Mail	155	2	6	6	15	7	0	1	119
		21.9%	24.0%	32.2%	6.6%	48.8%	40.3%	0.0%	6.5%	22.5%
November 2007	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	602	7	15	87	21	14	3	14	440
	Poll	31	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	29
	Mail	77	0	3	2	8	3	0	0	61
		10.9%	0.0%	14.2%	1.8%	28.2%	17.1%	0.0%	0.4%	11.6%
February 2008	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	357	3	9	54	10	8	3	13	257
	Poll	166	2	2	28	4	5	1	0	124
	Mail	186	2	8	7	15	3	0	1	149
		26.2%	24.0%	41.7%	7.9%	51.7%	21.1%	0.0%	6.5%	28.2%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ethnic Surname								
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
June 2008	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	544	6	15	85	21	10	3	13	389
	Poll	56	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	50
	Mail	110	2	3	2	7	4	0	1	91
		15.4%	24.0%	14.2%	1.8%	24.6%	23.9%	0.0%	6.9%	17.2%
November 2008	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	262	2	7	41	7	2	1	13	188
	Poll	215	3	4	40	6	3	2	1	155
	Mail	233	2	8	8	16	12	1	0	187
		32.9%	29.3%	41.7%	8.6%	54.0%	71.3%	20.7%	0.4%	35.2%
May 2009	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	520	4	10	88	22	10	3	14	368
	Poll	65	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	60
	Mail	125	2	8	1	7	4	0	0	103
		17.6%	24.0%	41.7%	0.8%	25.0%	24.1%	0.0%	1.6%	19.4%
November 2009	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	574	8	15	84	23	13	3	14	414
	Poll	51	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	46
	Mail	85	0	3	2	7	3	0	0	70
		12.0%	0.0%	14.2%	2.2%	23.1%	20.9%	0.0%	0.0%	13.2%
June 2010	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	482	6	14	79	17	10	3	13	340
	Poll	90	0	1	7	1	0	0	1	80
	Mail	138	2	5	3	12	6	0	0	110
		19.4%	24.0%	23.7%	3.0%	40.6%	36.5%	0.0%	1.6%	20.8%
November 2010	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	277	3	10	49	8	2	1	13	192
	Poll	202	3	4	29	4	3	0	0	160
	Mail	230	2	5	11	18	12	2	1	179
		32.4%	24.0%	26.1%	12.7%	61.9%	71.2%	69.7%	6.5%	33.7%
June 2012	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	470	5	12	79	17	12	2	14	329
	Poll	73	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	69
	Mail	167	2	8	7	12	4	2	0	132
		23.5%	24.0%	39.2%	8.2%	40.7%	23.9%	49.0%	0.4%	25.0%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ethnic Surname								
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
November 2012	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	208	6	6	29	6	1	1	11	148
	Poll	218	76.0%	29.4%	32.7%	20.0%	7.8%	36.8%	79.9%	27.9%
	Mail	284	2	11	23	17	13	0	3	214
June 2014	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	484	6	9	82	19	9	3	14	343
	Poll	56	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	54
	Mail	169	2	10	7	9	7	0	0	133
November 2014	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	292	4	6	62	9	3	1	10	197
	Poll	167	2	3	19	7	2	2	3	128
	Mail	252	2	11	8	13	11	0	1	205
June 2016	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	No	270	5	5	61	3	6	3	8	181
	Poll	157	0	6	9	5	2	1	4	130
	Mail	283	3	9	19	21	9	0	3	220

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Area					
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
June 2006	Total	710	123	134	86	202	66
	No	488	73	89	61	125	48
	Poll	117	27	23	12	41	11
	Mail	106	23	22	13	37	6
November 2006	Total	710	123	134	86	202	66
	No	364	56	64	40	94	39
	Poll	191	31	39	29	55	17
	Mail	155	37	31	17	53	9
November 2007	Total	710	123	134	86	202	66
	No	602	93	110	73	170	58
	Poll	31	10	9	4	4	3
	Mail	77	20	14	9	27	5
February 2008	Total	710	123	134	86	202	66
	No	357	43	74	40	95	34
	Poll	166	38	22	24	46	20
	Mail	186	43	38	22	61	12
June 2008	Total	710	123	134	86	202	66
	No	544	78	106	64	149	54
	Poll	56	16	11	6	16	8
	Mail	110	29	17	15	37	4
November 2008	Total	710	123	134	86	202	66
	No	262	28	49	20	71	31
	Poll	215	47	39	33	55	18
	Mail	233	49	46	32	76	17
Total	710	123	134	86	202	66	



Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Area
		Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	99
	No	92 92.3%
	Poll	3 2.9%
	Mail	5 4.7%
November 2006	Total	99
	No	71 71.4%
	Poll	20 20.0%
	Mail	9 8.6%
November 2007	Total	99
	No	98 98.5%
	Poll	0 0.1%
	Mail	1 1.4%
February 2008	Total	99
	No	71 71.2%
	Poll	17 17.5%
	Mail	11 11.3%
June 2008	Total	99
	No	93 93.1%
	Poll	0 0.1%
	Mail	7 6.7%
November 2008	Total	99
	No	63 63.6%
	Poll	24 23.6%
	Mail	13 12.7%
Total		99

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Area					
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
May 2009	No	520 73.3%	71 57.4%	95 70.5%	65 76.3%	147 73.0%	49 74.6%
	Poll	65 9.1%	20 16.1%	13 9.9%	6 7.4%	21 10.4%	4 6.5%
	Mail	125 17.6%	33 26.6%	26 19.5%	14 16.3%	34 16.6%	12 18.9%
Total		710	123	134	86	202	66
November 2009	No	574 80.8%	90 72.5%	97 72.4%	75 87.4%	156 77.2%	58 88.7%
	Poll	51 7.2%	12 9.7%	17 12.4%	2 2.9%	15 7.2%	5 7.8%
	Mail	85 12.0%	22 17.8%	20 15.3%	8 9.7%	32 15.6%	2 3.5%
Total		710	123	134	86	202	66
June 2010	No	482 67.9%	69 56.1%	90 67.4%	59 69.2%	125 62.0%	49 74.8%
	Poll	90 12.7%	26 21.4%	16 11.8%	9 10.1%	25 12.6%	9 14.4%
	Mail	138 19.4%	28 22.5%	28 20.8%	18 20.7%	51 25.4%	7 10.8%
Total		710	123	134	86	202	66
November 2010	No	277 39.0%	38 30.5%	43 31.9%	30 35.2%	67 33.1%	37 57.0%
	Poll	202 28.5%	43 34.5%	35 26.2%	27 31.2%	62 30.9%	17 25.9%
	Mail	230 32.4%	43 35.0%	56 41.9%	29 33.6%	73 36.1%	11 17.0%
Total		710	123	134	86	202	66
June 2012	No	470 66.2%	65 52.5%	83 61.9%	56 66.0%	126 62.4%	52 78.8%
	Poll	73 10.3%	16 12.7%	13 9.5%	11 13.4%	24 12.1%	6 8.5%
	Mail	167 23.5%	43 34.8%	38 28.6%	18 20.6%	51 25.4%	8 12.7%
Total		710	123	134	86	202	66
November 2012	No	208 29.3%	27 21.5%	33 24.4%	20 23.8%	53 26.1%	30 45.6%
	Poll	218 30.7%	36 29.0%	35 26.1%	34 39.7%	62 30.6%	20 30.6%
	Mail	284 39.9%	61 49.5%	66 49.5%	31 36.5%	87 43.3%	16 23.9%
Total		710	123	134	86	202	66
June 2014	No	484 68.2%	66 53.6%	95 71.1%	55 63.9%	127 62.7%	50 76.0%
	Poll	56 8.0%	9 7.3%	15 10.9%	7 7.8%	18 8.9%	7 10.8%
	Mail	169 23.8%	48 39.1%	24 18.0%	24 28.3%	57 28.5%	9 13.2%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Area
		Not sure/DK/NA
May 2009	No	93 93.9%
	Poll	0 0.0%
	Mail	6 6.1%
<b>Total</b>		99
November 2009	No	99 99.3%
	Poll	0 0.1%
	Mail	1 0.6%
<b>Total</b>		99
June 2010	No	89 89.5%
	Poll	5 4.6%
	Mail	6 6.0%
<b>Total</b>		99
November 2010	No	62 62.8%
	Poll	19 18.9%
	Mail	18 18.3%
<b>Total</b>		99
June 2012	No	88 88.6%
	Poll	3 3.0%
	Mail	8 8.3%
<b>Total</b>		99
November 2012	No	46 46.3%
	Poll	32 31.8%
	Mail	22 22.0%
<b>Total</b>		99
June 2014	No	92 92.4%
	Poll	1 1.1%
	Mail	6 6.5%
<b>Total</b>		99

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Area					
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
November 2014	<b>Total</b>	710	123	134	86	202	66
	No	292 41.1%	38 30.6%	38 28.5%	27 31.7%	71 35.0%	42 63.9%
	Poll	167 23.5%	28 22.6%	34 25.4%	25 29.2%	49 24.2%	16 23.9%
Mail	252 35.5%	58 46.8%	62 46.0%	33 39.1%	82 40.8%	8 12.2%	
<b>Total</b>		710	123	134	86	202	66
June 2016	No	270 38.1%	43 34.7%	44 32.9%	31 36.3%	66 32.6%	22 33.0%
	Poll	157 22.0%	20 16.2%	33 24.6%	22 26.1%	45 22.1%	21 31.6%
	Mail	283 39.9%	61 49.1%	57 42.5%	32 37.6%	91 45.2%	23 35.5%

		Area
		Not sure/DK/NA
November 2014	<b>Total</b>	99
	No	76 76.4%
	Poll	15 15.1%
Mail	8 8.5%	
<b>Total</b>		99
June 2016	No	65 65.4%
	Poll	16 15.9%
	Mail	19 18.7%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
June 2006	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	488	52	31	163	242
	Poll	117	6	16	59	36
	Mail	106	1	14	65	25
		14.9%	1.6%	23.4%	22.7%	8.3%
November 2006	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	364	45	13	110	196
	Poll	191	13	29	83	65
	Mail	155	1	18	94	42
		21.9%	1.4%	30.4%	32.7%	13.9%
November 2007	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	602	56	45	215	286
	Poll	31	2	5	19	5
	Mail	77	1	10	53	13
		10.9%	1.9%	16.9%	18.4%	4.2%
February 2008	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	357	43	15	112	188
	Poll	166	14	26	64	62
	Mail	186	2	20	111	53
		26.2%	3.1%	33.6%	38.6%	17.6%
June 2008	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	544	55	35	190	264
	Poll	56	3	12	26	15
	Mail	110	0	14	71	24
		15.4%	0.7%	23.0%	24.7%	8.0%
November 2008	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	262	38	7	68	149
	Poll	215	18	36	78	83
	Mail	233	3	18	141	71
		32.9%	5.4%	30.0%	49.2%	23.3%
May 2009	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	520	50	38	178	254
	Poll	65	5	8	34	17
	Mail	125	4	14	75	32
		17.6%	6.3%	23.8%	26.0%	10.6%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
November 2009	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	574	56	44	201	273
	Poll	51	2	5	33	11
	Mail	85	0	12	53	20
		12.0%	0.4%	20.1%	18.4%	6.6%
June 2010	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	482	48	28	164	242
	Poll	90	7	14	42	27
	Mail	138	3	19	81	34
		19.4%	5.5%	30.9%	28.3%	11.3%
November 2010	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	277	34	12	70	162
	Poll	202	15	28	88	72
	Mail	230	9	22	130	70
		32.4%	16.2%	35.6%	45.1%	23.0%
June 2012	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	470	48	35	146	241
	Poll	73	6	6	40	21
	Mail	167	5	19	101	41
		23.5%	8.5%	31.9%	35.2%	13.6%
November 2012	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	208	29	5	47	127
	Poll	218	19	25	91	83
	Mail	284	11	30	149	94
		39.9%	18.6%	50.0%	51.8%	30.8%
June 2014	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	484	44	35	158	247
	Poll	56	5	11	30	10
	Mail	169	9	14	99	46
		23.8%	16.2%	23.3%	34.6%	15.2%
November 2014	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	292	34	19	70	168
	Poll	167	16	19	77	55
	Mail	252	9	22	140	81
		35.5%	14.9%	36.5%	48.9%	26.5%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
June 2016	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	No	270	26	21	83	142
	Poll	157	20	13	55	68
	Mail	283	13	27	149	94
		38.1%	43.8%	33.9%	28.8%	46.6%

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
June 2006	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	488	105	142	138	56	46
	Poll	117	0	0	7	31	80
	Mail	106	0	0	5	5	95
		68.7%	100.0%	100.0%	92.0%	61.1%	21.0%
November 2006	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	364	105	142	83	20	13
	Poll	191	0	0	47	48	96
	Mail	155	0	0	19	24	112
		51.3%	100.0%	100.0%	55.8%	21.8%	6.1%
November 2007	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	602	105	140	147	89	121
	Poll	31	0	0	2	0	29
	Mail	77	0	2	0	3	71
		84.8%	100.0%	98.5%	98.5%	96.3%	54.8%
February 2008	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	357	105	131	93	21	7
	Poll	166	0	6	38	41	81
	Mail	186	0	4	19	30	133
		50.3%	100.0%	92.4%	62.2%	22.4%	3.2%
June 2008	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	544	105	142	146	80	70
	Poll	56	0	0	7	49	49
	Mail	110	0	0	3	4	102
		76.6%	100.0%	100.0%	97.7%	87.2%	31.8%
November 2008	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	262	105	102	44	7	2
	Poll	215	0	30	69	45	71

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
June 2009	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	520	105	142	142	71	60
	Poll	65	0	0	2	9	54
May 2009	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	574	105	142	140	82	105
	Poll	51	0	0	2	6	43
November 2009	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	482	105	140	140	58	39
	Poll	90	0	2	5	17	66
June 2010	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	482	105	140	140	58	39
	Poll	90	0	2	5	17	66
November 2010	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	277	105	116	45	4	7
	Poll	202	0	16	59	49	78
June 2012	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	470	105	141	124	61	40
	Poll	73	0	0	7	12	54
November 2012	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	208	105	80	22	0	1
	Poll	218	0	41	66	44	67
June 2014	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	484	105	135	124	72	48
	Poll	56	0	0	6	3	47

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Mail	Total	169	0	7	19	17	126
		23.8%	0.0%	5.1%	12.7%	18.6%	56.9%
November 2014	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	292	105	110	54	15	6
Poll		41.1%	100.0%	77.9%	36.3%	16.6%	2.8%
June 2016	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	No	270	105	61	65	28	12
Poll		38.1%	100.0%	42.8%	43.2%	29.9%	5.5%
Mail	Total	157	0	48	31	30	47
		22.0%	0.0%	34.2%	20.7%	32.4%	21.4%
Total	Total	283	0	33	54	35	162
		39.9%	0.0%	23.0%	36.1%	37.7%	73.1%

		Party by Gender								
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
June 2006	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	481	142	99	27	60	69	73	8	3
Poll		68.8%	62.6%	65.9%	57.2%	75.9%	83.4%	80.5%	71.3%	29.0%
November 2006	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	359	105	78	19	41	54	53	7	3
Poll		51.4%	46.1%	51.8%	39.7%	52.8%	64.9%	58.9%	58.8%	24.3%
November 2007	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	594	195	127	36	64	78	78	9	7
Poll		84.9%	85.8%	85.1%	75.1%	81.4%	94.8%	86.0%	73.5%	60.9%
February 2008	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	352	101	72	17	47	51	54	7	3
Poll		50.3%	44.5%	48.1%	34.9%	59.7%	62.3%	59.3%	60.9%	24.8%
November 2010	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	535	167	111	40	63	68	71	8	7

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Party by Gender								
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
June 2008	No	76.5%	73.4%	74.4%	83.6%	79.9%	82.3%	78.2%	71.3%	60.9%
	Poll	55	23	15	3	3	4	4	0	3
November 2008	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	257	81	58	4	28	39	41	4	3
Poll		36.7%	35.4%	38.6%	8.2%	35.8%	47.2%	45.4%	30.5%	24.3%
May 2009	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	510	167	115	31	52	62	68	9	6
Poll		73.0%	73.2%	76.9%	65.7%	65.8%	75.6%	75.2%	76.9%	56.7%
November 2009	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	564	181	122	37	60	75	77	9	3
Poll		80.7%	79.5%	81.6%	77.0%	76.7%	90.6%	84.7%	79.1%	29.5%
June 2010	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	476	146	96	34	47	66	72	8	6
Poll		68.1%	64.2%	64.1%	71.8%	59.7%	80.3%	79.4%	71.3%	56.7%
November 2010	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	272	83	60	9	27	40	45	6	3
Poll		38.9%	36.3%	39.9%	19.1%	34.3%	48.3%	49.7%	53.6%	24.3%
June 2012	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	465	155	91	29	46	64	69	7	3
Poll		66.5%	68.2%	60.9%	61.7%	59.1%	77.3%	75.9%	61.0%	29.0%
November 2012	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	203	62	41	4	23	30	35	6	3
Poll		29.1%	27.3%	27.4%	7.5%	28.9%	36.4%	38.5%	53.6%	24.3%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Party by Gender								
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
November 2012	Poll	215	67	51	18	24	19	32	1	3
		30.7%	29.4%	34.1%	38.3%	31.0%	23.5%	34.8%	5.2%	24.9%
	Mail	281	98	58	26	32	33	24	5	5
		40.2%	43.2%	38.5%	54.1%	40.2%	40.1%	26.8%	41.2%	50.7%
June 2014	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	477	144	101	29	51	62	76	8	6
		68.2%	63.3%	67.6%	61.4%	64.7%	74.4%	84.3%	66.1%	56.2%
	Poll	54	16	17	5	7	4	3	0	3
		7.8%	7.0%	11.1%	11.0%	8.6%	5.4%	3.0%	0.0%	24.4%
	Mail	168	68	32	13	21	17	12	4	2
		24.0%	29.7%	21.3%	27.7%	26.7%	20.2%	12.8%	33.9%	19.4%
November 2014	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	287	91	55	14	28	44	49	4	3
		41.0%	40.0%	36.9%	29.6%	35.1%	52.8%	53.7%	33.4%	24.3%
	Poll	163	54	35	12	22	13	19	3	6
		23.3%	23.7%	23.4%	25.2%	27.7%	15.6%	21.1%	25.4%	51.4%
	Mail	249	83	59	21	29	26	23	5	3
		35.7%	36.4%	39.7%	45.3%	37.1%	31.6%	25.2%	41.2%	24.3%
June 2016	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12	11
	No	268	58	43	22	37	49	51	6	3
		38.4%	25.6%	28.7%	46.5%	47.0%	59.1%	56.0%	50.6%	24.3%
	Poll	153	49	47	8	13	17	14	2	3
		21.9%	21.5%	31.5%	16.8%	17.0%	20.6%	15.0%	17.7%	24.4%
	Mail	278	120	60	17	28	17	26	4	5
		39.8%	52.9%	39.8%	36.7%	36.1%	20.3%	29.1%	31.7%	51.2%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
June 2006	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	252	76	85	40	17	33
		69.1%	76.7%	68.4%	73.7%	39.4%	78.5%
	Poll	57	13	22	4	11	7
		15.7%	13.5%	17.8%	7.4%	24.2%	16.6%
	Mail	55	10	17	10	16	2
		15.2%	9.9%	13.8%	18.9%	36.5%	4.9%
November 2006	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	186	51	66	33	10	26
		51.0%	51.2%	53.1%	60.2%	23.1%	61.3%
	Poll	104	30	35	13	15	11
		28.6%	30.7%	28.2%	23.9%	33.3%	26.1%
	Mail	75	18	23	9	19	5
		20.4%	18.1%	18.7%	16.0%	43.5%	12.6%
November 2007	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	304	81	112	48	25	38
		83.4%	82.0%	89.6%	89.2%	56.4%	89.4%
	Poll	20	5	2	3	7	3
		5.4%	5.3%	1.6%	5.9%	15.0%	6.4%
	Mail	41	13	11	3	13	2
		11.2%	12.7%	8.8%	4.9%	28.6%	4.2%
February 2008	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	168	48	66	28	9	18
		46.2%	48.4%	52.7%	51.4%	20.4%	42.2%
	Poll	101	23	36	10	16	15
		27.7%	23.5%	28.9%	19.3%	36.1%	35.9%
	Mail	95	28	23	16	19	9
		26.1%	28.1%	18.4%	29.3%	43.5%	21.9%
June 2008	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	281	82	101	41	24	34
		77.1%	82.4%	81.2%	75.6%	53.2%	79.4%
	Poll	30	8	8	4	2	8
		8.4%	8.6%	6.4%	6.7%	4.6%	19.5%
	Mail	53	9	15	10	19	0
		14.5%	9.0%	12.4%	17.7%	42.2%	1.1%
November 2008	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	127	35	50	18	8	15
		34.7%	35.2%	40.2%	33.0%	18.9%	36.0%
	Poll	125	34	37	17	20	17
		34.3%	34.0%	29.6%	32.2%	44.7%	40.3%
	Mail	113	30	38	19	16	10
		31.0%	30.7%	30.2%	34.8%	36.4%	23.8%
May 2009	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	265	79	90	36	26	34
		72.7%	79.4%	72.4%	67.3%	58.8%	79.2%
	Poll	38	5	13	8	5	6
		10.3%	5.5%	10.6%	15.2%	11.2%	13.7%
	Mail	62	15	21	9	13	3
		17.0%	15.1%	17.0%	17.5%	30.0%	7.2%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
November 2009	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	295	90	100	38	31	36
	Poll	80.9%	90.6%	80.6%	70.1%	69.4%	85.0%
	Mail	26	0	9	7	4	5
June 2010	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	247	75	82	33	26	31
	Poll	67.7%	75.6%	66.1%	60.7%	58.9%	72.2%
	Mail	47	11	17	5	6	8
November 2010	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	144	44	48	17	11	24
	Poll	39.6%	44.6%	38.7%	30.5%	25.9%	56.4%
	Mail	109	31	39	14	12	13
June 2012	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	237	77	81	33	22	25
	Poll	65.1%	77.3%	64.7%	60.3%	50.6%	59.2%
	Mail	41	7	14	4	6	10
November 2012	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	90	28	37	6	7	11
	Poll	24.8%	28.5%	29.8%	11.8%	16.2%	26.6%
	Mail	126	37	43	12	12	22
June 2014	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	242	73	81	31	27	30
	Poll	66.5%	73.9%	65.1%	57.8%	60.8%	70.4%
	Mail	32	6	13	3	3	6
November 2014	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	144	47	51	10	14	22
	Poll	39.6%	47.6%	40.6%	19.4%	31.9%	51.3%
	Mail	89	25	36	9	7	13

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
June 2016	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	No	135	33	45	17	14	26
	Poll	36.9%	33.8%	35.8%	30.5%	32.4%	60.3%
	Mail	91	28	40	6	8	8

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
June 2006	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	236	59	59	18	83	18
	Poll	68.2%	58.8%	69.8%	66.0%	76.5%	67.4%
	Mail	59	26	16	1	12	4
November 2006	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	178	50	47	10	61	11
	Poll	51.6%	50.5%	55.5%	35.7%	56.5%	40.0%
	Mail	86	31	25	4	17	10
June 2008	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	189	53	44	10	66	16
	Poll	54.7%	52.9%	52.5%	36.0%	61.6%	59.1%
	Mail	66	27	23	1	11	4
November 2008	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	298	88	73	19	94	23
	Poll	86.3%	88.4%	86.2%	72.3%	87.2%	88.6%
	Mail	11	5	2	0	4	0
February 2008	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	189	53	44	10	66	16
	Poll	54.7%	52.9%	52.5%	36.0%	61.6%	59.1%
	Mail	66	27	23	1	11	4
June 2008	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	263	73	63	20	86	21
	Poll	76.1%	73.1%	74.1%	74.5%	79.5%	80.8%
	Mail	26	8	11	0	5	2
November 2008	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	135	39	28	6	54	7
	Poll	39.2%	39.1%	33.3%	24.0%	50.3%	27.9%
	Mail	57	19	11	7	17	3

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					Not sure [DK/NA]
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	
November 2008	Poll	90	31	26	5	18	11
		26.0%	31.0%	30.3%	17.3%	16.4%	41.1%
	Mail	120	30	31	16	36	8
		34.8%	29.9%	36.4%	58.8%	33.2%	30.9%
May 2009	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	255	74	57	21	81	22
		73.9%	74.1%	67.8%	77.4%	75.0%	85.2%
	Poll	27	12	9	1	3	2
	7.8%	12.3%	10.8%	2.1%	3.2%	6.3%	
Mail	63	14	18	5	24	2	
	18.2%	13.6%	21.4%	20.5%	21.8%	8.5%	
November 2009	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	279	87	67	20	88	17
		80.8%	87.1%	79.6%	73.0%	81.3%	66.2%
	Poll	25	10	7	0	5	4
	7.3%	10.0%	7.8%	0.4%	4.8%	13.5%	
Mail	41	3	11	7	15	5	
	11.9%	2.9%	12.6%	26.7%	13.9%	20.3%	
June 2010	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	235	71	55	17	76	16
		68.1%	71.2%	64.7%	62.9%	70.6%	61.9%
	Poll	43	15	17	0	8	3
	12.5%	15.1%	20.3%	0.4%	7.0%	12.6%	
Mail	67	14	13	10	24	7	
	19.4%	13.8%	15.0%	36.7%	22.4%	25.5%	
November 2010	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	133	33	30	7	51	13
		38.5%	33.3%	35.3%	24.4%	46.9%	48.0%
	Poll	94	32	31	4	18	9
	27.1%	32.3%	36.7%	14.2%	16.3%	33.6%	
Mail	119	34	24	16	40	5	
	34.5%	34.4%	28.0%	61.5%	36.7%	18.4%	
June 2012	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	233	70	55	16	73	18
		67.4%	69.8%	65.6%	59.0%	68.0%	70.0%
	Poll	32	10	12	0	8	2
	9.2%	9.9%	13.9%	0.4%	7.4%	8.1%	
Mail	81	20	17	11	27	6	
	23.4%	20.3%	20.5%	40.6%	24.6%	21.9%	
November 2012	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	118	33	26	7	45	6
		34.2%	33.4%	31.1%	27.2%	41.9%	22.3%
	Poll	92	31	26	3	23	8
	26.6%	31.0%	31.0%	12.7%	21.3%	31.4%	
Mail	136	36	32	16	40	12	
	39.3%	35.6%	37.9%	60.1%	36.8%	46.3%	
June 2014	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	242	71	51	16	89	15
	70.0%	71.5%	60.8%	59.1%	82.0%	56.3%	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					Not sure [DK/NA]
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	
June 2014	Poll	25	12	6	0	4	2
		7.2%	12.2%	7.7%	0.4%	3.6%	8.1%
	Mail	79	16	27	11	16	9
		22.8%	16.3%	31.6%	40.6%	14.4%	35.6%
November 2014	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	147	42	31	11	55	9
		42.7%	41.7%	37.1%	39.9%	50.6%	34.4%
	Poll	78	32	22	2	16	6
	22.5%	32.2%	26.2%	6.1%	14.5%	22.9%	
Mail	120	26	31	14	38	11	
	34.9%	26.2%	36.7%	53.9%	34.9%	42.7%	
June 2016	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	No	136	40	23	9	51	13
		39.3%	39.8%	27.6%	32.3%	47.5%	49.2%
	Poll	66	27	22	3	13	0
	19.0%	27.5%	26.2%	11.0%	12.2%	0.0%	
Mail	144	33	39	15	43	13	
	41.6%	32.7%	46.3%	56.8%	40.3%	50.8%	

		Total	
		Total	Total
P. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	605	605
	1	61	61
		10.1%	10.1%
	2	37	37
		6.1%	6.1%
	3	44	44
		7.3%	7.3%
	4	34	34
		5.6%	5.6%
	5	54	54
		9.0%	9.0%
	6	61	61
		10.1%	10.1%
	7	26	26
		4.3%	4.3%
8	32	32	
	5.3%	5.3%	
9	34	34	
	5.6%	5.6%	
10	27	27	
	4.4%	4.4%	
11	35	35	
	5.9%	5.9%	
12	23	23	
	3.8%	3.8%	
13	31	31	
	5.1%	5.1%	
14	39	39	
	6.5%	6.5%	
15	29	29	
	4.8%	4.8%	



	Total	
	Total	Total
16	37	37
	6.1%	6.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Total
	Total
	(A)
1	.
2	.
3	.
4	.
5	.
6	.
7	.
8	.
9	.
10	.
11	.
12	.
13	.
14	.
15	.
16	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
Total	605	271	322	11
1	61	30	26	5
	10.1%	11.0%	8.0%	44.9%
2	37	19	18	0
	6.1%	6.9%	5.7%	0.0%
3	44	14	30	0
	7.3%	5.0%	9.4%	0.0%
4	34	15	19	0
	5.6%	5.4%	6.0%	0.3%
5	54	25	30	0
	9.0%	9.0%	9.2%	0.0%
6	61	27	34	0
	10.1%	9.9%	10.6%	0.0%
7	26	13	13	0
	4.3%	4.7%	4.1%	0.0%
8	32	14	18	0
	5.3%	5.2%	5.6%	0.0%
9	34	14	19	1
	5.6%	5.1%	5.8%	11.6%
10	27	15	11	0
	4.4%	5.5%	3.6%	0.0%
11	35	14	18	4
	5.9%	5.0%	5.6%	36.2%
12	23	10	13	0
	3.8%	3.8%	3.9%	0.0%
13	31	9	22	0
	5.1%	3.2%	6.9%	0.0%
14	39	20	19	0
	6.5%	7.3%	5.8%	3.5%
15	29	17	11	0
	4.8%	6.4%	3.6%	3.5%
16	37	17	20	0
	6.1%	6.3%	6.2%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
1			A B
2			a
3		A	a
4			a
5			a
6			a
7			a
8			a
9			a
10			a
11			A B
12			a
13		A	a
14			a
15			a
16			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
P. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	605	46	71	115	116	86	162	8
	1	61	9	18	25	2	3	3	0
		10.1%	19.9%	25.3%	22.0%	1.8%	3.5%	1.8%	3.5%
	2	37	10	8	6	5	7	2	0
		6.1%	22.5%	11.0%	5.3%	4.1%	7.6%	1.0%	0.0%
	3	44	7	9	12	6	4	6	0
		7.3%	15.3%	12.4%	10.2%	5.5%	4.6%	3.6%	0.0%
	4	34	6	3	7	9	3	6	0
		5.6%	13.1%	4.1%	6.0%	7.6%	3.8%	3.8%	0.0%
	5	54	4	8	13	9	5	16	0
		9.0%	7.7%	10.9%	11.1%	8.2%	6.2%	9.6%	0.0%
	6	61	2	15	15	10	2	14	3
		10.1%	5.0%	21.0%	13.1%	8.3%	2.7%	8.5%	38.4%
	7	26	0	5	3	9	1	7	0
		4.3%	0.0%	7.7%	2.9%	8.1%	1.4%	4.2%	0.0%
	8	32	0	3	6	6	5	12	0
		5.3%	0.0%	3.8%	5.5%	5.2%	5.9%	7.4%	0.0%
9	34	2	2	4	11	7	9	0	
	5.6%	3.8%	2.6%	3.1%	9.6%	8.1%	5.3%	0.0%	
10	27	0	0	11	7	5	2	2	
	4.4%	0.0%	0.4%	9.2%	6.3%	5.3%	1.2%	22.3%	
11	35	2	0	4	11	12	5	1	
	5.9%	5.0%	0.1%	3.0%	9.8%	14.0%	3.4%	8.2%	
12	23	0	0	0	2	4	16	0	
	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	5.1%	9.9%	0.0%	
13	31	2	0	5	9	6	9	0	
	5.1%	3.7%	0.1%	4.5%	7.9%	7.1%	5.3%	0.0%	
14	39	2	0	3	6	10	17	0	
	6.5%	4.0%	0.6%	2.2%	5.6%	11.6%	10.7%	4.9%	
15	29	0	0	1	5	8	14	1	
	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	4.3%	8.8%	8.9%	15.2%	
16	37	0	0	1	7	4	25	1	
	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	5.8%	4.3%	15.5%	7.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Age						
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
1	DEF	DEF	DEF				.
2	CDF	F					.
3							.
4							.
5							.
6		E					E
7	.						.
8	.						.
9							.
10	.		F				BF
11					BF		
12	.	.				C	
13							
14	.	.					
15	.	.					
16	.	.				C	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
	Total	605	435	170
1	61	22	39	10.1%
2	37	22	15	6.1%
3	44	32	12	7.3%
4	34	21	13	5.6%
5	54	37	17	9.0%
6	61	40	21	10.1%
7	26	16	10	4.3%
8	32	24	8	5.3%
9	34	25	8	5.6%
10	27	21	6	4.4%
11	35	30	5	5.9%
12	23	22	1	3.8%
13	31	25	6	5.1%
14	39	37	2	6.5%
15	29	24	5	4.8%
16	37	36	1	6.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
1		A
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	B	
13		
14	B	
15		
16	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>Total</b>	605	347	114	16	127
1	61 10.1%	32 9.1%	8 7.4%	0 2.1%	20 16.1%
2	37 6.1%	26 7.4%	7 6.1%	0 0.0%	5 3.7%
3	44 7.3%	25 7.2%	8 7.2%	2 10.9%	9 6.9%
4	34 5.6%	19 5.5%	10 8.5%	1 3.8%	5 3.7%
5	54 9.0%	36 10.4%	7 5.8%	0 0.0%	12 9.3%
6	61 10.1%	34 9.7%	13 11.4%	1 3.8%	14 10.8%
7	26 4.3%	12 3.5%	3 2.7%	0 0.0%	11 8.6%
8	32 5.3%	18 5.1%	6 5.3%	1 5.3%	8 6.0%
9	34 5.6%	19 5.6%	10 8.3%	0 0.0%	5 3.9%
10	27 4.4%	12 3.6%	3 2.7%	4 23.9%	7 5.6%
11	35 5.9%	17 5.0%	9 7.8%	0 0.0%	9 7.2%
12	23 3.8%	12 3.3%	7 6.3%	1 5.7%	3 2.5%
13	31 5.1%	24 6.9%	5 4.4%	0 0.0%	2 1.4%
14	39 6.5%	24 6.9%	6 5.3%	1 7.8%	8 6.2%
15	29 4.8%	19 5.3%	5 4.3%	2 9.3%	4 3.4%
16	37 6.1%	19 5.6%	7 6.5%	4 27.4%	6 4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9			a	
10			AB	
11			a	
12				
13			a	
14				
15				
16			ABD	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	605	179	106	36	45	137	103
1	61	20	7	2	2	13	15
2	37	9	9	0	3	12	5
3	44	14	5	2	5	12	5
4	34	9	6	2	3	9	5
5	54	19	13	1	2	11	9
6	61	15	9	8	0	23	6
7	26	9	2	0	3	5	8
8	32	6	9	2	2	8	6
9	34	11	7	5	2	5	3
10	27	7	5	0	1	4	9
11	35	10	5	6	3	3	9
12	23	8	4	2	4	3	3
13	31	14	6	2	2	6	1
14	39	8	7	0	6	12	6
15	29	14	3	2	3	3	5
16	37	7	10	1	3	9	7

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6			F	a		
7						
8						
9			a			
10						
11			E			
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total	605	194	95	46	69	57
1	61 10.1%	45 23.2%	11 11.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 6.8%
2	37 6.1%	24 12.6%	4 4.5%	0 0.2%	1 1.5%	3 5.5%
3	44 7.3%	14 7.1%	9 9.0%	1 1.4%	11 15.3%	5 8.2%
4	34 5.6%	13 7.0%	4 4.2%	0 0.1%	6 8.3%	3 4.9%
5	54 9.0%	19 9.7%	14 14.5%	8 16.8%	8 11.3%	3 5.8%
6	61 10.1%	15 7.7%	16 16.6%	10 22.0%	7 10.5%	3 5.9%
7	26 4.3%	9 4.5%	5 4.8%	2 4.5%	3 4.0%	4 6.7%
8	32 5.3%	11 5.5%	4 4.4%	2 3.4%	4 5.4%	0 0.0%
9	34 5.6%	7 3.6%	4 3.7%	3 6.1%	8 11.2%	4 7.0%
10	27 4.4%	5 2.6%	7 7.8%	4 8.6%	6 8.3%	1 2.4%
11	35 5.9%	5 2.6%	5 5.1%	6 12.8%	4 5.1%	2 3.7%
12	23 3.8%	3 1.3%	0 0.3%	3 7.1%	0 0.0%	5 8.7%
13	31 5.1%	7 3.7%	2 2.1%	5 11.0%	1 2.1%	3 4.9%
14	39 6.5%	4 1.9%	5 5.4%	1 1.9%	4 5.6%	10 17.2%
15	29 4.8%	6 3.0%	4 4.0%	1 1.2%	4 5.2%	3 5.5%
16	37 6.1%	8 4.1%	2 2.0%	1 2.8%	4 6.0%	4 7.0%

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>Total</b>	44	67	33
<b>1</b>	0 0.8%	0 0.0%	1 2.5%
<b>2</b>	0 0.0%	2 2.4%	2 7.6%
<b>3</b>	0 0.0%	3 4.4%	3 8.1%
<b>4</b>	3 5.8%	3 3.9%	3 8.7%
<b>5</b>	2 3.7%	1 1.9%	0 0.0%
<b>6</b>	6 14.6%	1 2.1%	2 5.3%
<b>7</b>	2 4.0%	1 1.2%	2 5.2%
<b>8</b>	5 10.7%	4 6.5%	3 9.0%
<b>9</b>	6 12.6%	2 2.9%	1 3.8%
<b>10</b>	1 3.2%	1 2.1%	0 0.1%
<b>11</b>	2 4.3%	9 13.9%	3 8.7%
<b>12</b>	2 4.5%	9 13.7%	1 1.9%
<b>13</b>	3 6.2%	9 12.8%	1 3.5%
<b>14</b>	3 5.8%	8 12.3%	5 15.1%
<b>15</b>	4 9.0%	8 11.8%	0 1.5%
<b>16</b>	6 14.6%	5 8.0%	6 19.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>P. Times Voted in Last Elections</b>	1	F		a	a		
	2						a
	3						a
	4						
	5						
	6			G			
	7						
	8					a	
	9						
	10						
	11						
	12				a		
	13						
	14					A	
	15						
	16						

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
<b>P. Times Voted in Last Elections</b>	1	a	
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		a
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11	A	
	12	A B	
	13		
	14	A	A
	15		
	16		A B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>Total</b>	605	146	294	31	37	31	36	29
<b>1</b>	61	4	39	5	1	6	4	3
	10.1%	2.6%	13.3%	14.6%	1.6%	21.0%	10.1%	9.4%
<b>2</b>	37	0	21	4	2	2	5	4
	6.1%	0.0%	7.1%	12.0%	4.9%	5.7%	14.3%	13.4%
<b>3</b>	44	13	24	0	2	2	0	3
	7.3%	8.8%	8.1%	0.0%	5.9%	7.5%	0.0%	9.1%
<b>4</b>	34	11	12	1	0	0	6	4
	5.6%	7.3%	4.1%	4.5%	0.1%	0.0%	17.4%	12.7%
<b>5</b>	54	17	18	1	3	1	11	3
	9.0%	11.3%	6.3%	2.7%	8.4%	4.8%	31.2%	9.5%
<b>6</b>	61	16	33	0	5	4	0	2
	10.1%	11.1%	11.3%	0.2%	13.7%	14.1%	0.0%	7.1%
<b>7</b>	26	0	20	2	2	0	2	0
	4.3%	0.0%	6.7%	7.3%	6.8%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%
<b>8</b>	32	6	19	0	3	2	2	2
	5.3%	4.2%	6.5%	1.4%	0.0%	8.2%	4.6%	8.1%
<b>9</b>	34	7	18	3	1	1	1	2
	5.6%	4.9%	6.2%	8.4%	3.8%	2.3%	3.4%	7.9%
<b>10</b>	27	7	10	2	1	6	0	1
	4.4%	4.5%	3.5%	6.9%	1.4%	19.3%	0.5%	3.2%
<b>11</b>	35	16	18	0	1	1	0	0
	5.9%	10.7%	6.0%	0.0%	2.2%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>12</b>	23	8	12	1	0	1	1	0
	3.8%	5.3%	4.0%	1.9%	0.3%	4.4%	3.8%	0.0%
<b>13</b>	31	15	13	0	1	1	0	0
	5.1%	10.3%	4.6%	0.9%	1.8%	3.8%	0.8%	0.0%
<b>14</b>	39	9	15	4	6	0	1	4
	6.5%	6.2%	5.0%	13.3%	14.9%	0.0%	3.7%	14.3%
<b>15</b>	29	11	9	2	5	0	1	1
	4.8%	7.5%	3.1%	5.0%	12.6%	1.6%	3.9%	3.9%
<b>16</b>	37	8	13	7	8	1	0	0
	6.1%	5.4%	4.4%	20.9%	21.6%	3.4%	1.4%	1.4%

P. Times Voted in Last Elections

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Date						
	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>1</b>							
<b>2</b>		A			A		
<b>3</b>	a						
<b>4</b>			a			a	
<b>5</b>						B	
<b>6</b>						B	
<b>7</b>	a					a	
<b>8</b>							a
<b>9</b>							a
<b>10</b>					B		
<b>11</b>						a	a
<b>12</b>							a
<b>13</b>							
<b>14</b>					a		
<b>15</b>							
<b>16</b>			B	A B			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>	605	426	179
<b>1</b>	61 10.1%	40 9.3%	21 11.7%
<b>2</b>	37 6.1%	23 5.3%	14 8.1%
<b>3</b>	44 7.3%	24 5.6%	20 11.2%
<b>4</b>	34 5.6%	27 6.2%	8 4.3%
<b>5</b>	54 9.0%	34 8.0%	20 11.4%
<b>6</b>	61 10.1%	45 10.5%	16 9.0%
<b>7</b>	26 4.3%	17 4.0%	9 5.1%
<b>8</b>	32 5.3%	20 4.6%	12 7.0%
<b>9</b>	34 5.6%	23 5.4%	11 6.0%
<b>10</b>	27 4.4%	25 5.8%	2 0.9%
<b>11</b>	35 5.9%	28 6.5%	8 4.3%
<b>12</b>	23 3.8%	14 3.2%	9 5.1%
<b>13</b>	31 5.1%	24 5.5%	7 4.1%
<b>14</b>	39 6.5%	29 6.7%	10 5.9%
<b>15</b>	29 4.8%	26 6.2%	3 1.7%
<b>16</b>	37 6.1%	30 7.0%	7 4.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>P. Times Voted in Last Elections</b>	1		
	2		
	3		A
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
	10	B	
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15	B	
	16		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		605	347	258
<b>1</b>		61 10.1%	19 5.4%	42 16.3%
<b>2</b>		37 6.1%	9 2.5%	28 11.0%
<b>3</b>		44 7.3%	7 1.9%	37 14.4%
<b>4</b>		34 5.6%	14 4.2%	20 7.6%
<b>5</b>		54 9.0%	27 7.9%	27 10.4%
<b>6</b>		61 10.1%	23 6.7%	38 14.7%
<b>7</b>		26 4.3%	12 3.4%	14 5.6%
<b>8</b>		32 5.3%	14 4.1%	18 6.9%
<b>9</b>		34 5.6%	19 5.5%	15 5.7%
<b>10</b>		27 4.4%	22 6.3%	5 1.8%
<b>11</b>		35 5.9%	29 8.3%	7 2.5%
<b>12</b>		23 3.8%	20 5.8%	3 1.1%
<b>13</b>		31 5.1%	29 8.3%	2 0.9%
<b>14</b>		39 6.5%	37 10.5%	2 0.9%
<b>15</b>		29 4.8%	29 8.4%	0 0.0%
<b>16</b>		37 6.1%	37 10.7%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
<b>P. Times Voted in Last Elections</b>	<b>1</b>		A
	<b>2</b>		A
	<b>3</b>		A
	<b>4</b>		
	<b>5</b>		
	<b>6</b>		A
	<b>7</b>		
	<b>8</b>		
	<b>9</b>		
	<b>10</b>	B	
	<b>11</b>	B	
	<b>12</b>	B	
	<b>13</b>	B	
	<b>14</b>	B	
	<b>15</b>		a
	<b>16</b>		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		605	605	
<b>P. Times Voted in Last Elections</b>	<b>1</b>	61 10.1%	61 10.1%	
	<b>2</b>	37 6.1%	37 6.1%	
	<b>3</b>	44 7.3%	44 7.3%	
	<b>4</b>	34 5.6%	34 5.6%	
	<b>5</b>	54 9.0%	54 9.0%	
	<b>6</b>	61 10.1%	61 10.1%	
	<b>7</b>	26 4.3%	26 4.3%	
	<b>8</b>	32 5.3%	32 5.3%	
	<b>9</b>	34 5.6%	34 5.6%	
	<b>10</b>	27 4.4%	27 4.4%	
	<b>11</b>	35 5.9%	35 5.9%	
	<b>12</b>	23 3.8%	23 3.8%	
	<b>13</b>	31 5.1%	31 5.1%	
	<b>14</b>	39 6.5%	39 6.5%	
	<b>15</b>	29 4.8%	29 4.8%	
	<b>16</b>	37 6.1%	37 6.1%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Voter	
	Yes	(A)
<b>P. Times Voted in Last Elections</b>	<b>1</b>	.
	<b>2</b>	.
	<b>3</b>	.
	<b>4</b>	.
	<b>5</b>	.
	<b>6</b>	.
	<b>7</b>	.
	<b>8</b>	.
	<b>9</b>	.
	<b>10</b>	.
	<b>11</b>	.
	<b>12</b>	.
	<b>13</b>	.
	<b>14</b>	.
	<b>15</b>	.
	<b>16</b>	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>	605	253	349	3
<b>1</b>	61	35	26	0
	10.1%	13.7%	7.4%	10.0%
<b>2</b>	37	10	25	2
	6.1%	4.1%	7.2%	64.6%
<b>3</b>	44	20	24	0
	7.3%	8.0%	6.8%	0.0%
<b>4</b>	34	14	20	0
	5.6%	5.5%	5.8%	0.0%
<b>5</b>	54	24	31	0
	9.0%	9.4%	8.8%	0.0%
<b>6</b>	61	37	24	1
	10.1%	14.5%	6.8%	24.3%
<b>7</b>	26	14	12	0
	4.3%	5.6%	3.4%	0.0%
<b>8</b>	32	12	20	0
	5.3%	4.8%	5.8%	0.1%
<b>9</b>	34	14	20	0
	5.6%	5.4%	5.8%	0.0%
<b>10</b>	27	18	9	0
	4.4%	6.9%	2.6%	1.1%
<b>11</b>	35	13	22	0
	5.9%	5.3%	6.3%	0.0%
<b>12</b>	23	3	20	0
	3.8%	1.0%	5.8%	0.0%
<b>13</b>	31	13	18	0
	5.1%	5.2%	5.1%	0.0%
<b>14</b>	39	13	26	0
	6.5%	5.2%	7.4%	0.0%
<b>15</b>	29	6	23	0
	4.8%	2.5%	6.5%	0.0%
<b>16</b>	37	7	30	0
	6.1%	2.8%	8.6%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>1</b>	B		
<b>2</b>			A B
<b>3</b>			.a
<b>4</b>			.a
<b>5</b>			.a
<b>6</b>	B		
<b>7</b>			.a
<b>8</b>			
<b>9</b>			.a
<b>10</b>	B		
<b>11</b>			.a
<b>12</b>		A	.a
<b>13</b>			.a
<b>14</b>			.a
<b>15</b>		A	.a
<b>16</b>		A	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household					None in household
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	
P. Times Voted in Last Elections	<b>Total</b>	605	85	140	66	82	349
	<b>1</b>	61	25	18	2	5	26
		10.1%	29.1%	12.7%	2.7%	6.0%	7.4%
	<b>2</b>	37	9	10	1	0	25
		6.1%	10.8%	7.0%	0.9%	0.0%	7.2%
	<b>3</b>	44	12	6	6	8	24
		7.3%	14.3%	4.3%	8.5%	10.2%	6.8%
	<b>4</b>	34	6	12	2	4	20
		5.6%	7.3%	8.4%	2.9%	4.7%	5.8%
	<b>5</b>	54	6	14	10	7	31
		9.0%	6.9%	10.2%	15.0%	8.6%	8.8%
	<b>6</b>	61	11	22	6	8	24
		10.1%	13.4%	16.0%	9.1%	9.3%	6.8%
	<b>7</b>	26	4	7	3	6	12
		4.3%	4.8%	4.8%	4.9%	7.2%	3.4%
	<b>8</b>	32	7	7	2	1	20
		5.3%	8.4%	4.7%	2.9%	1.8%	5.8%
<b>9</b>	34	3	4	4	8	20	
	5.6%	3.0%	2.8%	6.0%	9.6%	5.8%	
<b>10</b>	27	1	12	11	10	9	
	4.4%	0.8%	8.9%	16.1%	12.0%	2.6%	
<b>11</b>	35	1	11	8	3	22	
	5.9%	1.0%	7.7%	12.2%	3.2%	6.3%	
<b>12</b>	23	0	0	2	1	20	
	3.8%	0.1%	0.2%	2.8%	1.5%	5.8%	
<b>13</b>	31	0	10	3	3	18	
	5.1%	0.0%	7.2%	5.3%	3.5%	5.1%	
<b>14</b>	39	0	3	3	8	26	
	6.5%	0.0%	1.8%	5.2%	9.8%	7.4%	
<b>15</b>	29	0	1	2	6	23	
	4.8%	0.0%	1.0%	3.1%	7.8%	6.5%	
<b>16</b>	37	0	3	2	4	30	
	6.1%	0.3%	2.2%	2.4%	4.7%	8.6%	

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
P. Times Voted in Last Elections	<b>Total</b>	3
	<b>1</b>	0
		10.0%
	<b>2</b>	2
		64.6%
	<b>3</b>	0
		0.0%
	<b>4</b>	0
		0.0%
	<b>5</b>	0
		0.0%
	<b>6</b>	1
		24.3%
	<b>7</b>	0
		0.0%
	<b>8</b>	0
		0.1%
<b>9</b>	0	
	0.0%	
<b>10</b>	0	
	1.1%	
<b>11</b>	0	
	0.0%	
<b>12</b>	0	
	0.0%	
<b>13</b>	0	
	0.0%	
<b>14</b>	0	
	0.0%	
<b>15</b>	0	
	0.0%	
<b>16</b>	0	
	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ages of Children in Household					
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1	B C D E					
2	D					B C D E
3	B					a
4						a
5						a
6		E				
7						a
8						a
9						a
10		A E	A E	A E		
11		A	A			a
12					B	a
13		A				a
14	a			B		a
15	a			B		a
16						a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Group						Two or more races
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	
<b>Total</b>	605	35	1	55	387	89		20
<b>1</b>	61	0	0	12	21	19		5
	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	22.3%	5.5%	21.7%		25.9%
<b>2</b>	37	7	0	7	15	5		2
	6.1%	19.4%	0.0%	12.7%	4.0%	5.9%		11.0%
<b>3</b>	44	2	0	12	21	7		1
	7.3%	5.6%	0.0%	22.5%	5.4%	7.8%		7.4%
<b>4</b>	34	0	0	0	19	14		0
	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	16.1%		0.0%
<b>5</b>	54	2	0	1	34	16		0
	9.0%	5.4%	0.0%	2.4%	8.8%	18.5%		0.0%
<b>6</b>	61	5	0	5	38	10		1
	10.1%	14.9%	0.0%	8.3%	9.9%	11.1%		4.3%
<b>7</b>	26	4	0	2	14	6		0
	4.3%	10.1%	0.0%	4.1%	3.7%	6.9%		0.0%
<b>8</b>	32	0	0	2	28	2		1
	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	7.2%	1.9%		4.4%
<b>9</b>	34	2	0	2	26	2		1
	5.6%	4.5%	0.0%	3.4%	6.6%	1.8%		7.5%
<b>10</b>	27	1	0	3	19	3		0
	4.4%	2.7%	0.0%	5.1%	5.0%	3.8%		0.0%
<b>11</b>	35	0	0	4	24	3		2
	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	6.2%	3.5%		10.6%
<b>12</b>	23	4	0	0	17	1		0
	3.8%	10.8%	0.0%	0.5%	4.3%	1.1%		0.0%
<b>13</b>	31	4	1	0	22	0		1
	5.1%	10.8%	100.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%		7.0%
<b>14</b>	39	6	0	0	28	0		4
	6.5%	15.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	0.0%		21.9%
<b>15</b>	29	0	0	4	25	0		0
	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	6.4%	0.0%		0.0%
<b>16</b>	37	0	0	0	36	0		0
	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	0.0%		0.0%

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	4	14
<b>1</b>	0 0.0%	3 20.8%
<b>2</b>	0 0.0%	0 3.4%
<b>3</b>	0 5.5%	0 0.0%
<b>4</b>	1 14.7%	0 0.2%
<b>5</b>	0 11.8%	0 0.0%
<b>6</b>	2 38.3%	1 4.7%
<b>7</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
<b>8</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
<b>9</b>	0 0.0%	2 12.1%
<b>10</b>	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
<b>11</b>	0 0.0%	2 13.0%
<b>12</b>	1 29.5%	0 0.0%
<b>13</b>	0 0.0%	3 24.6%
<b>14</b>	0 0.0%	1 6.8%
<b>15</b>	0 0.0%	0 2.8%
<b>16</b>	0 0.0%	2 11.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

		Ethnic Group						
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Two or more races	Some other race
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>P. Times Voted in Last Elections</b>	<b>1</b>	a	a,b	D		D	D	
	<b>2</b>	D	a,b					
	<b>3</b>		a,b	D				
	<b>4</b>	a	a,b	a		D		
	<b>5</b>		a,b				a	
	<b>6</b>		a,b					
	<b>7</b>		a,b					a
	<b>8</b>	a	a,b					a
	<b>9</b>		a,b					a
	<b>10</b>		a,b				a	a
	<b>11</b>	a	a,b					a
	<b>12</b>		a,b				a	C E
	<b>13</b>		a,b	a		a		a
	<b>14</b>		a,b	a		a		
	<b>15</b>	a	a,b			a	a	a
	<b>16</b>	a	a,b	a		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>

	Ethnic Group	
	Not sure/DK/NA	
	(H)	
<b>P. Times Voted in Last Elections</b>	<b>1</b>	
	<b>2</b>	
	<b>3</b>	a
	<b>4</b>	
	<b>5</b>	
	<b>6</b>	
	<b>7</b>	
	<b>8</b>	
	<b>9</b>	
	<b>10</b>	
	<b>11</b>	
	<b>12</b>	
	<b>13</b>	D
	<b>14</b>	
	<b>15</b>	
	<b>16</b>	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Surname						
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
<b>Total</b>	605	5	17	70	27	16	3	7
<b>1</b>	61	0	2	15	0	0	0	0
	10.1%	0.0%	13.1%	21.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%
<b>2</b>	37	0	0	3	2	0	0	5
	6.1%	7.7%	0.0%	4.5%	5.7%	0.0%	14.2%	77.5%
<b>3</b>	44	1	2	3	0	0	1	0
	7.3%	19.7%	12.7%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	36.8%	0.0%
<b>4</b>	34	0	0	13	2	1	0	0
	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	19.1%	7.3%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>5</b>	54	1	0	13	5	4	0	0
	9.0%	24.7%	0.0%	19.1%	17.8%	27.2%	0.0%	3.6%
<b>6</b>	61	0	3	7	4	2	2	0
	10.1%	0.0%	17.8%	10.0%	14.0%	14.5%	49.0%	0.0%
<b>7</b>	26	0	2	4	1	0	0	0
	4.3%	0.0%	11.1%	5.5%	4.1%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>8</b>	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>9</b>	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>10</b>	27	1	0	3	3	0	0	1
	4.4%	13.3%	0.0%	4.9%	9.7%	0.2%	0.0%	14.2%
<b>11</b>	35	0	4	2	1	4	0	0
	5.9%	0.0%	20.8%	2.7%	4.2%	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>12</b>	23	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
<b>13</b>	31	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>14</b>	39	2	0	0	2	2	0	0
	6.5%	34.5%	0.0%	0.1%	6.3%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>15</b>	29	0	3	0	2	0	0	0
	4.8%	0.0%	15.1%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>16</b>	37	0	2	0	3	2	0	0
	6.1%	0.0%	9.4%	0.0%	12.1%	14.7%	0.0%	0.0%

	Total	Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
<b>Total</b>	460	
<b>1</b>	43	
	9.3%	
<b>2</b>	27	
	5.8%	
<b>3</b>	36	
	7.8%	
<b>4</b>	18	
	3.9%	
<b>5</b>	30	
	6.6%	
<b>6</b>	43	
	9.4%	
<b>7</b>	19	
	4.1%	
<b>8</b>	28	
	6.2%	
<b>9</b>	34	
	7.3%	
<b>10</b>	19	
	4.1%	
<b>11</b>	25	
	5.5%	
<b>12</b>	20	
	4.5%	
<b>13</b>	28	
	6.1%	
<b>14</b>	34	
	7.4%	
<b>15</b>	25	
	5.4%	
<b>16</b>	30	
	6.5%	



Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname							
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
1	a		H		a	a		
2		a			a		C D H	
3				a	a		a	
4	a	a	H			a	a	
5		a	H		H	a		
6	a						a	
7	a					a	a	
8	a	a			a	a		
9	a	a	a			a	a	
10		a				a		
11	a						a	
12	a	a			C H	a		
13	a	a	a			a	a	
14	C	a				a	a	
15	a	C			a	a	a	
16	a		a			a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
Total	605	111	116	79	173	57
1	61 10.1%	7 6.1%	11 9.8%	4 4.5%	8 4.8%	17 30.0%
2	37 6.1%	3 2.3%	6 5.0%	7 8.9%	6 3.4%	6 10.0%
3	44 7.3%	9 8.4%	2 1.9%	7 8.7%	14 7.8%	3 4.5%
4	34 5.6%	7 5.9%	6 4.8%	6 8.1%	3 1.9%	0 0.0%
5	54 9.0%	10 9.1%	10 8.2%	3 3.9%	26 14.8%	3 4.6%
6	61 10.1%	6 5.8%	14 12.4%	16 19.8%	12 7.1%	6 10.0%
7	26 4.3%	3 2.5%	10 8.4%	0 0.0%	7 3.9%	5 8.6%
8	32 5.3%	5 4.8%	9 7.6%	4 4.8%	10 6.1%	2 3.4%
9	34 5.6%	5 4.4%	2 1.9%	5 5.8%	18 10.2%	0 0.0%
10	27 4.4%	4 3.5%	7 5.9%	5 6.8%	8 4.9%	1 1.7%
11	35 5.9%	6 5.2%	9 7.4%	5 6.4%	12 7.1%	3 5.3%
12	23 3.8%	10 8.8%	2 1.6%	2 2.6%	6 3.5%	3 5.6%
13	31 5.1%	5 4.1%	6 5.6%	5 6.5%	8 4.8%	5 8.6%
14	39 6.5%	9 8.2%	9 7.8%	3 4.1%	14 7.9%	3 5.3%
15	29 4.8%	12 10.9%	5 3.9%	3 4.1%	9 5.3%	0 0.5%
16	37 6.1%	11 9.9%	9 7.9%	4 4.8%	11 6.6%	1 1.9%

	Area	
		Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	69	
<b>1</b>	14 19.9%	
<b>2</b>	10 14.8%	
<b>3</b>	9 13.6%	
<b>4</b>	12 17.8%	
<b>5</b>	4 5.1%	
<b>6</b>	7 9.5%	
<b>7</b>	2 3.0%	
<b>8</b>	2 2.6%	
<b>9</b>	4 6.3%	
<b>10</b>	1 1.5%	
<b>11</b>	1 1.1%	
<b>12</b>	0 0.0%	
<b>13</b>	2 2.3%	
<b>14</b>	1 1.5%	
<b>15</b>	0 0.0%	
<b>16</b>	1 0.8%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>P. Times Voted in Last Elections</b>	1					A B C D
	2					
	3					
	4					
	5					
	6			A D		
	7					
	8					
	9					a
	10					
	11					
	12					
	13					
	14					
	15					
	16					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Area	
			Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	
<b>P. Times Voted in Last Elections</b>	1	D	
	2	A D	
	3	B	
	4	D E	
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12	a	
	13	.	
	14		
	15	a	
	16		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>	605	46	61	260	238
<b>1</b>	61	5	2	12	41
	10.1%	12.0%	2.5%	4.8%	17.4%
<b>2</b>	37	7	3	2	25
	6.1%	16.2%	5.6%	0.6%	10.4%
<b>3</b>	44	5	3	15	21
	7.3%	10.0%	5.2%	5.7%	8.9%
<b>4</b>	34	8	1	15	9
	5.6%	17.4%	2.2%	5.9%	3.9%
<b>5</b>	54	4	11	22	17
	9.0%	9.0%	17.9%	8.5%	7.3%
<b>6</b>	61	7	3	26	25
	10.1%	15.1%	5.7%	10.0%	10.4%
<b>7</b>	26	2	5	1	18
	4.3%	4.5%	7.7%	0.5%	7.6%
<b>8</b>	32	0	1	14	17
	5.3%	0.4%	2.0%	5.3%	7.2%
<b>9</b>	34	1	1	15	16
	5.6%	1.6%	2.4%	5.8%	6.9%
<b>10</b>	27	1	2	14	9
	4.4%	2.4%	4.1%	5.4%	3.7%
<b>11</b>	35	0	2	24	9
	5.9%	0.0%	4.0%	9.2%	3.8%
<b>12</b>	23	2	5	11	5
	3.8%	4.6%	7.8%	4.2%	2.1%
<b>13</b>	31	1	5	19	6
	5.1%	1.5%	7.8%	7.4%	2.6%
<b>14</b>	39	1	3	25	10
	6.5%	1.3%	4.2%	9.8%	4.4%
<b>15</b>	29	1	6	18	3
	4.8%	3.0%	10.7%	7.0%	1.3%
<b>16</b>	37	0	6	26	5
	6.1%	0.9%	10.3%	9.9%	2.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>1</b>				B C
<b>2</b>	C	C		C
<b>3</b>				
<b>4</b>	B C D			
<b>5</b>				
<b>6</b>				
<b>7</b>		C		C
<b>8</b>				
<b>9</b>				
<b>10</b>				
<b>11</b>	. <sup>a</sup>		D	
<b>12</b>				
<b>13</b>				
<b>14</b>				
<b>15</b>		D	D	
<b>16</b>		D	D	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>		605		142	150	92	221
<b>1</b>		61		61	0	0	0
		10.1%		42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>2</b>		37		37	0	0	0
		6.1%		26.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>3</b>		44		44	0	0	0
		7.3%		30.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>4</b>		34		0	34	0	0
		5.6%		0.0%	22.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>5</b>		54		0	54	0	0
		9.0%		0.0%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>6</b>		61		0	61	0	0
		10.1%		0.0%	40.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>7</b>		26		0	0	26	0
		4.3%		0.0%	0.0%	28.4%	0.0%
<b>8</b>		32		0	0	32	0
		5.3%		0.0%	0.0%	34.9%	0.0%
<b>9</b>		34		0	0	34	0
		5.6%		0.0%	0.0%	36.7%	0.0%
<b>10</b>		27		0	0	0	27
		4.4%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.0%
<b>11</b>		35		0	0	0	35
		5.9%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.0%
<b>12</b>		23		0	0	0	23
		3.8%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.4%
<b>13</b>		31		0	0	0	31
		5.1%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%
<b>14</b>		39		0	0	0	39
		6.5%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%
<b>15</b>		29		0	0	0	29
		4.8%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.2%
<b>16</b>		37		0	0	0	37
		6.1%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.8%

P. Times Voted in Last Elections

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>1</b>		.	a	a	a
<b>2</b>		.	a	a	a
<b>3</b>		.	a	a	a
<b>4</b>		a	.	a	a
<b>5</b>		a	.	a	a
<b>6</b>		a	.	a	a
<b>7</b>		a	a	.	a
<b>8</b>		a	a	.	a
<b>9</b>		a	a	.	a
<b>10</b>		a	a	a	.
<b>11</b>		a	a	a	.
<b>12</b>		a	a	a	.
<b>13</b>		a	a	a	.
<b>14</b>		a	a	a	.
<b>15</b>		a	a	a	.
<b>16</b>		a	a	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
P. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	594	206	134	47	67	62	62	8
	1	56 9.4%	15 7.1%	15 11.1%	1 2.5%	7 10.8%	10 16.0%	8 12.6%	0 4.2%
	2	37 6.3%	15 7.5%	10 7.5%	1 1.8%	6 9.1%	2 3.6%	2 4.0%	0 0.0%
	3	44 7.4%	20 9.8%	5 3.7%	6 12.0%	3 3.8%	3 4.3%	6 9.9%	2 21.5%
	4	34 5.7%	8 3.8%	11 8.5%	7 15.3%	3 3.8%	4 6.5%	1 1.1%	1 7.5%
	5	54 9.2%	20 9.6%	16 12.2%	1 2.2%	6 8.4%	9 14.8%	3 4.2%	0 0.0%
	6	61 10.3%	23 11.0%	11 8.3%	5 9.7%	8 12.6%	6 10.3%	7 11.9%	1 7.5%
	7	26 4.4%	7 3.6%	5 3.6%	0 0.0%	3 4.6%	6 9.7%	5 7.9%	0 0.0%
	8	32 5.4%	9 4.3%	9 6.5%	4 8.6%	2 3.0%	4 6.8%	3 5.6%	1 10.5%
	9	33 5.5%	12 5.9%	6 4.4%	6 12.8%	4 5.3%	0 0.8%	4 7.2%	0 0.0%
	10	27 4.5%	9 4.3%	4 2.6%	1 2.4%	2 3.0%	1 2.3%	6 9.1%	0 0.0%
	11	31 5.3%	10 4.8%	4 2.7%	5 9.8%	4 6.4%	4 5.7%	6 9.0%	0 0.0%
	12	23 3.9%	8 3.9%	4 2.6%	0 0.9%	7 10.0%	3 5.1%	0 0.1%	1 10.7%
	13	31 5.2%	18 8.8%	6 4.5%	3 6.4%	2 3.1%	1 1.9%	1 1.0%	0 0.0%
	14	39 6.5%	8 3.9%	16 11.8%	5 11.0%	1 1.4%	4 7.0%	3 5.0%	1 15.5%
	15	29 4.9%	11 5.6%	7 5.0%	0 0.0%	5 7.3%	0 0.0%	4 7.0%	0 0.0%
	16	37 6.3%	13 6.1%	7 5.0%	2 4.7%	5 7.7%	3 5.3%	3 4.4%	2 22.6%

		Party by
		Male Oth
P. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	8
	1	0 0.0%
	2	0 0.0%
	3	0 0.0%
	4	0 0.0%
	5	0 0.0%
	6	0 0.0%
	7	0 0.0%
	8	0 0.0%
	9	0 0.0%
	10	4 48.3%
	11	0 0.0%
	12	0 0.7%
	13	0 0.0%
	14	0 0.0%
	15	2 18.7%
	16	3 32.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party by Gender						
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
1							
2							a
3							
4							
5							a
6							
7			a				
8							
9							a
10							a
11							a
12							
13							a
14							
15			a		a		a
16							

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party by ...
	Male Oth
	(H)
1	a
2	
3	a
4	a
5	
6	a
7	a
8	a
9	a
10	A B C D E F
11	a
12	
13	a
14	a
15	
16	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					Not sure [DK/NA]
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	
P. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	325	91	108	53	40	33
	1	36	15	12	7	1	1
	2	11.2%	16.8%	11.2%	12.5%	2.8%	4.0%
	3	13	7	5	0	1	1
	4	4.0%	7.3%	4.2%	0.2%	2.7%	2.2%
	5	26	8	10	2	1	5
	6	8.1%	8.7%	9.4%	4.1%	2.5%	15.2%
	7	24	4	9	6	1	4
	8	7.4%	4.3%	8.1%	12.2%	3.3%	11.1%
	9	28	7	10	3	4	3
	10	8.6%	8.1%	9.1%	6.6%	9.2%	10.4%
	11	26	11	6	4	2	2
	12	7.9%	12.3%	5.5%	8.4%	4.9%	6.2%
	13	15	6	4	3	1	0
	14	4.6%	6.8%	3.9%	6.4%	2.0%	1.5%
	15	21	7	9	3	0	1
	16	6.3%	7.3%	8.6%	6.1%	0.0%	4.2%
1	26	3	8	6	4	5	
2	8.0%	3.4%	7.7%	10.7%	8.9%	16.5%	
3	15	3	3	1	7	1	
4	4.7%	3.4%	2.6%	1.8%	17.6%	4.5%	
5	16	2	9	2	1	2	
6	4.9%	1.7%	8.0%	4.1%	2.8%	7.0%	
7	13	4	2	4	1	1	
8	3.9%	4.9%	2.0%	7.9%	3.4%	1.9%	
9	13	3	3	4	3	0	
10	4.1%	3.6%	2.6%	8.1%	7.1%	0.0%	
11	21	5	8	0	5	3	
12	6.5%	5.5%	7.6%	0.8%	12.2%	7.7%	
13	10	2	2	3	0	2	
14	3.0%	2.6%	2.3%	5.6%	0.0%	5.6%	
15	22	3	8	2	8	1	
16	6.8%	3.2%	7.3%	4.5%	20.5%	2.2%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10				B	
11					
12					
13					a
14					
15				a	
16				A	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					Not sure [DK/NA]
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	
P. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	280	85	74	24	76	20
	1	24	7	6	2	11	0
		8.7%	7.7%	7.4%	6.4%	14.2%	0.0%
	2	24	10	7	2	3	3
		8.6%	11.6%	8.8%	6.6%	4.0%	15.4%
	3	18	7	9	1	0	0
		6.3%	8.5%	11.9%	5.4%	0.3%	0.0%
	4	10	4	1	0	5	0
		3.6%	4.8%	1.2%	0.0%	6.7%	0.2%
	5	27	2	8	4	10	3
		9.5%	1.9%	10.4%	17.7%	13.2%	14.6%
	6	35	16	4	3	10	2
		12.7%	19.4%	6.0%	11.0%	12.6%	11.7%
	7	11	3	6	1	2	0
		4.0%	3.5%	7.8%	2.3%	2.5%	0.0%
	8	12	3	2	3	3	0
	4.1%	3.4%	2.8%	12.8%	4.6%	0.0%	
9	8	2	3	1	2	0	
	2.8%	2.2%	3.4%	5.3%	2.7%	0.0%	
10	11	5	3	0	2	2	
	4.0%	5.4%	3.6%	0.7%	2.1%	10.4%	
11	20	1	7	0	8	4	
	7.0%	1.3%	9.5%	0.0%	10.1%	18.5%	
12	10	4	2	0	2	1	
	3.6%	4.8%	2.8%	2.0%	2.7%	6.8%	
13	18	6	6	0	4	2	
	6.3%	7.2%	7.7%	0.0%	5.5%	7.8%	
14	18	10	1	0	4	3	
	6.4%	12.0%	1.3%	0.9%	5.3%	12.6%	
15	20	2	8	2	7	0	
	7.0%	2.8%	10.6%	9.8%	9.3%	0.0%	
16	15	3	4	5	3	0	
	5.4%	3.6%	4.9%	19.1%	4.4%	2.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1					a
2					.
3		D			a
4					.
5			A		.
6					.
7					a
8					a
9					a
10					.
11			a		A
12					.
13			a		.
14					.
15					.
16					.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	426	426
1	74 17.3%	74 17.3%
2	53 12.3%	53 12.3%
3	34 7.9%	34 7.9%
4	37 8.7%	37 8.7%
5	33 7.7%	33 7.7%
6	22 5.2%	22 5.2%
7	20 4.6%	20 4.6%
Q. Absentee Voter 8	12 2.9%	12 2.9%
9	23 5.3%	23 5.3%
10	10 2.3%	10 2.3%
11	15 3.5%	15 3.5%
12	14 3.3%	14 3.3%
13	23 5.3%	23 5.3%
14	20 4.7%	20 4.7%
15	19 4.5%	19 4.5%
16	19 4.4%	19 4.4%



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Total
	Total
	(A)
1	.
2	.
3	.
4	.
5	.
6	.
7	.
8	.
9	.
10	.
11	.
12	.
13	.
14	.
15	.
16	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>	426	179	240	7
<b>1</b>	74 17.3%	32 17.7%	39 16.4%	2 34.4%
<b>2</b>	53 12.3%	18 10.1%	32 13.5%	2 29.8%
<b>3</b>	34 7.9%	12 6.4%	22 9.2%	0 0.4%
<b>4</b>	37 8.7%	22 12.4%	15 6.2%	0 0.0%
<b>5</b>	33 7.7%	11 6.0%	22 9.3%	0 0.0%
<b>6</b>	22 5.2%	13 7.4%	9 3.7%	0 0.0%
<b>7</b>	20 4.6%	9 4.9%	11 4.6%	0 0.0%
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>	12 2.9%	4 2.2%	8 3.4%	0 0.0%
<b>9</b>	23 5.3%	6 3.4%	17 6.9%	0 0.0%
<b>10</b>	10 2.3%	4 2.0%	6 2.7%	0 0.0%
<b>11</b>	15 3.5%	7 3.9%	6 2.6%	2 24.8%
<b>12</b>	14 3.3%	8 4.4%	6 2.6%	0 0.0%
<b>13</b>	23 5.3%	8 4.6%	14 5.9%	0 0.0%
<b>14</b>	20 4.7%	8 4.5%	11 4.7%	1 10.5%
<b>15</b>	19 4.5%	10 5.5%	9 3.9%	0 0.0%
<b>16</b>	19 4.4%	8 4.5%	11 4.4%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			a
6			a
7			a
8			a
9			a
10			a
11			A B
12			a
13			a
14			a
15			a
16			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age						Not sure/DK/NA
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
Total	426	24	44	73	84	69	127	5
1	74	8	10	17	19	9	8	3
2	53	4	6	7	8	9	19	0
3	34	0	5	11	5	3	9	0
4	37	5	2	6	9	5	10	0
5	33	2	4	9	4	1	14	0
6	22	2	7	2	4	2	5	0
7	20	0	7	4	1	1	7	0
8	12	0	0	2	5	1	4	0
9	23	0	1	5	6	4	5	0
10	10	0	0	0	5	4	1	0
11	15	0	0	4	3	6	2	1
12	14	0	0	0	0	4	10	0
13	23	2	0	1	6	7	6	0
14	20	2	0	3	1	6	8	0
15	19	0	0	1	4	4	9	1
16	19	0	0	1	4	3	11	0

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Age						
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
1	F	F	F	F			F
2							a
3	a						a
4							
5							a
6							a
7	a	DE					a
8	a	a					a
9	a						a
10	a						a
11	a						
12	a		a				
13		a					
14							
15	a	a					C
16	a	a					a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
Q. Absentee Voter	Total	426	311	115
	1	74 17.3%	42 13.3%	32 27.9%
	2	53 12.3%	37 12.0%	15 13.1%
	3	34 7.9%	26 8.3%	8 6.8%
	4	37 8.7%	25 7.9%	12 10.8%
	5	33 7.7%	24 7.7%	9 7.7%
	6	22 5.2%	13 4.3%	9 7.6%
	7	20 4.6%	12 3.7%	8 7.2%
	8	12 2.9%	11 3.6%	1 1.0%
	9	23 5.3%	16 5.0%	7 6.2%
	10	10 2.3%	10 3.1%	0 0.2%
	11	15 3.5%	14 4.4%	1 1.1%
	12	14 3.3%	10 3.3%	4 3.3%
	13	23 5.3%	18 5.9%	4 3.7%
	14	20 4.7%	18 5.8%	2 1.7%
	15	19 4.5%	17 5.6%	2 1.8%
16	19 4.4%	19 6.0%	0 0.0%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
1		A
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	426	241	85	13	87
1	74 17.3%	41 16.8%	14 16.2%	3 22.0%	16 18.8%
2	53 12.3%	30 12.5%	14 15.9%	0 0.0%	9 10.3%
3	34 7.9%	17 7.1%	5 5.7%	0 0.0%	12 13.3%
4	37 8.7%	22 9.3%	7 8.1%	4 29.4%	4 4.4%
5	33 7.7%	18 7.5%	5 5.9%	1 6.5%	9 10.2%
6	22 5.2%	13 5.5%	3 4.1%	0 2.0%	5 5.8%
7	20 4.6%	12 4.8%	3 3.5%	0 0.0%	5 6.0%
8	12 2.9%	9 3.8%	2 2.9%	0 0.4%	0 0.6%
9	23 5.3%	11 4.6%	6 7.3%	1 4.7%	5 5.7%
10	10 2.3%	5 1.9%	2 2.1%	0 0.0%	4 4.0%
11	15 3.5%	5 2.2%	4 4.9%	0 0.0%	6 6.5%
12	14 3.3%	6 2.7%	4 4.4%	1 9.6%	3 3.1%
13	23 5.3%	17 7.2%	3 3.3%	0 0.0%	2 2.7%
14	20 4.7%	12 5.1%	5 5.9%	2 14.0%	1 0.9%
15	19 4.5%	13 5.2%	5 5.3%	2 11.4%	1 0.9%
16	19 4.4%	9 3.7%	4 4.4%	0 0.0%	6 6.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1				
2			a	
3			a	
4			D	
5				
6				
7			a	
8				
9				
10			a	
11			a	
12				
13			a	
14			D	
15				
16			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	426	131	71	27	36	90	72
1	74	21	8	5	4	21	14
2	53	16	7	4	7	13	5
3	34	4	11	3	2	7	7
4	37	10	10	5	1	7	4
5	33	16	0	0	2	9	6
6	22	8	6	0	0	3	5
7	20	5	7	0	3	1	4
8	12	6	3	1	0	3	1
9	23	7	4	3	1	4	3
10	15	3	2	2	2	0	6
11	14	5	1	2	2	0	4
12	23	9	3	0	1	7	2
13	20	6	3	1	4	6	0
14	19	11	2	1	4	1	2
15	19	6	3	1	0	4	4
16	19	6	3	1	0	4	4

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1						
2						
3		A				
4						
5	B		a			
6			a	a		
7			a			
8				a		
9						
10			a			
11						
12					a	
13						
14						
15						
16						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
Total	426	136	70	35	48	29	35
1	74 17.3%	39 28.6%	6 9.2%	3 8.7%	5 9.6%	1 3.9%	10 27.9%
2	53 12.3%	20 14.7%	7 9.7%	7 19.8%	8 17.4%	3 8.8%	1 2.9%
3	34 7.9%	8 5.8%	12 17.7%	1 3.2%	3 5.9%	0 0.9%	4 12.0%
4	37 8.7%	13 9.6%	10 13.6%	2 6.9%	1 1.5%	2 7.1%	4 10.8%
5	33 7.7%	15 10.9%	8 10.9%	4 10.7%	5 10.7%	0 0.0%	0 1.1%
6	22 5.2%	4 3.0%	8 11.4%	2 5.5%	2 3.8%	4 14.1%	0 0.0%
7	20 4.6%	12 8.4%	2 3.5%	1 3.2%	2 4.5%	0 0.0%	0 1.4%
Q. Absentee Voter 8	12 2.9%	4 2.7%	2 2.3%	5 13.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
9	23 5.3%	5 3.5%	5 7.2%	1 1.8%	6 11.9%	4 13.5%	1 2.5%
10	10 2.3%	3 1.9%	0 0.0%	2 5.7%	1 1.4%	1 4.1%	3 9.0%
11	15 3.5%	1 0.7%	2 3.4%	0 0.0%	4 7.4%	2 7.1%	0 0.0%
12	14 3.3%	1 0.5%	3 3.8%	3 9.0%	1 2.7%	1 2.1%	0 0.0%
13	23 5.3%	4 3.0%	2 2.8%	3 9.5%	1 1.6%	2 6.5%	2 5.7%
14	20 4.7%	1 0.6%	3 3.9%	0 1.2%	3 5.5%	7 25.1%	2 5.6%
15	19 4.5%	3 2.6%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	4 7.6%	2 6.9%	3 9.1%
16	19 4.4%	5 3.5%	0 0.6%	0 0.0%	4 8.6%	0 0.0%	4 12.0%

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	56	17
1	7 12.3%	3 16.7%
2	7 11.7%	0 2.8%
3	5 8.7%	0 0.9%
4	3 6.3%	2 10.6%
5	1 2.2%	0 0.0%
6	2 3.8%	0 0.0%
7	2 3.8%	0 0.0%
Q. Absentee Voter 8	2 3.3%	0 2.8%
9	1 2.1%	1 4.6%
10	0 0.5%	0 0.2%
11	5 8.7%	1 6.2%
12	5 8.2%	1 6.5%
13	7 12.4%	2 9.4%
14	1 2.1%	3 17.4%
15	4 7.5%	2 13.4%
16	4 6.7%	1 8.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Registration Date						
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
1	B						
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7					a		
Q. Absentee Voter 8					a		a
9					.		.
10							
11			a				
12			.				a
13							
14							
15		a				A B G	
16			a				a

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Registration ...
	1980 or before
	(H)
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	a
6	a
7	
Q. Absentee Voter 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	A
15	
16	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
	426	121	198	23	23	26	11	23
1	74 17.3%	23 19.2%	32 16.1%	1 5.8%	2 9.9%	11 42.3%	2 18.0%	2 6.9%
2	53 12.3%	12 9.8%	23 11.7%	1 4.6%	4 17.9%	2 5.9%	4 38.9%	6 27.0%
3	34 7.9%	11 9.1%	14 7.2%	2 9.4%	2 8.4%	1 2.6%	0 0.0%	4 15.3%
4	37 8.7%	4 3.6%	20 9.9%	1 4.8%	3 12.3%	3 13.0%	0 0.0%	6 23.6%
5	33 7.7%	11 8.9%	20 10.3%	0 0.0%	0 2.0%	1 5.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
6	22 5.2%	2 1.7%	15 7.4%	2 10.0%	0 0.3%	2 6.0%	1 12.2%	0 0.0%
7	20 4.6%	0 0.0%	14 7.2%	0 0.0%	0 1.9%	2 7.0%	1 8.4%	2 9.5%
8	12 2.9%	5 4.0%	4 2.2%	2 9.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 4.1%
9	23 5.3%	2 1.9%	11 5.7%	2 10.8%	1 6.0%	3 11.8%	0 1.5%	2 8.7%
10	10 2.3%	4 2.9%	5 2.5%	0 0.1%	1 3.7%	0 0.2%	1 4.5%	0 0.0%
11	15 3.5%	7 5.7%	8 4.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
12	14 3.3%	8 6.4%	4 1.9%	0 1.9%	0 2.1%	0 1.8%	1 11.5%	0 0.0%
13	23 5.3%	13 11.1%	7 3.3%	2 7.8%	1 3.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
14	20 4.7%	5 3.9%	10 5.2%	4 18.9%	0 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.6%	0 1.6%
15	19 4.5%	7 6.0%	5 2.4%	2 6.8%	5 19.7%	0 1.6%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%
16	19 4.4%	7 5.8%	6 2.8%	2 9.9%	3 11.5%	1 2.4%	0 4.3%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Date						
	Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
1					B		
2							
3							
4						a	
5						a	A
6						a	a
7	a		a				a
8					a	a	
9							
10							a
11			a	a	a	a	a
12							a
13					a	a	
14							
15				B		a	
16							a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.



	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>	426	371	55
<b>1</b>	74 17.3%	48 13.0%	25 45.7%
<b>2</b>	53 12.3%	40 10.7%	13 23.1%
<b>3</b>	34 7.9%	24 6.6%	9 16.6%
<b>4</b>	37 8.7%	34 9.1%	3 6.2%
<b>5</b>	33 7.7%	29 7.9%	4 6.8%
<b>6</b>	22 5.2%	22 5.9%	0 0.0%
<b>7</b>	20 4.6%	20 5.3%	0 0.0%
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>	12 2.9%	11 3.0%	1 1.7%
<b>9</b>	23 5.3%	23 6.1%	0 0.0%
<b>10</b>	10 2.3%	10 2.7%	0 0.0%
<b>11</b>	15 3.5%	15 4.0%	0 0.0%
<b>12</b>	14 3.3%	14 3.8%	0 0.0%
<b>13</b>	23 5.3%	23 6.1%	0 0.0%
<b>14</b>	20 4.7%	20 5.4%	0 0.0%
<b>15</b>	19 4.5%	19 5.2%	0 0.0%
<b>16</b>	19 4.4%	19 5.0%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>1</b>		A
<b>2</b>		A
<b>3</b>		A
<b>4</b>		
<b>5</b>		
<b>6</b>		a
<b>7</b>		a
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>		.
<b>9</b>		a
<b>10</b>		a
<b>11</b>		a
<b>12</b>		a
<b>13</b>		a
<b>14</b>		a
<b>15</b>		.
<b>16</b>		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>	426	323	103
<b>1</b>	74 17.3%	34 10.6%	39 38.2%
<b>2</b>	53 12.3%	27 8.4%	26 24.7%
<b>3</b>	34 7.9%	24 7.5%	9 9.2%
<b>4</b>	37 8.7%	27 8.4%	10 9.6%
<b>5</b>	33 7.7%	25 7.9%	8 7.4%
<b>6</b>	22 5.2%	18 5.6%	4 4.0%
<b>7</b>	20 4.6%	16 5.0%	4 3.5%
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>	12 2.9%	11 3.5%	1 0.9%
<b>9</b>	23 5.3%	22 6.7%	1 1.2%
<b>10</b>	10 2.3%	10 3.1%	0 0.0%
<b>11</b>	15 3.5%	15 4.6%	0 0.0%
<b>12</b>	14 3.3%	14 4.4%	0 0.0%
<b>13</b>	23 5.3%	21 6.5%	1 1.4%
<b>14</b>	20 4.7%	20 6.2%	0 0.0%
<b>15</b>	19 4.5%	19 6.0%	0 0.0%
<b>16</b>	19 4.4%	19 5.8%	0 0.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>1</b>		A
<b>2</b>		A
<b>3</b>		
<b>4</b>		
<b>5</b>		
<b>6</b>		
<b>7</b>		
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>		
<b>9</b>	B	
<b>10</b>		a
<b>11</b>		a
<b>12</b>		a
<b>13</b>	B	
<b>14</b>		a
<b>15</b>		a
<b>16</b>		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>	426	426	
<b>1</b>	74 17.3%	74 17.3%	
<b>2</b>	53 12.3%	53 12.3%	
<b>3</b>	34 7.9%	34 7.9%	
<b>4</b>	37 8.7%	37 8.7%	
<b>5</b>	33 7.7%	33 7.7%	
<b>6</b>	22 5.2%	22 5.2%	
<b>7</b>	20 4.6%	20 4.6%	
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>	12 2.9%	12 2.9%	
<b>9</b>	23 5.3%	23 5.3%	
<b>10</b>	10 2.3%	10 2.3%	
<b>11</b>	15 3.5%	15 3.5%	
<b>12</b>	14 3.3%	14 3.3%	
<b>13</b>	23 5.3%	23 5.3%	
<b>14</b>	20 4.7%	20 4.7%	
<b>15</b>	19 4.5%	19 4.5%	
<b>16</b>	19 4.4%	19 4.4%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Voter	
	Yes	(A)
<b>1</b>	.	.
<b>2</b>	.	.
<b>3</b>	.	.
<b>4</b>	.	.
<b>5</b>	.	.
<b>6</b>	.	.
<b>7</b>	.	.
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>	.	.
<b>9</b>	.	.
<b>10</b>	.	.
<b>11</b>	.	.
<b>12</b>	.	.
<b>13</b>	.	.
<b>14</b>	.	.
<b>15</b>	.	.
<b>16</b>	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests.  
 For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>	426	168	256	3
<b>1</b>	74	32	39	2
	17.3%	19.1%	15.4%	74.6%
<b>2</b>	53	15	38	0
	12.3%	8.9%	14.7%	0.0%
<b>3</b>	34	17	16	0
	7.9%	10.3%	6.4%	0.0%
<b>4</b>	37	17	20	0
	8.7%	9.9%	8.0%	0.0%
<b>5</b>	33	18	14	0
	7.7%	11.0%	5.7%	0.0%
<b>6</b>	22	12	9	1
	5.2%	7.4%	3.5%	24.3%
<b>7</b>	20	8	12	0
	4.6%	4.9%	4.5%	0.0%
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>	12	3	10	0
	2.9%	1.6%	3.7%	0.0%
<b>9</b>	23	8	15	0
	5.3%	4.5%	6.0%	0.0%
<b>10</b>	10	6	4	0
	2.3%	3.4%	1.6%	1.1%
<b>11</b>	15	7	8	0
	3.5%	4.4%	3.0%	0.0%
<b>12</b>	14	1	13	0
	3.3%	0.4%	5.3%	0.0%
<b>13</b>	23	7	15	0
	5.3%	4.4%	5.9%	0.0%
<b>14</b>	20	7	13	0
	4.7%	4.5%	4.9%	0.0%
<b>15</b>	19	4	15	0
	4.5%	2.5%	5.9%	0.0%
<b>16</b>	19	5	14	0
	4.4%	2.7%	5.5%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>1</b>			B <sup>a</sup>
<b>2</b>			.a
<b>3</b>			.a
<b>4</b>			.a
<b>5</b>	B		.a
<b>6</b>			.a
<b>7</b>			.a
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>			.a
<b>9</b>			.a
<b>10</b>			.a
<b>11</b>			.a
<b>12</b>		A	.a
<b>13</b>			.a
<b>14</b>			.a
<b>15</b>			.a
<b>16</b>			.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ages of Children in Household						
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	426	44	91	46	59	256	3
<b>1</b>	74	15	15	4	6	39	2
<b>2</b>	53	5	10	3	6	38	0
<b>3</b>	34	8	4	5	6	16	0
<b>4</b>	37	3	9	3	10	20	0
<b>5</b>	22	1	9	2	4	9	1
<b>6</b>	20	7	4	0	0	12	0
<b>7</b>	4.6%	15.2%	4.1%	0.0%	0.7%	4.5%	0.0%
<b>8</b>	12	0	2	0	0	10	0
<b>9</b>	23	1	5	6	3	15	0
<b>10</b>	10	0	1	3	4	4	0
<b>11</b>	15	0	5	7	3	8	0
<b>12</b>	14	0	0	0	1	13	0
<b>13</b>	23	0	6	3	2	15	0
<b>14</b>	20	0	3	1	5	13	0
<b>15</b>	19	0	0	2	4	15	0
<b>16</b>	19	0	3	2	2	14	0
<b>Q. Absentee Voter</b>	4.4%	0.6%	2.8%	3.4%	3.0%	5.5%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ages of Children in Household					
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>1</b>	D					C D
<b>2</b>						a
<b>3</b>	B					a
<b>4</b>						a
<b>5</b>		E				a
<b>6</b>						.
<b>7</b>	B D E		a			a
<b>8</b>			a			a
<b>9</b>			a			a
<b>10</b>	a					a
<b>11</b>	a		E			a
<b>12</b>	a	a				a
<b>13</b>	a					a
<b>14</b>	a					a
<b>15</b>	a	a				a
<b>16</b>						a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Ethnic Group							
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
<b>Total</b>	426	19	1	37	301	39		14
<b>1</b>	74	0	0	9	52	4		4
	17.3%	0.0%	0.0%	24.3%	17.3%	11.6%		31.5%
<b>2</b>	53	5	0	11	31	3		1
	12.3%	23.2%	0.0%	31.3%	10.4%	8.0%		8.9%
<b>3</b>	34	0	0	4	25	3		0
	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	8.4%	6.9%		0.0%
<b>4</b>	37	2	0	0	23	12		0
	8.7%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	29.7%		0.0%
<b>5</b>	33	7	0	0	16	9		1
	7.7%	37.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	22.1%		6.1%
<b>6</b>	22	2	0	2	14	4		1
	5.2%	9.4%	0.0%	4.5%	4.5%	10.4%		5.9%
<b>7</b>	20	2	0	2	16	0		0
	4.6%	9.4%	0.0%	6.2%	5.2%	0.0%		0.0%
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>	12	1	0	2	9	0		0
	2.9%	4.8%	0.0%	5.8%	3.0%	0.0%		0.0%
<b>9</b>	23	0	0	0	19	2		1
	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%	4.0%		10.4%
<b>10</b>	10	0	1	0	6	3		0
	2.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	2.1%	7.3%		0.0%
<b>11</b>	15	0	0	4	8	0		2
	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	9.6%	2.5%	0.0%		14.7%
<b>12</b>	14	1	0	0	13	0		0
	3.3%	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%		0.0%
<b>13</b>	23	0	0	0	18	0		1
	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%		9.8%
<b>14</b>	20	0	0	0	17	0		2
	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%		12.7%
<b>15</b>	19	0	0	3	17	0		0
	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	5.5%	0.0%		0.0%
<b>16</b>	19	0	0	0	17	0		0
	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%		0.0%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	4	12
<b>1</b>	0	3
	14.0%	24.5%
<b>2</b>	0	1
	0.0%	9.1%
<b>3</b>	2	0
	51.4%	0.2%
<b>4</b>	0	0
	0.0%	4.0%
<b>5</b>	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
<b>6</b>	0	0
	0.0%	1.3%
<b>7</b>	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>	0	0
	1.5%	0.0%
<b>9</b>	1	0
	33.1%	0.0%
<b>10</b>	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
<b>11</b>	0	2
	0.0%	14.7%
<b>12</b>	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
<b>13</b>	0	3
	0.0%	22.3%
<b>14</b>	0	1
	0.0%	10.7%
<b>15</b>	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
<b>16</b>	0	2
	0.0%	13.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

	Ethnic Group							
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Two or more races	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
1	a	a,b					a	
2		a,b	D					
3	a	a,b				a	D	
4		a,b	a		D		a	
5	D	a,b	a		D		a	a
6		a,b					a	
7		a,b			a	a	a	
8		a,b			a	a		
9	a	a,b	a					a
10	a	a,b	a			a		a
11	a	a,b			a		a	
12		a,b	a		a	a		a
13	a	a,b	a		a		a	
14	a	a,b	a		a		a	
15	a	a,b			a	a	a	
16	a	a,b	a		a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Surname							Not Coded
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	
Total	426	3	12	25	22	15	3	3	344
1	74	1	1	2	0	2	1	0	67
2	17.3%	37.9%	10.5%	6.4%	1.3%	10.3%	16.1%	5.8%	19.5%
3	53	0	0	2	2	0	3	2	44
4	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	10.1%	7.1%	0.0%	83.9%	54.0%	12.8%
5	34	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	29
6	7.9%	0.0%	10.6%	10.9%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%
7	37	0	0	11	0	2	0	0	24
8	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	45.3%	0.0%	11.2%	0.0%	7.8%	7.0%
9	33	0	0	2	5	3	0	0	23
10	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	7.5%	22.4%	22.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%
11	22	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	18
12	5.2%	0.0%	11.4%	0.0%	1.2%	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%
13	20	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	15
14	4.6%	0.0%	15.5%	5.3%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
15	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	9
16	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.9%	0.0%	32.4%	2.7%
Q. Absentee Voter	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
1	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%
2	10	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	4
3	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%	12.7%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
4	15	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	10
5	3.5%	0.0%	29.1%	0.0%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
6	14	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	13
7	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
8	23	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	20
9	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
10	20	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	15
11	4.7%	62.1%	0.0%	0.1%	8.0%	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
12	19	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	16
13	4.5%	0.0%	9.8%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
14	19	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	12
15	4.4%	0.0%	13.1%	0.0%	10.8%	15.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%
16	19	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	12

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname							
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
2	a	a	.	.	.	C D E H	E	.
3	a	.	.	.	a	a	a	.
4	a	a	D H	.	.	a	.	.
5	a	a	.	H	.	a	a	.
6	a	.	a	.	.	a	a	.
7	a	.	.	.	a	a	a	.
8	a	a	a	.	.	a	D H	.
9	a	a	.	a	a	a	a	.
10	a	a	H	H	.	a	a	.
11	a	H	a	.	a	a	a	.
12	a	a	.	a	a	a	a	.
13	a	a	a	.	a	a	a	.
14	C H	a	.	.	.	a	a	.
15	a	.	a	.	a	a	a	.
16	a	.	a	.	.	a	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
Total	426	87	88	55	133	33
1	74 17.3%	9 10.6%	15 16.7%	11 19.5%	22 16.9%	11 33.6%
2	53 12.3%	11 12.8%	9 10.7%	5 8.2%	19 14.6%	6 16.9%
3	34 7.9%	6 7.0%	7 7.5%	10 17.2%	6 4.7%	0 0.0%
4	37 8.7%	11 12.6%	8 9.5%	6 10.1%	6 4.2%	0 0.7%
5	33 7.7%	8 8.9%	6 7.3%	0 0.9%	9 6.9%	6 16.9%
6	22 5.2%	5 5.7%	3 3.1%	2 4.3%	9 6.9%	2 5.5%
7	20 4.6%	3 3.4%	6 7.4%	2 4.1%	7 5.1%	1 4.0%
8	12 2.9%	1 1.5%	2 2.1%	3 4.6%	6 4.2%	1 3.0%
9	23 5.3%	4 4.4%	5 5.5%	3 4.6%	10 7.2%	0 0.0%
10	10 2.3%	0 0.0%	4 4.1%	2 3.1%	2 1.5%	3 7.8%
11	15 3.5%	4 4.0%	4 4.5%	0 0.0%	6 4.2%	1 3.4%
12	14 3.3%	4 4.5%	3 2.9%	4 6.5%	4 2.7%	1 1.9%
13	23 5.3%	3 3.4%	7 7.4%	4 6.7%	8 5.8%	0 0.0%
14	20 4.7%	3 2.9%	5 5.6%	3 5.0%	7 5.6%	1 4.1%
15	19 4.5%	10 11.0%	3 2.8%	1 2.0%	5 4.1%	1 2.2%
16	19 4.4%	6 7.3%	3 2.9%	2 3.4%	7 5.6%	0 0.0%



	Area	
		Not sure/DK/NA
Total	30	
1	5 17.7%	
2	2 8.0%	
3	5 17.7%	
4	6 21.4%	
5	3 11.7%	
6	1 3.5%	
7	0 0.0%	
Q. Absentee Voter 8	0 0.0%	
9	2 6.9%	
10	0 0.1%	
11	1 2.5%	
12	0 0.0%	
13	2 5.4%	
14	1 3.6%	
15	0 0.0%	
16	0 1.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Area					
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1					A	
2						
3					a	
4			D			D
5						
6						
7						a
Q. Absentee Voter 8						a
9					a	
10						
11			a			
12						
13					a	
14						
15						a
16					a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>	426	27	38	216	146
<b>1</b>	74 17.3%	8 29.5%	6 17.1%	27 12.6%	32 21.9%
<b>2</b>	53 12.3%	7 27.4%	6 15.4%	22 10.1%	18 12.1%
<b>3</b>	34 7.9%	4 13.3%	1 1.7%	21 9.9%	8 5.6%
<b>4</b>	37 8.7%	4 13.9%	4 10.2%	15 6.8%	15 10.1%
<b>5</b>	33 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 1.3%	18 8.2%	15 10.1%
<b>6</b>	22 5.2%	3 10.9%	1 2.8%	9 4.3%	9 6.1%
<b>7</b>	20 4.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 2.5%	14 9.9%
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>	12 2.9%	1 3.5%	1 2.5%	5 2.5%	5 3.3%
<b>9</b>	23 5.3%	0 0.6%	1 3.8%	13 6.0%	8 5.7%
<b>10</b>	10 2.3%	0 0.8%	4 11.6%	4 1.8%	1 0.9%
<b>11</b>	15 3.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	12 5.7%	3 1.8%
<b>12</b>	14 3.3%	0 0.0%	2 6.4%	7 3.3%	5 3.2%
<b>13</b>	23 5.3%	0 0.0%	1 3.1%	18 8.3%	3 2.3%
<b>14</b>	20 4.7%	0 0.0%	1 3.7%	13 6.0%	6 4.0%
<b>15</b>	19 4.5%	0 0.0%	6 16.1%	12 5.6%	1 0.8%
<b>16</b>	19 4.4%	0 0.0%	2 4.4%	14 6.4%	3 2.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
<b>1</b>				
<b>2</b>				
<b>3</b>				
<b>4</b>				
<b>5</b>	a			
<b>6</b>	.			
<b>7</b>		a		C
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>				
<b>9</b>				
<b>10</b>		C D		
<b>11</b>	a	a		
<b>12</b>				
<b>13</b>				
<b>14</b>	a			
<b>15</b>	a	D		
<b>16</b>	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
<b>Total</b>	426		64	102	60	201
<b>1</b>	74 17.3%		36 57.1%	12 11.4%	6 9.9%	20 9.8%
<b>2</b>	53 12.3%		19 30.3%	16 15.4%	3 4.3%	15 7.5%
<b>3</b>	34 7.9%		8 12.6%	17 16.5%	2 3.0%	7 3.5%
<b>4</b>	37 8.7%		0 0.0%	20 19.9%	9 14.2%	8 4.1%
<b>5</b>	33 7.7%		0 0.0%	24 23.5%	4 6.0%	5 2.7%
<b>6</b>	22 5.2%		0 0.0%	14 13.3%	3 5.5%	5 2.6%
<b>7</b>	20 4.6%		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	16 26.8%	4 1.9%
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>	12 2.9%		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 9.1%	7 3.4%
<b>9</b>	23 5.3%		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 21.1%	10 5.0%
<b>10</b>	10 2.3%		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 4.9%
<b>11</b>	15 3.5%		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 7.4%
<b>12</b>	14 3.3%		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 7.0%
<b>13</b>	23 5.3%		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	23 11.2%
<b>14</b>	20 4.7%		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	20 10.0%
<b>15</b>	19 4.5%		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	19 9.6%
<b>16</b>	19 4.4%		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	19 9.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Voting Propensity			
	1-3 (A)	4-6 (B)	7-9 (C)	10 or more (D)
<b>1</b>	B	C	D	
<b>2</b>	C	D		
<b>3</b>	D	D		
<b>4</b>	a	D	D	
<b>5</b>	a	C	D	
<b>6</b>	a	D		
<b>7</b>	a	a	D	
<b>Q. Absentee Voter 8</b>	a	a		
<b>9</b>	a	a	D	
<b>10</b>	a	a	a	
<b>11</b>	a	a	a	
<b>12</b>	a	a	a	
<b>13</b>	a	a	a	
<b>14</b>	a	a	a	
<b>15</b>	a	a	a	
<b>16</b>	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	419	153	82	36	49	44	42	7	6
1	71 17.0%	27 17.4%	12 14.5%	3 8.3%	11 21.9%	7 16.8%	9 20.4%	2 32.9%	1 8.8%
2	50 12.0%	20 13.4%	7 9.2%	8 22.2%	6 11.4%	4 9.0%	5 11.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
3	34 8.0%	13 8.6%	4 4.9%	2 5.6%	3 5.8%	7 15.7%	5 11.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
4	37 8.8%	11 7.0%	12 14.3%	4 11.4%	3 5.8%	0 0.1%	4 8.9%	0 0.0%	4 65.1%
5	33 7.9%	15 9.6%	3 4.3%	0 0.5%	5 9.8%	7 14.9%	2 5.5%	1 11.8%	0 0.0%
6	22 5.3%	4 2.6%	9 11.5%	2 6.4%	1 2.4%	2 5.3%	3 6.4%	0 3.6%	0 0.0%
7	20 4.7%	5 3.2%	7 8.2%	2 5.2%	1 2.3%	4 9.8%	1 2.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Q. Absentee Voter 8	12 2.9%	7 4.7%	2 2.6%	1 1.7%	2 3.7%	0 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.9%
9	23 5.4%	11 7.1%	0 0.2%	4 9.9%	3 5.3%	2 3.6%	3 8.0%	1 8.5%	0 0.0%
10	10 2.4%	4 2.3%	1 1.4%	2 5.1%	0 0.0%	1 2.5%	2 5.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
11	13 3.1%	1 0.4%	3 3.5%	2 6.0%	2 4.0%	4 8.0%	2 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
12	14 3.4%	4 2.6%	2 3.0%	0 1.3%	3 6.7%	0 1.1%	2 5.2%	1 17.6%	0 0.0%
13	23 5.4%	11 7.0%	7 8.0%	1 3.9%	1 2.9%	2 4.8%	0 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
14	19 4.6%	6 4.1%	6 7.0%	3 8.4%	2 4.1%	0 0.1%	0 1.0%	2 25.6%	0 0.0%
15	19 4.6%	9 6.0%	3 4.2%	0 0.8%	4 8.6%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	2 25.2%
16	19 4.5%	6 4.1%	3 3.3%	1 3.2%	3 5.3%	3 7.3%	3 6.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)	Male NPP (F)	Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
1								
2							a	a
3							a	a
4								A B D E F
5								
6								
7							a	a
Q. Absentee Voter 8							a	a
9							a	a
10							a	a
11					A		a	a
12							a	a
13							a	a
14							E	a
15					a		a	a
16							a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>	222	52	72	46	32	20
<b>1</b>	39	10	16	5	4	4
<b>2</b>	17.8%	19.5%	21.9%	11.1%	13.2%	21.6%
<b>3</b>	29	7	9	3	5	6
<b>4</b>	13.1%	13.7%	12.5%	5.8%	14.6%	28.8%
<b>5</b>	19	4	7	8	0	0
<b>6</b>	8.4%	6.8%	9.6%	17.7%	0.5%	0.0%
<b>7</b>	14	0	4	7	2	2
<b>8</b>	6.4%	0.0%	5.4%	14.2%	6.0%	10.1%
<b>9</b>	13	7	3	1	0	3
<b>10</b>	6.1%	13.8%	4.2%	1.5%	0.0%	13.2%
<b>11</b>	15	4	3	6	1	0
<b>12</b>	6.6%	8.3%	4.3%	12.8%	4.1%	0.0%
<b>13</b>	10	2	6	3	0	0
<b>14</b>	4.6%	3.3%	8.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>15</b>	9	4	2	1	1	0
<b>16</b>	3.9%	8.0%	2.8%	2.5%	4.4%	0.0%
<b>Q. Absentee Voter</b>	18	1	3	3	6	5
<b>1</b>	8.1%	2.2%	4.4%	5.5%	19.3%	24.6%
<b>2</b>	7	2	5	0	1	0
<b>3</b>	3.4%	3.7%	6.3%	0.6%	2.1%	0.0%
<b>4</b>	5	0	2	2	0	0
<b>5</b>	2.0%	0.0%	2.7%	4.7%	1.3%	0.0%
<b>6</b>	7	2	2	3	1	0
<b>7</b>	3.2%	3.0%	2.5%	5.5%	3.4%	0.0%
<b>8</b>	12	3	3	3	2	0
<b>9</b>	5.4%	6.3%	4.3%	7.6%	6.7%	0.0%
<b>10</b>	8	1	3	0	3	0
<b>11</b>	3.4%	2.6%	4.2%	0.9%	8.6%	0.0%
<b>12</b>	8	4	2	1	0	0
<b>13</b>	3.4%	8.4%	2.3%	3.2%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>14</b>	9	0	3	0	5	0
<b>15</b>	4.1%	0.5%	4.4%	0.5%	15.9%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>1</b>					
<b>2</b>					
<b>3</b>					
<b>4</b>			A		
<b>5</b>					
<b>6</b>					a
<b>7</b>					a
<b>8</b>					a
<b>9</b>					A B
<b>10</b>					a
<b>11</b>					a
<b>12</b>					a
<b>13</b>					a
<b>14</b>					a
<b>15</b>					a
<b>16</b>					a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>	205	55	54	19	61	16
<b>1</b>	34	12	10	1	9	2
<b>2</b>	16.7%	21.5%	19.4%	5.7%	14.0%	15.1%
<b>3</b>	23	6	9	0	7	2
<b>4</b>	11.5%	10.4%	16.3%	0.0%	11.2%	13.5%
<b>5</b>	15	2	7	1	4	0
<b>6</b>	7.3%	4.5%	13.4%	5.1%	7.0%	0.0%
<b>7</b>	23	10	2	0	8	3
<b>8</b>	11.1%	17.6%	4.0%	0.0%	12.8%	20.0%
<b>9</b>	19	5	4	4	6	0
<b>10</b>	9.5%	8.4%	8.0%	22.1%	10.3%	0.0%
<b>11</b>	7	3	3	1	1	0
<b>12</b>	3.6%	5.4%	4.8%	4.3%	1.7%	0.0%
<b>13</b>	10	3	2	2	2	0
<b>14</b>	4.7%	5.1%	4.4%	11.4%	3.7%	0.0%
<b>15</b>	4	0	0	1	0	2
<b>16</b>	1.7%	0.0%	0.1%	4.5%	0.7%	13.5%
<b>Q. Absentee Voter</b>	5	1	0	1	1	1
<b>1</b>	2.4%	2.0%	0.1%	7.5%	1.9%	7.4%
<b>2</b>	3	1	0	0	1	0
<b>3</b>	1.2%	1.8%	0.3%	0.0%	1.9%	1.4%
<b>4</b>	10	1	5	0	4	0
<b>5</b>	5.1%	2.0%	8.9%	2.5%	6.7%	0.0%
<b>6</b>	7	0	3	0	4	0
<b>7</b>	3.5%	0.1%	5.8%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%
<b>8</b>	10	5	0	0	3	2
<b>9</b>	5.1%	9.7%	0.9%	0.0%	5.0%	10.0%
<b>10</b>	13	4	0	1	5	3
<b>11</b>	6.1%	7.3%	0.1%	3.0%	8.7%	16.3%
<b>12</b>	12	0	6	2	4	0
<b>13</b>	5.8%	0.8%	10.7%	10.4%	6.0%	0.0%
<b>14</b>	10	2	2	5	1	0
<b>15</b>	4.7%	3.4%	3.0%	23.4%	1.9%	2.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>1</b>			a		
<b>2</b>					a
<b>3</b>			a		
<b>4</b>					a
<b>5</b>					a
<b>6</b>					a
<b>7</b>					a
<b>8</b>					a
<b>9</b>					a
<b>10</b>			a		
<b>11</b>					a
<b>12</b>			a		
<b>13</b>			a		
<b>14</b>					B
<b>15</b>					a
<b>16</b>			D		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
<b>Total</b>	710	710
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter Yes</b>	510	510
	71.8%	71.8%
<b>No</b>	200	200
	28.2%	28.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		(A)
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	.
	No	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	510	224	279	7
	No	200	106	91	4
		71.8%	67.8%	75.4%	66.2%
		28.2%	32.2%	24.6%	33.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes			
	No			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
	Yes	510	44	86	95	91	72	116	4
	No	200	23	29	41	36	21	47	4
		71.8%	65.8%	74.7%	70.1%	71.6%	77.4%	71.3%	54.1%
		28.2%	34.2%	25.3%	29.9%	28.4%	22.6%	28.7%	45.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes							
	No							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	710	470	240
	Yes	510	333	177
	No	200	138	63
		71.8%	70.7%	73.8%
		28.2%	29.3%	26.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>Total</b>	710	385	126	23	176
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter Yes</b>	510	279	87	18	125
	71.8%	72.4%	69.4%	79.8%	71.1%
<b>No</b>	200	106	38	5	51
	28.2%	27.6%	30.6%	20.2%	28.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter Yes</b>				
<b>No</b>				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>Total</b>	710	208	111	44	46	155	146
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter Yes</b>	510	153	80	32	36	101	108
	71.8%	73.7%	71.9%	72.3%	78.1%	65.1%	73.9%
<b>No</b>	200	55	31	12	10	54	38
	28.2%	26.3%	28.1%	27.7%	21.9%	34.9%	26.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter Yes</b>						
<b>No</b>						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date					
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
<b>Total</b>	710	297	95	46	69	57
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter Yes</b>	510	232	70	35	49	30
	71.8%	78.1%	73.2%	75.6%	70.7%	52.3%
<b>No</b>	200	65	26	11	20	27
	28.2%	21.9%	26.8%	24.4%	29.3%	47.7%

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>Total</b>	44	67	35
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter Yes</b>	26	49	20
	59.9%	72.9%	56.1%
<b>No</b>	18	18	16
	40.1%	27.1%	43.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter Yes</b>	E					
<b>No</b>					A	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter Yes</b>	(G)	(H)
<b>No</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date							
	Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>Total</b>	710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter Yes</b>	510	118	276	24	22	30	24	16
	71.8%	76.1%	73.6%	69.3%	60.8%	80.8%	58.6%	51.0%
<b>No</b>	200	37	99	11	14	7	17	16
	28.2%	23.9%	26.4%	30.7%	39.2%	19.2%	41.4%	49.0%



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes							
	No							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	510	200
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	510 71.8%	510 100.0%	0 0.0%
	No	200 28.2%	0 0.0%	200 100.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	.a	.a
	No	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	347	363
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	510 71.8%	310 89.4%	199 54.9%
	No	200 28.2%	37 10.6%	164 45.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	B	
	No		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	710	
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	510 71.8%	510 71.8%	
	No	200 28.2%	200 28.2%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter
		Yes
		(A)
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	.a
	No	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
<b>Total</b>	710	302	405	3
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>				
<b>Yes</b>	510 71.8%	217 71.7%	292 72.1%	1 35.3%
<b>No</b>	200 28.2%	85 28.3%	113 27.9%	2 64.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>			
<b>Yes</b>			
<b>No</b>			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ages of Children in Household					
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
<b>Total</b>	710	117	167	67	90	405
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>						
<b>Yes</b>	510 71.8%	86 73.2%	124 74.5%	48 72.5%	62 69.7%	292 72.1%
<b>No</b>	200 28.2%	31 26.8%	43 25.5%	18 27.5%	27 30.3%	113 27.9%

	Ages of ...
	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	3
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	
<b>Yes</b>	1 35.3%
<b>No</b>	2 64.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Ages of Children in Household					
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>						
<b>Yes</b>						
<b>No</b>						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group							
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
<b>Total</b>	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>								
<b>Yes</b>	510 71.8%	19 54.7%	1 100.0%	62 74.1%	318 73.4%	67 63.1%	7 100.0%	21 78.3%
<b>No</b>	200 28.2%	16 45.3%	0 0.0%	22 25.9%	115 26.6%	39 36.9%	0 0.0%	6 21.7%

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>	4	14
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>		
<b>Yes</b>	4 85.2%	11 84.2%
<b>No</b>	1 14.8%	2 15.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

	Ethnic Group						
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>							
<b>Yes</b>		a,b				b	
<b>No</b>		a,b				b	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
	(H)	(I)
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>		
<b>Yes</b>		
<b>No</b>		

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname							
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American
<b>Total</b>		710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	510 71.8%	5 69.2%	13 66.1%	53 59.6%	26 86.2%	14 87.3%	3 100.0%	13 91.3%
	<b>No</b>	200 28.2%	2 30.8%	7 33.9%	36 40.4%	4 13.8%	2 12.7%	0 0.0%	1 8.7%

		Ethnic ...
		Not Coded
<b>Total</b>		530
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	382 72.1%
	<b>No</b>	148 27.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>

		Ethnic Surname							
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>						a		
	<b>No</b>						a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area					
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
<b>Total</b>		710	123	134	86	202	66
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	510 71.8%	94 76.2%	101 75.5%	57 66.3%	143 70.8%	44 66.6%
	<b>No</b>	200 28.2%	29 23.8%	33 24.5%	29 33.7%	59 29.2%	22 33.4%

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Crosstabs 12-14-17

		Area
		Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		99
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	71 71.5%
	<b>No</b>	28 28.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>					
	<b>No</b>					

Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>

		Area
		Not sure/DK/NA
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	(F)
	<b>No</b>	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
<b>Total</b>		710	59	61	287	304
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	510 71.8%	34 57.7%	36 58.7%	226 78.7%	214 70.6%
	<b>No</b>	200 28.2%	25 42.3%	25 41.3%	61 21.3%	89 29.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes			A B	
	No	C	C		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Yes	510	84	86	105	60	174
	No	200	22	55	44	32	47
		71.8%	79.3%	60.9%	70.5%	65.0%	78.8%
		28.2%	20.7%	39.1%	29.5%	35.0%	21.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	B				B
	No		A E			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12
	Yes	502	167	105	40	48	62	62	10
	No	197	61	44	8	31	20	28	2
		71.9%	73.3%	70.4%	84.0%	60.6%	75.3%	69.0%	83.6%
		28.1%	26.7%	29.6%	16.0%	39.4%	24.7%	31.0%	16.4%

		Party by Gender	
		Male	Oth
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	11	
	Yes	8	75.6%
	No	3	24.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes								
	No								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	Yes	259	68	77	46	35	34
	No	106	31	48	8	10	9
		71.0%	69.0%	61.4%	84.5%	78.1%	79.1%
		29.0%	31.0%	38.6%	15.5%	21.9%	20.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
R. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes			B		
	No		C			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					Not sure [DK/NA]
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	
<b>Total</b>		345	100	84	27	108	26
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	251	74	52	22	89	14
	<b>No</b>	95	26	32	5	19	12
		72.6%	73.9%	61.7%	81.5%	82.0%	54.8%
		27.4%	26.1%	38.3%	18.5%	18.0%	45.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
<b>R. Permanent Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>				B E	
	<b>No</b>		D			D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
<b>Total</b>		710	710
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	347	347
	<b>No</b>	363	363
		48.9%	48.9%
		51.1%	51.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Total
		(A)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	.
	<b>No</b>	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
<b>Total</b>		710	330	370	11
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	347	147	195	5
	<b>No</b>	363	182	175	6
		48.9%	44.6%	52.7%	46.0%
		51.1%	55.4%	47.3%	54.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>			
	<b>No</b>			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>Total</b>		710	67	116	136	127	93	163	8
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	347 48.9%	20 29.6%	34 29.1%	51 37.4%	63 49.7%	60 64.1%	115 70.3%	5 61.6%
	<b>No</b>	363 51.1%	47 70.4%	82 70.9%	85 62.6%	64 50.3%	34 35.9%	48 29.7%	3 38.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Age						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>				B	A B C	A B C D	
	<b>No</b>	E F	D E F	E F	F			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
<b>Total</b>		710	470	240
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	347 48.9%	260 55.2%	87 36.3%
	<b>No</b>	363 51.1%	210 44.8%	153 63.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	B	
	<b>No</b>		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
<b>Total</b>		710	385	126	23	176
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	347 48.9%	213 55.3%	63 50.2%	10 42.4%	61 34.7%
	<b>No</b>	363 51.1%	172 44.7%	63 49.8%	13 57.6%	115 65.3%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	D	D		
	<b>No</b>				A B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
<b>Total</b>		710	208	111	44	46	155	146
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	347 48.9%	117 56.4%	62 55.5%	18 42.2%	30 64.5%	66 42.7%	53 36.6%
	<b>No</b>	363 51.1%	91 43.6%	50 44.5%	25 57.8%	16 35.5%	89 57.3%	93 63.4%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	F	F		F		
	<b>No</b>						A B D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
<b>Total</b>		710	297	95	46	69	57	44
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	347 48.9%	108 36.4%	54 57.1%	30 65.6%	26 38.0%	33 57.2%	26 59.7%
	<b>No</b>	363 51.1%	189 63.6%	41 42.9%	16 34.4%	43 62.0%	24 42.8%	18 40.3%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
<b>Total</b>		67	35
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	51 76.1%	18 52.0%
	<b>No</b>	16 23.9%	17 48.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)	1993 to 1996 (F)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>		A	A			
	<b>No</b>	B C G			G		

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	A D	
	<b>No</b>		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
<b>Total</b>		710	155	375	34	37	37	40	32
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	347 48.9%	92 59.4%	162 43.2%	18 53.6%	27 73.9%	20 55.2%	9 21.9%	18 56.9%
	<b>No</b>	363 51.1%	63 40.6%	213 56.8%	16 46.4%	10 26.1%	16 44.8%	32 78.1%	14 43.1%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Date						
		Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	B F			B F			F
	<b>No</b>		A D				A D G	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		710	510	200
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	347 48.9%	310 60.9%	37 18.4%
	<b>No</b>	363 51.1%	199 39.1%	164 81.6%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	B	
	<b>No</b>		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
<b>Total</b>		710	347	363
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	<b>Yes</b>	347 48.9%	347 100.0%	0 0.0%
	<b>No</b>	363 51.1%	0 0.0%	363 100.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	a	a
	No	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		710	710	
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	347 48.9%	347 48.9%	
	No	363 51.1%	363 51.1%	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Voter
		Yes
		(A)
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	.
	No	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in Household			
		Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
Total		710	302	405	3
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	347 48.9%	122 40.3%	224 55.3%	1 35.3%
	No	363 51.1%	180 59.7%	181 44.7%	2 64.7%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Children in Household		
		Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		A	
	No	B		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ages of Children in Household					
		Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household
Total		710	117	167	67	90	405
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	347 48.9%	28 23.9%	57 33.9%	35 52.6%	49 54.2%	224 55.3%
	No	363 51.1%	89 76.1%	110 66.1%	32 47.4%	41 45.8%	181 44.7%

		Ages of ...
		Not sure/DK/NA
Total		3
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	1 35.3%
	No	2 64.7%



**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ages of Children in Household					
		0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	Yes			AB	AB	AB	
	No	CDE	CDE				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group							
		Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	Total	710	35	1	84	434	106	7	27
	Yes	347	16	1	28	256	25	0	13
	No	363	19	0	56	178	81	7	13
		51.1%	55.2%	0.0%	66.7%	41.0%	76.4%	100.0%	50.1%

		Ethnic Group	
		Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	Total	4	14
	Yes	1	7
	No	3	6
		29.5%	52.5%
		70.5%	47.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group							
		Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	Yes		a,b		CE			b	
	No		a,b	D		D		b	

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>c,d</sup>**

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	Yes	(I)
	No	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>c,d</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Surname								
		Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	Total	710	8	20	89	30	16	3	14	530
	Yes	347	3	12	11	24	11	0	3	284
	No	363	5	7	78	6	5	3	11	247
		51.1%	66.8%	38.3%	87.4%	20.6%	32.8%	100.0%	80.0%	46.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Ethnic Surname							
		Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	Yes		C		CG	C	a		C
	No			BDEH			a	D	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Area					
		Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	Total	710	123	134	86	202	66
	Yes	347	72	80	43	108	27
	No	363	52	54	43	94	39
		51.1%	42.1%	40.2%	50.1%	46.6%	59.0%

		Area
		Not sure/DK/NA
<b>S. Likely Absentee Voter</b>	Total	99
	Yes	18
	No	82
		18.0%
		82.0%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area				
		Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	F	F	F	F	F
	No					

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Area
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	
	No	A B C D E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	710	59	61	287	304
	Yes	347	20	36	184	107
	No	363	39	25	103	197
		51.1%	66.4%	40.6%	35.7%	64.9%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		A D	A D	
	No	B C			B C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	710	105	142	150	92	221
	Yes	347	0	34	65	45	202
	No	363	105	108	84	47	19
		51.1%	100.0%	75.9%	56.5%	50.7%	8.5%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>b,c</sup>**

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	a		B	B	B C D
	No	a	C D E	E	E	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	699	228	150	47	78	83	91	12
	Yes	342	131	78	27	36	32	29	5
	No	357	97	72	20	43	51	62	7
		51.1%	42.5%	48.2%	42.3%	54.3%	61.8%	68.1%	58.8%

		Party by
		Male Oth
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	11
	Yes	5
	No	6
		43.8%
		56.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	F							
	No						A		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	365	99	125	54	44	43
	Yes	181	42	54	42	27	16
	No	184	57	70	12	17	27
		50.4%	57.6%	56.3%	22.9%	39.4%	62.8%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes			A B E		
	No	C	C			C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
		Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	345	100	84	27	108	26
	Yes	166	41	46	18	47	13
	No	179	59	38	8	61	13
		51.9%	59.0%	45.4%	31.3%	56.3%	49.2%

**Comparisons of Column Proportions<sup>a,b</sup>**

		Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
		Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
S. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

SET Printback=On.

	Total	
	Total	Total
3A. Police services	1.08	1.08
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.78
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.35	.35
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.33	-.33
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.08	1.08
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.80	.80
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.34	.34
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.29	-1.29
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.76	-.76
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.94	.94
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.86	.86
3L. Library facilities and services	1.22	1.22

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Total
	Total
	(A)
3A. Police services	.
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	.
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	.
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	.
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	.
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.
3L. Library facilities and services	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
3A. Police services	1.08	1.09	1.10	.54
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.78	.80	.41
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.35	.20	.48	.10
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.33	-.25	-.38	-.85
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.08	1.08	1.07	1.00
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.80	.90	.75	.07
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.34	.29	.38	.20
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.29	-1.42	-1.17	-1.25
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.76	-.92	-.64	.36
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.94	.89	.99	.89
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.86	.79	.93	.52
3L. Library facilities and services	1.22	1.33	1.12	1.40

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
3A. Police services			
3B. Neighborhood police patrols			
3C. Communication between the City and residents		A	
3D. Land use, planning and zoning			
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events			
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens			
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel			
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours		A	
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow		A	A
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks			
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events			
3L. Library facilities and services			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age							
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
3A. Police services	1.08	.49	1.10	1.13	1.13	.91	1.36	.90
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.55	.91	.71	.91	.50	.89	.93
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.35	.26	.40	.29	.42	.16	.43	.34
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.33	-.37	-.51	-.38	-.37	-.31	-.10	-.37
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.08	.91	.92	.97	1.25	1.04	1.25	.46
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.80	.80	.62	.86	.68	.75	.94	.12
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.34	.35	.13	.23	.43	.42	.52	-.64
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.29	-1.05	-1.25	-1.16	-1.39	-1.35	-1.35	-1.66
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.76	-.45	-.89	-.68	-.97	-.99	-.51	-1.17
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.94	1.32	1.04	1.02	.83	.79	.89	.59
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.86	.20	.86	.85	.89	.94	1.07	.29
3L. Library facilities and services	1.22	.99	1.01	1.04	1.39	1.31	1.47	.27

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Age						
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
3A. Police services		A	A	A		A E	
3B. Neighborhood police patrols							
3C. Communication between the City and residents							
3D. Land use, planning and zoning							
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events							
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens							
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel							
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours							
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow							
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks							
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events		A	A	A	A	A	
3L. Library facilities and services				G	G	B C G	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
3A. Police services	1.11	1.16	1.00
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.75	.85
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.37	.35	.41
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.36	-.33	-.43
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.11	1.12	1.08
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.82	.95	.56
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.39	.40	.37
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.26	-1.32	-1.15
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.75	-.77	-.70
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.98	1.01	.91
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.87	.88	.85
3L. Library facilities and services	1.23	1.27	1.16

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
3A. Police services		
3B. Neighborhood police patrols		
3C. Communication between the City and residents		
3D. Land use, planning and zoning		
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events		
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	B	
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel		
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours		
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow		
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks		
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events		
3L. Library facilities and services		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
3A. Police services	1.11	1.10	1.26	1.38	.99
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.79	.61	1.15	.83
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.37	.44	.02	.61	.44
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.36	-.28	-.61	-.68	-.31
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.11	1.20	1.22	1.49	.76
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.82	.90	.84	1.03	.53
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.39	.33	.39	.62	.49
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.26	-1.26	-1.51	-1.41	-1.08
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.75	-.71	-.78	-.91	-.79
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.98	.98	.75	1.32	1.08
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.87	.92	.62	1.31	.89
3L. Library facilities and services	1.23	1.25	1.33	1.50	1.06

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3A. Police services				
3B. Neighborhood police patrols				
3C. Communication between the City and residents	B			
3D. Land use, planning and zoning				
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	D	D	D	
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens				
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel				
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours				B
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow				
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks				
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events				
3L. Library facilities and services				

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
3A. Police services	1.11	.99	1.27	1.34	1.13	1.04	1.14
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.71	.90	.73	.24	.82	.93
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.37	.36	.54	-.16	.10	.46	.41
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.36	-.30	-.33	-.92	-.48	-.25	-.38
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.11	1.22	1.19	1.13	1.32	1.08	.84
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.82	.84	1.09	1.28	.60	.87	.34
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.39	.36	.26	.03	.85	.41	.46
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.26	-1.30	-1.17	-1.79	-1.41	-1.10	-1.24
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.75	-.82	-.72	-1.32	-.48	-.40	-.94
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.98	.97	.96	.69	.85	1.06	1.04
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.87	.85	1.31	.84	.62	.57	1.00
3L. Library facilities and services	1.23	1.22	1.31	1.44	1.43	1.17	1.11

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3A. Police services						
3B. Neighborhood police patrols						D
3C. Communication between the City and residents						
3D. Land use, planning and zoning						
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	F					
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens		F				
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel						
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours		C			C	
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow					C F	
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks						
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events		A D E				
3L. Library facilities and services						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
3A. Police services	1.11	.90	1.29	1.42	1.08	1.33	1.34
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.74	.75	.76	.69	.97	.90
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.37	.32	.45	.54	.40	.15	.39
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.36	-.48	-.34	-.59	-.37	-.35	-.32
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.11	.89	1.27	1.22	1.11	1.38	1.46
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.82	.53	.96	.74	1.16	1.13	.95
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.39	.17	.46	.43	.60	.52	.44
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.26	-1.24	-1.31	-1.24	-1.26	-1.25	-1.37
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.75	-.87	-.77	-.68	-.56	-.45	-1.12
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	-.98	.96	1.14	1.10	1.08	1.05	.34
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.87	.71	1.16	.73	1.02	.95	.71
3L. Library facilities and services	1.23	1.05	1.53	1.33	1.36	1.33	1.07

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
3A. Police services	1.21	1.11
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.83	.87
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.65	.04
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	.26	-.49
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.21	1.14
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.98	1.01
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.77	.47
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.16	-1.42
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.50	-.61
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	1.05	.88
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	1.10	.79
3L. Library facilities and services	1.52	1.07



**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3A. Police services						
3B. Neighborhood police patrols						
3C. Communication between the City and residents						
3D. Land use, planning and zoning						
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events						
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens						
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel						
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours						
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow						
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	F	F		F		
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events						
3L. Library facilities and services		A				

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
3A. Police services		
3B. Neighborhood police patrols		
3C. Communication between the City and residents		
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	A	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events		
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens		
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel		
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours		
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow		
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks		
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events		
3L. Library facilities and services		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Date							
	Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
3A. Police services	1.08	1.06	1.12	.74	1.07	1.06	1.32	.97
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.61	.86	.38	.71	.73	1.02	1.00
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.35	.45	.24	.44	.28	.39	.93	.36
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.33	-.38	-.43	-.41	-.06	-.17	.15	.12
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.13	.95	.81	1.44	1.13
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.80	.70	.85	.66	.56	.89	1.02	.79
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.34	.29	.34	.17	.25	.50	.38	.64
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.29	-1.51	-1.22	-1.22	-1.35	-1.24	-.93	-1.52
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.76	-1.02	-.82	-.65	-.66	-.54	.16	-.61
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.94	.93	1.00	.77	.66	.79	1.19	1.03
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.86	.99	.81	.88	.92	.58	1.12	.90
3L. Library facilities and services	1.22	1.10	1.15	1.30	1.42	1.17	1.70	1.49

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Date						
	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
3A. Police services							
3B. Neighborhood police patrols							
3C. Communication between the City and residents						B	
3D. Land use, planning and zoning							
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events							
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens							
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel							
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours							
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow						A B	
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks							
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events							
3L. Library facilities and services							

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
3A. Police services	1.11	1.13	1.04
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.82	.68
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.37	.35	.42
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.36	-.31	-.50
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.11	1.10	1.12
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.82	.77	.95
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.39	.45	.22
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.26	-1.23	-1.35
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.75	-.76	-.73
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.98	.94	1.08
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.87	.91	.76
3L. Library facilities and services	1.23	1.29	1.06

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
3A. Police services		
3B. Neighborhood police patrols		
3C. Communication between the City and residents		
3D. Land use, planning and zoning		
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events		
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens		
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel		
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours		
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow		
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks		
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events		
3L. Library facilities and services	B	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.<sup>a,b</sup>  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
3A. Police services	1.11	1.23	.98
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.86	.72
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.37	.49	.25
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.36	-.14	-.59
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.11	1.32	.90
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.82	.90	.74
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.39	.56	.22
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.26	-1.23	-1.30
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.75	-.68	-.81
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.98	1.01	.95
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.87	1.02	.72
3L. Library facilities and services	1.23	1.41	1.05

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
3A. Police services	B	
3B. Neighborhood police patrols		
3C. Communication between the City and residents	B	
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	B	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	B	
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens		
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	B	
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours		
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow		
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks		
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	B	
3L. Library facilities and services	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
3A. Police services	1.08	1.11	.93
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.78	.76
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.35	.37	.14
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.33	-.36	-.08
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.08	1.11	.85
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.80	.82	.72
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	-.34	.39	-.04
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.29	-1.26	-1.46
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.76	-.75	-.85
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.94	.98	.70
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.86	.87	.79
3L. Library facilities and services	1.22	1.23	1.15

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
3A. Police services		
3B. Neighborhood police patrols		
3C. Communication between the City and residents		
3D. Land use, planning and zoning		
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events		
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens		
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	B	
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours		
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow		
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	B	
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events		
3L. Library facilities and services		

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
3A. Police services	1.08	1.01	1.13	1.90
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.77	.78	1.76
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.35	.35	.34	.47
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.33	-.43	-.25	1.27
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.08	.95	1.19	1.87
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.80	.68	.87	1.97
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	-.34	.31	.35	1.17
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.29	-1.19	-1.36	-1.86
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.76	-.81	-.73	.93
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.94	.95	.94	2.00
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.86	.79	.92	1.04
3L. Library facilities and services	1.22	1.01	1.41	2.00

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
3A. Police services			
3B. Neighborhood police patrols			
3C. Communication between the City and residents			a
3D. Land use, planning and zoning			
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events		A	
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens			a
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel			
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours			
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow			
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks			
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events			a
3L. Library facilities and services		A	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ages of Children in Household						
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
3A. Police services	1.08	1.09	.88	1.07	1.15	1.13	1.90
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.86	.59	.70	.95	.78	1.76
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.35	.20	.33	.66	.46	.34	.47
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.33	-.64	-.63	-.32	-.06	-.25	1.27
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.08	.81	.86	1.12	1.08	1.19	1.87
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.80	.76	.66	.46	.84	.87	1.97
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.34	.23	.21	.63	.58	.35	1.17
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.29	-1.19	-1.18	-1.16	-1.20	-1.36	-1.86
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.76	-.80	-.88	-.59	-.72	-.73	.93
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.94	.91	.95	1.19	1.13	.94	2.00
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.86	.71	.81	.97	.95	.92	1.04
3L. Library facilities and services	1.22	.77	.99	1.58	1.10	1.41	2.00

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ages of Children in Household					
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3A. Police services						
3B. Neighborhood police patrols						
3C. Communication between the City and residents						a
3D. Land use, planning and zoning				A B	B	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events					A B	
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens						a
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel						
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours						
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow						
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks						
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events						a
3L. Library facilities and services			A B D		A B	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Group							
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
3A. Police services	1.08	-.16	-1.00	1.07	1.27	1.15	1.00	-.19
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	-.35	-2.00	.75	.88	.98	2.00	-.10
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.35	-.31	-2.00	.50	.44	.27	2.00	-.40
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.33	-.44	-2.00	-.12	-.26	-.31	-2.00	-1.08
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.08	.66	-1.00	.96	1.24	.97	-2.00	.75
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.80	.46	-2.00	.77	.86	1.08	-1.00	.84
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.34	.39	.	.40	.41	.08	1.00	.34
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.29	-1.70	-2.00	-1.07	-1.29	-1.40	1.00	-1.22
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.76	-1.16	-2.00	-.58	-.76	-.76	-2.00	-.52
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.94	.14	2.00	1.12	.99	.89	2.00	1.01
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.86	.35	-2.00	.82	1.03	.62	1.00	.15
3L. Library facilities and services	1.22	.63	-2.00	1.08	1.42	1.07	-1.00	.63

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
3A. Police services	.07	1.00
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	-.48	.87
3C. Communication between the City and residents	-.41	-.23
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-1.19	-.93
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	.20	1.03
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	1.46	.20
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	-1.34	-.19
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.85	-1.49
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-1.65	-.60
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	-.33	.65
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.19	.54
3L. Library facilities and services	1.08	1.27

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Group							
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
3A. Police services		. <sup>a</sup>	A G	A G	A G			
3B. Neighborhood police patrols		. <sup>a</sup>	A	A G	A G	A G H		
3C. Communication between the City and residents		. <sup>a</sup>		A		A E G I		
3D. Land use, planning and zoning		. <sup>a</sup>	F	F				
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	F	. <sup>a</sup>	F	A F	F		F	F
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens		. <sup>a</sup>	F	F	F			
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel		.						
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours		. <sup>a</sup>				A C D E G H I		
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow		. <sup>a</sup>						
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks		. <sup>a</sup>	A	A	A	A H		
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events		. <sup>a</sup>		A E G				
3L. Library facilities and services	F	. <sup>a</sup>	F	A F G	F		F	

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Group
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(I)
3A. Police services	A G
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	A
3C. Communication between the City and residents	
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	F
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	
3L. Library facilities and services	F

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Surname								
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
3A. Police services	1.11	.16	.49	1.09	1.52	1.36	1.41	-.01	1.14
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.26	.54	.78	1.08	.30	-.49	.29	.83
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.37	-1.20	.53	.10	.66	.74	.38	.72	.39
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.36	-1.75	-.14	-.67	.37	-.87	-1.58	-1.45	-.28
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.11	.39	1.24	.91	1.08	1.32	.10	-.54	1.19
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.82	1.00	.65	1.12	.90	1.08	-2.00	-.36	.82
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.39	-.45	.20	-.11	.62	.46	.65	.09	.47
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.26	-.92	-.91	-1.45	-.91	-1.64	-1.51	-.58	-1.28
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.75	-.20	-.99	-.81	-.33	-1.31	-1.21	-1.92	-.70
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.98	1.33	.71	.88	1.01	.67	1.16	.62	1.02
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.87	.23	1.05	.56	1.04	1.30	.38	1.02	.90
3L. Library facilities and services	1.23	.39	1.55	1.11	1.32	1.49	1.17	.09	1.27

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname							
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
3A. Police services			G	G	G	<sup>a</sup>		G
3B. Neighborhood police patrols								
3C. Communication between the City and residents				A	A		A	A
3D. Land use, planning and zoning				A C G				G
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events		G	G	G	G			G
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	<sup>a</sup>		G			<sup>a</sup>		G
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel								C
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours								
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow				G				
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks								
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events								
3L. Library facilities and services		G		G	G			G

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Area					
	Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
3A. Police services	1.08	1.29	1.24	1.50	1.16	.62
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.81	.99	1.10	.81	.34
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.35	.48	.57	.26	.43	-.32
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.33	-.03	-.40	-.62	-.29	-.48
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.08	1.11	1.22	1.37	1.15	.41
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.80	.86	.82	.81	.83	.41
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.34	.54	.50	.34	.22	.02
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.29	-.94	-1.15	-1.11	-1.62	-1.56
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.76	-.27	-.31	-.61	-1.28	-1.00
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.94	.76	.97	1.13	1.19	.30
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.86	.76	.97	1.17	1.12	.16
3L. Library facilities and services	1.22	1.45	1.38	1.40	1.29	.59

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Area
	Not sure/DK/NA
3A. Police services	.57
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.55
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.21
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.29
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	.94
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	1.01
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.34
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.16
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.84
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.93
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.61
3L. Library facilities and services	.96

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Area					
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3A. Police services	E F	E F	E F	E F		
3B. Neighborhood police patrols		E	E			
3C. Communication between the City and residents	E	E		E		
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	C					
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	E	E	E	E		E
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens						
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel						
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	D E	D	D			D
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	D E F	D E F	D			
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks		E	E	A E		E



**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Area					
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	E	E	E F	E F		
3L. Library facilities and services	E F	E F	E	E		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
3A. Police services	1.08	1.02	1.31	1.04	1.10
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	.79	.97	.70	.83
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.35	.76	.61	.33	.23
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.33	.13	.08	-.25	-.59
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.08	1.10	1.20	1.04	1.09
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.80	.50	1.11	.81	.74
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.34	.59	.25	.25	.41
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.29	-1.00	-1.21	-1.46	-1.14
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.76	-.21	-.08	-.91	-.81
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.94	1.04	1.07	.86	1.01
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.86	.81	1.19	.85	.81
3L. Library facilities and services	1.22	1.51	1.42	1.20	1.14

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3A. Police services				
3B. Neighborhood police patrols				
3C. Communication between the City and residents	D			
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	D	D	D	
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events				
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens				
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel				
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	C			C
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	C D	C D		
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks				
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events				
3L. Library facilities and services				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
3A. Police services	1.11	1.03	.79	1.18	1.22	1.26
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.78	1.02	.51	.67	.80	.95
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.37	.29	.10	.48	.25	.55
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.36	-.68	-.41	-.34	-.45	-.19
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.11	.53	.91	1.20	1.29	1.35
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.82	.52	.46	1.23	.77	.95
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.39	.41	.19	.20	.18	.72
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.26	-1.13	-1.26	-1.31	-1.35	-1.26
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.75	-.84	-.67	-.72	-.88	-.71
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.98	1.06	.74	1.00	1.01	1.06
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.87	.64	.60	.93	.93	1.07
3L. Library facilities and services	1.23	.76	1.04	1.38	1.26	1.43

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3A. Police services			B		B
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	B				B
3C. Communication between the City and residents					B
3D. Land use, planning and zoning					
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events			A	A	A B
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens			A B		
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel					B C D
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours					
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow					
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks					
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events					B
3L. Library facilities and services			A	A	A B

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
3A. Police services	1.12	1.06	1.13	1.14	1.33	1.13	.94	1.26	1.51
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.79	.76	.84	.41	.73	.97	.80	1.00	1.31
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.37	.51	.35	.22	-.09	.55	.35	.57	.66
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.35	-.32	-.17	-.55	-.64	-.32	-.30	-.99	-.36
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.11	1.24	1.15	1.21	1.22	.61	.91	1.26	1.75
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.84	.83	1.11	.81	.85	.53	.54	.98	1.07
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.39	.36	.33	.52	.31	.44	.51	.39	.95
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.26	-1.16	-1.38	-1.38	-1.59	-1.01	-1.21	-1.22	-1.61
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.76	-.64	-.86	-.66	-.85	-.61	-.96	-.59	-1.27
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.98	1.01	.94	.72	.77	1.23	.96	1.16	1.49
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.87	.95	.91	.69	.57	.92	.87	1.34	1.29
3L. Library facilities and services	1.22	1.13	1.42	1.42	1.28	.86	1.24	1.34	1.72

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
3A. Police services								
3B. Neighborhood police patrols								
3C. Communication between the City and residents	D							
3D. Land use, planning and zoning								
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	E	E		E				
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens								
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel								
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours					D			
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow								
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks								
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events								
3L. Library facilities and services		E						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3A. Police services	1.10	1.10	1.11	.84	1.26	1.20
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.85	.94	.78	.71	1.01	.83
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.34	.49	.32	.27	.23	.27
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.30	-.03	-.47	-.41	-.49	-.11
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	1.17	1.19	1.18	1.14	1.14	1.17
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.78	.70	.96	1.08	.46	.61
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.26	.18	.40	.32	-.06	.24
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.30	-1.09	-1.42	-1.54	-1.42	-1.07
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.73	-.75	-.70	-.95	-.92	-.38
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.98	1.04	1.02	.70	1.00	1.06
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.94	.97	.92	.90	.87	1.05
3L. Library facilities and services	1.28	.93	1.40	1.44	1.38	1.50

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3A. Police services					
3B. Neighborhood police patrols					
3C. Communication between the City and residents					
3D. Land use, planning and zoning					
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events					
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens					
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel					
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours					
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow					
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks					
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events					
3L. Library facilities and services		A	A		

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
3A. Police services	1.07	1.21	.90	1.26	1.02	1.28
3B. Neighborhood police patrols	.72	.76	.68	.84	.68	.71
3C. Communication between the City and residents	.36	.55	.72	.14	-.10	.44
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	-.35	-.06	.00	-.40	-.86	-.47
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	.98	1.23	1.09	1.46	.56	.89
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens	.82	.96	.98	.73	.59	1.25
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	.42	.72	.43	.47	.10	.66
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	-1.27	-1.06	-1.09	-1.10	-1.60	-1.46
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	-.79	-.64	-.46	-.48	-1.29	-.72
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	.91	1.08	1.20	.64	.52	1.09
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events	.78	.84	.79	1.22	.54	.99
3L. Library facilities and services	1.16	1.30	1.23	1.56	.92	1.01

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3A. Police services					
3B. Neighborhood police patrols					
3C. Communication between the City and residents	D	D			
3D. Land use, planning and zoning	D	D			
3E. Providing park and recreation programs and events	D	D	D		
3F. Providing programs for senior citizens					
3G. Efforts to encourage pedestrian and bike travel	D				
3H. Traffic flow on major streets during commute hours	D	D			
3I. Neighborhood traffic flow	D	D			
3J. Providing clean, well maintained streets and sidewalks	D	D			
3K. Opportunities to attend cultural activities and social events					
3L. Library facilities and services					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Total	
	Total	Total
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.48	.48
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.11
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.96	.96
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	.98
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.85	.85
9F. Recreation centers.	1.17	1.17

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Total
	Total
	(A)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	.
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.
9D. Children's play areas.	.
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.
9F. Recreation centers.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.48	.51	.46	.42
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.18	1.06	1.10
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.96	.92	1.01	.37
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	.95	1.00	1.23
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.85	.71	1.00	1.00
9F. Recreation centers.	1.17	1.18	1.17	1.18

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.			
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.			
9C. Neighborhood parks.			
9D. Children's play areas.			
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.			
9F. Recreation centers.			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age							
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.48	.41	.45	.41	.41	.43	.73	-.24
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.41	1.29	1.02	1.09	1.09	1.06	.78
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.96	1.03	.29	.86	1.30	.97	1.12	.92
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	.74	1.03	.65	1.22	1.16	1.11	1.19
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.85	1.39	1.11	.26	.86	.36	1.28	1.00
9F. Recreation centers.	1.17	1.15	1.25	1.01	1.34	1.16	1.14	1.18

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Age						
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.							
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.							
9C. Neighborhood parks.				B		B	
9D. Children's play areas.							
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	C E	C				C E	
9F. Recreation centers.							

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.47	.40	.65
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.05	1.23
9C. Neighborhood parks.	1.01	1.03	.97
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	1.04	.87
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.87	.83	.96
9F. Recreation centers.	1.18	1.23	1.10

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.		
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.		
9C. Neighborhood parks.		
9D. Children's play areas.		
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.		
9F. Recreation centers.		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.47	.58	.54	.46	.19
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.17	1.12	1.73	.87
9C. Neighborhood parks.	1.01	1.22	.92	1.58	.55
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	.84	1.24	1.28	1.02
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.87	.85	.37	.67	1.42
9F. Recreation centers.	1.18	1.03	1.33	1.25	1.38

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.				
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.				
9C. Neighborhood parks.	D			
9D. Children's play areas.				
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.				A B
9F. Recreation centers.				A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.47	.48	.77	.51	.78	.45	.15
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.26	.96	1.20	.71	1.03	1.16
9C. Neighborhood parks.	1.01	1.11	1.31	.94	.58	1.00	.79
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	1.03	.67	1.59	1.10	.80	1.12
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.87	.85	1.03	1.49	.04	.75	1.23
9F. Recreation centers.	1.18	.90	1.12	1.47	1.40	1.35	1.30

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.						
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.						
9C. Neighborhood parks.						
9D. Children's play areas.						
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.		D	D			D
9F. Recreation centers.						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.47	.36	.18	.06	.43	.65	.77
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.03	1.45	1.16	.63	1.13	1.24
9C. Neighborhood parks.	1.01	.70	1.11	1.21	.81	1.29	1.63
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	.89	1.16	1.13	1.10	1.08	.77
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.87	.91	.58	1.34	1.56	.56	.08
9F. Recreation centers.	1.18	.98	1.64	1.28	1.56	1.11	1.21

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.98	.78
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.34	1.20
9C. Neighborhood parks.	1.39	1.06
9D. Children's play areas.	1.06	.45
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.89	.88
9F. Recreation centers.	1.38	.53

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)	1993 to 1996 (F)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.						
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.		D				
9C. Neighborhood parks.						A
9D. Children's play areas.						
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.				F		
9F. Recreation centers.		A H				

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.		
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.		
9C. Neighborhood parks.		
9D. Children's play areas.		
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.		
9F. Recreation centers.		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Date							
	Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.48	.13	.62	.46	.49	-.11	.85	1.00
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	.80	1.17	.86	1.28	1.37	1.01	1.86
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.96	.78	.90	1.12	1.11	1.12	1.42	1.34
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	1.00	.88	1.14	1.05	.81	1.74	1.00
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.85	.86	.64	1.37	.88	.58	1.64	1.20
9F. Recreation centers.	1.17	1.33	1.03	1.38	1.14	.79	1.82	1.51

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Date						
	Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.							
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.							
9C. Neighborhood parks.							
9D. Children's play areas.							
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.						B	
9F. Recreation centers.						B E	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.47	.34	.90
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.11	1.11
9C. Neighborhood parks.	1.01	.95	1.18
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	1.02	.88
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.87	.79	1.01
9F. Recreation centers.	1.18	1.22	1.08

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.		A
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.		
9C. Neighborhood parks.		
9D. Children's play areas.		
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.		
9F. Recreation centers.		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.47	.47	.47
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.38	.89
9C. Neighborhood parks.	1.01	1.27	.76
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	1.06	.91
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.87	.85	.89
9F. Recreation centers.	1.18	1.15	1.21

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.		
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	B	
9C. Neighborhood parks.	B	
9D. Children's play areas.		
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.		
9F. Recreation centers.		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.48	.47	.52
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.11	1.14
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.96	1.01	.73
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	.98	.97
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.85	.87	.60
9F. Recreation centers.	1.17	1.18	1.06



**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.		
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.		
9C. Neighborhood parks.		
9D. Children's play areas.		
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.		
9F. Recreation centers.		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.48	.31	.62	2.00
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	.95	1.24	2.00
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.96	.87	1.02	1.87
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	.90	1.07	.
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.85	.67	1.03	.
9F. Recreation centers.	1.17	1.11	1.24	.

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.			
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.		A	
9C. Neighborhood parks.			
9D. Children's play areas.			.
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.		A	.
9F. Recreation centers.			.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ages of Children in Household						
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.48	.36	.09	-.06	.48	.62	2.00
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.03	.73	1.05	1.18	1.24	2.00
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.96	.54	.61	1.27	1.26	1.02	1.87
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	.58	1.07	1.01	.83	1.07	.
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.85	.22	.86	.55	.62	1.03	.
9F. Recreation centers.	1.17	1.14	1.21	1.61	1.10	1.24	.

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Ages of Children in Household					
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.						
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.					B	
9C. Neighborhood parks.			B	A B		
9D. Children's play areas.		A				.
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.		A			A	.
9F. Recreation centers.			D			.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Ethnic Group							
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.48	.33	-1.00	.75	.45	.69	.	-.31
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	.75	-2.00	.85	1.15	1.22	.	1.17
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.96	.54	-2.00	.90	1.11	.81	.	.60
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	.29	.	.94	1.12	.74	1.00	.59
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.85	1.00	.	.50	.83	1.07	1.00	1.27
9F. Recreation centers.	1.17	.16	.	1.15	1.30	.97	1.00	1.51

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.60	.69
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.60	1.24
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.05	.91
9D. Children's play areas.	1.23	1.08
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.33	.19
9F. Recreation centers.	1.38	.66

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Group							
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.		a						
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.		a						
9C. Neighborhood parks.		a						
9D. Children's play areas.		.						a
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.		.						a
9F. Recreation centers.		.	A	A			A	a

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Group
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(I)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	
9C. Neighborhood parks.	
9D. Children's play areas.	
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	
9F. Recreation centers.	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Surname								
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.47	.79	1.65	.47	1.12	.04	.06	1.00	.44
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.60	.19	1.09	2.00	1.23	2.00	.92	1.10
9C. Neighborhood parks.	1.01	1.00	.55	.90	1.53	1.14	1.53	1.00	1.01
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	1.47	1.05	.45	.67	1.25	.81	1.01	1.04
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.87	-1.00	1.00	1.06	.95	1.16	1.11	.94	.82
9F. Recreation centers.	1.18	1.69	1.37	.94	1.27	1.49	-2.00	1.03	1.20

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname							
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.						a		
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.						a		
9C. Neighborhood parks.						a		
9D. Children's play areas.						.		
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	a	a				.		
9F. Recreation centers.						a		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Area					
	Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.48	-.09	.38	.97	.47	.83
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	.67	1.09	1.34	1.30	1.15
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.96	.77	1.11	1.48	1.06	.57
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	1.10	1.35	.80	1.18	.04
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.85	1.16	.96	-.29	.84	.77
9F. Recreation centers.	1.17	1.42	1.34	1.55	1.26	-.06

	Area
	Not sure/DK/NA
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.59
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.10
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.72
9D. Children's play areas.	.84
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	1.18
9F. Recreation centers.	1.15

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Area					
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.			A			
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.				A		
9C. Neighborhood parks.			A E F			
9D. Children's play areas.	E	E	E	E		E
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	C	C			C	C
9F. Recreation centers.	E	E	E	E		E

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.48	.79	1.01	.32	.48
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.36	1.26	.97	1.21
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.96	1.25	1.39	.85	.95
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	1.24	.85	.94	.98
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.85	1.43	.99	.76	.72
9F. Recreation centers.	1.17	1.46	1.20	1.10	1.18

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.				
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.				
9C. Neighborhood parks.				
9D. Children's play areas.				
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	D			
9F. Recreation centers.				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.47	.14	.49	.36	.75	.53
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	.89	.70	1.27	1.19	1.32
9C. Neighborhood parks.	1.01	.61	.46	1.16	1.23	1.29
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	.81	.51	1.31	1.06	1.16
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.87	.95	.53	1.19	.94	.89
9F. Recreation centers.	1.18	1.08	1.06	1.45	1.20	1.15

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.					
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.			B		B
9C. Neighborhood parks.			B	B	A B
9D. Children's play areas.			B		B
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.					
9F. Recreation centers.					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.46	.69	.34	.15	.85	.18	.20	1.56	-.74
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.11	1.16	1.19	1.02	1.20	.81	.90	1.48	2.00
9C. Neighborhood parks.	1.02	1.31	1.16	.68	1.13	.30	.72	2.00	1.13
9D. Children's play areas.	.98	.82	.88	1.54	1.11	1.18	.80	1.12	1.53
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.87	.84	.85	1.32	.08	1.25	1.76	.26	.97
9F. Recreation centers.	1.18	.98	1.11	1.66	1.20	1.40	1.36	1.28	1.22

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.								
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.								
9C. Neighborhood parks.	E	E						
9D. Children's play areas.								
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.					D	D		
9F. Recreation centers.								

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.34	.72	.33	.36	.20	-.48
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.04	1.37	1.12	.96	.71	.83
9C. Neighborhood parks.	.84	.75	1.06	.95	1.05	.16
9D. Children's play areas.	1.04	.92	.99	1.32	1.28	.99
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	1.01	1.02	.82	1.34	.99	1.08
9F. Recreation centers.	1.22	.91	1.44	1.20	1.30	1.43

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.					
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.					
9C. Neighborhood parks.		E		E	
9D. Children's play areas.					
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.					
9F. Recreation centers.					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.	.62	.45	.96	.61	.61	.10
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.	1.18	1.06	1.20	1.51	1.27	1.00
9C. Neighborhood parks.	1.10	1.17	1.31	1.35	.95	.54
9D. Children's play areas.	.92	.94	1.17	1.30	.50	1.57
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.	.72	.59	1.43	.72	.33	1.27
9F. Recreation centers.	1.13	1.39	1.10	1.48	.73	1.81

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9A. Availability and cleanliness of restrooms.					
9B. Condition of sports fields and courts.					
9C. Neighborhood parks.					
9D. Children's play areas.		D			D
9E. Bedwell Bayfront Park.		D			
9F. Recreation centers.	D				D

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Total	
	Total	Total
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.11	1.11
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.99	.99
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.00	1.00
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.80	.80
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	.98
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.80	.80
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.03	1.03
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.83	.83
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.88	.88
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.13	1.13
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.18	.18
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.92	.92
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.90	.90

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Total
	(A)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	.
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	.
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	.
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	.
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.11	1.06	1.16	1.15
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.99	.84	1.12	1.08
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.00	.83	1.16	1.01
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.80	.75	.83	1.34
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	.86	1.06	1.38
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.80	.72	.88	.52
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.03	.83	1.19	1.27
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.83	.76	.89	1.28
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.88	.79	.96	.88

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.13	.97	1.27	1.12
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.18	.02	.34	-.10
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.92	.78	1.03	1.07
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.90	.80	.97	1.34

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost			
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?		A	
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections		A	
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training			
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library			
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading			
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning		A	
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading			

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space			
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools		A	
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses		A	
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students		A	
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age							
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.11	1.50	1.28	1.13	1.26	.89	.82	1.19
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.99	1.36	1.19	.86	.87	1.09	.88	.59
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.00	1.38	.84	1.14	1.08	.81	.89	1.15
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.80	1.22	.81	.70	.89	.91	.57	1.05
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	1.55	.72	.87	.89	1.03	1.03	1.21
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.80	1.07	.73	.79	.91	.79	.66	1.20
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.03	1.00	1.17	1.14	1.11	.97	.79	1.17
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.83	1.24	.85	.69	.90	.88	.68	1.21
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.88	1.41	.94	.87	1.00	.73	.62	1.04
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.13	1.71	1.05	.89	1.19	1.18	1.05	1.22
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.18	1.27	.99	.06	.14	-.35	-.34	.59
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.92	1.38	.92	1.00	1.07	.81	.56	1.15
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.90	1.18	.76	1.00	1.08	.84	.65	1.28

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Age						
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	EF	F		F			
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?							
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections							
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	F						
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	BCD						
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading							
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning							
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading							
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	EF						
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	BCF						
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	CDEF	CDEF					
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	F			F			
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students							

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.13	1.05	1.27
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	1.01	.94	1.15
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.06	1.08	1.02
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.82	.81	.83
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	1.04	.88
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.81	.79	.85
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.05	1.05	1.06
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.86	.82	.92
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.89	.86	.96
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.12	1.12	1.13
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.22	-.12	.85



	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.93	.94	.91
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.91	.97	.80

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner (A)	Renter (B)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost		A
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?		
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections		
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training		
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library		
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading		
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning		
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading		
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space		
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools		

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner (A)	Renter (B)
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses		A
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students		
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.13	1.23	.92	1.21	1.05
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	1.01	1.25	.50	1.08	.85
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.06	1.15	.82	1.08	1.00
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.82	.96	.55	1.24	.63
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	1.19	.66	1.27	.71
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.81	.90	.50	1.34	.79

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.05	1.21	.49	1.57	1.03
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.86	.95	.60	1.14	.80
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.89	1.06	.43	1.48	.78
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.12	1.25	.76	1.24	1.09
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.22	.56	-.59	-.61	.12
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.93	1.09	.52	1.22	.79
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.91	1.06	.43	1.70	.85

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Party			
	Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost				
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	B D			
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections				
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	B			
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	B D			

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Party			
	Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	B			
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	B		B	B
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading				
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	B		B	
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	B			
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	B C D			B
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	B			
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	B		B	B

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.13	1.37	1.06	.73	1.13	.98	1.12
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	1.01	1.30	1.05	.13	.67	1.14	.78
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.06	1.10	1.12	.43	1.02	1.18	1.00
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.82	1.00	.95	.38	.60	.69	.79
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	1.19	1.11	.14	.93	1.19	.61
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.81	.95	.82	.49	.51	.81	.81
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.05	1.29	1.12	.29	.56	.90	1.20
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.86	1.02	.83	.52	.61	.79	.91
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.89	1.12	.98	.32	.31	.88	.85
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.12	1.17	1.25	.61	.85	1.25	1.04
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.22	.75	.18	-.55	-1.23	.30	.05
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.93	1.16	.99	.35	.54	.97	.77
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.91	1.12	.86	.17	.48	1.10	.81

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost						
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	C E					
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	C F	C			C	
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	C	C			C	
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	C F	C F			C F	
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading						
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	C D	C				C D
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading						
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	C D					
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools					C	
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	C D F	D			C D	D
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	C					
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	C				C	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a.

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.  
 b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.13	1.10	1.34	1.28	1.01	.94	1.18
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	1.01	1.03	.98	.85	1.00	1.15	.95
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.06	1.00	1.25	.87	1.15	1.01	.94
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.82	.81	.90	.59	.78	.78	.60
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	.84	1.11	.87	1.07	1.08	.90
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.81	.74	1.13	.64	.77	.60	.78
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.05	1.00	1.20	.87	1.18	.83	.93
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.86	.84	1.02	.50	.81	.78	.80
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.89	.93	.90	.48	.91	.84	1.03
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.12	.99	1.25	1.27	1.10	1.07	1.12
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.22	.56	-.05	-.29	.08	.40	.08
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.93	.90	1.01	.78	1.13	.80	.94
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.91	.78	1.31	.48	1.13	.89	.98

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.28	.81
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	1.09	.94
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.17	1.11
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	1.08	.88
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	1.26	1.40
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	1.04	.79
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.33	.98
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	1.14	.78
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	1.06	.69
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.40	1.29
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	-.56	.37
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	1.09	.71
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	1.03	.82

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost						
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?						
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections						
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training						
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library						
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading						
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning						
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading						
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space						
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools						
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	C G					
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students						
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students		A C				

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost		
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?		
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections		
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training		
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library		
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading		
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning		
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading		
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space		
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools		
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses		
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students		
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b.

	Date							
	Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.11	1.05	1.12	1.18	1.11	1.26	.87	1.24
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.99	.82	1.01	.87	.77	1.48	1.28	.95
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.00	.89	1.05	.29	1.06	1.15	1.48	.83
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.80	.49	.88	.67	.83	.74	1.10	.95
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	.90	.94	.75	.88	1.35	1.28	1.08
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.80	.60	.83	.80	.80	.93	1.24	.61
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.03	1.00	1.04	.84	1.00	1.00	1.18	1.00
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.83	.65	.84	.57	.94	1.15	.91	1.06
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.88	.76	.95	.63	.85	.75	.98	.98
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.13	.92	1.16	.88	1.22	1.24	1.39	1.16
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.18	-.69	.43	.20	-.16	.09	.88	.70
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.92	.87	.95	.36	.99	1.03	.99	.97
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.90	.80	.94	.92	.91	.86	.96	.78

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Date						
	Nov. 29 (A)	Nov. 30 (B)	Dec. 1 (C)	Dec. 2 (D)	Dec. 3 (E)	Dec. 4 (F)	Dec. 5 (G)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost							
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?							
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections		C				C	
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training							
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library							
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading							
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning							
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading							
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space							
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools							
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses		A				A D	A
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students							
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students							

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.13	1.08	1.26
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	1.01	.97	1.13
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.06	1.00	1.20
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.82	.71	1.10
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	.93	1.12
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.81	.72	1.06
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.05	.95	1.29
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.86	.77	1.07
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.89	.82	1.09
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.12	1.08	1.24
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.22	.12	.49
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.93	.86	1.11
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.91	.80	1.20

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost		
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?		
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections		
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training		A
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library		
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading		A
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning		A
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading		A
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space		A
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools		
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses		A
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students		A
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students		A

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.13	1.04	1.21
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	1.01	.97	1.05
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.06	.89	1.20
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.82	.64	.97
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	1.05	.92
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.81	.56	1.04
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.05	.90	1.18
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.86	.70	1.00
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.89	.73	1.05
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.12	1.08	1.16
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.22	-.01	.43

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.93	.79	1.05
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.91	.81	1.00

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost		
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?		
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections		A
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training		A
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library		
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading		A
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning		A
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading		A
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space		A
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools		



Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses		A
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students		A
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.11	1.13	.96
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.99	1.01	.83
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.00	1.06	.63
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.80	.82	.67
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	.98	.91
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.80	.81	.72
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.03	1.05	.84
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.83	.86	.63
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.88	.89	.76
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.13	1.12	1.16
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.18	.22	-.15
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.92	.93	.82
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.90	.91	.80

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost		
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?		
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections		
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training		
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library		
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading		
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning		
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading		
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space		
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools		
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses		
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students		
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students		

B

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.11	1.20	1.03	2.00
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.99	1.06	.94	1.71
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.00	1.18	.87	-.89
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.80	.75	.85	-.69
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	.99	.98	-.69
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.80	.82	.80	-.69
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.03	1.13	.95	-.59
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.83	.81	.86	-.72
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.88	.95	.83	-.69
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.13	1.18	1.10	-.62

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.18	.29	.10	-.63
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.92	1.05	.82	-.70
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.90	.96	.86	-.69

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost			
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?			a
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	B C	C	
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training			
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library			
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading			
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	C		
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading			

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space			
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	C	C	
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses			
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students			
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ages of Children in Household						
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.11	.98	1.21	1.11	1.19	1.03	2.00
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.99	1.15	1.10	.80	1.13	.94	1.71
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.00	1.42	1.13	1.01	1.20	.87	-.89
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.80	.72	.75	.66	1.12	.85	-.69
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	.90	.93	.81	1.19	.98	-.69
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.80	.77	.87	.64	1.03	.80	-.69
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.03	1.11	1.23	1.14	1.26	.95	-.59
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.83	.78	.81	.67	.96	.86	-.72
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.88	.86	.87	.76	1.18	.83	-.69
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.13	1.18	1.12	1.05	1.39	1.10	-.62
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.18	.76	.22	-.57	.24	.10	-.63
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.92	.88	.88	1.01	1.34	.82	-.70
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.90	.74	.87	.88	1.35	.86	-.69

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ages of Children in Household					
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost						
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?						a
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	B E F	F		F		
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training						
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library				F		
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading						
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning				F		
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading						
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space						
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools				F		
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	B C E	C		C	C	
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students				B E F		
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students					A B C E F	

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Group							
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.11	.99	2.00	1.39	1.08	1.01	1.00	1.10
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.99	1.69	2.00	.95	.85	1.19	2.00	.94
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.00	1.67	-1.00	1.28	.86	1.06	1.00	1.12
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.80	1.35	-1.00	.99	.70	.83	1.00	1.07
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	1.69	.	.80	.95	1.10	-2.00	.81
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.80	1.74	1.00	1.02	.65	.84	1.00	.97
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.03	1.82	-1.00	1.47	.91	.95	2.00	.51
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.83	1.70	-1.00	1.16	.67	.83	1.00	.77
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.88	1.59	1.00	1.23	.71	1.00	1.00	.91
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.13	1.57	2.00	.95	1.12	1.10	1.00	1.17
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.18	.65	-1.00	.37	-.10	.71	2.00	.68

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.15	1.19
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.45	1.34
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.41	1.12
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	-.08	.64
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.88	1.47
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	-.19	.98
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	-.06	.98
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	1.48	1.25
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.60	.75
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.48	1.30
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	-.53	.03

	Ethnic Group							
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.92	1.70	.	1.26	.77	1.02	-2.00	1.11
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.90	1.68	-1.00	1.03	.83	.89	-2.00	1.16

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	1.12	1.26
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	1.34	1.14

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Group							
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost		a						
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	D	a						
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	D	a						
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training		a						
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	C D F	.	F	F	F		F	F
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	D E	a						
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	D E G	a	D G					
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	D E	a	D					
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	D	a	D					

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Group
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(I)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	F
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Group							
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools		a						
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses		a			D	D		
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	D F	.	D F	F	F		F	F
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	D E F	a	F	F	F		F	F

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Group
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(I)
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	F
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	F

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Surname								
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.13	1.85	1.46	.85	1.47	1.00	1.35	.84	1.15
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	1.01	1.55	.09	.95	1.10	1.14	1.25	1.73	1.02
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.06	1.35	.93	1.16	1.00	.71	-.10	1.02	1.06
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.82	1.55	.98	.78	1.01	.54	1.72	1.31	.78
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	1.24	.46	.96	1.17	.88	-.12	-.17	1.04
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.81	1.85	1.10	.93	.79	.29	1.21	1.26	.76
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.05	1.68	1.46	.81	1.43	1.21	1.25	1.80	1.02
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.86	1.38	1.53	.92	.69	.48	1.21	1.39	.81
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.89	1.34	1.25	.89	.93	.66	-.12	1.27	.88
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.12	1.41	.34	1.09	1.18	1.22	.54	1.45	1.14
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.22	.31	-.38	.73	.54	-1.08	-.72	1.72	.13
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.93	1.20	1.25	1.01	.32	.63	1.72	-.15	.96
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.91	.98	1.32	.80	1.33	.63	-.10	-.47	.95

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Ethnic Surname							
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost								
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?							B	
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections								
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training								
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library			G	G				G
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading								
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning								
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading								
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space								
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools								
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses			E H				B E H	
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students			G					G
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students		G	G	G				G

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Area					
	Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.11	1.06	1.17	1.01	1.14	1.07
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.99	.71	1.13	.74	.88	1.45
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.00	1.01	.90	.97	.79	1.16
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.80	.83	.78	.46	.76	1.00
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	.88	1.06	.88	.71	1.37
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.80	.81	.68	.66	.60	1.12
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.03	.87	1.04	.78	1.03	1.45
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.83	.77	.66	.75	.75	1.15
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.88	.88	.75	.67	.78	1.09
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.13	1.09	1.20	.96	1.05	1.11
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.18	-.26	.05	-.40	.15	.71



	Area
	Not sure/DK/NA
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.12
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	1.18
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.42
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	1.01
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	1.25
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	1.18
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.09
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	1.10
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	1.22
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.33
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.96

	Area					
	Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.92	.76	.82	.68	.80	1.27
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.90	.90	.85	.78	.73	1.14

	Area
	Not sure/DK/NA
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	1.35
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	1.15

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Area					
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost						
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?					A C D	
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections						B D
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training						
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library					D	D
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading						B D
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning						
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading					A C	
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space						C

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Area					
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools						
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses					A C	A B C D
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students						A B C D
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students						A B C D

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.11	1.19	.64	1.03	1.29
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.99	1.16	.67	.94	1.08
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.00	1.14	.69	.86	1.22
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.80	1.19	.59	.58	1.02
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	1.34	.82	1.01	.89
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.80	.98	.61	.64	1.00
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.03	1.22	.64	.85	1.27
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.83	1.20	.56	.67	1.01
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.88	1.17	.51	.74	1.05
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.13	1.42	1.05	1.02	1.20
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.18	1.15	-.05	-.30	.58
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.92	1.19	.54	.81	1.06
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.90	1.11	.54	.84	.99

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost				B C
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?				B C
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections				B C
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	C			C
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library				C
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading				B C
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning				C
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	C			B C
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space				B C
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	B C			B C
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses				B
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	B			
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.13	1.13	1.21	1.10	1.39	.98
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	1.01	.99	1.02	.85	.99	1.14
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.06	1.12	1.20	.86	1.28	.97
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.82	.84	1.03	.49	1.09	.77
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	.57	.97	.87	1.12	1.21
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.81	1.00	.91	.59	1.06	.71
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.05	.95	1.26	.83	1.15	1.06
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.86	.85	1.05	.63	1.03	.82
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.89	.97	1.09	.65	1.08	.80
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.12	.97	1.11	1.13	1.26	1.13
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.22	.55	.70	.19	.42	-.33

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.93	.85	1.10	.75	1.10	.90
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.91	.58	1.14	.79	1.20	.87

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost					
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?					
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections					
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training		C		C	
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library				A	A
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading					
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning		C			
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading					
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space					
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools					

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	E	E	E	E	
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students					
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students		A		A	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.13	1.28	1.17	.76	1.01	1.29	.83	1.01	1.41
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	1.01	1.34	1.09	.71	.37	1.06	.65	.69	1.62
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.06	1.31	.91	.76	.85	1.06	.96	1.50	.78
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.81	1.01	.88	.59	.53	.54	.69	1.20	1.27
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.98	1.32	.99	.75	.60	.71	.70	.76	1.71
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.82	.96	.83	.51	.49	.89	.69	1.40	1.29
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.05	1.31	1.04	.44	.52	1.33	.77	1.52	1.62

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.85	1.01	.84	.68	.55	.86	.75	.96	1.27
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.89	1.13	.96	.48	.40	.86	.71	1.33	1.62
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.12	1.39	1.05	1.03	.60	1.13	1.06	.80	1.75
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.23	.68	.40	-.42	-.68	.19	.09	-.80	-.46
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.93	1.26	.85	.75	.41	.60	.97	1.16	1.27
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.91	1.12	.97	.56	.35	.76	.92	1.87	1.54

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost								
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	D F	D			D			
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections								
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training								
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	D E F							
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading								

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	C D F				C D			
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading								
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	D							
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	D							
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	C D	D			D			
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	D E							
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	D	D						D

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					Not sure [DK/NA]
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.15	1.71	1.48	.59	-.50	1.24
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	1.04	1.54	1.30	.25	-.05	1.47
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	1.04	1.73	1.43	.40	-.69	.52
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.90	1.61	1.14	.08	-.68	.78
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	1.08	1.61	1.14	.50	.26	1.24
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.92	1.52	1.16	.22	-.80	1.29
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	1.09	1.74	1.41	.23	-.70	.99
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.96	1.57	1.17	.40	-.85	1.16
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.90	1.59	1.16	.24	-1.09	1.10
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.24	1.61	1.36	.76	.50	1.23
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.27	1.00	.35	-.26	-.89	.35
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	1.00	1.59	1.16	.39	-.43	1.24
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.98	1.66	1.21	.37	-.79	.79

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	C D E	C D	D		C D
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	C D	C D			C D
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	C D E	C D E	D		D
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	B C D E	C D	D		C D
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	B C D	C D			C D
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	B C D	C D	D		C D
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	B C D E	C D	D		C D
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	B C D	C D	D		C D
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	B C D	C D	D		C D
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	C D	C D			D
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	B C D	D			D
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	B C D	C D	D		C D
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	B C D E	C D	D		D

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	1.06	1.59	1.51	.95	.09	1.58
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	.94	1.70	1.09	.41	.12	1.62
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	.97	1.72	1.31	.61	-.07	1.38
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	.70	1.59	1.00	.70	-.43	.88
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	.87	1.61	1.16	.53	-.20	1.48
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	.69	1.66	1.14	.27	-.55	.58
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	.96	1.82	1.43	.91	-.39	1.11
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	.71	1.63	1.02	.49	-.48	1.00
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	.86	1.71	1.27	.69	-.41	.78
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	1.01	1.69	1.28	.53	.09	1.46
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	.09	.61	.48	.08	-.68	.15

Godbe Research /// Menlo Park Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-14-17

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	.83	1.70	1.33	.22	-.43	1.36
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	.82	1.72	1.30	.76	-.47	1.02

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14A. Two-thirds of the cost of the new main library would be paid for by a private donation, meaning the city taxpayers would only need to pay for one-third of the cost	C D	D	D		D
14B. Updated libraries would include a new Belle Haven Branch Library would you be more or less likely to support the proposal given this information?	B C D	D			C D
14C. Updated libraries would provide children's areas with space for children's story times, parent/child reading space, and children's book collections	C D	C D	D		D
14D. Updated libraries would provide space for computer work stations for individual use and software training	B C D	D	D		D
14E. Updated Belle Haven Branch Library	C D	D			D
14F. Updated libraries would provide space for quiet reading	B C D E	C D	D		D
14G. Updated libraries would be built to modern standards and for life-long learning	C D	D	D		D
14H. Updated libraries would provide adequate public seating for quiet reading	B C D	D	D		D
14I. Updated libraries would provide space for life-long learning activities and rooms for private study or collaborative space	B C D E	D	D		D

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14J. The new Belle Haven Branch Library would continue to work closely with local schools	C D	C D			D
14K. The Main Library could be rebuilt as a mixed-use facility including a new library, affordable housing and civic uses	D	D			
14L. Updated libraries would include creative or collaborative space for youth and students	C D	C D			C D
14M. The updated libraries would provide space for homework centers with computer workstations for students	C D	D	D		D

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Total	
	Total	Total
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.06	1.06
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.23	1.23
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.12	.12
18D. Activity Guide	1.03	1.03
18E. Facebook and Twitter	-.07	-.07
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.00	1.00
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.07	1.07

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Total
	Total
	(A)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	.
18B. News stories in the Almanac	.
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.
18D. Activity Guide	.
18E. Facebook and Twitter	.
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	.
18G. Email notifications from the City	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.06	.98	1.12	1.57
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.23	.91	1.53	1.79
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.12	-.25	.48	.18
18D. Activity Guide	1.03	.59	1.48	-.04
18E. Facebook and Twitter	-.07	.04	-.09	-2.00
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.00	1.11	.87	1.30
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.07	1.17	.99	.94



Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org			
18B. News stories in the Almanac		A	
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings		A	
18D. Activity Guide		A	
18E. Facebook and Twitter			
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post			
18G. Email notifications from the City			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.<sup>a,b</sup>  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age							
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.06	1.17	1.45	1.42	1.34	.99	.22	1.65
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.23	1.27	.11	1.38	1.50	1.65	1.24	1.83
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.12	1.37	.07	-.23	.60	.15	-.17	-.43
18D. Activity Guide	1.03	1.70	-.23	1.59	1.51	1.32	.72	1.37
18E. Facebook and Twitter	-.07	.86	1.52	.00	-.16	-.37	-1.37	1.02
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.00	.89	1.29	1.33	1.11	.93	.51	1.26
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.07	.12	1.53	.90	1.58	1.16	.63	2.00

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Age						
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	F	F	F	F	F		F
18B. News stories in the Almanac			B	B	B	B	
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings							
18D. Activity Guide	B		B F	B	B	B	
18E. Facebook and Twitter	F	C D E F	F	F			
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post							
18G. Email notifications from the City		A		A F			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.07	1.08	1.06
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.40	1.63	.78
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.34	.08	.93
18D. Activity Guide	1.17	1.29	.86
18E. Facebook and Twitter	.06	-.10	.41
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.06	1.12	.92
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.06	1.03	1.11

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	B	A
18B. News stories in the Almanac		
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings		
18D. Activity Guide		
18E. Facebook and Twitter		
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post		
18G. Email notifications from the City		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.<sup>a,b</sup>  
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.07	1.21	.90	1.59	.83
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.40	1.61	1.43	2.00	.82
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.34	.66	-.09	.27	.11
18D. Activity Guide	1.17	1.53	.85	2.00	.82
18E. Facebook and Twitter	.06	-.08	.33	1.01	-.01
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.06	1.13	.92	.83	1.02
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.06	1.00	1.16	1.72	.89

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	D			
18B. News stories in the Almanac				
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings				
18D. Activity Guide				
18E. Facebook and Twitter				
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post				
18G. Email notifications from the City				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.  
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.07	1.13	1.37	.98	1.01	.73	1.21
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.40	1.71	1.68	1.72	1.98	1.09	.75
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.34	.74	.43	.76	-.73	.27	.18
18D. Activity Guide	1.17	1.66	1.54	.87	1.27	.63	1.07
18E. Facebook and Twitter	.06	.08	-.84	1.27	.31	-.08	.33
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.06	.92	1.53	1.18	.54	.99	1.14
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.06	.97	1.21	1.40	1.34	.59	1.34

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org						
18B. News stories in the Almanac	F			F		
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings						
18D. Activity Guide	E					
18E. Facebook and Twitter						
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post						
18G. Email notifications from the City						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.07	1.09	.72	1.76	.73	1.11	1.35
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.40	1.38	1.24	1.88	1.44	1.28	1.82
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.34	.63	.43	-.16	.11	.07	1.23
18D. Activity Guide	1.17	1.13	.85	1.73	1.21	.35	1.64
18E. Facebook and Twitter	.06	.52	-.34	.03	-.17	-.65	-.32
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.06	1.24	.48	1.15	1.40	.25	1.52
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.06	.81	1.22	1.80	.92	1.22	1.42

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.08	1.37
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.65	.94
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	-.44	-.19
18D. Activity Guide	1.67	1.10
18E. Facebook and Twitter	-.73	1.77
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	.73	1.52
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.10	1.17

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org			B D			
18B. News stories in the Almanac						
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings						
18D. Activity Guide						
18E. Facebook and Twitter						
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post						
18G. Email notifications from the City						

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org		
18B. News stories in the Almanac		
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings		
18D. Activity Guide		
18E. Facebook and Twitter		
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post		
18G. Email notifications from the City		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Date							
	Total	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.06	.66	1.15	1.43	1.23	1.18	.81	1.53
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.23	1.07	1.08	1.49	1.64	1.96	1.06	1.68
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.12	-.03	.05	1.02	-.20	-.18	.36	1.63
18D. Activity Guide	1.03	.54	.96	1.50	1.76	1.40	1.57	1.70
18E. Facebook and Twitter	-.07	-.89	.23	-.46	-.37	.59	.10	.63
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.00	.84	1.02	.65	.87	1.72	1.91	.78
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.07	.55	1.38	.88	1.25	.51	.28	1.44

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Date						
	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	Dec. 1	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org		A					
18B. News stories in the Almanac							
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings							
18D. Activity Guide							
18E. Facebook and Twitter		A					A
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post							
18G. Email notifications from the City		A					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.07	1.04	1.16
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.40	1.44	1.25
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.34	.33	.41
18D. Activity Guide	1.17	1.16	1.18
18E. Facebook and Twitter	.06	-.15	.59
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.06	1.02	1.15
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.06	1.11	.92

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org		
18B. News stories in the Almanac		
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings		
18D. Activity Guide		
18E. Facebook and Twitter		A
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post		
18G. Email notifications from the City		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.07	1.11	1.03
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.40	1.51	1.27
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.34	.13	.58
18D. Activity Guide	1.17	1.37	.94
18E. Facebook and Twitter	.06	-.44	.69
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.06	.80	1.32
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.06	.92	1.21

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org		
18B. News stories in the Almanac		
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings		
18D. Activity Guide	B	
18E. Facebook and Twitter		A
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post		A
18G. Email notifications from the City		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.06	1.07	1.01
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.23	1.40	.65
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.12	.34	-.61
18D. Activity Guide	1.03	1.17	.49
18E. Facebook and Twitter	-.07	.06	-1.17
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.00	1.06	.40
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.07	1.06	1.23

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org		
18B. News stories in the Almanac	B	
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings		
18D. Activity Guide	B	
18E. Facebook and Twitter	B	
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post		
18G. Email notifications from the City		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Children in Household			
	Total	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.06	1.32	.85	2.00
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.23	1.51	1.05	1.97
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.12	.38	-.09	1.00
18D. Activity Guide	1.03	1.29	.83	1.87
18E. Facebook and Twitter	-.07	.21	-.27	.
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.00	1.17	.87	.
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.07	1.16	1.00	.

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Children in Household		
	Yes	No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	B		
18B. News stories in the Almanac	B		. <sup>a</sup>
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings			. <sup>a</sup>
18D. Activity Guide			.
18E. Facebook and Twitter			.
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post			.
18G. Email notifications from the City			.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ages of Children in Household						
	Total	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.06	1.31	1.40	1.06	1.10	.85	2.00
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.23	1.69	1.18	1.84	1.93	1.05	1.97
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.12	1.08	-.19	-1.45	.63	-.09	1.00
18D. Activity Guide	1.03	1.29	1.26	1.70	1.62	.83	1.87
18E. Facebook and Twitter	-.07	.66	.33	-.63	-.30	-.27	.
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.00	1.18	1.05	1.05	1.23	.87	.
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.07	.65	1.24	.99	1.07	1.00	.

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ages of Children in Household					
	0 to 4 years	5 to 11 years	12 to 13 years	14 to 17 years	None in household	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org		E				
18B. News stories in the Almanac				B E		. <sup>a</sup>
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	B C E	C		C	C	. <sup>a</sup>
18D. Activity Guide						.
18E. Facebook and Twitter						.
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post						.
18G. Email notifications from the City						.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Group							
	Total	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.06	1.20	2.00	1.34	1.02	1.25	.	.20
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.23	.95	2.00	1.31	1.06	1.66	.	1.91
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.12	1.65	-1.00	1.05	-.28	1.04	.	-.32
18D. Activity Guide	1.03	.78	.	1.97	.80	1.69	.	1.14
18E. Facebook and Twitter	-.07	1.42	.	.78	-.46	.93	.	-1.24
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.00	1.33	.	1.80	.77	1.72	.	-.33
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.07	.69	.	.49	1.27	.75	.	1.15

	Ethnic Group	
	Some other race	Not sure/DK/NA
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	-.31	1.23
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.69	1.78
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.84	-.51
18D. Activity Guide	1.04	.03
18E. Facebook and Twitter	1.98	-1.72
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	2.00	.17
18G. Email notifications from the City	2.00	2.00

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Group							
	Black	American Indian	Asian	White	Hispanic	Hawaiian	Two or more races	Some other race
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org		a	G			.		
18B. News stories in the Almanac		a				.		
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings		a	D		D	.		
18D. Activity Guide		.	D		D	.		a
18E. Facebook and Twitter		.	D		D G	.		.
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post		.	D G		D G	.		a
18G. Email notifications from the City		.		C	.	.		a

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Group
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(I)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	
18B. News stories in the Almanac	
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	
18D. Activity Guide	
18E. Facebook and Twitter	
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	
18G. Email notifications from the City	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Surname								
	Total	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.07	.63	1.83	1.15	.94	1.85	1.57	1.08	1.02
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.40	2.00	2.00	1.65	-.15	1.49	2.00	1.16	1.42
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.34	.	-.15	.65	.26	-1.83	2.00	1.00	.32
18D. Activity Guide	1.17	2.00	2.00	1.59	1.82	.66	2.00	1.00	1.04
18E. Facebook and Twitter	.06	-1.00	.50	.75	-1.29	-.74	1.70	1.41	-.01
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.06	.25	2.00	1.57	.15	1.59	2.00	2.00	.98
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.06	-.79	.57	.60	1.97	1.73	1.70	1.25	1.15

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Ethnic Surname							
	Japanese	Chinese	Hispanic	Jewish	Italian	Korean	African American	Not Coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org								
18B. News stories in the Almanac		a	D		a	a	a	D
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings					a	a	a	
18D. Activity Guide	a				a	a	a	
18E. Facebook and Twitter								
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post								
18G. Email notifications from the City				A				A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Area					
	Total	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.06	.53	1.26	.77	1.32	1.56
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.23	1.28	1.34	1.61	.93	1.41
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.12	-.38	.09	.22	-.31	1.10
18D. Activity Guide	1.03	.89	1.28	.80	.91	.93
18E. Facebook and Twitter	-.07	-1.01	.00	-.72	.37	.71
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.00	1.12	.95	.90	1.18	1.33
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.07	.28	1.53	.77	1.47	.65

	Area
	Not sure/DK/NA
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	.71
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.18
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	1.18
18D. Activity Guide	1.35
18E. Facebook and Twitter	.34
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	.14
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.03

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Area					
	Oceanside of Alameda de las Pulgas	Between Alameda and El Camino	Between El Camino and Middlefield	Between Middlefield and Hwy 101	Bayside of Hwy 101	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org		A		AF	ACF	
18B. News stories in the Almanac						AD
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings						
18D. Activity Guide						
18E. Facebook and Twitter				A	A	A
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post						
18G. Email notifications from the City		A		A		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.06	1.92	.99	.76	1.49
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.23	1.71	1.31	1.07	1.49
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.12	1.92	.96	-.36	1.00
18D. Activity Guide	1.03	1.88	1.20	.68	1.53
18E. Facebook and Twitter	-.07	1.82	.87	-1.15	1.44
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.00	1.65	1.30	.29	1.71
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.07	1.03	.72	.81	1.42



Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	C			C
18B. News stories in the Almanac				
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	C			C
18D. Activity Guide	C			C
18E. Facebook and Twitter	C	C		C
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	C	C		C
18G. Email notifications from the City				C

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.07	1.15	1.14	1.00	.96	1.10
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.40	.73	1.50	1.09	1.63	1.68
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.34	.99	1.00	.14	.95	-.32
18D. Activity Guide	1.17	1.14	1.12	.97	1.46	1.21
18E. Facebook and Twitter	.06	.55	.85	.66	-.41	-.97
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.06	.78	1.64	1.16	.73	.80
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.06	1.09	.69	1.21	1.49	1.02

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org					A
18B. News stories in the Almanac					
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings		E		E	
18D. Activity Guide					
18E. Facebook and Twitter	E	DE	E		
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post		E			
18G. Email notifications from the City					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.06	1.11	1.30	.99	.86	.87	.80	1.63	1.53
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.38	1.57	1.64	1.95	1.06	.76	.84	2.00	2.00
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.34	.62	.72	.65	-.69	.48	-.24	2.00	-1.49
18D. Activity Guide	1.20	1.61	1.67	1.24	.61	1.19	.48	2.00	2.00
18E. Facebook and Twitter	.10	-.13	.15	.47	.24	-.04	.02	.76	1.75
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.04	.87	1.36	.10	1.35	1.12	.92	1.87	.00
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.06	.93	1.05	1.51	1.05	.56	1.72	1.73	1.70

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>b,c</sup>

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org								a
18B. News stories in the Almanac								
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings								
18D. Activity Guide	D F	F						a
18E. Facebook and Twitter								
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post								
18G. Email notifications from the City								

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>b,c</sup>

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	1.23	1.24	1.55	1.13	1.01	.80
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.29	1.61	1.50	1.74	1.71	-.14
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.15	1.04	.40	.18	.24	-1.26
18D. Activity Guide	1.10	1.15	1.70	1.40	.99	-.61
18E. Facebook and Twitter	.03	.39	.41	-.82	.21	-1.64
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	.89	1.48	1.17	-.34	.32	.44
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.14	1.10	1.38	.91	1.31	.47

Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>

	Ballot Test - \$50 Million Bond Issue				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org		E			
18B. News stories in the Almanac	E	E	E	E	
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	E	E			
18D. Activity Guide	E	E	E	E	
18E. Facebook and Twitter	E	E			
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	C	C			
18G. Email notifications from the City					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax					
	Total	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org	.89	.87	1.23	.88	.67	.69
18B. News stories in the Almanac	1.15	.64	1.28	1.52	1.51	-.52
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings	.09	-.33	.42	.74	-.14	1.73
18D. Activity Guide	.94	.47	1.01	1.74	.95	1.15
18E. Facebook and Twitter	-.17	.13	-.45	-1.39	-.04	.69
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post	1.09	1.01	1.45	.55	.98	.92
18G. Email notifications from the City	1.01	.79	.92	.72	1.13	2.00

**Comparisons of Column Means<sup>a,b</sup>**

	Ballot Test - 3.5% Utility Tax				
	Definitely Yes	Probably Yes	Probably No	Definitely No	Not sure [DK/NA]
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18A. The City's website www.MenloPark.org					
18B. News stories in the Almanac		E	E	E	
18C. Online and cable broadcasts of Council meetings					
18D. Activity Guide					
18E. Facebook and Twitter					
18F. News stories in the Palo Alto Daily Post					
18G. Email notifications from the City					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05<sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.



**GODBE RESEARCH**  
Gain Insight



**[www.godberesearch.com](http://www.godberesearch.com)**

**California and Corporate Offices**  
1575 Old Bayshore Highway, Suite 102  
Burlingame, CA 94010

**Nevada Office**

59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309  
Reno, NV 89521

**Pacific Northwest Office**

601 108th Avenue NE, Suite 1900  
Bellevue, WA 98004